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Introdufion. with which labour is generally applied in it; and, fecondly, by the proportion between the number of thofe who are employed in ufful labour, and that of thofe who are not fo employed. Whatever be the foil, climate, or extent of territory of any particular nation, the abundance or fcantinefs of its annual fupply muft, in that particular fituation, depend upon thofe two circumftances.

The abundance or fcantinefs of this fupply too feems to depend more upon the former of thofe two circumftances than upon the latter. Among the favage nations of hunters and fifhers, every individual who is able to work, is more or lefs employed in ufeful labour, and endeavours to provide, as well as he can, the neceffaries and conveniencies of life, for himfelf, and fuch of his family or tribe as are either too old, or too young, or too infirm to go a hunting and fifhing. Such nations, however, are fo miferably poor, that, from mere want, they are frequently reduced, or, at leaft, think themfelves reduced, to the neceffity fometimes of directly deftroying, and fometimes of abandoning their infants, their old people, and thofe afflicted with lingering difeafes, to perifh with hunger, or to be devoured by wild beafts. Among civilized and thriving nations, on the contrary, though a great number of people do not labour at all, many of whom confume the produce of ten times, frequently of a hundred times more labour than the greater part of thofe who work ; yet the produce of the whole labour of the fociety is fo great, that all are often abundantly fupplied, and a workman, even of the loweft and pooreft order, if he is frugal and induftrious, may enjoy a greater fhare of the neceffaries and conveniencies of life than it is poffible for any favage to acquire.

The caufes of this improvement, in the productive powers of labour, and the order, according to which its produce is naturally diftributed
diftributed among the different ranks and conditions of men in the. Introduation. fociety, make the fubject of the Firf Book of this Inquiry.

Whatever be the actual fate of the fkill, dexterity, and judgment with which labour is applied in any nation, the abundance or fcantinefs of its annual fupply, muft depend, during the continuance of that fate, upon the proportion between the number of thofe who are annually employed in ufeful labour, and that of thofe who are not fo employed. The number of ufeful and productive labourers, it will hereafter appear, is every where in proportion to the quantity of capital fock which is employed in fetting them to work, and to the particular way in which it is fo employed. The Second Book, therefore, treats of the nature of capital ftock, of the manner in which it is gradually accumulated, and of the different quantities of labour which it puts into motion, according to the different ways in which it is employed.

Nations tolerably well advanced as to fkill, dexterity, and judgment, in the application of labour, have followed very different plans in the general conduct or direction of it; and thofe plans have not all been equally favourable to the greatnefs of its produce. The policy of fome nations has given extraordinary encouragement to the induftry of the country; that of others to the induftry of towns. Scarce any nation has dealt equally and impartially with every fort of induftry. Since the downfal of the Roman empire, the policy of Europe has been more favourable to arts, manufactures, and commerce, the induftry of towns; than to agriculture, the induftry of the country. The circumftances which feem to have introduced and eftablifhed this policy are explained in the Third Book.

Though thofe different plans were, perhaps, firft introduced by the private interefts and prejudices of particular orders of men, withB 2
out
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out any regard to, or forefight of, their confequences upon the general welfare of the fociety; yet they have given occafion to very different theories of political œconomy ; of which fome magnify the importance of that induftry which is carried on in towns, others of that which is carried on in the country. Thofe theories have had a confiderable influence, not only upon the opinions of men of learning, but upon the public conduct of princes and fovereign fates. I have endeavoured, in the Fourth Book, to explain, as fully and diftinctly as I can, thofe different theories, and the principal effects which they have produced in different ages and nations.

In what has confifted the revenue of the great body of the people, or what is the nature of thofe funds which, in different ages and nations, have fupplied their annual confumption, is treated of in thefe four firf Books. The Fifth and laft Book treats of the revenue of the fovereign, or commonwealth. In this Book I have endeavoured to fhow ; firf, what are the neceffary expences of the fovereign, or commonwealth; which of thofe expences ought to be defrayed by the general contribution of the whole fociety; and which of them, by that of fome particular part only, or of fome particular members of the fociety : fecondly, what are the different methods in which the whole fociety may be made to contribute towards defraying the expences incumbent on the whole fociety, and what are the principal advantages and inconveniencies of each of thofe methods : and, thirdly and laftly, what are the reafons and caufes which have induced almof all modern governments to mortgage fome part of this revenue, or to contract debts, and what have been the effects of thofe debts upon the reai wealth, the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety.

в O O K
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Of the Caufes of Improvement in the productive Powers of . Labour, and of the Order according to which its Produce is naturally diftributed among the different Ranks of the People.

CHAP. I. Of the Divifion of Labour.

THE greateft improvements in the productive powers of Labour, and the greater part of the fkill, dexterity, and judgment with which it is any where directed, or applied, feem to have been the effects of the divifion of labour.

The effects of the divifion of labour, in the general bufinefs of fociety, will be more eafily underfood, by confidering in what manner it operates in fome particular manufactures. It is commonly fuppofed to be carried furtheft in fome very trifling ones; not perhaps that it really is carried further in them than in others of more importance: but in thofe trifling manufactures which are deftined to fupply the fmall wants of but a fmall number of people, the whole number of workmen muft neceffarily be fmall; and thofe employed in every different branch of the work can often be collected into the fame workhoufe, and placed at once under the view of the fpectator. In thofe great manufactures, on the contrary, which are deftined to fupply the great wants of the great body of the people, every different branch of the work employs fo great a number of workmen
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workmen, that it is impoffible to collect them all into the fame workhouie. We can feldom fee more, at one time, than thofe employed in one fingle branch. Though in them, therefore, the work may really be divided into a much greater number of parts, than in thofe of a more trifling nature, the divifion is not near fo obvious, and has accordingly been much lefs obferved.

To take an example, therefore, from a very trifling manufacture; but one in which the divifion of labour has been very often taken notice of, the trade of the pin-maker ; a workman not educated to this bufinefs (which the divifion of labour has rendered a diftinct trade), nor acquainted with the ufe of the machinery employed in it (to the invention of which the fame divifion of labour has probably given occafion), could fcarce, perhaps, with his utmoft induftry, make one pin in a day, and certainly could not make twenty. But in the way in which this bufinefs is now carried on, not only the whole work is a peculiar trade, but it is divided into a number of branches, of which the greater part are likewife peculiar trades. One man draws out the wire, another ftraights it, a third cuts it, a fourth points it, a fifth grinds it at the top for receiving the head; to make the head requires two or three diftinct operations ; to put it on, is a peculiar bufinefs, to whiten the pins is another; it is even a trade by itfelf to put them into the paper ; and the important bufinefs of making a pin is, in this manner, divided into about eighteen diftinct operations, which in fome manufactories are all performed by diftinct hands, though in others the fame man will fometimes perform two or three of them. I have feen a fmall manufactory of this kind where ten men only were employed, and where fome of them confequently performed two or three diftinct operations. But though they were very poor, and therefore but indifferently accommodated with the neceffary machinery, they could, when they exerted themfelves, make among them about
rorkoyed may thofe and
ufac-
often edu-
lered nery n of h his I not rried vided ewife ts it, retinct ns is per ; , di-anufame :en a ryed, three aereaery, ,bout velve
twelve pounds of pins in a day. There are in a pound upwards of four thoufand pins of a middling fize. Thofe ten perfons, therefore, could make among them upwards of forty-eight thoufand pins in a day. Each perfon, therefore, making a tenth part of fortyeight thoufand pins, might be confidered as making four thoufand eight hundred pins in a day. But if they had all wrought feparately and independently, and without any of them having been educated to this peculiar bufinefs, they certainly could not each of them have made twenty, perhaps not one pin in a day; that is, certainly, not the two hundred and fortieth, perhaps not the four thoufand eight hundredth part of what they are at prefent capable of performing, in confequence of a proper divifion and combination of their different operations.

In every other art and manufacture, the effects of the divifion of labour are fimilar to what they are in this very trifling one; though, in many of them, the labour can neither be fo much fubeivided, nor reduced to fo great a fimplicity of operation. The divifion of labour, however, fo far as it can be introduced, occafions, in every art, a proportionable increafe of the productive powers of labour. The feparation of different trades and employments from one another, feems to have taken place, in confequence of this advantage. This feparation too is generally carried furtheft in thofe countries which enjoy the higheft degree of induftry and improvement; what is the work of one man, in a rude fate of fociety, being generally that of feveral, in an improved one. In every improved fociety, the farmer is generally nothing but a farmer; the manufacturer nothing but a manufacturer. The labour too which is neceffary to produce any one complete manufacture, is almoft always divided among a great number of hands. How many different trades are employed in each branch of the linen and woollen manufactures, from the growers of the flax and the wool, to the
bleachers

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

$\mathrm{BO}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{K}$ bleachers and fmoothers of the linen, or to the dyers and dreffers of the cloth! The nature of agriculture, indeed, does not admit of fo many fubdivifions of labour, nor of fo complete a feparation of one bufinefs from another, as manufactures. It is impoffible to feparate fo entirely, the bufinefs of the grazier from that of the corn-farmer, as the trade of the carpenter is commonly feparated from that of the finith. The fpinner is almoft always a diftinct perfon from the weaver; but the ploughman, the harrower, the fower of the feed, and the reaper of the corn, are often the fame. The occafions for thofe different forts of labour returning with the different feafons of the year, it is impoffible that one man fhould be conftantly employed in any one of them. This impoffibility of making fo complete and entire a feparation of all the different branches of labour employed in agriculture, is perhaps the reafon why the improvement of the productive powers of labour in this art, does not always keep pace with their improvement in manufactures. The moft opulent nations, indeed, generally excel all their neighbours in agriculture as well as in manufactures; but they are commonly more diftinguifhed by their fuperiority in the latter than in the former: Their lands are in general better cultivated, and having more labour and expence beftowed upon them, produce more, in proportion to the extent and natural fertility of the ground. But the fuperiority of produce is feldom much more than in proportion to the fuperiority of labour and expence. In agriculture, the labour of the rich country is not always much more productive than that of the poor ; or, at leaft, it is never fo much more productive, as it commonly is in manufactures. The corn of the rich country, therefore, will not always, in the fame degree of goodnefs, come cheaper to market than that of the poor. The corn of Poland, in the fame degree of goodnefs, is as cheap as that of France, notwithftanding the fuperior opulence and improvement of the latter country. The corn of France is, in the corn provinces, fully as good, and in moft
years
:rs of of fo fone larate rmer, of the 1 the feed, ${ }^{28}$ for ins of ployiplete - em-rove$s$ not The urs in more rmer. re la-oporit the ion to abour 1 that as it thereeaper fame nding The moft years
years nearly about the fame price with the corn of England, though, in opulence and improvement, France is perhaps inferior to England. The lands of England, however, are better cultivated than thofe of France, and the lands of France are faid to be much better cultivated than thofe of Poland. But though the poor country, notwithftanding the inferiority of its cultivation, can, in fome meafure, rival the rich in the cheapnefs and goodnefs of its corn, it can pretend to no fuch competition in its manufactures; at leaft if thofe manufactures fuit the foil, climate, and fituation of the rich country. The filks of France are better and cheaper than thofe of England, becaufe the filk manufacture does not fuit the climate of England. But the hardware and the coarfe woollens of England are beyond all comparifon fuperior to thofe of France, and much cheaper too in the fame degree of goodnefs. In Poland there are faid to be fcarce any manufactures of any kind, a few of thofe coarfer houfehold manufactures excepted, without which no country can well fübfift.

