8200, 2023: basic Life Cycle Model with Intangible Capital

José Víctor Ríos Rull (joint with Vincenzo Quadrini) Penn 2023

THE ISSUE

• Large Increase in Intangible Capital vs Tangible Capital

THE ISSUE

- Large Increase in Intangible Capital vs Tangible Capital
- Intangible Capital is more mobile across countries and can evade capital taxation more than tangible Capital

The issue

- Large Increase in Intangible Capital vs Tangible Capital
- Intangible Capital is more mobile across countries and can evade capital taxation more than tangible Capital
- What are the implications for the determination of capital income tax rates across countries?

The issue

- Large Increase in Intangible Capital vs Tangible Capital
- Intangible Capital is more mobile across countries and can evade capital taxation more than tangible Capital
- What are the implications for the determination of capital income tax rates across countries?
- Is it good to coordinate across countries?

The issue

- Large Increase in Intangible Capital vs Tangible Capital
- Intangible Capital is more mobile across countries and can evade capital taxation more than tangible Capital
- What are the implications for the determination of capital income tax rates across countries?
- Is it good to coordinate across countries?
- Answer these questions without assuming commitment on the part of governments.

• Households stay in country j like u(c, h) and discount β

- Households stay in country *j* like u(c, h) and discount β
- Country *j* multinationals (owned by its citizens). Observable output and inputs.

$$y^{j} = Q^{j}(m^{j,1}, m^{j,2})$$
 $q^{j,i} = \frac{\partial Q^{j}(m^{j,1}, m^{j,2})}{\partial m^{j,i}}$

 $m^{j,i}$ intermediate input from country $i \in \{1,2\}$ for $Q^{j}(.,.)$ HD1. Only trade is via $m^{j,i}$

- Households stay in country *j* like u(c, h) and discount β
- Country *j* multinationals (owned by its citizens). Observable output and inputs.

$$y^{j} = Q^{j}(m^{j,1}, m^{j,2})$$
 $q^{j,i} = \frac{\partial Q^{j}(m^{j,1}, m^{j,2})}{\partial m^{j,i}}$

 $m^{j,i}$ intermediate input from country $i \in \{1,2\}$ for $Q^j(.,.)$ HD1. Only trade is via $m^{j,i}$

$$m^{j,i} = F(k_{j,i}, x_{j,i}, \ell_{j,i}) = z \left(k_{j,i}^{\alpha} x_{j,i}^{1-\alpha} \right)^{\theta} \ell_{j,i}^{1-\theta},$$

k is tangible, x intangible capital, ℓ labor of multinational j in country n.

- Households stay in country *j* like u(c, h) and discount β
- Country *j* multinationals (owned by its citizens). Observable output and inputs.

$$y^{j} = Q^{j}(m^{j,1}, m^{j,2})$$
 $q^{j,i} = \frac{\partial Q^{j}(m^{j,1}, m^{j,2})}{\partial m^{j,i}}.$

 $m^{j,i}$ intermediate input from country $i \in \{1,2\}$ for $Q^j(.,.)$ HD1. Only trade is via $m^{j,i}$

$$m^{j,i} = F(k_{j,i}, x_{j,i}, \ell_{j,i}) = z \left(k_{j,i}^{\alpha} x_{j,i}^{1-\alpha} \right)^{\theta} \ell_{j,i}^{1-\theta},$$

k is tangible, x intangible capital, ℓ labor of multinational j in country n.

• Profits are country specific

$$\pi^{j,i} = q^{j,i} F(k_{j,i}, x_{j,i}, \ell_{j,i}) - w^i \ell_{j,i} - \delta(k_{j,i} + x_{j,i}),$$

- Households stay in country *j* like u(c, h) and discount β
- Country *j* multinationals (owned by its citizens). Observable output and inputs.

$$y^{j} = Q^{j}(m^{j,1}, m^{j,2})$$
 $q^{j,i} = \frac{\partial Q^{j}(m^{j,1}, m^{j,2})}{\partial m^{j,i}}$

 $m^{j,i}$ intermediate input from country $i \in \{1,2\}$ for $Q^j(.,.)$ HD1. Only trade is via $m^{j,i}$

$$m^{j,i} = F(k_{j,i}, x_{j,i}, \ell_{j,i}) = z \left(k_{j,i}^{\alpha} x_{j,i}^{1-\alpha} \right)^{\theta} \ell_{j,i}^{1-\theta},$$

k is tangible, x intangible capital, ℓ labor of multinational j in country n.

