

**REGISTRY OF RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS IN
FACE-TO-FACE RESTORATIVE JUSTICE**

RCT # 1. Reintegrative Shaming Experiment (RISE)--Violence

Location: Canberra, Australia

Offense: Middle-level violent crime

Offenders age: under 30 years

N of cases: 100

N of offenders: 121

N of direct victims: 101

N of victims interviewed: 81

N of representative victims: 0

% of offenders assigned to RJ treated as assigned: 79%

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: 32% of RJ group, 37% of control

One-Year Before-After Frequency of repeat offending: -29% change in frequency of offending for RJ group, +1% change in frequency of offending for control group

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: .60 arrests per offender per year in RJ group, .97 arrests per offender per year in the control group

Two-Year Before-After difference in frequency of repeat offending: -29% change in frequency of offending for RJ group, +21% change in frequency of offending for control group.

Two-Year Before-after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): -49% change in total cost for RJ group, -12% change for control group

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: 60% for RJ group, 44% for control group (based on response rate of 87%)

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: 68% for RJ group, 44% for control group (based on response rate of 87%)

RCT # 2. Reintegrative Shaming Experiments (RISE) – Personal property

Location: Canberra, Australia

Offense: Middle-level property crime

Offenders age: 10–17 years

N of cases: 173

N of offenders: 249

N of direct victims: 181

N of representative victims: 0

% of RJ offenders treated as assigned: 67%

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: 32% of RJ group, 31% of control

One-Year Before–After Frequency of repeat offending: +16% change in frequency of offending for RJ group, -21% change in frequency of offending for control group.

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: .79 arrests per offender per year in RJ group, .56 arrests per offender per year in the control group.

Two-Year Before–After difference in frequency of repeat offending: -2% change in rate for RJ group, -43% change for control group.

Two-Year Before–after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): +24% change in total cost (plus or minus) for RJ group, -47% change for control group.

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: 61% for RJ group, 46% for control group (based on response rate of 90%)

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: 71% for RJ group, 42% for control group (based on response rate of 90%)

RCT # 3. Indianapolis

Location: Indianapolis, Indiana

Offense: Minor property and violent crime (criminal mischief, disorderly conduct, theft, shoplifting, battery)

Offenders age: 7–17 years

N of cases: not known

N of offenders: 782 (400 RJ; 382 control)

N of direct victims: 219 in the 215 observed cases

N of representative victims: 20 in the 215 observed cases

% cases treated as assigned: 71.0 percent

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: 31% of RJ group, 37% of control

One-Year Before–After Frequency of repeat offending: not known

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: not known

Two-Year Before–After difference in frequency of repeat offending: N/A as offenders had no priors

Two-Year Before–after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): N/A as offenders had no priors

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: 92% for RJ group, 68% for control group (response rate not reported)

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: 86% for RJ group (34% of victims surveyed), 83% for control group (30% of victims surveyed).

RCT # 4 British Home Office RJ Experiments

Location: London, England

Offense: Burglary

Offenders age: Over 17

N of cases: 150 (intended)

N of offenders: 150 (intended)

N of direct victims: 150 minimum (intended)

N of representative victims: 0

% of cases treated as assigned: not yet known

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: ___% of RJ group, ___% of control – not yet known

One-Year Before-After Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before-After difference in frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before-after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: not yet known

RCT # 5 British Home Office RJ Experiments

Location: London, England

Offense: Robbery and street crime

Offenders age: Over 17

N of cases: 100 (intended)

N of offenders: 100 (intended)

N of direct victims: 100 minimum (intended)

N of representative victims: 0

% of cases treated as assigned: not yet known

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: not yet known

One-Year Before-After Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before-After difference in frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before-after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: not yet known

RCT # 6 British Home Office RJ Experiments

Location: Northumbria, England

Offense: Non-violent crime resulting in a Youth Final Warning

Offenders age: 10-17

N of cases: 100 (intended)

N of offenders: 100 (intended)

N of direct victims: 100 minimum (intended)

N of representative victims: 0

% of cases treated as assigned: not yet known

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: not yet known

One-Year Before–After Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending not yet known

Two-Year Before–After difference in frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: not yet known

RCT # 7 British Home Office RJ Experiments

Location: Northumbria, England

Offense: Violent crime resulting in a Youth Final Warning (excluding domestic and sexual assault)

Offenders age: 10–17

N of cases: 100 (intended)

N of offenders: >100 (intended)

N of direct victims: 100 minimum (intended)

N of representative victims: 0

% of cases treated as assigned: not yet known

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: not yet known

One-Year Before–After Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–After difference in frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: not yet known

RCT # 8 British Home Office RJ Experiments

Location: Northumbria, England

Offense: Assault (excluding domestic and sexual assault)

Offenders age: Over 17

N of cases: 100 (intended)

N of offenders: 100 (intended)

N of direct victims: 100 minimum (intended)

N of representative victims: 0

% of cases treated as assigned: not yet known

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: not yet known

One–Year Before–After Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–After difference in frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: not yet known

RCT # 9 British Home Office RJ Experiments**Location:** Northumbria, England**Offense:** Property crime (excluding shoplifting)**Offenders age:** Over 17**N of cases:** 100 (intended)**N of offenders:** 100 (intended)**N of direct victims:** 100 minimum (intended)**N of representative victims:** 0**% cases treated as assigned:** not yet known**One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending:** not yet known**One-Year Before–After Frequency of repeat offending:** not yet known**Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending:** not yet known**Two-Year Before–After difference in frequency of repeat offending:** not yet known**Two-Year Before–after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest):** not yet known**% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned:** not yet known**% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered:** not yet known**RCT # 10 British Home Office RJ Experiments****Location:** Thames Valley, England**Offense:** Violent crime resulting in community supervision order (probation) sentences**Offenders age:** Over 17**N of cases:** 100 (intended)**N of offenders:** 100 (intended)

N of direct victims: 100 minimum (intended)

N of representative victims: 0

% of cases treated as assigned: not yet known

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: not yet known

One-Year Before–After Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–After difference in frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as assigned: not yet known

% Victims satisfied with treatment as delivered: not yet known

RCT # 11 British Home Office RJ Experiments

Location: Thames Valley, England

Offense: Violent crime resulting in sentences of imprisonment

Offenders age: Over 17

N of cases: 100 (intended)

N of offenders: 100 (intended)

N of direct victims: 100 minimum (intended)

N of representative victims: 0

% cases treated as assigned: not yet known

One-Year After-Only Prevalence of repeat offending: not yet known

One-Year Before–After Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year After-Only Frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–After difference in frequency of repeat offending: not yet known

Two-Year Before–after cost of repeat offending (based on arrest): not yet known

% victims satisfied with treatment: not yet known