This great increafe of the quantity of work, which the fame number of people are capable of performing, in confequence of the divifion of labour, is owing to three different circumftances; firt, to the increafe of dexterity in every particular workman; fecondly, to the faving of the time which is commonly loft in paffing from one fpecies of work to another; and laftly, to the invention of a great number of machines which facilitate and abridge labour, and enable one man to do the work of many.

FIRS, the improvement of the dexterity of the workman neceflarily increafes the quantity of the work he can perform, and the divifion of tilabour, by reducing every man's bufinefs to fome one fimple operation, and by making this operation the fole em ployment of his life, neceffarily increafes very much the dexterity Vol. I.

C
of

BOOX of the workman. A common finith, who, though accuftomed to handle the hamraer, has never been ufed to make mails, if upon rome particular occafion he is obliged to attempt it, will fcarce, I am affared, be able to make above two or thuse hundred nails in a day, and thofe too very bad ones. A fmith who has boen accurtomed to make nails, but whofe fole or prineipal bufinefs has not been that of a nailer, can feldom with his utmoft diligence make more than eight hundred or a choufand mails in a day. I have feen feveral boys under twenty years of age who had never ex:icifed any other trade but that of making nails, and whe, when they exerted themfdes, could make, each of them, upwardi of two thoufand three hundred nalls in a day. The making of a nail, however, is by no means one of the fimpleft operations. The fame perfon blows the bellows, ftirs or mends the fire as there is occafion, heats the iron, and forges every part of the nail: In forging the head too be is obliged to change his tools. The different operations into which the making of a pin, or of a metal button, is fubdivided, are all of them much more fimple, and the dexterity of the perfon, of whofe life it has been the fole bufinefs to perform thern, is ufually much greater. The rapidity with which fome of the operations of thofe manufaetutes are performed, exceeds what the human hand conild, by thofe who had never foen them, be fuppofed capabte of acquiring.

Secondly, the advantage which is gained by faving the time commonly loft in paffing from one fort of work to another, is much greater than we fhould at firt view be apt to imagine it. It is impoffible to pafs very quickly from one kind of work to another, that is carried on in a diffrent p.ace, and with quite different tools. A country weaver, who cuttivates a ifmall farm, muft lofe a good deal of time in paffing from his doom to the fifeld, anud from the field to his loom. When the two trades oan be car-
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ried on in the fame workhoufe, the lofs of time is no doubt much lefs. It is evea ie this cafe, however, very confalemable. A man commonly faunters a little in turning his hand from one fort of employment to another. When he firft begims the new work he is feldom very keen and heasty; his mins, as they fay, does not go to it, and for fome time he rather triftes than applies to good purpofe. The habit of fauntering and of indolent causlefs application, which is naturally, or rather neceffarily acquired by every country workman who is obliged to change kis work and his tools every half hour, and to apply his hand in twenty difficent ways almoft every day of his life; renders him almoft alw ys flothful and lazy, and incapable of any vigorous application even on the moft prefling occafions. Independent, therefore, of his de ficiency in point of dexterity, this caufe alone muft always neluge confiderably the quantity of work which he is capable of pe iliorming.

Thirdiy, and laftly, every body muft be fenfible how mach labour is facilitated and abridged by the application of proper neschinery. It is unneceflary to give any example. I fhall, therefurs, only obferve that the invention of all thofe machines by which labour is fo much facilitated and abridged, feems to have been originally owing to the divifion of labour. Men are much more hikely to uffeover eafier and readier methods of attaining any object when the whole attention of their minds is directed towards that fingle object, than when it is diffipated among a great variety of things. But in confequence of the divifion of labour, the whole of every man's attention comes naturally to be directed towards fome one very fimple object. It is naturally to be expected, therefore, that fome one or other of thofe who are empiyyed in each particular branclf of labour thould foon find out catier and readier methods of performing their own particular work wherever the C 2
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nature of it admits of fuch improvement. A great part of the machines employed in thofe manufactures in which labour is moft fubdivided, were originally the inventions of common workmen, who, being each of them employed in fome very fimple operation, naturally turned their thoughts towards finding out eafier and readier methods of performing it. Whoever has been much accuftomed to vifit fuch manufactures, muft frequently have been fhown very pretty machines, which were the inventions of common workmen in order to facilitate and quicken their own particular part of the work. In the firt fire-engines, a boy was conftantly employed to open and fhut alternately the communication between the boiler and the cylinder, according as the pifton either afcended or defcended. One of thofe boys, who loved to play with his companions, obferved that, by tying a ftring from the handle of the valve, which opened this communication, to another part of the machine, the valve would open and fhut without his affiftance, and leave him at liberty to divert himfelf with his play-fellows. One of the greatef improvements that has been made upon this machine, fince it was firft invented; was in this manner the difcovery of a boy who wanted to fave his own labour.

All the improvements in machinery, however, have by no means been the inventions of thofe who had occafion to ufe the machines. Many improvements have been made by the ingenuity of the makers of the machines, when to make them became the bufinefs of a peculiar trade; and fome by that of thofe who are called philofophers or men of fpeculation, whofe trade it is, not to do any thing, but to obferve every thing; and who, upon that account, are often capable of combining together the powers of the moft diftant and diffimilar objects. In the progrefs of fociety, philofophy or fpeculation becomes, like every other em.
ployment,
' the moft men, tion, and nuch have as of own y was nunipifton 'ed to from m , to 1 fhut imfelf $s$ that rented; :o fave
by no ure the renuity became re who le it is, 1. upon powers grefs of her em. oyment,
ployment, the principal or fole trade and occupation of a particular clafs of citizens. Like every other employment too, it is fub-
 divided into a great number of different branches, each of which affords occupation to a peculiar tribe or clafs of philofophers; and this fubdivifion of employment in philofophy, as well as in every other bufinefs, improves dexterity and faves time. Each individual becomes more expert in his own peculiar branch, more work is done upon the whole, and the quantity of fcience is confiderably increafed by it.

IT is the great multiplication of the productions of all the different arts, in confequence of the divifion of labaur, which occafions in a well governed fociety that univerfal opulence which extends itfelf to the loweft ranks of the people. Every workman. has a great quantity of his own work to difpofe of beyond what he himfelf has occafion for; and every other workman being exactly in the fame fituation, he is enabled to exchange a great quantity of his own.goods for a great quantity, or, what comes to the fame thing, for the price of a great quantity of theirs. He fupplies them abundantly with what they have occation for, and they accommodate him as amply with what he has occafion for, and a gencral plenty diffufes itfelf through all the different ranks of the fociety.

Observe the accommodation of the moft common artificer of day-labourer in a civilized and thriving country, and you will perceive that the number of people of whofe induftry a part; though but a fmall part, has been employed in procuring him this accommodation exceeds all computation. The woollen coat, for example, which covers the day-labourer, as coarfe and rough as it may appear, is the produce of the joint labour of a great multitude of workmen. The fhepherd, the forter of the wool, the

BOOK the wool-comber or carder, the dyer, the feribbler, the fpinner, the weaver, the fuller, the dreffer, with many others, muft all join their different arts in order to complete even this homely production. How many merchants and carriers, befides, muft have been employed in tranfporting the materials from fome of thofe workmen to others who often live in a very diftant part of the country! how much commerce and navigation in particular, how many thip-builders, faifors, fail-makers, rope-makers, muft have been employed in order to bring together the different drugs made ufe of by the dyer, which often come from the remoteft corners of the world 1 What a variety of labour too is neceffary in order to produce the tools of the meaneft of thofe workmen! To fay nothing of fach complicated machines as the fhip of the failor, the mill of the fuller, or even the loom of the weaver, let us confider only what a variety of labour is requifite in order to form that very fimple machine, the fhears with which the fhepherd clips the wool. The miner, the builder of the furnace for fmelting the ore, the feller of the timber, the burner of the charcoal to be made ufe of in the fmelting houfe, the brick-maker, the Brick-layer, the workmen who attend the furnace, the millwright, the forger, the fmith, muft all of them join their different arts in order to produce them. Were we to examine, in the fame manner, all the different parts of his drefs and houfehold furniture, the coarfe linen fhirt which he wears next his Ikin, the fhoes whith cover his feet, the bed which he lies on, and all the different parts which compofe it, the kitchen grate at which he prepares his victuals, the coals which he makes ufe of for that purpofe, dug from the bowels of the earth, and brought to him perhaps by a long fea and a long land carriage, atl the other utenfils of his kitchen, all the fumiture of his table, the knives and forks, the earthen or pewter plates upon which he ferves up and divides his victuals, the different hands employed in preparing his bread and
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iner, z all mely muft ne of part ticutkers, erent noteft effary men! of the $r$, let der to pherd fmeltarcoal i, the mill-differin the fehold fkin, nd all which or that o him itenfils forks, divides bread and
and his beer, the glafs window which lets in the heat and the light, and keeps out the wind and the rain, with all the knowledge and art requifite for preparing that beautiful and happy invention, without which thefe northern parts of the world could fcarce have afforded a very comfortable habitation, together with the tools of all the different workmen employed in producing thofe different conveniencies; if we examine, I fay, al thefe things, and confider what a variety of labour is employed about each of them, we fhall be fenfible that without the affiftance and co-operation of many thoufands, the very meaneft perfon in a civilized country could not be provided, even according to what we very falfely imagine the eafy and fimple manner in which he is commonly accommodated. Compared, indeed, with the more extravagant luxury of the great, his accommodation muft no doubt appear extremely fimple and eafy; and yet it may be true perhaps that the accommodation of an European prince does not always fo much exceed that of an inđuftrious and frugal peafant, as the accommodation of the latter exceeds that of many an African king, the abfolute mafter of the lives and liberties of ten thoufand naked favages.

## C H A P. II.

Of the Principle which gives Occafion to the Divifion of Labour.
BOOK OHIS divifion of labour, from which fo many advantages are derived, is not originally the effect of any human wifdom, which forefees and intends that general opulence to which it gives occafion. It is the neceffary, though very flow and gradual confequence of a certain propenfity in human nature which has in view no fuch extenfive utility; the propenfity to truck, barter, and exchange one thing for another.