• Profits are country specific

$$\pi^{j,i} = q^{j,i} F(k_{j,i}, x_{j,i}, \ell_{j,i}) - w^i \ell_{j,i} - \delta(k_{j,i} + x_{j,i}),$$

• Aggregate state is s. A j firm's state is {k_{j,1}, k_{j,2}, x_j}. Both capitals are different

• $au^{A,j}$, Taxes on Corporation's Headquarters residual income $\pi^{A,j}$,

• $\tau^{A,j}$, Taxes on Corporation's Headquarters residual income $\pi^{A,j}$,

• $\tau^{K,j}$, Taxes on capital income $\pi^{K,i,j}$, (intermediate output net of labor income)

• $\tau^{A,j}$, Taxes on Corporation's Headquarters residual income $\pi^{A,j}$,

• $\tau^{K,j}$, Taxes on capital income $\pi^{K,i,j}$, (intermediate output net of labor income)

• $\tau^{\ell,j}$, Taxes on Labor income where it is used $\pi^{j,i}$, (Capital income where produced)

• Dynamic Problem

l

FOC

• Static part yields $q^{j,i} F_{\ell}(k_{j,i}, x_{j,i}, \ell_{j,i}) = w^i, \quad i \in \{1,2\}$ and

$$\left[q^{j,1} \ F_x(k_{j,1}, x_{j,1}, \ell_{j,1}) - \delta\right] \ (1 - \tau^{K,1}) = \left[q^{j,2} \ F_x(k_{j,2}, x_{j,2}, \ell_{j,2}) - \delta\right] (1 - \tau^{K,2}).$$

FOC

• Static part yields $q^{j,i} F_{\ell}(k_{j,i}, x_{j,i}, \ell_{j,i}) = w^i, \quad i \in \{1,2\}$ and

$$\left[q^{j,1} \ F_{x}(k_{j,1}, x_{j,1}, \ell_{j,1}) - \delta\right] (1 - \tau^{K,1}) = \left[q^{j,2} \ F_{x}(k_{j,2}, x_{j,2}, \ell_{j,2}) - \delta\right] (1 - \tau^{K,2}).$$

• Dynamic FOCS

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + (1 - \tau_{j,t}^{A})(1 - \tau_{i,t}^{K})\frac{\partial \pi^{j,i\prime}}{\partial k'_{i}} \end{bmatrix} = R^{j},$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + (1 - \tau_{j,t}^{A})(1 - \tau_{i,t}^{K})\frac{\partial \pi^{j,i\prime}}{\partial x'} \end{bmatrix} = R^{j},$$

with $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

HOUSEHOLDS AND EQUILIBRIUM

ς

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Q}^{j}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}; \Psi) &= \max_{c, h, a'} \left\{ u(c, h) + \beta \ \Omega^{j}\left(\mathbf{s}', a'; \Psi\right) \right\} \quad \text{s.t.} \\ c &= (1 - \tau^{Lj}) w^{j} h + (d^{j} + p^{j}) a + T^{j} - p^{j} a', \\ \tau &= \Psi(\mathbf{s}), \\ \mathbf{s}' &= \Phi(\mathbf{s}; \Psi), \end{split}$$

with FOCs

$$\begin{array}{lll} -u_h(c,h) &=& w^j(1-\tau^{Lj})\,u_c(c,h)\\ u_c(c,h)p^j &=& \beta u_c(c',h')(d^{j\prime}+p^{j\prime}). \end{array}$$

- State s is capital in each country.
- Equilibrium is standard and generates $\mathbf{s}' = G(\mathbf{s}; \Psi)$, when $a^j = V^j(\mathbf{s}; \Psi)$

