# Solution Methods for Optimal Time-Consistent Macroprudential Policy

Javier Bianchi Enrique G. Mendoza

University of Wisconsin & NBER University of Pennsylvania, NBER & PIER

$$C(b,s) + \frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} = zF(1,\mathcal{H}(b,s),\nu(b,s)) + b - p_v\nu(b,s)$$
(1)

$$C(b,s) + \frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} = zF(1,\mathcal{H}(b,s),\nu(b,s)) + b - p_v\nu(b,s)$$
(1)

$$-\frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} + \theta p_{\nu} \nu(b,s) \le \kappa \mathcal{Q}(b,s) \tag{2}$$

$$C(b,s) + \frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} = zF(1,\mathcal{H}(b,s),\nu(b,s)) + b - p_v\nu(b,s)$$
(1)

$$-\frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} + \theta p_{\nu} \nu(b,s) \le \kappa \mathcal{Q}(b,s) \tag{2}$$

$$u'(\mathcal{C}(b,s) - G'(\mathcal{H}(b,s))) = \beta R \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left[ u'(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}(b,s),s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}(b,s),s))) \right] + \mu(b,s)$$
 (3)

$$C(b,s) + \frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} = zF(1,\mathcal{H}(b,s),\nu(b,s)) + b - p_v\nu(b,s)$$

$$\tag{1}$$

$$-\frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} + \theta p_{\nu} \nu(b,s) \le \kappa \mathcal{Q}(b,s) \tag{2}$$

$$u'(\mathcal{C}(b,s) - G'(\mathcal{H}(b,s))) = \beta R \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left[ u'(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}(b,s),s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}(b,s),s))) \right] + \mu(b,s)$$
 (3)

$$zF_n(1, \mathcal{H}(b, s), \nu(b, s)) = G'(\mathcal{H}(b, s))$$
(4)

$$zF_{\nu}(1, \mathcal{H}(b, s), \nu(b, s)) = p_{\nu}(1 + \theta\mu(b, s)/u'(\mathcal{C}(b, s)))$$
 (5)

$$C(b,s) + \frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} = zF(1,\mathcal{H}(b,s),\nu(b,s)) + b - p_v\nu(b,s)$$
(1)

$$-\frac{\mathcal{B}(b,s)}{R} + \theta p_{\nu} \nu(b,s) \le \kappa \mathcal{Q}(b,s) \tag{2}$$

$$u'(\mathcal{C}(b,s) - G'(\mathcal{H}(b,s))) = \beta R \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left[ u'(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}(b,s),s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}(b,s),s))) \right] + \mu(b,s)$$
(3)

$$zF_n(1, \mathcal{H}(b, s), \nu(b, s)) = G'(\mathcal{H}(b, s))$$
(4)

$$zF_{\nu}(1, \mathcal{H}(b, s), \nu(b, s)) = p_{\nu}(1 + \theta \mu(b, s) / u'(\mathcal{C}(b, s)))$$
 (5)

$$Q(b,s)u'(c-G(h)) = \beta \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left\{ u'(\mathcal{C}(b',s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(b,s)))(\mathcal{Q}(b',s') + z' F_k(1,\mathcal{H}(b',s'),\nu(b',s'))) + \kappa' \mu(b',s') \mathcal{Q}(b',s') \right\}$$
(6)

• Set grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate the functions using piecewise linear interpolation.

• Set grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate the functions using piecewise linear interpolation.

- Set grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate the functions using piecewise linear interpolation.
- ② Conjecture  $\mathcal{B}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\nu_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mu_k(b,s)$  at time  $K, \forall b \in G_b$  and  $\forall s \in G_s$  and set j = 1

- ① Set grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate the functions using piecewise linear interpolation.
- ② Conjecture  $\mathcal{B}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\nu_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mu_k(b,s)$  at time  $K, \forall b \in G_b$  and  $\forall s \in G_s$  and set j = 1
- **3** Solve for  $\mathcal{B}_{k-j}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{k-j}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{k-j}(b,s)$ , (b,s),  $\mu_{k-j}(b,s)$  at time k-j using (1)-(6) and  $\mathcal{B}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{k-j+1}(b,s)\mathcal{H}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mu_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ :

- Set grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate the functions using piecewise linear interpolation.
- ② Conjecture  $\mathcal{B}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\nu_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mu_k(b,s)$  at time  $K, \forall b \in G_b$  and  $\forall s \in G_s$  and set j = 1
- **3** Solve for  $\mathcal{B}_{k-j}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{k-j}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{k-j}(b,s)$ , (b,s),  $\mu_{k-j}(b,s)$  at time k-j using (1)-(6) and  $\mathcal{B}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mu_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ :
  - Assume (2) is not binding. Set  $\mu_{k-j}(b,s) = 0$  and solve for  $\mathcal{H}_{k-j}(b,s)$  using (4). Solve for  $\mathcal{B}_{k-j}(b,s)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{k-j}(b,s)$  using (1) and (3) and a root finding algorithm.
  - ② Check whether  $-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{k-j}(b,s)}{R} + \theta p_{\nu} \nu_{k-j}(b,s) \le \kappa \mathcal{Q}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$  holds.
  - § If constraint is satisfied, move to next grid point otherwise, solve for  $\mu(b,s), \nu_{k-j}(b,s), \mathcal{H}_{k-j}(b,s), \mathcal{B}_{k-j}(b,s)$  using (2, (3) and(4) with equality.
  - **1** Solve for  $Q_{k-j}(b,s)$  using (6)

- ① Set grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate the functions using piecewise linear interpolation.
- ② Conjecture  $\mathcal{B}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_k(b,s)$ ,  $\nu_k(b,s)$ ,  $\mu_k(b,s)$  at time  $K, \forall b \in G_b$  and  $\forall s \in G_s$  and set j = 1
- **③** Solve for  $\mathcal{B}_{k-j}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{k-j}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{k-j}(b,s)$ , (b,s), (b,s), (b,s), at time k-j using (1)-(6) and  $\mathcal{B}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ ,  $\mu_{k-j+1}(b,s)$ :
  - Assume (2) is not binding. Set  $\mu_{k-j}(b,s) = 0$  and solve for  $\mathcal{H}_{k-j}(b,s)$  using (4). Solve for  $\mathcal{B}_{k-j}(b,s)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{k-j}(b,s)$  using (1) and (3) and a root finding algorithm.
  - Check whether B<sub>k-j</sub>(b,s)/R + θ p<sub>ν</sub>ν<sub>k-j</sub>(b,s) ≤ κQ<sub>k-j+1</sub>(b,s) holds.
     If constraint is satisfied, move to next grid point otherwise, solve for
  - If constraint is satisfied, move to next grid point otherwise, solve for  $\mu(b,s), \nu_{k-j}(b,s), \mathcal{H}_{k-j}(b,s), \mathcal{B}_{k-j}(b,s)$  using (2, (3) and (4) with equality.
    - **1** Solve for  $Q_{k-j}(b,s)$  using (6)
- If  $\sup_{B,s} ||x_{k-j}(b,s) x_{k-j+1}(b,s)|| < \epsilon$  for  $x = \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}, \mu, \mathcal{H}$  we have solved the equilibrium. Otherwise, set  $x_{k-j}(b,s) = x_{k-j+1}(b,s)$  and  $j \rightsquigarrow j+1$  and go to 3.

Nested fixed point algorithm: Take future planner's decision rules as given, solve Bellman eq. for current planner using VFI, then check if decision rules satisfy Markov Stationarity

Nested fixed point algorithm: Take future planner's decision rules as given, solve Bellman eq. for current planner using VFI, then check if decision rules satisfy Markov Stationarity

① Creat discrete grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate functions using a piecewise linear approximation.

Nested fixed point algorithm: Take future planner's decision rules as given, solve Bellman eq. for current planner using VFI, then check if decision rules satisfy Markov Stationarity

- ① Creat discrete grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate functions using a piecewise linear approximation.
- ② Guess future planner's policy rules:  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \nu, \mu \, \forall \, b \in G_b$  and  $\forall \, s \in G_s$ . The initial guesses are the DE decision rules (same equilibrium is attained starting from alternative policies).