Whether this propenfity be one of thofe original principles in human nature, of which no further account can be given; or whether, as feems more probable, it be the neceffary confequence of the faculties of reafon and fpeech, it belongs not to our prefent fubject to enquire. It is common to all men, and to be found in no other race of animals, which feem to know neither this nor any other fpecies of contracts. Two greyhounds in running down the fame hare, have fometimes the appearance of acting in fome fort of concert. Each turns her towards his companion, or endeavours to intercept her when his companion turns her towards himfelf. This, however, is not the effect of any contract, but of the accidental concurrence of their paffions in the fame object at that particular time. Nobody ever faw a dog make a fair and deliberate exchange of one bone for another with another dog. Nobody ever faw one animal by its geitures and natural cries fignify to another, this is mine, that yours; I am willing to give this for that. When an animal wants to obtain fomething either of a man or of another animal, it has no other means of perfuafion but to gain the favour of thofe whofe fervice it requires. A puppy fawns upon its dam, and a fpaniel endeavours by a thoufand
attractions
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attractions to engage the attention of its malter who is at dinner, when it wants to be fed by him. Man fometimes ufes the famet arts with his brethren, and when he has no other means of engaging them to act according to his inclinations, endeavours by every fervile and fawning attention to obtain their good will. He has not time, however, to do this upon every occafion, In civilized fociety he ftands at all times in need of the co-operation and affiftance of great multitudes, while his whole life is fcarce fufficient to gain the friendfhip of a few perfons. In almoft every other race of animals each individual, when it is grown up to maturity, is intirely independant, and in its natural ftate has occafion for the affiftance of no other living creature. But man has almoft conftant occafion for the help of his brethren, and it is in vain for him to expect it from their benevolence only. He will be more likely to prevail, if he can intereft their felf-love in his favour, and fhew them that it is for their own advantage to do for him what he requires of them. Whoever offers to another a bargain of any kind, propofes to do this. Give me that which I want, and you fhall have this which you want, is the meaning of every fuch offer; and it is in this manner that we obtain from one an'other the far greater part of thofe good offices which we ftand in need of. It is not from the benevolence of the butcher, the brewer, or the baker, that we expect our dinner, but from their regard to their own intereft. We addrefs ourfelves not to their humanity but to their felf-love, and never talk to them of our own neceffities but of their advantages. Nobody but a beggar chufes to depend chiefly upon the benevolence of his fellow citizens. Even a beggar does not depend upon it entirely. The charity of well difpofed people, indeed, fupplies him with the whole fund of his fubfiftence. But though this principle ultimately provides him with all the neceffaries of life which he has occafion for, it neither does nor can provide him with them as he has Vol. I.
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BOOK occafion for them. The greater part of his occafional wants are fupplied in the fame manner as thofe of other people, by treaty, by barter, and by purchafe. With the: money which one man gives him he purchafes food. The old cloaths which another beftows upon him he exchanges for other old cloaths which fuit him better, or for lodging, or for food, or for money, with which he can buy either food, cloaths, or lodging, as he has occafion.

As it is by treaty, by barter, and By purchafe, that we obtain from one another the greater part of thofe mutual good offices which we ftand in need of, fo it is this fatre trutcking difpofition which originally gives occafion to the divifion of labour. In a tribe of hunters or fhepherds a particular perfon makes bows and arrows, for example, with more readinefs and dexterity than any other. He frequently exchangts them for cattle or for venifon with his companions; and he finds at laft that he can in this mane ner get more cattle and venifon, than if he himiflf went to the field to catch them. From a regard to his own inteitert, therefore, the making of bows and arrows grows to be his chief bufinefs, and he becomes a fort of armourer. Another excels in making the frames and covers of their little huts or moveable houfes. He is accuftomed to be of tufe in this way to his neighbours, who reward him in the fame manner with cattle and with venifon, till at laft he finds it his intereft to dedicate himelf intirely to this employment, and to become a fort of houfe-carpenter. In the fame manner a third becomes a fmith or a brazier, a fourth a tanner or dreffer of hides or fkins, the principal part of the cloathing of favages. And thus the certainty of being able to exchange all that furplus part of the produce of his own labour, which is over and above his own confumption, for fuch parts of the produce of other mens labour as he may have occafion for, encourages every man to apply himfelf to a particular occupation, and to cultivate
and
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and bring to perfection whatever talent or genius he may poffefs for that particuilar fipecies of bufinefs.

THE difference of natural talents in different men is, in reality, much lefs than we are aware of ; and the very different genius which appears to ditinguifh men of different profeffons, when grown up to matarity, is not upon many occaffons fo much the caufe, as the effect of the divifion of labour. The difference between the moft diffimilar characters, between a philofopher and a common ftreet porter, for example, feems to arife not folmuch from nature, as from habit, cuttom, and education. When they came into the world, and for the firf fix or eight years of their exiftence, they were perhaps very much afike, and neither their parents nor play-fellows could perceive any remarkable difference. About that age or foon after, they come to be employed in very different oscupations, The difference of talents domis then to be taken netice of, and widens by degrees, till at laft the vaisity of the philofopher is willing to acknowledge fcarce any refemblance. But without the difpofition to truck, barter, and exchange, every man muft have procured to himfelf every neceffary and conveniency of life which he wanted. All muft have had the fame duties to perform, and the fame work to do, and there could have been no fuch difference of employment as could alone give occafion to any great difference of talents.

As it is this difpofition which forms that difference of talents, fo remarkable among men of different profeffions, fo it is this fame difpofition which renders that difference ufeful. Many tribes of animals acknowledged to be all of the fame fpecies, derive from nature a much more remarkable diftinction of genius, than what, antecedent to cuftom and education, appears to take place among men. By nature a philofopher is not in genius and difpofition $D_{2}$ half
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BOOK half fo different from a ftreet porter, as a maftiff is from a greyw hound, or a greyhound from a fpaniel, or this laft from a fhep: herd's dog. Thofe different tribes of animals, however, tho' all of the fame species, are of fcarce any ufe to one another ${ }^{1 /}$ The Arength of the maftiff is not, in the leaft, fupported either by the fwiftnefs of the greyhound, or by the fagacity of the fpaniel, or by the docility of the fhepherd's dog. The effects of thofe different geniufes and talents, for want of the power or difpafition to barter and exchange, cannot be brought into a common ftock, and do not in the leaft contribute to the better accommodation and conveniency of the fpecies. Each animal is ftill obliged to fupport and defend itfelf, feparately and independantly, and derives no fort of advantage from that variety of talents with which nature has diftinguifhed its fellows. A:nong men, on the contrary, the moft diffimilar geniuies are of ufe to one another; the different produces of their refpective talents, by the general diff pofition to truck, barter, and exchange, being brought as it were, into a common fock, where every man may purchafe whatever part of the produce of other men's, talents he has, oc,cafion for.
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T'bat the Divifion of Labour is limited by the Extent of the Market.

A$S$ it is the power of exchanging that gives occafion to the divifion of labour, fo the extent of this divifion mult always be limited by the extent of that power, on, in other words, by the extent of the market. When the market is very fmall, no perfon can have any encouragement to dedicate himfelf entirely to one employment, for want of the power to exchange all that furplus part of the produce of his own labour, which is over and above his own confumption, for fuch parts of the produce of other mens labour as he has occafion for.

There are fome forts of induftry, even of the loweft kind, which can be carried on no where but in a great town. A porters, for example, can find employment and fubfiftence in no other place. A village is by much too narrow a fphere for him; even an ordinary market town is fcarce large enough to afford him conftant occupation. In the lone houfes and very fmall villages which are fcattered about in fo defart a country as thehighlands of Scotland, every farmer muft be butcher, baker and: brewer for his own family. In fuch fituations we can fcarce expect to find even a fmith, a carpenter, or a mafon, within lefs than twenty miles of another of the fame trade. The fcattered families that live at eight or ten miles diftance from.the neareft of them, muft learn to perform themfelves a great number of little pieces of work, for which, in more populous countries, they would call in the affiftance of thofe workmen. Country workmen are almoft every where obliged to apply themfelves to all the different branches of induftry that have $f 0$ much affinity to one another 8
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BOOK as to be employed about the fame fort of materials. A country carpenter deals in every fort of work that is made of wood: a country fmith in every fort of work that is made of iron. The former is not only a carpenter, but a joiner, a cabinet-maker, and even a carver in wood, as well as a wheel-wright, a ploughwright, a cart and waggon makey. The employments of the hitter are ftill mere various. It is impoffible there flould be fach'a trade as even that of a nailet in the remote and inlahd paits of the highlands of Scotland. Such a workman at the rate of a thoufand nails a day, and three hundred working days in the year, will make three hundred thoufand rails in the year. But in fleh a fituation it would be impoffible to difiofe of one theufaid, that is, of one day's work in the year.

As by means of water-carriage a more extenfive market is opened to every fort of induftry than what land-carriage alone can afford it, fo it is upon the fea coaft, and afong the banks of navigable rivers, that induftry of every kind naturally begins to fubdivide and improve itfelf; end it is frequently not till a long time after that thofe improvements extend themfelves to the inland parts of the country. A broad-wheeled waggon, attended by two men and drawn by eight horfes, in about fix weeks time carries and brings back between London and Edinburgh near four ton weight of goods. In about the fame time a fhip navigated by fix or eight men, and failing between the ports of London and Leith, frequently carries and brings back two hundred ton weight of goods. Six or eight men, therefore, by the help of water-carriage, can carry and bring back in the fame time the fame quantity of goods between London and Edinburgh as fifty broad-wheeled waggons, attended by a hundred men, and drawn by four hundred horfes. Upon two hundred tons of goods, therefore, carried by the cheapét land-carriage from London to Edinburgh, there muft be charged the
ountry 2od: a
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the maintenance of a hundred men for three weeks, and beth the maintenance, and, what is nearly equal to the maintenance, the wear and tear of four hundred horfes as well as of fifty great waggons. Whereas upon the fame quantity of goods carried by water, there is to be charged only the maintenance of fix or eight men, and the wear and tear of a hip of two hundred tons burden, together, with the value of the fuperior rifk or the difference of the infurance between land and water-carriage. Were there no other communication between thofe two places, therefore, but by landcarriage, as no goods could be tranfported from the one to the other except fuch :whofe price was very confiderable in proportion to their weight, they could carry on but a fmall part of that commerce which is at prefent carried on between them, and confequently could give but a fimall part of that encouragement which they at preifent mutually afford to each other's induftry. There could be little or no commerce of any kind between the diftant parts of the world. What goods could bear the experice of landcarriage between London and Calcutta ? Or if there was any fo precious as to be able to fupport this expence, with what fafety could they be tranfported, through the territories of so many barbarous nations? Thofe two cities, however, at prefent carry on together a very confiderable commerce, and, by mutually affording a market, give a good deal of encouragement to each other's induftry.

Since fuch, therefore; are the advantages of water camiage, it is natural that the firft improvements of art and indultry fhould be made where this conveniency opens the whole world for a market to the produce of every fort of labour, and that they fhould always be much later in extending themfelves into the inland parts of the country. The inland parts of the country can for a long time have no other market for the greater part of their
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BOOK goods, but the country which lies round about them, and feprarates them from the fea coaft, and the great navigable rivers. The extent of their market, therefore, muft for a long time be in proportion to the tiches and populoufnefs of that country, and confequently their improvement muft always be pofterior to the improvement of that country. In our North American colonics the plantations have conftantly followed either the fea coalt or the banks of the navigable rivers, and have fcarce any where extended themfelves to any confiderable diftance from both.