The determination of policies: Arbitrary taxes today

• Governments play Nash with each other. Take as given future policy rules

THE DETERMINATION OF POLICIES: ARBITRARY TAXES TODAY

- Governments play Nash with each other. Take as given future policy rules
- Need to define notion of one-period-only deviation to au

$$\begin{split} \widehat{V}_{j}(\mathbf{s}, k_{1}, k_{2}, x, \tau; \Psi) &= \max_{i_{k}, i_{x}} \left\{ d + \left(R^{j}(\mathbf{s}, \tau) \right)^{-1} V\left(\mathbf{s}', k_{1}', k_{2}', x'; \Psi \right) \right\} \quad \text{s.t.} \\ d &= \widehat{\pi}_{j}(\mathbf{s}, k_{1}, k_{2}, x, \tau) - i_{1} - i_{2} - i_{x}, \\ k_{i}' &= (1 - \delta)k_{i} + i_{i}, \\ x' &= (1 - \delta)x + i_{x}, \\ \mathbf{s}' &= \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi), \\ \tau^{L} &= \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi). \end{split}$$

THE DETERMINATION OF POLICIES: ARBITRARY TAXES TODAY

- Governments play Nash with each other. Take as given future policy rules
- Need to define notion of one-period-only deviation to au

$$\begin{split} \widehat{V}_{j}(\mathbf{s}, k_{1}, k_{2}, x, \tau; \Psi) &= \max_{i_{k}, i_{x}} \left\{ d + \left(R^{j}(\mathbf{s}, \tau) \right)^{-1} V\left(\mathbf{s}', k_{1}', k_{2}', x'; \Psi \right) \right\} \quad \text{s.t.} \\ d &= \widehat{\pi}_{j}(\mathbf{s}, k_{1}, k_{2}, x, \tau) - i_{1} - i_{2} - i_{x}, \\ k_{i}' &= (1 - \delta)k_{i} + i_{i}, \\ x' &= (1 - \delta)x + i_{x}, \\ \mathbf{s}' &= \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi), \\ \tau^{L} &= \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi). \end{split}$$

Households

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\Omega}_{j}(\mathbf{s}, a, \tau; \Psi) &= \max_{c,h,a'} \left\{ u(c, h) + \beta \Omega_{j} \left(\mathbf{s}', a'; \Psi \right) \right\} \quad \text{s.t.} \\ c &= (1 - \tau_{j}^{L}) w_{j} h + (d_{j} + p_{j}) a + T_{j} - p_{j} a', \\ \mathbf{s}' &= \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi), \\ \tau^{L} &= \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi), \end{split}$$

The determination of policies: Arbitrary taxes today

- Governments play Nash with each other. Take as given future policy rules
- Need to define notion of one-period-only deviation to au

$$\begin{split} \widehat{V}_{j}(\mathbf{s}, k_{1}, k_{2}, x, \tau; \Psi) &= \max_{i_{k}, i_{x}} \left\{ d + \left(R^{j}(\mathbf{s}, \tau) \right)^{-1} V\left(\mathbf{s}', k_{1}', k_{2}', x'; \Psi \right) \right\} \quad \text{s.t.} \\ d &= \widehat{\pi}_{j}(\mathbf{s}, k_{1}, k_{2}, x, \tau) - i_{1} - i_{2} - i_{x}, \\ k_{i}' &= (1 - \delta)k_{i} + i_{i}, \\ x' &= (1 - \delta)x + i_{x}, \\ \mathbf{s}' &= \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi), \\ \tau^{L} &= \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi). \end{split}$$

Households

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\Omega}_{j}(\mathbf{s}, a, \tau; \Psi) &= \max_{c,h,a'} \left\{ u(c, h) + \beta \Omega_{j} \left(\mathbf{s}', a'; \Psi \right) \right\} \quad \text{s.t.} \\ c &= (1 - \tau_{j}^{L}) w_{j} h + (d_{j} + p_{j}) a + T_{j} - p_{j} a', \\ \mathbf{s}' &= \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi), \\ \tau^{L} &= \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi), \end{split}$$

• Yields equilibrium $\widehat{G}(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi)$

POLICY DETERMINATION: GOVERNMENT PROBLEM & POL-EC EQUIL

$$\begin{split} & \max_{\tau^{K,j}} \ \widehat{\Omega}^{j} \Big(\mathbf{s}, 1, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}; \Psi \Big) \qquad \text{s.t.} \\ & \tau^{K,1} \big(\pi^{1,1} + \pi^{2,1} \big) + \tau^{A,1} \Big[(1 - \tau^{K,1}) \pi^{1,1} + (1 - \tau^{K,2}) \pi^{1,2} \Big] + \tau^{L,1} w^{1} \big(L_{1,1} + L_{2,1} \big) \ = \ T^{1}, \\ & \tau^{K,2} \big(\pi^{1,2} + \pi^{2,2} \big) + \tau^{A,2} \Big[(1 - \tau^{K,1}) \pi^{2,1} + (1 - \tau^{K,2}) \pi^{2,2} \Big] + \tau^{L,2} w_{2} \big(L_{1,2} + L_{2,2} \big) \ = \ T^{2}. \end{split}$$

