

# 11 Welfare Theorems

## Pareto Optimality

- An allocation is *Pareto Optimal* if there is no way to rearrange production or reallocate goods so that someone is made better off without making someone else worse off.
- Pareto Optimality  $\neq$  perfect state of the world or any concept like that.

## The Social Planner

- Let us imagine we have a powerful dictator, the Social Planner, that can decide how much the households consume and work and how much the firms produce.
- The Social Planner does not follow prices. But it understands opportunity cost.
- The Social Planner is benevolent. It searches for the best possible allocation.

- Maximizes utility household given a level of government purchases  $G^*$

$$\max_{c,l} u(c,l)$$

such that

$$c + G = A k^\alpha (h - l)^{1-\alpha} = A k^\alpha n^{1-\alpha}$$

$$G = G^*$$

$$k = k^*$$

- Note: we do not have prices in the budget constraint!!!
- Standard Maximization problem.

- We can rewrite the problem as:

$$\max_n u(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha} - G^*, h - n)$$

- First Order Condition with respect to  $n$ :

$$u_c(Ak^{*\alpha}n^{1-\alpha} - G^*, h - n)(1 - \alpha)Ak^{*\alpha}n^{-\alpha} =$$

$$u_{h-n}(Ak^{*\alpha}n^{1-\alpha} - G^*, h - n)$$

- We rearrange as:

$$\frac{u_{h-n} \left( A k^{*\alpha} n^{1-\alpha} - G^*, h - n \right)}{u_c \left( A k^{*\alpha} n^{1-\alpha} - G^*, h - n \right)} = (1 - \alpha) A k^{*\alpha} n^{-\alpha}$$

- The lhs is the Marginal Rate of Substitution, MRS while the rhs is the Marginal Rate of Transformation, MRT.
- Thus, optimality implies:

$$MRS = MRT$$

## The Big Question

- What is the relation between the solution to the Planners Problem and the Competitive Equilibrium?
- Or equivalently, is the Competitive Equilibrium Pareto-Optimal?

The answer is YES

- Why do we care about this question?
  1. Positive reasons
  2. Normative reasons.

## The Intuition

- First think about the case when  $G^* = \tau^l = 0$
- Look again at the Social Planner's optimality condition

$$\frac{u'_{1-l}(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha}, 1-l)}{u'_c(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha}, 1-l)} = (1-\alpha)Ak^{*\alpha}l^{-\alpha}$$

- Remember that the Household first order condition was:

$$\frac{u'_{1-l}(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha} - G^*, 1-l)}{u'_c(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha} - G^*, 1-l)} = w$$

- And that firms profit maximization implied:

$$w = (1-\alpha)Ak^{*\alpha}l^{-\alpha}$$

- First order conditions are equivalent!!!



## The Formal Statement

- First Fundamental Welfare Theorem: under certain conditions, the Competitive Equilibrium is Pareto Optimal.
- We have the converse.
- Second Fundamental Welfare Theorem: under certain conditions, a Pareto optimum is a Competitive Equilibrium.

## Some consequences

- First Fundamental Welfare Theorem states that, under certain conditions, an allocation achieved by a market economy is Pareto-Optimal.
- Formalization of Adam Smith's "invisible hand" idea.
- Strong theoretical point in favour of decentralized allocation mechanisms: prices give good incentives.
- Second Fundamental Welfare Theorem states what is the best way to change allocations: redistribute income. Do not mess with prices!!!

## How robust is the First Welfare theorem?

- Not too much.
- Plenty of reasons that deviate the allocation from a Pareto optimum:
  1. Taxes.
  2. Externalities.
  3. Asymmetric Information.
  4. Market Incompleteness.
  5. Bounded Rationality of Agents.

What if taxes are not zero? (say income taxes)

- Now think about the case when  $G^* \neq 0, \tau^l \neq 0$
- Look again at the Social Planner's optimality condition

$$\frac{u'_{1-l}(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha}, 1-l)}{u'_c(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha}, 1-l)} = (1-\alpha)Ak^{*\alpha}l^{-\alpha}$$

- But now the Household first order condition is:

$$\frac{u'_{1-l}(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha} - G^*, 1-l)}{u'_c(Ak^{*\alpha}l^{1-\alpha} - G^*, 1-l)} = (1-\tau^l)w$$

- And since that firms profit maximization implied:

$$w = (1 - \alpha) Ak^{*\alpha}l^{-\alpha}$$

- First order conditions are NOT equivalent!!!

## Externalities

- What is an externality? When an agent's consumption or production decision changes the production or consumption possibilities of other agents.
- Externalities can be good or bad.
- Example:
  1. Cities
  2. Environment
  3. Congestion, Leisure of Others, Weekends.

# Asymmetric Information

- Information is dispersed in society.
- We may want to change our behavior based on the information we have.
- Adverse Selection and Moral Hazard. (Left and Right).
- Akerlof-Spence-Stiglitz, Nobel Prize Winners 2001.

## Market Incompleteness

- We have assumed that we have complete markets.
- Every good can be traded.
- Is that a good representation of the world?
- Closely related with the problem of asymmetric information.



## Distributional Concerns

A Pareto Optimal is an allocation such that there is no other that makes somebody better off and **NOBODY** worse off.

If one person has everything and everybody else has nothing it is a Pareto Optimum.

However, what about making somebody a little bit worse if many get a lot better?

Pareto optimality has nothing to say, so perhaps it is not so useful a concept.