The nations that, according to the beft authenticated hiftory, appear to have been firft civilized, were thofe that dwelt round the coaft of the Mediterrancan fea. That fea, by far the greateft inlet that is known in the world, having no tides, nor confequently any waves except fuch as are caufed by the wind only, was, by the fimoothnefs of its furfac:, as well as by the multitude of its iflands, and the proximity of its neighbouring fhores, extreamly favourable to the infant navigation of the world; when from their ignorance of the compafs, men were afraid to quit the view of the coaft, and from the imperfection of the art of fhip-building, to abandon themfelves to the boifterous waves of the ocean. To pafs beyond the pillars of Hercules, that is, to fail out of the ftreights of Gibraltar, was, in the antient world, long confidered as a moft wonderful and dangerous exploit of navigation. It was late before even the Phenicians and Carthaginians, the moft fkilful navigators and fhip-builders of thofe old times, attempted it, and they were for a long time the only nations that did attempt it.

Or all the countries on the coaft of the Mediterranean fea, Egypt feems to have been the firft in which either agriculture or manufactures were cultivated and improved to any confiderable degree.
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degree. Upper Egypt extends itfelf no where above a few miles from the Nile, and in Lower Egypt that great river breaks itfelf into many different canals, which, with the affiftance of a little art, feem to have afforded a communication by water carriage, not only between all the great towns; but between all the contfiderable villages, and even to many farm houfes in the country; neavly/ in the fame mariner as the Rhine and the Macfe do in Holtand at prefent. The extent and eafinefs of this inland navigation was probably one of the principal caufes of the early improvement of Egypt.

Th2 improvements in agpieuthre and mandfactures feem : wife to have been of very great antiquity in the provinces of Betigal in the Eatt Indies; and in fome of the eaftern provinces of China; though the gneat extent of this antiquity is not autfienticated by any hiftories of whiofe authority we, in this part of the' world, are well affureds. In Bengal the Ganges and feveral other great rivers break themfelves into many canals in the fame manner as the Nile does in Egypt. In ther eaftern provinces of Chima too feverat great riverg' form; by thieir different branches, a multitude of canals, and by communicating with one another afford an inland navigation much more extenfive than that either of the Nile or the Ganges, or perhaps than both of them put together. It is remarkable that neither the antient Egyptians, nor the Indians, nor the Chinefe, encouraged foreign commerce, but feem all to have derived their great opulence from this inland navigation.

All the inland parts of Africa, and all that part of Afia which lies any confiderable way north of the Euxine and Cafpian feas, the ancient Scythia, the modern Tartary and Siberia, feem in all ages of the world to have been in the fame barbarous and uncivilized fate in which we find them at prefent. The fea of

Vol. I. E Tartary

BOOK Tartary is the frozen ocean which admits of no navigation, and though fome of the greateft rivers in the world run through that country, they are at too great a diftance from one another to carry commerce and communication through the greater part of it. There are in Africa none of thofe great inlets fuch as the Baltic and Adriatic feas in Europe, the Mediterranean and Euxine feas in both Europe and Afia, and the gulphs of Arabia, Perfia, India, Bengal and Siam, in Afia, to carry maritime commerce into the interior parts of that great continent : and the great rivers of Africa are at too great a diftance from one another to give occafion to any confiderable inland navigation. The commerce befides which any nation can carry on by means of a river which does not break itfelf into any great number of branches or canals, and which runs into another territory before it reaches the fea, can never be very confiderable; becaufe it is always in the power of the nations who poffers that other territory to obfruct the communication between the upper country and the fea. The navigation of the Danube is of very little ufe to the different ftates of Bavaria, Auftria and Hungary, in comparifon of what it would be if any one of them poffeffed the whole of its courfe till it falls into the Black fea.
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CHAP. IV.

## Of the Origin and Ufe of Money.

WHEN the divifion of labour has been once thoroughly eftablifhed, it is but a very fmall part of a man's wants which the produce of his own labour can fupply. He fupplies the far greater part of them by exchanging that furplus part of the produce of his own labour, which is over and above his own confumption, for fuch parts of the produce of other men's labour as he has occafion for. Every man thus lives by exchanging, or becomes in fome meafure a merchant, and the fociety itfelf grows to be what is properly a commercial fociety.

But when the divifion of labour firft began to take place, this power of exchanging muft frequently have been very much clogged and embarraffed in its operations. One man, we fhall fuppofe, has more of a certain commodity than he himfelf has occafion for, while another has lefs. The former confequently would be glad to difpofe of, and the latter to purchafe, a part of this fuperfluity. But if this latter fhould chance to have nothing that the former ftands in need of, no exchange can be made between them. The butcher has more meat in his fhop than he hindelf can confume, and the brewer and the baker would each of them be willing to purchafe a part of it. But they have nothing to offer in exchange, except the different productions of their refpective trades, and the butcher is already provided with all the bread and beer which he has immediate occafion for. No exchange can, in this cafe, be made between them. He cannot be their merchant, nor they his cuftomers; and they are all of them thus mutually lefs ferviceable to one another. In order to avoid the inconveniency
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BOOK of fuch fituations, every prudent man in every period of fociety, after $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ the firft eftablifhment of the divifion of labour, muft naturally have endeavoured to manage his affairs in fuch a manner, as to have at all times by him, befides the peculiar produce of his own induftry, a certain quantity of fome one commodity or other, fuch as he imagined few people would be likely to refufe in exchange for the produce of their induftry.

Many different commodities, it is probable, were fucceffively both thought of and employed for this purpofe. In the rude ages of fociety, cattle are faid to have been the common infrusment of commerce; and, though they muft have been 2 moft inconvenient one; yet in old times we find things were frequently valued according to the number of cattle which had been given in exchange for them. The armour of Diomed, fays Homer, coft only nine oxen; but that of Glaucus coft a hundred oxen. Salt is faid to be the common inftrument of commerce and exchanges in Abyfinia; a fpecies of fhells in fome parts of the coart of India; dried cod at Newfoundland; tobacco in Virginia; fugar in fome of our Weft India colonies; hides or drefied leather in fome other countries; and there is at this day a village in Scotland where it is not uncommon, I am told, for a workman to carry nails inftead of money to the baker's fhop or the alehoufe.

In all countries, however, men feem at laft to have been determined by irrefiftable reafons to give the preference, for this employment, to metals above every other commodity. Metals can not only be kept with as little lofs as any other commodity, fcarce any thing being lefs perifhable than they are, but they can likewife, without any lofs, be divided into any number of parts, as by fufion thofe parts can eafily be reunited again; a quality
$y$, after tturally , as to us own $r$, fuch change
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quality which no other equally durable commodities poffefs, and which more than any other quality renders them fit to be the inftriuments of commerce and circulation. The man who wanted to buy falt, for example, and had nothing but cattle to give in exchange for it, muft have been obliged to buy falt to the value of a whole ogk, or a whole fheep at a time. He could feldom buy lefs than this becaufe what he was to give for it could feldom be divided without lofs; and if he had a mind to buy more, he muft, for the fame reafons, have been obliged to buy double or triple the quantity, the value, to wit, of two or three oxen, or of two or three Cheep. If, on the contrary, inftead of fheep or oxen, he had metals to give in exchange for it, he could eafily proportion the quantity of the metal to the precife quantity of the commodity which he had immediate occafion for.

Different metals have been made ufe of by different nations for this purpofe. Iron was the common inftrument of commerce among the antient Spartans; copper among the antient Romans; and gold and filver among all rich and commercial nations.

Those metals feem originally to have been made ufe of for this purpofe in rude bars without any ftamp or coinage. Thus we are told by Pliny, upon the authority of one Remeus an antient author, that, till the time of Servius Tullius, the Romans had no coined money, but made ufe of unftamped bars of copper to purchafe whatever they had occation for. Thefe rude bars, therefore, performed at this time the function of money.

The ufe of metals in this rude ftate was attended with two very confiderable inconveniencies; firf, with the trouble of weighing them ; and, fecondly, with the trouble of affaying them.

## ln

In the precious metals, where a fmall difference in the quantity makes a great difference in the value, even the bufinefs of weighing, with proper exactnefs, requires at leaft very accurate weights and fcales. The weighing of gold in particular is an operation of fome nicety. In the coarfer metals, indeed, where a fmall error would be of little confequence, lefs accuracy would, no doubt, be neceffary. Yet we fhould find it exceffively troublefome if every time a poor man had occafion either to buy or fell a farthing's worth of goods, he was obliged to weigh the farthing. The operation of affaying is ftill more difficult, ftill more tedious, and, unlefs a part of the metal is fairly melted in the crucible, with proper diffolvents, any conclufion that can be drawn from it, is extreamly uncertain. Bēfore the inftitution of coined money, however, unlefs they went through this tedious and difficult operation, people muft always have been liable to the groffeft frauds and impofitions, and inftead of a pound weight of pure filver, or pure copper, might receive, in exchange for their goods, an adulterated compofition of the coarfeft and cheapeft materials, which had, however, in their outward appearance, been made to refemble thofe metals. To prevent fuch abufes, to facilitate exchanges, and thereby to encourage all forts of induftry and commerce, it has been found neceffary, in all countries that have made any confiderable advances towards improvement, to affix a publick ftamp upon certain quantities of fuch particular metals, as were in thofe countries commonly made ufe of to purchafe goods. Hence the origin of coined money, and of thofe publick offices called mints; inftitutions exactly of the fame nature with thofe of the aulnagers and ftampmafters of woollen and linen cloth. All of them are equally meant to afcertain, by means of a publick ftamp, the quantity and uniform goodnefs of thofe different commodities when brought to market.
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The

The firf publick ftamps of this kind that were affixed to the current metals, feem in many cafes to have been intended to afcertain, what it was both moft difficult and moft important to afcertain, the goodnefs or finenefs of the metal, and to have refembled the fterling mark which is at prefent affixed to plate and bars of filver, or the Spanifh mark which is fometimes affixed to ingots of gold, and which being ftruck only upon one fide of the piece, and not covering the whole furface, afcertains the finenefs, but not the weight of the metal. Abraham weighs to Ephron the four hundred fhekels of filver which he had agreed to pay for the field of Machpelah. They are faid however to be the current money of the merchant, and yet are received by weight and not by tale, in the fame manner as ingots of gold and bars of filver are at prefent. The revenues of the antient Saxon kings of England are faid to have been paid, not in money but in kind, that is, in victuals and provifions of all forts. William the conqueror introduced the cuftom of paying them in money. This money, however, was, for a long time, received at the exchequer, by weight and not by tale.

The inconveniency and difficulty of weighing thofe metals with exactnefs gave occafion to the inftitution of coins, of which the ftamp, covering entirely both fides of the piece and fometimes the edges too, was fuppofed to afcertain not only the finenefs, but the weight of the metal. Such coins, therefore, were received by tale as at prefent, without the trouble of weighing.