POLICY DETERMINATION: GOVERNMENT PROBLEM & POL-EC EQUIL

$$\begin{split} \max_{\tau^{K,j}} & \widehat{\Omega}^{j} \Big(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{1}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}; \Psi \Big) \qquad \text{s.t.} \\ & \tau^{K,1} (\pi^{1,1} + \pi^{2,1}) + \tau^{A,1} \Big[(1 - \tau^{K,1}) \pi^{1,1} + (1 - \tau^{K,2}) \pi^{1,2} \Big] + \tau^{L,1} w^{1} (L_{1,1} + L_{2,1}) = T^{1}, \\ & \tau^{K,2} (\pi^{1,2} + \pi^{2,2}) + \tau^{A,2} \Big[(1 - \tau^{K,1}) \pi^{2,1} + (1 - \tau^{K,2}) \pi^{2,2} \Big] + \tau^{L,2} w_{2} (L_{1,2} + L_{2,2}) = T^{2}. \end{split}$$

• First Step is to get a Nash Equil of both Countries Policies.

POLICY DETERMINATION: GOVERNMENT PROBLEM & POL-EC EQUIL

$$\begin{split} \max_{\tau^{K,j}} & \widehat{\Omega}^{j} \Big(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{1}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}; \Psi \Big) \qquad \text{s.t.} \\ & \tau^{K,1} (\pi^{1,1} + \pi^{2,1}) + \tau^{A,1} \Big[(1 - \tau^{K,1}) \pi^{1,1} + (1 - \tau^{K,2}) \pi^{1,2} \Big] + \tau^{L,1} w^{1} (L_{1,1} + L_{2,1}) = T^{1}, \\ & \tau^{K,2} (\pi^{1,2} + \pi^{2,2}) + \tau^{A,2} \Big[(1 - \tau^{K,1}) \pi^{2,1} + (1 - \tau^{K,2}) \pi^{2,2} \Big] + \tau^{L,2} w_{2} (L_{1,2} + L_{2,2}) = T^{2}. \end{split}$$

• First Step is to get a Nash Equil of both Countries Policies.

• Let them be $\tau = \psi(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi)$

$$\begin{split} & \max_{\tau^{K,j}} \ \widehat{\Omega}^{j} \Big(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{1}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}; \Psi \Big) \qquad \text{s.t.} \\ & \tau^{K,1} (\pi^{1,1} + \pi^{2,1}) + \tau^{A,1} \Big[(1 - \tau^{K,1}) \pi^{1,1} + (1 - \tau^{K,2}) \pi^{1,2} \Big] + \tau^{L,1} w^{1} (L_{1,1} + L_{2,1}) \ = \ T^{1}, \\ & \tau^{K,2} (\pi^{1,2} + \pi^{2,2}) + \tau^{A,2} \Big[(1 - \tau^{K,1}) \pi^{2,1} + (1 - \tau^{K,2}) \pi^{2,2} \Big] + \tau^{L,2} w_{2} (L_{1,2} + L_{2,2}) \ = \ T^{2}. \end{split}$$

• First Step is to get a Nash Equil of both Countries Policies.

• Let them be $\tau = \psi(\mathbf{s}, \tau; \Psi)$

• The equilibrium time-consistent policy rule satisfies $\Psi(\mathbf{s}) = \psi(\mathbf{s}; \Psi)$.

• Asset Holdings across Countries (same R

Alternative Modelization

- Asset Holdings across Countries (same R
- Intangible Capital is not rival

Alternative Modelization

- Asset Holdings across Countries (same R
- Intangible Capital is not rival
- Sell Locally.

