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## TA: Mike and Carolyn

## INTRODUCTION:

In this experiment, we will separate 2 distillates using their differences in boiling points. The boiling points of individual liquids are affected by the impurities of the mixture. Each liquid will be purified, first in a fractional distillation and then in a simple distillation. A fractional distillation involves a longer vertical pathway in which the volatile vapors travel past the condenser. Because fractional distillation involves a longer condensing column than simple distillation, some of the volatile liquid will re-condense. This process of vaporizing and condensing produces relatively pure products. After fractional distillation is complete, gas chromatography will be used to determine the exact make up of the condensed liquid. Finally, simple distillation will be performed on the original mixtures so that one can compare a plot of temperature versus volume for both fractional and simple distillations.

## PROCEDURE

## Fractional Distillation

- Obtain $30-\mathrm{mL}$ sample of a mixture of cyclohexane and toluene. Save $0.5-\mathrm{mL}$ of undistilled mixture in a stoppered and labeled vial for analysis by gas chromatography. Record the mixture unknown.
- Pack the fractional column with steel sponge (loosely). Allow to drain later without water.
- Set up distillation apparatus for fractional distillation. Use a graduated cylinder as the receiver.
- Use a variable transfer with a thermowell heater as a heat source.
- Use a small amount of stopcock grease on joints and use keck clips to secure the condenser.
- Begin heating and regulate so that there is one drop every 1-2 seconds. Note and record the head temperature and the total accumulated volume of distillate in the receiving cylinder when the heat is regulated.
- Fraction A: Use $25-\mathrm{mL}$ graduated cylinder to collect, Ambient to $\sim 85^{\circ}\left(+/-\mathrm{ca} .3^{\circ}\right)$. Major fraction should contain most of the cyclohexane.
- Fraction B: Use $10-\mathrm{mL}$ graduated cylinder to collect, Ambient to $\sim 85^{\circ}$ (+/- ca. $3^{\circ}$ ) to $\sim 106^{\circ}$. This fraction ideally should be small. It will be a mixture of cyclohexane and toluene.
- Fraction C: Transfer contents A to labeled Erlenmeyer and stopper. Drain, do not wash for C. $\sim 106^{\circ}$ to $108^{\circ}$ Major fraction and should contain most of the toluene.
- Remember: "Only when the temperature begins to rise after the first temperature "plateau" should the receiver be changed from fraction A to fraction B.
- When the distilling flask has a volume of $1-\mathrm{mL}$, turn the system off and let it cool.


## Gas Chromatography

- Analyze fractions A, B, and C by gas chromatography
- Measure the area of each peak (height * width (@ half the height)) using the "triangulation" method
- Record and obtain areas of peaks with the electronic integrator on the machine.


## Simple Distillation

- Recombine your distillate with your pot residue and repeat the distillation using a simple distillation apparatus. Use graduated cylinder to collect. Record head temperature as a function of total volume of distillate in $2-\mathrm{mL}$ increments.

TABLE 1: REAGENTS

| Compound Number | Compound | $\xrightarrow{\text { Bointing }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}$ | Molecular Weight $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ |  | 80.7 | 84.16 |
| 2 |  | 110.6 | 92.14 |

## RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Flow Chart of Lab (The numbers in the following flow chart are derived from Table 1:
Reagents)


RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS (cont.)
$\qquad$ Mixture number of Cyclohexane and Toluene

| Fractional Distillation |  |  | Simple Distillation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial Temperature: $77.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Initial Volume: 0.0 mL |  |  | Initial Temperature: $\mathbf{8 3 . 9}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Initial Volume: $0.0 \mathbf{m L}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Volume (mL) | Fraction | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Volume (mL) |
| 77.5 | 0.0 | A | 83.9 | 0.0 |
| 79.8 | 2.0 | A | 85.0 | 2.0 |
| 80.3 | 4.0 | A | 86.6 | 4.0 |
| 80.8 | 6.0 | A | 88.3 | 6.0 |
| 81.6 | 8.0 | A | 89.5 | 8.0 |
| 82.0 | 10.0 | A | 90.0 | 10.0 |
| 83.7 | 12.0 | A | 91.0 | 12.0 |
| 84.9 | 14.0 | A | 91.0 | 14.0 |
| 84.5 | 15.1 | A | 91.2 | 16.0 |
|  |  |  | 92.5 | 18.0 |
| 89.2 | 15.10 | B | 94.9 | 20.0 |
| 103.1 | 17.10 | B | 99.0 | 22.0 |
| 104.8 | 19.10 | B | 101.9 | 24.0 |
| 104.9 | 19.24 | B | 103.6 | 26.0 |
| 104.9 | 19.24 | C |  |  |
| 105.1 | 21.24 | C |  |  |
| 105.2 | 23.24 | C |  |  |
| 104.3 | 24.64 | C |  |  |
| TOTAL <br> Volume | 24.64 |  |  | 26.0 |

During this experiment the mixture of unknown Y was placed in a 50 mL round bottom flask in a fractional distillation setup. The temperature of the variable heating device was slowly increased until drops were seen at a rate of 1 drop every 1-2 seconds. The temperature was recorded after each 2 mL of distillate (Table 1). When the temperature reached a steady temperature of $84.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Fraction B was collected till a steady temperature of $104.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the rest of the distillate, Fraction C, was collected. The temperature was recorded after each 2 mL of distillate collected (Table 1). The three samples, along with the original Y sample mixture was taken to the gas chromatograph for analysis to determine the \% composition. (Table 2)

A simple distillation set-up was used for the second part of the lab. 30 mL of fresh Y mixture was used in the simple distillation. The variable temperature heating device was regulated once again to yield 1 drop every 1-2 seconds. The temperature was recorded every 2 mL of distillate. The distillate was not separated because the data recorded was only used to plot and compare with the fractional distillation data. (Table 1)

It is important to note that I was abruptly impeded with a fire drill during the distillation of fraction A. I do not think that the decrease in temperature for 20 minutes during the drill had a negative impact on the distillation.