The denominations of thofe coins feem originally to have expreffed the weight or quantity of metal contained in them. In the time of Servius Tullius, who firft coined money at Rome, the Roman As or pondo contained a Roman pound of good copper. It was divided in the fame manner as our Troyes pound,

BOOK pound, into twelve ounces, each of which contained a reat ounce $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ of good copper. The Englifh pound fterting; in the time of Edward I. contained a pound, Tower weight, of filver of a known finenef. The Tower pound feems to have been fomething more than the Roman pound, and fomething lefs than the Troyes pound. This laft was not introduced into the mint of England till the 18 th of Heary VIII. The French livre contained in the time of Charlemagne a pound, Troyes: weight, of filver of a known finenefs. The fair of Troyes in Champaign was at that time frequented by all the nations of Europe; and the weights and meafures of fo famous a market were generally known and efteemed. The Scots money pound contained, from the time of Alexander the firft to that of Riobert Bruce, a pound of filver of the fame weight and finenefs with the Englifh pound fterling. Engliih, French and Seots pernies too, contained all of them originally a real pennyweight of filver, the twentieth part of an ounce, and the two bundred and fortieth part of a pound. The fhiling too feems originally to have been the denomination of a weight. When wboat is at twelve Jhillings the quarter, fays an antient ftatute of Henry III. tben waftel bread of a farthing Joll weigb eleven 乃billings and faur pence. The proportion, hawever, between the flailling and either the penny on the one hand, or the pound on the other, feems not to have been fo conitant and uniform as that between the penny and the pound. During the firf race of the kings of France, the French fou or fhilling appears upon different occafions to have contained five, twelve, twenty, forty, and forty-eight pennies. Among the antient Saxons a fhilling appears at one time to have contained only five pennies, and it is not improbable that it may have been as variable among them as among their neighbours, the antient Franks. From the time of Charlemagne among the French, and from that of William the conqueror among the Englifh, the proportion between the pound; the fhilling, and the penny; feems
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to have been uniformly the fame as at prefent, though the value of each has been very different. For in every country of the world, I believe, the avarice and injuftice of princes and fovereign ftates, abufing the confidence of their fubjects, have by degiees diminifhed the real quantity of metal which had been originally contained in their coins. The Roman As, in the latter ages of the Republick, was reduced to the twenty fourth part of its original value, and, inftead of weighing a pound, came to weigh only half an ounce. The Englifh pound and penny contain at prefent about a third only; the Scots pound and penny about a thirty-fixth; and the French pound and penny about a fixty-fixth part of their original value. By means of thofe operations the princes and fovereign fates which performed them were enabled, in appearance, to pay their debts and to fullil their engagements with a fimaller quantity of filver than would otherwife have been requifite. It was indeed in appearance only; for their creditors were really defrauded of a part of what was oue to them. All other debtors in the fate were allowed the fame privilege, and might pay with the fame nominal fum of the new and debafed coin whatever they had borrowed in the old. Such operations, therefore, have always proved favourable to the debtor, and ruinous to the creditor, and have fometimes produced a greater and more univerfal revolution in the fortunes of private perfons, than could have been occafioned by a very great publick calamity.

Ir is in this manner that money has become in all civilized nations the univerfal inftrument of commerce, by the intervention of which goods of all kinds are bought and fold, or exchanged for one another.

What are the rules which men naturally obferve in exchanging them either for money or for one another, I fhall now proceed

Vol. I.
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BOOK to examine. Thefe rules determine what may be called the relative I. or exchangeable value of goods.

The word value, it is to be obferved, has two different meanings, and fometimes expreffes the utility of fome particular object, and fometimes the power of purchaling other goods which the poffeffion of that object conveys. The one may be called, "value in "ufe;" the other, "value in exchange." The things which have the greateft value in ufe have srequently little or no value in exchange, ande, on the contrary, thiofe which have the greateft value in exchange have frequently little or no value in ufe. Nothing is more ufeful than water: but it will purchafe fcarce any thing; fearce any thing can be had in exchange for it. A diamond; on the contrary, has fearce any value in ufe; but a very great quantity of other goods may frequently be had in exchange for it.

In order to inveftigate the principles which regulate the exchangeable value of commodities, Ifhall endeavour to fhew,

FIRsT, what is the real meafure 1 this exchangeable value ; or, wherein confifts the real price of all commodities.

Secondiy, what are the different parts of which this real price is compored or made up.

And, laftly; what are the different circumftances which fometimes raife fome or all of thefe different parts of price above, and: fometimes fink thien below their natural or ordinary rate; or, what are the caufes which fometimes hinder the market price; that is, the attual price, of commodities, from coinciding exactly with what may be called their natural price.

I shall endeavour to explain, as fully and diftinctly as I can; thofe three fubjects in the three following chapters, for which I muft
e relativ:
it meanr object, the porvalue in fs which no value e greateft in ufe. afe farce: or it. A e; but a ad in ex-
exchangebove, and: rate; or, ket price; iding ex-
as I oan; ir which I muft
muft very earneftly entreat both the patience and attention of the reader his patience in order to examine a detail which may perhaps in fome places appear unneceffarily tedious; and his attention in order to underftand what may, perhaps, after the fullest expli, cation which I am capable of giving of it, appear fill in fome degree obfcure. I am always willing to run fome hazard of being tedious in order to be fure that I am perficicuous a and after taking the utmoft pains that I can to be perfpicuous, fome obfcurity may ftill appear to remain upon a fubject which is in its own nature extremely abfracted



Of the real and nominal Price of Commodities logr of tbeir Price in Labour, and their Price in Monty. to suhar sids

EVERY man is rich or poor according to the degree in which he can afford to enjoy the neceffaries, convenieticies, and amufements of human life. But after the divifion of labour has once thoroughly taken place, it is but a very fmall part of thefe with which a man's own labour can fupply him. The far greater part of them he munt derive' from the labout of other people, and he muft be rich or poor accotsing to the quantity of that labour which he can commandy or which he can afford to purchafe. The value of anycommiodity! wherefore, to the perfon who poffefles it and who means not to ufe or confume it himfelf, but to exchange it for other commodities, is equal to the quantity of labour which it enables him to purchafe or command. Labour, therefore, is the real meafure of the exchangeable value of all commodities. -
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## BOOK The real price of every thing, what every thing really cofts to

 the man who wants to acquire it, is the toil and trouble of acquiring it. What every thing is really worth to the man who has acquired it, and who wants to difpofe of it or exchange it for fomething elfe, is the toil and trouble which it can fave to himfelf, and which it can impofe upon other people. What is bought with money or with goods is purchafed by labour as much as what we acquire by the toil of our own body. That money or thofe goods indeed fave us this toil. They contain the value of a certain quantity of labour which we exchange for what is fuppofed at the time to contain the value of an equal quantity. Labour was the firft price, the original purchafe money that was paid for all things. It was not by gold or by filver, but by labour, that all the wealth of the world was originally purchafed; and its value, to thofe who poffefs it and who want to exchange it for fome new productions, is precifely equal to the quantity of labour which it can enable them to purchafe or command.But though labour be the real meafure of the exchangeable value of all commodities, it is not that by which their value is commonly eftimated. It is often difficult to afcertain the proportion between two different quantities of labour. The time fpent in two different forts of work will not always alone determine this proportion. The different degrees of hardfhip endured, and $o^{\prime}$ ingenuity exercifed muft likewife be taken into account. There may be more labour in an hour's hard work than in two hours eafy bufinefs; or in an hour's application to a trade which it cont: ten years labour to learn, than in a month's induftry at an ordinary and obvious employment. But it is not eafy to find any accurate meafure either of hardfhip or ingenuity. In exchanging indeed the different productions of different forts of labour for one another, fome allowance is commonly made for both. It is adjufted, how-
ever,
cofts to of acan who ge it for to himbought nuch as oney or value of what is ןuantity. that was but by rchafed; exchange antity of
angeable value is the proime fpent mine this and $o^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ - There vo hours ch it cont ordinary accurate ndeed the : another ed, however,
ever, not by any accurate meafure, but by the higgling and bargaining of the market, according to that fort of rough equality
 which, though not exact, is fufficient for carrying on the bufinefs of common life.

Every commodity befides, is more frequently exchanged for, and thereby compared with, other commodities than with labour. It is more natural, therefore, to eftimate its exchangeable value by the quantity of fome other commodity than by that of the labour which it can purchafe. The greater part of people too underftand better what is meant by a quantity of a particular commodity, than by a quantity of tabcur. The one is a plain palpable object ; the other an abftract notion, which, though it can be made fufficiently intelligible, is not altogether fo natural and obvious.

But when barter ceafes, and money has become the common inftrument of commerce, every particular commodity is more frequently exchanged for money than for any other commodity. The butcher feldom carries his beef or his mutton to the baker, or the brewer, in order to exchange them for bread or for beer; but he carries them to the market, where he exchanges them for money, and afterwards exchanges that money for bread and for beer. The quantity of money which he gets for them regulates too the quantity of bread and beer which he can afterwards purchafe. It is more natural and obvious to him, therefore, to eftimate their value by the quantity of money, the commodity for which he immediately exchanges them, than by that of bread and beer, the commodities for which he can exchange them only by the intervention of another commodity; and rather to fay that his butcher's meat is worth threepence or fourpence a pound, than that it is worth three or four pounds of bread, or three or four quarts of fmall beer. Hience it comes to pafs that the exchange5
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able value of every commodity is more frequently eftimated by the quantity of money, than by the quantity either of labour or of any other commodity which can be had in exchange for it.

Gold and filver, however, like every other commodity; vary in their value, are fometimes cheaper and fometimes dearer, fometimes of eafier and fometimes of more difficult purchafe. The quantity of labour which any particular quantity of them can purchafe or command, or the quantity of other goods which it will exchange for, depends always upon the fertility or barrennefs of the mines swhich happen to be known about the time when fuch exchanges are made. The difcovery of the abundant mines of America reduced, in the fixteenth century, the value of gold and filver in Europe to about a third of what it had been before. As it coft lefs labour to bring thofe metals from the mine to the market, fo when they were brought there they could purchafe or command lefs labourg and this revolution in their value, though perhaps the greateft, is by no means the only one of which hiftory gives fome account; But as aimeafure of quantity, fuch as the natural foot, fathom, or handful, which is continually varying in its own quaritity, can never be an accurate meafure of the quantity of other things; fo a commodity which is itfelf continually varying in its own value, can never be an accurate meafure of the value of other commodities. Equal quantities of labour muft at all times and places be of equal value to the labourer. He muft always lay down the fame portion of his eafe, his liberty, and his happinefs. The price which he pays muft always be the fame, whatever may be the quantity of goods which he receives in return for it. Of thefe, indeed, it may fometimes porchafe a greater and fometimes a fmaller quantity; but it is their value which varies, not that of the labour which purchafes them. At all times and places that is dear which it is difficult to come at, or which it cofts much labour to acquire; and that cheap 8 which
which is to be had eafily or with very little labour. Labour alone therefore, never varying in its own value, is alone the ultimate and real ftandard by which the value of all commgdities can at all times and places be eftimated and compared. It is their real price; money is their nominal price only

But though equal quantities of labour are always of equal value to the labourer, yet to the perion who employs him they appear fometimes to be of greater and fometimes of fmaller value. He purchafes them fometimes with a greater and fometimes with a fmaller quantity of goods, and to him the price of labour feems to vary like that of all other things. It appears to him dear in the one cafe, and cheap in the other. In reality, howeven, it is the goods which are cheap.in the one cafe, and dear in the other.