- Asset Holdings across Countries (same R
- Intangible Capital is not rival
- Sell Locally.
- Transfer Pricing not Perfectly Observable

- Asset Holdings across Countries (same R
- Intangible Capital is not rival
- Sell Locally.
- Transfer Pricing not Perfectly Observable
- Production of x Good has a positive Externality

- Asset Holdings across Countries (same R
- Intangible Capital is not rival
- Sell Locally.
- Transfer Pricing not Perfectly Observable
- Production of x Good has a positive Externality
- Legacy Investment makes it easier to post the factor in that country

- Asset Holdings across Countries (same R
- Intangible Capital is not rival
- Sell Locally.
- Transfer Pricing not Perfectly Observable
- Production of x Good has a positive Externality
- Legacy Investment makes it easier to post the factor in that country
- No Notion of *j*-country firms

• Country j, generic firm, note $x^{j,m}$ is non-rival. Output is

$$y^{j,i} = F^{j}(k_{j,i}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,i}) = z \left(k_{j,i}^{\alpha} x_{j,i}^{1-\alpha}\right)^{\theta_{k}} \ell_{j,i}^{1-\theta_{\ell}},$$

Model 2

• Country j, generic firm, note $x^{j,m}$ is non-rival. Output is

$$y^{j,i} = F^{j}(k_{j,i}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,i}) = z \left(k_{j,i}^{\alpha} \times_{j,i}^{1-\alpha}\right)^{\theta_{k}} \ell_{j,i}^{1-\theta_{\ell}},$$

• Intangible Capital is home produced

$$x^{j\prime} = (1-\delta)x^j + f^j\left(\ell_{j,x}\right)$$

Model 2

• Country j, generic firm, note $x^{j,m}$ is non-rival. Output is

$$y^{j,i} = F^{j}(k_{j,i}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,i}) = z \left(k_{j,i}^{\alpha} \times_{j,i}^{1-\alpha}\right)^{\theta_{k}} \ell_{j,i}^{1-\theta_{\ell}},$$

• Intangible Capital is home produced

$$x^{j\prime} = (1-\delta)x^j + f^j\left(\ell_{j,x}\right)$$

• There is an Armington (CES) aggregator for final output in

$$Q^j = \left(Y^{j,1}, Y^{j,2}\right)$$

• Country j, generic firm, note $x^{j,m}$ is non-rival. Output is

$$y^{j,i} = F^{j}(k_{j,i}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,i}) = z \left(k_{j,i}^{\alpha} x_{j,i}^{1-\alpha}\right)^{\theta_{k}} \ell_{j,i}^{1-\theta_{\ell}},$$

• Intangible Capital is home produced

$$x^{j\prime} = (1-\delta)x^j + f^j\left(\ell_{j,x}\right)$$

• There is an Armington (CES) aggregator for final output in

$$Q^j = \left(Y^{j,1}, Y^{j,2}\right)$$

 Profits in each country are allocated using transfer pricing. There are quadratic costs from deviating too much from standard accounting prices q*

$$\pi^{j,j} = p^{j} F^{j,j} \left(k_{j,j}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,j} \right) - w^{j} \ell_{j,j} - \delta k_{j,j} + q^{j} x_{j} - C \left(q^{j} - q^{*}, x_{j} \right)$$

$$\pi^{j,i} = p^{j} F^{j,i} \left(k_{j,i}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,i} \right) - w^{i} \ell_{j,i} - \delta k_{j,i} - q^{j} x_{j}$$

Model 2

• Country *j*, generic firm, note $x^{j,m}$ is non-rival. Output is

$$y^{j,i} = F^{j}(k_{j,i}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,i}) = z \left(k_{j,i}^{\alpha} \times_{j,i}^{1-\alpha}\right)^{\theta_{k}} \ell_{j,i}^{1-\theta_{\ell}},$$

• Intangible Capital is home produced

$$x^{j\prime} = (1-\delta)x^j + f^j\left(\ell_{j,x}\right)$$

• There is an Armington (CES) aggregator for final output in

$$Q^j = \left(Y^{j,1}, Y^{j,2}\right)$$

 Profits in each country are allocated using transfer pricing. There are quadratic costs from deviating too much from standard accounting prices q*

$$\pi^{j,j} = p^{j} F^{j,j} \left(k_{j,j}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,j} \right) - w^{j} \ell_{j,j} - \delta k_{j,j} + q^{j} x_{j} - C \left(q^{j} - q^{*}, x_{j} \right)$$

$$\pi^{j,i} = p^{j} F^{j,i} \left(k_{j,i}, x_{j}, \ell_{j,i} \right) - w^{i} \ell_{j,i} - \delta k_{j,i} - q^{j} x_{j}$$

• Aggregate state is s. A j firm's state is {k_{j,1}, k_{j,2}, x_j}.