I could have done a better job of being patient during the simple distillation process. At first, the drops of distillate were dropping faster then the recommended pace. Also, closer monitoring of the temperature every 2 mL would prove much more accurate if I were to do the lab again.

Gas Chromatograph Data

- GC \#4
- Column B
- $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
- Polarity (-)
- Current: 100 mA
- Column T $=94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Detector $=150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Injector $=130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

TABLE 2: Gas Chromatograph \% Composition in Each Fraction

| \% Composition | Unknown <br> Mixture $\boldsymbol{Y}$ (\%) | Fraction $\boldsymbol{A}$ <br> (cyclohexane) (\%) | Fraction B <br> (cyclohexane and <br> toluene) (\%) | Fraction C <br> (toluene) (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cyclohexane | 48.85 | 80.913 | 26.696 | 2.011 |
| Toluene | 51.15 | 19.087 | 73.304 | 97.989 |

TABLE 3: Triangulation Method for Determining \% Composition in Each Fraction

| \% Composition | Unknown <br> Mixture $\boldsymbol{Y}$ (\%) | Fraction $\boldsymbol{A}$ <br> (cyclohexane) (\%) | Fraction B <br> (cyclohexane and <br> toluene) (\%) | Fraction C <br> (toluene) (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cyclohexane | 47.73 | 81.3 | 24.4 | 1.4 |
| Toluene | 52.27 | 18.7 | 74.6 | 98.6 |

Fractional Distillation

$\bullet$ Fractional Distillation

## CHART 2: Simple Distillation

Simple Distillation


- Simple Distillation

CHART 3: Gas Chromatography Analysis of Mixture Y


CHART 4: Gas Chromatography Analysis of Fraction A


CHART 5: Gas Chromatography Analysis of Fraction B


CHART 6: Gas Chromatography Analysis of Fraction C


## INTERPRETATION OF INSTRUMENTAL DATA

The \% composition was obtained by the GC analysis and the "triangulation method". As shown in Table 2 and Table 3, the values for the $\%$ composition were very similar. However, the electronic GC method was undoubtedly better because of the number of opportunities for measuring errors with the ruler. The "triangulation method" is shown for each sample in Charts 3-6 above.

Graph 1 shows temperature versus volume of distillate collected for fractional distillation. The best fit curve that can be drawn for the data is fairly consistent with curves found in Gilbert and Martin (p 125). There was a sharp increase for Fraction B, as expected. However, in one instance, the same volume remained for different temperatures. This could be explained by human error in recording or the distillate not completely running down the condenser in a consistent manner.

Graph 2 shows temperature versus volume of distillate collected for simple distillation. A consistent curve displays the approximate fractions of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C . Graph 2 also shows how fraction B is a significant portion of the volume of both compounds, cyclohexane and toluene.

One can compare Graph 2 with Graph 1, which minimizes the volume of Fraction B and separates each compound out more completely. This analysis is backed up by the \% composition data found in Table 2 \& 3.

## CONCLUSION

In this experiment, we separated 2 distilllates using two different means: fractional distillation and simple distillation. The boiling point of two compounds in a mixture allowed us to collect the volume as a function of increasing temperature. Gas chromatograph analysis verified the percent composition in each collection sample and the "triangulation method" was used to verify the GC results. Graphing the temperature versus volume for each distillation clearly showed how superior fractional distillation is as compared to simple distillation. The purity of the samples during the fractional distillation proved to be better. In addition, fractional distillation uses a well insulated column that allowed the mixture to vaporize and recondense, improving our results. Overall, the purpose of separating two compounds in a mixture was achieved relatively well using fractional distillation.

## ANSWERS TO ASSIGNED QUESTIONS

## 11) Given

- $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}$ methanol $=406$ torr (vapor pressure)
- $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\text {ethanol }}=222$ torr
- $X_{\text {methanol }}=0.1$
- $\quad \mathrm{Xethhanol}=0.2$

Work and Analysis

- $\mathrm{N}_{\text {methanol }}=0.1 / 0.3=0.33$
- $\mathrm{Nethanol}=0.2 / 0.3=0.66$
- $P_{\text {methanol }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {methanol }}$ * Nmethanol

Pmethanol $=(406 \text { torr })^{*}(0.33)=134$ torr Methanol

- $P_{\text {ethanol }}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\text {ethanol }} * \mathrm{Nethanol}$

Pethanol $=(222 \text { torr })^{*}(0.66)=147$ torr Ethanol

- Ptotal $=134$ torr +147 torr $=281$ torr Total Pressure