In this popular fenfe, therefore, Labours like commodities; may be faid to have a real and a nominal priee ${ }_{6}$ Its real pricemay be faid to confift in the quantity of the neceflaries and conveniencies of life which are given for it ${ }_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ its nominal price, in the quantity of money. The labourer is rich or poor, is well or ill rewarded, in proportion to the real, not to the nominal price of his labour.

The diftinction between the real and the nominal price of commodities and labour, is not a matter of mere fecculation, put may fometimes be of confiderable ufe in practice. The fame real price is always of the fame value; but on account of the variations in the value of gold and filver, the fame nominal price is fometimes of very different values. When a landed eftate, therefore, is fold with a refervation of a perpetual rent, if it is intended that this rent fhould always be of the fame value, it is of importance to the family in whofe favour it is referved, that it fhould not confift in a particular.

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

BOOK a particular fum of money. Its value would in this cafe be liable to variations of two different kinds ; firf, to thofe which arife from the different quantities of gold and filver which are contained at different times in coin of the fame denomination; and, fecondly, to thofe which arife from the different values of equal quantities of gold and filver at different times.

Princes and fovereign ftates have frequently fancied that they had a temporary intereft to diminifh the quantity of pure metal containcd in their coins; but they feldom have fancied that they had any to augment it. The quantity of metal contained in the coins, I believe, of all nations has, accordingly, been almoft continually diminifhing, and hardly ever augmenting. Such variations therefore tend almoft always to diminifh the value of a money rent.

The difcovery of the mines of America diminifhed the value of gold and filver in Europe. This diminution, it is commonly fuppofed, though, I apprehend, without any certain proof, is ftill going on gradually, and is likely to continue to do fo for a long time. Upon this fuppofition, therefore, fuch variations are more likely to diminifh, than to augment the value of a money rent, even though it fhould be ftipulated to be paid, not in fuch a quantity of coined money of fuch a denomination, (in fo many pounds fterling, for example) but in fo many ounces either of pure filver, or of filver of a certain ftandard.

The rents which have been referved in corn have preferved their value much better than thofe which have been referved in money, even where the denomination of the coin has not been altered. By the 18th of Elizabeth it was enacted, That a third of the rent of all college leafes fhould be referved in corn, to be paid, either in kind, or according to the current prices at the neareft publick market.
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market. The money arifing from this corn rent, though originally but a third of the whole, is in the prefent times, according to Doctor Blackfone, commonly near double of what arifes from the other two-thirds. The old money rents of colleges muft, according to this account, have funk almoft to a fourth part of their antient value; or are worth little more than a fourth part of the corn which they were formerly worth. But fince the reign of Philip and Mary the denomination of the Englifh coin has undergone little or no alteration, and the fame number of pounds, fhillings and pence, have contained very nearly the fame quantity of pure filver. This degradation, therefore, in the value of the money rents of colleges, has arifen altogether from the degradation in the value of filver.

WHEN the degradation in the value of filver is combined with the diminution of the quantity of it contained in the coin of the fame denomination, the lofs is frequently ftill greater. In Scotland, where the denomination of the coin has undergone much greater alterations than it ever did in England, and in France, where it has undergone ftill greater thanit ever did in Scotland, fome antient rents, originally of confiderable value, have in this manner been reduced almoft to nothing.

Eeval quantities of labour will at diftant times be purchafed more nearly with equal quantities of corn, the fubfiftence of the labourer, than with equal quantities of gold and filver, or perhaps of any other commodity. Equal quantities of corn, therefore, will, at diftant times, be more nearly of the fame real value, or enable the poffeffor to purchafe or command more nearly the fame quantity of the labour of other people. They will do this, I fay, more nearly than equal quantities of almoft any other commodity; for even equal quantities of corn will not do it exactly. The fubfiftence of the labourci, or the real price of labour, as I fhall Vol. I.
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BOOK endeavour to fhow hereafter, is very different upon different occafions; more liberal in a fociety advancing to opulence than in one that is ftanding ftill; and in one that is ftanding ftill than in one that is going backwards. Every other commodity, however, will at any particular time purchafe a greater or fmaller quantity of labour in proportion to the quantity of fubfiftence which it can purchafe at that time. A rent therefore referved in corn is liable only to the variations in the quantity of labour which a certain quantity of corn can purchafe. But a rent referved in any other commodity is liable, not only to the variations in the quantity of labour which any particular quantity of corn can purchafe, but to the variations in the quantity of corn which can be purchafed by any particular quantity of that commodity.

Though the real value of a corn rent, it is to be obferved however, varies much lefs from century to century than that of a money rent, it varies much more from year to year. The mone. price of labour, as I fhall endeavour to fhow hereafter, does not fluctuate from year to year with the money price of corn, but feems to be every where accommodated, not to the temporary or occafional, but to the average or ordinary price of that neceffary of life. The average or ordinary price of corn again is regulated, as I fhall likewife endeavour to fhow hereafter, by the value of filver, by the richnefs or barrennefs of the mines which fupply the market with that metal, or by the quantity of labour which muft be employed, and confequently of corn which muft be confumed, in order to bring any particular quantity of it from the mine to the market. But the value of filver, though it fometimes varies greatly from century to century, feldom varies much from year to year, but frequently continues the fame or very nearly the fame for half a century or a century together. The ordinary or average money price of corn, therefore, may, during fo long a period, continue
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continue the fame or very nearty the fame too, and along with it the money price of labour, provided, at leaft, the fociety con-

CHAP. tinues, in other refpects, in the fame or nearly in the fame condition. In the mean time the temporary and occafional price of corn, may frequently be double, one year, of what it had been the year before, or fluctuate from five and twenty to fifty flilings the quarter, for example. But when corn is at the latter pirice, not only the nominal, but the real value of a corn rent will be double of what it is when at the former, or will command double the quantity either of labour or of the greater part of other commodities; the money price of labour, and along with it that of moft other things, continuing the fame durirg all thefe fluctuations.

Lasous, therefore, it appears evidently, is the on't univerfal, as well as the only accurate meafure of value, or the on ftandard by which we can compare the values of different co modities at all times and at all places. We cannot eftimate, it is allowed, the real value of different commodities from century to century by the quantities of fiver which were given for them. We carnet eftimate it from year to year by the quantities of corn. By the quantities of labour we can, with the greateft accuracy, eftimate it both from century to century and from year to year. From century to centary, corn is a better meafure than filver, becaufe, from centufy to century, equal quantities of corn will command the fame quantity of labour more nearly than equal quantities of filver. From year to year, on the contrary, filver is a better meafure than com, becaufe equal quantities of it will more nearly command the fame quantity of labour.

But though in eftablifhing peipetual rents, or even in letting very long leafes, it may be of ufe to diftinguifh between real and G 2 nominal

BOOK nominal price; it is of none in buying and felling, the more
 common and ordinary tranfactions of human life.

At the fame time and place the real and the nominal price of all commodities lareiexactly in proportion to one another. The more or lefs money youiget for ary commodity, in the London: market, for example, the more oc lefs labour it will at that time and place enable you to purchafé or cominaind. At the fame time and placigy therefores money dis the exact meafure of tha real exchargeable value of all commodities. It is fo, however, at the fametimeand place only. lovgwod, and es how 5 hosi wt

: Thoveq lat diffynt jplaces, there is no regulac proportion between the sreatiband the morey price of columbedities, ylyt the merchante whid carriey goods from the one to the other has nothing. to conifiderchuts thiar money price, or the difference between tha quantity 0 of fiver fortwhich be buys them, and that for which he is likely, to fell theng. rwale an ounce of filver at CantoniniChina. may coinithad argreater quantity both ofs labound and of the necess fařies andiconiveniercies of lifeg, than ansounce at London. $A$ : commodity, therefore? which fells for hain an ounce of filver at Canton may there bet realiy dearern, of more real importance to the mat who poftefles rits theve, thidn onewhichuitils for an ounce at London te the may who poffeffes it at Londonw If a London merchant, however, can buy at Canton for half an ounce of filver, a commodity which he can afterwards fall at London for an ounce, he gains a hundred per cent by, che bargain juft as much as if an ounce of filver was at London exactly of the fame value as at Canton. It is of no importance to him that haif an ounce of Gilver at Canton would have given him the command of morelabour and of a greater quantity of the neceffaries and conveniencies of life than an ounce can do at London. An ounce at London.
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London will always give him the command of double the quantity of all thefe which half an ounce could have done there, and this
 is precifely what he wants.

As it is the nominal or money price of goods, therefore, whick finally determines the prudence or imprudence of all purchafes and fales, and thereby regulates aimoft the whole bufinefs of roramon life in which price is coneerned, we cannot wonder that it chould have been fo much more attended to than the real price.

In fuch a work as this, however, it may fometimes be of ufe to compare the different real values of a particular commodity at different times and places, or the different degrees of power over the labour of other people which it may, upon different occafions; have given to thofe who poffeffed it. W.e muft in this cafe compare, not formuch the different quantities of filver for which it was commonly fold, as the: different quantities of labour which thofe different quantities of filver could have purchafed. But the current prices of labour at diftant times and places can fcarce ever be known with any degree of exactnefs. Thofe of corn, though they have in few place: been regularly recorded, are in general better known and have been more frequently taken notice of by hiftorians and other writers. We muft generally, therefore, content ourfelves with them, not as being always exactly in the fame proportion as the current prices of labour; but as being the neareft approximation which can commonly be had to that proportion. I fhall hercafter have occafion to make feveral comparifons of this kindi

IN the progrefs of induftry, commercial nations have found it convenient to coin feveral different metals into money; gold for larger payments, filver for purchafes of moderate value, and copper

B OOK or fome other coarfe metal, for thofe of fill fmaller confideration. They have always, however, confidered one of thofe metals as more peculiarly the meafure of value than any of the other two; and this preference feems generally to have been given to the metal which they happened firt to make ufe of as the inftrument of commerce. Having once begunto ufe it as theiv ftandard, which they muft have done when they had no, other money, they have generally continued to do fo even when the neceffity was not the fame.

The Romans are faid to have had nothing but coppes money till within fixe years before the firf Punic war, when they firft began to coin filves Copper, therefore, appears to have continued always the meafure value in that republick. At Rome all accaunts appear to have been kept, and the valuc of all eftates to have been computed either in zafies or in Sefermii. The ats was always the denomination of a copper coin. The woid seyterties fignifies two Affes and a half. Though the Syfertions, therefore, was always a filver coin, its value was eftimated in copper. At Rome, one who owed a great deal of money, was faid to have a great deal of other people's copper.