Nested fixed point algorithm: Take future planner's decision rules as given, solve Bellman eq. for current planner using VFI, then check if decision rules satisfy Markov Stationarity

- ① Creat discrete grids for bonds  $G_b = \{b_1, b_2, ... b_M\}$  and shocks  $G_s = \{s_1, s_2, ... s_N\}$ . We set M=300 and interpolate functions using a piecewise linear approximation.
- ② Guess future planner's policy rules:  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \nu, \mu \, \forall \, b \in G_b$  and  $\forall \, s \in G_s$ . The initial guesses are the DE decision rules (same equilibrium is attained starting from alternative policies).
- Set the Bellman equation that characterizes the current planner's problem:

$$V(b', s') = \max_{c, b', \mu, h, \nu} u(c - G(h)) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} V(b', s')$$
 (8)

$$c + \frac{b'}{R} = b + zF(k, h, \nu) - p_{\nu}\nu \tag{9}$$

$$zF_h(k,h,\nu) = G'(h) \tag{10}$$

$$zF_{\nu}(k,h,\nu) = p_{\nu} \left( 1 + \frac{\theta\mu}{u'(c-G(h))} \right)$$

$$\tag{11}$$

$$\mu\left(\frac{b'}{R} - \theta p_{\nu}\nu + \kappa q\right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{b'}{R} - \theta p_{\nu}\nu \ge -\kappa q \tag{14}$$

$$qu'(c - G(h)) = \beta \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left\{ u'(\mathcal{C}(b', s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(b, s)))(\mathcal{Q}(b', s') \right\}$$

$$qu'(c - G(h)) = \beta \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left\{ u'(\mathcal{C}(b', s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(b, s)))(\mathcal{Q}(b', s') + c' \mathcal{F}(a', s')) + c' \mathcal{F}(a', s') +$$

$$qu'(c - G(h)) = \beta \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left\{ u'(\mathcal{C}(b', s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(b, s)))(\mathcal{Q}(b', s') + z' F_k(1, \mathcal{H}(b', s'), \nu(b', s')) + \kappa' \mu(b', s') \mathcal{Q}(b', s') \right\}$$
(15)

$$\mu\left(\frac{b'}{D} - \theta p_{\nu} \nu + \kappa q\right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

(13)

$$\frac{b'}{R} - \theta p_{\nu} \nu \ge -\kappa q \tag{14}$$

$$qu'(c - G(h)) = \beta \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left\{ u'(\mathcal{C}(b', s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(b, s)))(\mathcal{Q}(b', s') + z' F_k(1, \mathcal{H}(b', s'), \nu(b', s')) + \kappa' \mu(b', s') \mathcal{Q}(b', s') \right\}$$
(15)

Solve Bellman eq. using VFI iteration. Assume first that collateral constraint is not binding. For each initial pair (b, s) search over grid of bonds for b' that yields highest value. If constraint does not bind, retain that choice. If it binds, solve for every b', the values of  $c, h, \nu, q, \mu$  that satisfy (9)-(15), with (14) holding with equality.

(12)

$$\mu\left(\frac{b'}{R} - \theta p_{\nu}\nu + \kappa q\right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{b'}{R} - \theta p_{\nu} \nu \quad \ge \quad -\kappa q \tag{14}$$

$$qu'(c - G(h)) = \beta \mathbb{E}_{s'|s} \left\{ u'(\mathcal{C}(b', s') - G'(\mathcal{H}(b, s)))(\mathcal{Q}(b', s') + z' F_k(1, \mathcal{H}(b', s'), \nu(b', s')) + \kappa' \mu(b', s') \mathcal{Q}(b', s') \right\}$$
(15)

- Solve Bellman eq. using VFI iteration. Assume first that collateral constraint is not binding. For each initial pair (b, s) search over grid of bonds for b' that yields highest value. If constraint does not bind, retain that choice. If it binds, solve for every b', the values of  $c, h, \nu, q, \mu$  that satisfy (9)-(15), with (14) holding with equality.
- **1** Denote by  $\sigma^i$ ,  $i=c,q,h,\nu,\mu$  the policy functions that solve Bellman eq. Compute the sup distance between  $\mathcal{B},\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{H},\nu,\mu$  and  $\sigma^i$ ,  $i=c,q,h,\nu,\mu$ . If this distance exceeds 1.0e-6, update  $\mathcal{B},\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{C},\nu,\mu$  and return to Step 3.