SUGGESTED LETTER TO EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

Promotion to Associate Professor
(and—with appropriate modifications—for appointment to Associate Professor with tenure)

Dear ____________________:

Dr. ____________________, who is currently an Assistant Professor at this University, is being considered for promotion to the rank of Associate Professor with tenure./Dr. ____________________, who is currently an Associate Professor at ______(institution)______, is being considered for appointment to the rank of Associate Professor with tenure. We would very much appreciate your help in evaluating this candidate's scholarly achievements.

The University expects that those promoted/appointed to the rank of Associate Professor will be excellent teachers and mature scholars whose achievements have won exceptional recognition both by scholars outside the University and by the candidate’s faculty colleagues, and whose presence on the faculty enhances the prestige of the University. Promotion/Appointment to this rank is not a recognition of length of service, but rather of outstanding scholarship and excellent teaching. In making your evaluation, which should focus on the achievements of the candidate, it would be helpful if you would:

1. evaluate the scope and significance of the candidate's scholarly achievements and their importance within the general discipline;
2. comment upon the degree of recognition achieved in the candidate's discipline, noting his/her most distinctive contributions;
3. rank the candidate relative to the leading scholars in the same field of study and at a comparable level of professional development;
4. evaluate the candidate's likelihood of achieving a similar faculty position and rank at the leading institutions in this discipline;
5. provide any information or insight that you have on the candidate’s skill and effectiveness as a teacher and communicator;
6. provide any additional insights that may be helpful in determining whether or not to recommend promotion/appointment to Associate Professor.

[Include the following paragraph when the candidate has received an extension(s) of the mandatory review period]

Dr. _____________________ has been granted an extension to the mandatory review period in keeping with the University’s policies. It is important to note that we evaluate the productivity of each candidate who has been granted an extension as if he or she had been in probationary status for the normal duration.

For your convenience we enclose Dr. _____________________’s curriculum vitae [and insert additional material as appropriate, e.g., statement on research and teaching, manuscript].

It is the policy of the University of Pennsylvania that external letters be held in confidence. However, in the event of litigation or a governmental investigation, the candidate or others may gain access to the information contained in these letters. *(Chairs – see *)

We would appreciate receiving your evaluation by _______________ if possible, since the review process requires all materials to be in hand as early in the academic year as possible. We are very grateful for your help in this matter.

Sincerely,

____________________, Chair

NOTE: It is important that the letter that is sent to the approved list of reviewers be the same for each reviewer and include the above six points. The exact wording may have to be modified for different disciplines. A copy of the letter that is actually used must be included with the dossier submitted to the Dean.

* Departments that previously provided honoraria for external reviewers should add: Changes in the law make it impossible for us to promise this level of confidentiality if we provide honoraria or other payment for completing the review. Thus, we have to
had to discontinue our policy of providing modest honoraria in order to secure the maximum protection allowable by law for your very valuable input into our personnel process.