Tans northern nations who eftabtifhed themfelves upon the ruins of the Roman empire, feem to have had filver money from the firft beginning of their fettlements, and not to have known either gold or copper coins for feveral ages thercafter. There were filver coins in England in the time of the Saxons; but there was little gold coined till the time of Edward III. nor any copper till that of James I. of Great Britain. In England, therefore, and for the fame reafon, I believe, in all other modern nations of Europe, all accounts are kept and the value of all goods and of all eftates is generally computed in filver: and when we mean to exprefs the amount of a perfon's fortune, we feldom mention the number
eration: etals 29 er two; e metal nent of ich they ${ }^{6}$ geneCame.
money ley firft ve con$t$ Rome eftates The an ind seys Aertions, ated in by, was te ruins the firft ter gold er coins tle gold that of for the Europe, 1 eftates exprefs number of
of guineas, but the number of pounds which we fuppofe would be given for it.

In all countries, I believe, a legal tender of payment could originally be made in the coin of that metal only which was peculiarly sonfidered as the flandard or mapafure of value, In England gold was net confidered as a legial teader for a long time after it was coined inta money. The propertion between the values of gold and filver money was not fixed by any publick law or proclamation; but was left to be fettled by the market, If a debtor offered payment in gold, the creditor might either rejact fuch payment altogetben, or accept of it at fiesin a valuatiop of the goid as he and his debtor sould agyee up as Copper is .ot at prefent a legal tender, except in the change of the fmallex filver coins. In this fate of things the diftinotion between the metad which was the ftandron liand that which was not the fraudacd, was fomething more than $\rightarrow$ uominal diftinction. beas itivs ovecergitural aik io

In proeefs of time, and as people became gradually more familiar with the ufe of the different/metals in coin, iandiconfequently better acquainted with the proportion between their relpective values, it has, in moft countries I Believe, been fourd converrient to aftertain this proportion, and to declare by a publiek taw that a guinea, for example, of fuch a weight and finenofs, fhould exchange for one and twenty flallings, or be a legal tender for a debt of that fum. In this fate of things, and during the continuance of any one regulated proportion of this kind, the diftinction between the metal which is the ftandard and that which is not the ftandard, becomes little more than a nominal diftinction.
in confequence of any change, however, in this regulated proportion, this diftinetion becomes, or at leaft feems to become, fomething more

BOOK more than nominal again. If the regulated value of a guinea, for example, was either reduced to twenty, or raifed to two and twenty fhillings, all accounts being kept and almoft all obligations for debt being expreffed in filver money, the greater part of payments could in either cafe be made with the fame quantity of filver money as before; but would require very different quantities of gold money; a greater in the one cafe, and a fmaller in the other. Silver would appear to be more invariable in its value than gold. Silver would appear to meafure the value of gold, and gold would not appear to meafure the value of filver. The value of gold would feem to depend upon the quantity of filver which it would exchange for; and the value of filver wouid not feem to depend upon the quantity of gold which it would exchange for. This difference however would be altogether owing to the cuftom of keeping accounts and of expreffing the amount of all great and fmall fums rather in filver than in gold money. One of Mr. Drummond's notes for five and twenty or fifty guineas would, after an alteration of this kind, be ftill payable with five and twenty or fifty guineas in the fame manner as before. It would, after fuch an alteration, be payable with the fame quantity of gold as before, but with very different quantities of filver. In the payment of fuch a note, gold would appear to be more invariable in its value than filver. Gold would appear to meafure the value of filver, and filver would not appear to meafure the value of gold. If the cuftom of keeping accounts, and of expreffing promiffory notes and other obligations for money in this manner, fhould ever become general, gold, and not filver, would be confidered as the metal which was peculiarly the ftandard or meafure of value.

In reality; during the continuance of any one regulated proportion between the refpective values of the different metals in coin,
guinea, to two all obliter part juantity t quansaller in its value old, and re value $r$ which ot feem xchange ; to the it of all 1. One guineas yith five ore. It equanf filver. e more to meameafure and of oney in t filver, tandard
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BOOK ftandard gold bullion to the mint, gets back a pound weight, or an ounce weight of gold in coin, without any deduction. Three pounds feventeen fhillings and ten-pence halfpenny an ounce, therefore, is faid to be the mint price of gold in England, or the quantity of gold coin which the mint gives in return for ftandard gold bullion.

Before the reformation of the gold coin, the price of ftandard gold bullion in the market had for many years been upwards of ${ }_{3} l$. 18 s. fometimes 3 l. 19 s. and very frequently $4 l$. an ounce; that fum it is probable, in the worn and degraded gold coin, feldom containing more than an ounce of ftandard gold. Since the reformation of the gold coin, the market price of ftandard gold bullion feldom exceeds ${ }_{3}$ l. 17s. 7 d. an ounce. Before the reformation of the gold coin the market price was always more or lefs above the mint price. Since that reformation the market price has been conftantly below the mint price. But that market price is the fame whether it is paid in gold or in filver coin. The late reformation of the gold coin, therefore, has raifed not only the value of the gold coin, but likewife that of the filver coin in proportion to gold bullion, and probably too in proportion to all other commodities; though the price of the greater part of other commodities being influenced by fo many other caufes, the rife in the value either of gold or filver coin in proportion to them, may not be fo diftinct and fenfible.

In the Englif mint a pound weight of ftandard filver bullion is coined into fixty-two fhillings, containing, in the fame manner, a pound weight of ftandard filver. Five fhillings and two-pence an ounce, therefore, is faid to be the mint price of filver in England, or the quantity of filver coin which the mint gives in return for ftandard filver bullion. Before the reformation of the gola coin, the market price of ftandard filver bullion was, upon

Hight, or Three 1 ounce, land, or turn for
ftandard wards of ounce; , feldom reformd bullion ormation :s above rice has set price The late only the 1 in proall other er comfe in the may not
bullion manner, vo-pence filver in gives in in of the as, upon different
different occafions, five fhillings and four-pence, five chillings and five-pence, five fhillings and fixpence, five fillings and fevenpence, and very often five hillings and eight-pence an ounce. Five fhillings and feven-pence, however, feems to have been the moft common price. Since the reformation of the gold coin, the market price of ftandard filver bullion has fallen occafionally to five fhillings and three-pence, five fhillings and four-pence, and five fhillings and five-pence an ounce, which laft price it has fcarce ever exceeded. Though the market price of filver bullion has fallen confiderably fince the reformation of the gold coin, it has not fallen fo low as the mint price.

In the proportion between the different metals in the Englifh coin, as copper is rated very much above its real value, fo filver is rated fomewhat below it. In the market of Europe, in the French coin and in the Dutch coin, an ounce of fine gold exchanges for about fourteen ounces of fine filver. In the Englifh coin, it exchanges for about fifteen ounces, that is, for more filver than it is worth according to the common eftimation of Europe. But as the price of copper in bars is not, even in England, raifed by the high price of copper in Englifh coin, fo the price of filver in bullion is not funk by the low rate of filver in Englifh coin. Silver in bullion ftill preferves its proper proportion to gold; for the fame reafon that copper in bars preferves its proper proportion to filver.

Upon the reformation of the filver coin in the reign of William III. the price of filver bullion ftill continued to be fomewhat above the mint price. Mr. Locke imputed this high price to the permiffion of exporting filver bullion, and to the prohibition of exporting filver coin. This permiffion of exporting, he faid, rendered the demand for filver bullion greater than the demand $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for

BOOX for filver coin. But the number of people who want filver coin for the common ufes of buying and felling at home, is furely much greater than that of thofe who want filver bullion either for the ufe of exportation or for any other ufe. There fubfifts at prefent a like permiffion of exporting gold bullion and a like prohibition of exporting gold coin; and yet the price of gold bullion has fallen below the mint price. But in the Englifh coin filver was then, in the fame manner as now, under-rated in proportion to gold; and the gold coin (which at that time too was not fuppofed to require any reformation) regulated then, as well as now, the real value of the whole coin. As the reformation of the filver coin did not then reduce the price of filver bullion to the mint price, it is not very probable that a like reformation will do fo now.

Were the filver coin brought back as near to its ftandard weight as the gold, a guinea, it is probable, would, according to the prefent proportion, exchange for more filver in coin than it would purchafe in bullion. The filver coin containing its full ftandard weight, there would in this cafe be a profit in melting it down, in order, firf, to fell the bullion for gold coin, and afterwards to exchange this gold coin for filver coin to be melted down in the fame manner. Some alteration in the prefent proportion feems to be the only method of preventing this inconveniency.

The inconveniency perhaps would be lefs if filver was rated in the coin as much above its proper proportion to gold as it is at prefent rated below it; provided it was at the fame time enacted that filver fhould not be a legal tender for more than the change of a guinea; in the fame manner as copper is not a legal tender for more than the change of a fhilling. No creditor could in
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lver coin is furely ither for $s$ at pre-prohibi| bullion oin filver oportion not fupas now, 1 of the n to the n will do
ftandard iccording oin than ining its profit in old coin, in to be prefent is incon-
rated in $s$ it is at enacted e change al tender could in this
this cafe be cheated in confequence of the high valuation of aliver in coin; as no creditor can at prefent be cheated in confequence of the high valuation of copper. The bankers only would fuffer by this regulation. When a run comes upon them they fometimes endeavour to gain time by paying in fixpences, and they would be precluded by this regulation from this difcreditable method of evading immediate payment. They would be obliged in confequence to keep at all times in their coffers a greater s, antity of cafh than at prefent; and though this might no doubt le a confiderable inconveniency to them, it would at the fame time be a confiderable fecurity to their creditors.

Threz pounds feventeen fhilling and ten-pence halfpenny (the mint price of gold) ceriainly does not contain, even in our prefent excellent gold coin, more than an owise of ftandard gold, and it may be thought, therefore, fhould not purchafe more ftandard bullion. But gold in coin is anore convenienc than gold in bullion, and though, in England, the coinage is free, yet the gold which is carried in bullion to the mint, can feldom be returned in coin to the owner till after a delay of feveral weeks. In the prefent hurry of the mint, it could not be returned till after a delay of feveral months. This delay is equivalent to a fmall duty, and renders gold in coin fomewhat more valuable than an equal quantity of gold in bullion. If in the Englifh coin filver was rated according to its proper proportion to gold, the price of filver bullion would probably fall below the mint price even without any reformation of the filver coin; the value even of the prefent worn and defaced filver coin being regulated by the value of the: excellent gold coin for which it can be changed.

A small feignorage or duty upon the coinage of both gold and filver would probably increafe Atill more the fuperiority of thofe metals
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BOOK metals in coin above an equal quantity of either of them in bullion. The coinage would in this cafe increafe the value of the metal coined in proportion to the extent of this fmall duty; for the fame reafon that the fafhion increafes the value of plate in proportion to the price of that fafhion. The fuperiority of coin above bullion would prevent the melting down of the coin, and would difcourage its exportation. If upon any publick exigency it fhould become neceffary to export the coin, the greater part of it would foon return again of its own accord. Abroad it could fell only for its weight in bullion. At home it would buy more than that weight. There would be a profit, therefore, in bringing it home again. In France a feignorage of about eight per cent. is impofed upon the coinage, and the French coin, when exported, is faid to return home again of its own accord.