• $au^{A,j}$, Taxes on Corporation's Headquarters residual income $\pi^{A,j}$,

- $au^{A,j}$, Taxes on Corporation's Headquarters residual income $\pi^{A,j}$,
- $\tau^{K,j}$, Taxes on capital income $\pi^{K,i,j}$, (intermediate output net of labor income)

- $au^{A,j}$, Taxes on Corporation's Headquarters residual income $\pi^{A,j}$,
- $\tau^{K,j}$, Taxes on capital income $\pi^{K,i,j}$, (intermediate output net of labor income)
- $\tau^{\ell,j}$, Taxes on Labor income where it is used $\pi^{j,i}$, (Capital income where produced)

- $\tau^{A,j}$, Taxes on Corporation's Headquarters residual income $\pi^{A,j}$,
- $\tau^{K,j}$, Taxes on capital income $\pi^{K,i,j}$, (intermediate output net of labor income)
- $\tau^{\ell,j}$, Taxes on Labor income where it is used $\pi^{j,i}$, (Capital income where produced)
- Added issue of how difficult is to set $q^{j,i}$. We will get to this later.

Firm's Problem: State $\{k_1, k_2, x\}$

• Static Problem involves choosing q^{j} and it is interconnected across countries. Let $\pi^{j,i}(\mathbf{s}, \ell_{i,i}, q^{j})$ yield the static profits conditional on choices

Firm's Problem: State $\{k_1, k_2, x\}$

- Static Problem involves choosing q^j and it is interconnected across countries. Let $\pi^{j,i}(\mathbf{s}, \ell_{i,i}, q^j)$ yield the static profits conditional on choices
- Dynamic Problem

$$\begin{aligned} V^{j}\left(\mathbf{s},k_{1},k_{2},x;\Psi\right) &= \max_{\substack{\ell_{1},\ell_{2},q\\i_{1},i_{2},\ell_{x}}} \left\{ d + \left(R^{j}\right)^{-1} V^{j}\left(\mathbf{s}',k_{1}',k_{2}',x';\Psi\right) \right\} \qquad \text{s.t.} \\ d &= \left(1-\tau_{j}^{A}\right) \left[\left(1-\tau_{1}^{K}\right)\pi^{j,1}\left(\mathbf{s},\ell_{1},q^{j}\right) + \left(1-\tau_{2}^{K}\right)\pi^{j,2}\left(\mathbf{s},\ell_{2},q^{j}\right) \right] - i_{1} - i_{2} - w^{j}\ell_{x}, \\ k_{i}' &= k_{i} + i_{i}, \qquad i \in \{1,2\} \\ x' &= \left(1-\delta\right)x + f(\ell_{x}), \\ \tau &= \Psi(\mathbf{s}), \\ \mathbf{s}' &= \Phi(\mathbf{s};\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

• Static

• Static

$$\begin{split} w^{i} &= (1 - \tau_{j}^{A}) (1 - \tau_{i}^{K}) p^{j} F_{\ell}^{j,i} \\ w^{j} &= (1 - \tau_{j}^{A}) (1 - \tau_{j}^{K}) p^{j} F_{\ell}^{j,j} \\ (1 - \tau_{j}^{A}) (1 - \tau_{i}^{K}) x_{j} &= (1 - \tau_{j}^{A}) (1 - \tau_{j}^{K}) [x_{j} - C_{q}] \end{split}$$

• Dynamic FOCS

$$\begin{aligned} R' &= \left[1 + (1 - \tau_j^{A'}) (1 - \tau_i^{K'}) p^{j'} F_{k'_i}^{j,i} \right], \\ R' &= \left[1 + (1 - \tau_j^{A'}) (1 - \tau_j^{K'}) p^{j'} F_{k'_j}^{j,j} \right], \\ R' &= 1 + (1 - \tau_j^{A'}) p^{j'} \left[(1 - \tau_i^{K'}) \left(F_{x'}^{j,i} - q^{j'} \right) F_{x'}^{j,i} + (1 - \tau_j^{K'}) \left(F_{x'}^{j,j} + q^{j'} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$