The occafional fluctuations in the market price of gold and filver bullion arife from the fame caufes as the like fluctuations in that of all other commodities. The frequent lofs of thofe metals from various accidents by fea and by land, the continual wafte of them in gilding and plating, in lace and embroidery, in the tear and wear of coin, and in the tear and wear of plate; require, in all countries which poffefs no mines of their own, a continual importation in order to repair this lofs and this wafte. The merchant importers, like all other merchants, we may believe, endeavour, as well as they can, to fuit their occafional importations to what, they judge, is likely to be the immediate demand. With all their attention, however, they fometimes over-do the bufinefs, and fometimes under-do it. When they import more bullion than is wanted, rather than incur the riik and trouble of exporting it again, they are fometimes willing to fell a part of it for fomething lefs than the ordinary or average price. When, on the other hand, they import lefs than is wanted, they get fomething more than this price.
in bulle of the ; for the proporin above id would it fhould it would l only for han that g it home tt. is imported, is
gold and uations in ofe metals I wafte of in the tear equire, in continual The merve, endeartations to 1d. With e bufinefs, allion than sporting it fomething ther hand, e than this price.
price. But when, under all thofe occafional fluctuations, the market price either of gold or filver bullion continues for feveral years together fteadily and conftantly, either more or lefs above, or more or lefs below the mint price; we may be affured that this fteady and conftant, either fuperiority or inferiority of price, is the effect of fomething in the ftate of the coin, which, at that time, renders a certain quantity of coin either of more value or of lefs value than the precife quantity of bullion which it ought to contain. The conftancy and fteadinefs of the effect, fuppofes a proportionable conftancy and fteadinefs in the caufe.

The money of any particular country is, at any particular time and place, more or lefs an accurate meafure of value according as the current coin is more or lefs exactly agreeable to its ftandard, or contains more or lefs exactly the precife quantity of pure gold or pure filver which it ought to contain. If in England, for example, forty-four guineas and a half contained exactly a pound weight of ftandard gold, or eleven ounces of fine gold and one ounce of alloy, the gold coin of England would be as accurate a meafure of the actual value of goods at any particular time and place as the nature of the thing would admit. But if, by rubbing and wearing, forty-four guineas and a half generally contain lefs than a pound weight of ftandard gold; the diminution, however, being greater in fome pieces than in others; the meafure of value comes to be liable to the fame fort of uncertainty to which all other weights and meafures are commonly expofed. As it rarely happens that thefe are exactly agreeable to their ftandard, the merchant adjufts the price of his goods, as well as he can, not to what thofe weights and meafures , ought to be, but to what, upon an average, he finds by experience, they actually are. In confequence of a like diforder in the coin, the price of goods comes, in the fame manner, to be adjufted, not to the quantity of pure gold or filver which the coin coin ought to contain, but to that which, upon an average, it is found by experience, it actually does contain.

By the money price of goods, it is to be observed, I underftand always the quantity of pure gold or filver for which they are fold, without any regard to the denomination of the coin. Six fillings and eight-pence, for example, in the time of Edward I. I confider as the fame money price with a pound fterling in the prefent times; becaufe it contained as nearly as we can judge the fame quantity of pure fiver. $\qquad$


CHAP. VI.
Of the component Parts of the Price of Commodities.

IN that early and rude fate of fociety which preceeds both the accumulation of flock and the appropriation of land, the proportion between the quantities of labour neceflary for acquiring different objects feems to be the only circumftance which can afford any rule for exchanging them for one another. If among a nation of hunters, for example, it usually colts twice the labour to kill a beaver which it does to kill a deer, one beaver fhould naturally exchange for or be worth two deer. It is natural that what is usually the produce of two days or two hours labour should be worth double of what is usually the produce of one day's or one hour's labour.

IF the one fpecies of labour fhould be more fevere than the other; forme allowance will naturally be made for this fuperior hardfhip;
and the produce of one hour's labour in the one way may frequently exchange for that of two hours labour in the other.

$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}$ if the one fpecies of labour requires an uncommon degree of dexterity and ingenuity, the efteem which men have for fuch talents, will naturally give a value to their produce, fuperior to what would be due to the time employed about it. Such talents can feldom be acquired but in confequence of long application, and the fuperior value of their produce may frequently be no more than a reafonable compenfation for the time and labour which muft be fpent in acquiring them. In the advanced ftate of fociety, allowances of this kind, for fuperior hardhip and fuperior fkill, are commonly made in the wages of labour; and fomething of the fame kind muft probably have taken place in its earlieft and rudeft period.

In this fate of things the quantity of labour commonly employed in acquiring or producing any commodity, is the only circumftance which can regulate the quantity of labour which it ought commonly to purchafe, command, or exchange for.

As foon as ftock has accumulated in the hands of particular perfons, fome of them will naturally employ it in fetting to work induftrious people, whom they will fupply with materials and fubfiftence, in order to make a profit by the fale of their work, or by what their labour adds to the value of the materials. In exchanging the complete manufacture either for money, for labour, or for other goods, over and above what may be fufficient to pay the price of the materials, and the wages of the workmen, fomething muft be given for the profits of the undertaker of the work who hazards his ftock in this adventure. The value which the workmen add to the materials, therefore, refolves itfolf in this Vol. I.
cafe into two parts, of which the one pays their wages, the other the profits of their employer upon the whole ftock of materials and wages which he advanced. He could have no intereft to employ them; unlefs he expected from the fale of their work fomething more than what was fufficient to replace his ftock to him; and he could have no intereft to employ a great fock rather than a fmall one, unlefs his profits were to bear fome proportion to the extent of his ftock.

The profits of ftock, it may perhaps be thought, are only a different name for the wages of a particular fort of labour the labour of infpection and direction. They are, however, altogether different, are regulated by quite different principles, and bear no proportion to the quantity, the hardhip, or the ingenuity of this fuppofed labour of infpection and direction. They are regulated altogether by the value of the ftock $\mathrm{em}^{\prime}$ 'oyed, and are greater or fmaller in proportion to the extent of this ftock. Let us fuppofe, for example, that in fome particular place, where the common annual profits of manufacturing ftock are ten per cent. there are two different manufactures, in each of which twenty workmen are employed at the rate of fifteen pounds a year each, or at the expence of three hundred a year in each manufactory. Let us fuppofe too, that the coarfe materials annually wrought up in the one coft only feven hundred pounds, while the finer materials in the other coft feven thoufand. The capital annually employed in the one will in this cafe amount only to one thoufand pounds; whereas that employed in the other will amount to feven thoufand three hundred pounds. At the rate of ten per cent. therefore, the undertaker of the one will expect an yearly profit of about one hundred pounds only; while that of the other will expect about feven hundred and thirty pounds. But though their profits are fo very different, their labour of infpection and direction may be either
other the erials and to employ fomething 1; and he an a fmall the extent
are only a boour: the altogether d bear no lity of this : regulated greater or us fuppofe, e common t. there are orkmen are $r$ at the exjet us fupup in the naterials in loyed in the is; whereas afand three re, the unabout one xpect about rofits are fo on may be either
either altogether or very nearly the fame. In many great works, almoft the whole labour of this kind is frequently committed to fome principal clerk. His wages properly exprefs the value of this labour of infpection and direction. Though in fettling them fome regard is had commonly, not only to his labour and fkill, but to the truft which is repofed in him, yet they never bear any regular proportion to the capital of which he overfees the management; and the owner of this capital, though he is thus difcharged of almoft all labour, ftill expects that his profits fhould bear a regular proportion to it. In the price of commodities, therefore, the profits of fock are a fource of value altogetier different from the wages of labour, and regulated by quire different principles.

In this ftate of things, therefore, the quantity of labour commonly employed in acquiring or producing any commodity, is by no means the only circumftance which can regulate the quantity which it ought commonly to purchafe, command, or exchange for. An additional quantity, it is eviden:, muft be due for the profits of the fock which advanced the wages and furnifhed the materials of that labour.

As foon as the land of any country has all become private property, the landlords, like all other men, love to reap where they never fowed, and demand a rent even for its natural produce. The wood of the foreft, the grafs of the field, and all the natural fruits of the earth, which, when land was in common, coft only the trouble of gathering them, come to have an additional price fixed upon them. Men muft then pay for the licence to gather them; and in exchanging them either for money, for labour, or for other goods, over and above what is due both for the labour of gathering them, and for the profits of the ftock which, employs that
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BOO K labour, fome adowance muft be made for the price of the licence, which conftitutes the firf rent of land. In the priee, therefore, of the gieater past of commodities the rent of land comes in this manner to constitute a third fource of value.

IN this fate of things, neither the quantity of labour commonly employed in acquiring or prodecing any commodity, nor the profits of the fock which advanced the wages and furnifhed the materials of that labour, are the only circumftances which can regulate the quantity of labour which it ought commonly to purchafe, command, or exchange for. A third circumftance muft likewife be taken into confideration; the rent of the land; and the commodity muft commonly purchafe, command, or exchange for, an additional quantity of labour, in order to enable the perfon who brings it to market to pay this rent.

The real value of all the different component parts of price is in this manner meafured by the quantity of labour which they can, each of -them, purchafe or command. Labour meafures the value not only of that part of price which refolves itfelf into labour, but of that which refolves itfelf into rent, and of that which refolves itfelf into profit.

In every fociety the price of every commodity finally refolves itfelf into fome one or other, or all of thofe three parts; and in every improved fociety, all the three enter more or lefs, as component parts, into the price of the far greater part of commodities.

In the price of corn, for example, one part pays the rent of the landlord, another pays the wages or maintenance of the labourers and labouring cattle employed in producing it, and the third pays the profit of the farmer. Thefe three parts feem either imme-
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ly refolves ts; and in as compoodities. ent of the : labourers third pays ter immediately
diately or ultemately to make up the whole price of corn A fourth part it may perhaps be thought, is neceffary for replacing the ftock of the farmer, or for compenfating the tear and wear of his labouring cattle, and other inftruments of hufbandry. But it muft be confidered that the price of any inftrument of hufbandry, fuch as a labouring horfe, is itfelf made up of the fame three parts; the rent of the land upon which he is reared, the labour of tending and rearing him, and the profits of the farmer who advances both the rent of this land, and the wages of this labour. Though the price of the corn, therefore, may pay the price as well as the maintenance of the horfe, the whole price ftill refolves itfelf either immediately or ultimately into the fame three parts of rent, labour, and prefit.

In the price of flour or meal, we muft add to the price of the corn, the profits of the miller, and the wages of his fervants; in the price of bread, the profits of the baker, and the wages of his fervants; and in the price of both, the labour of tranfoorting the corn from the houfe of the farmer to that of the miller, and from that of the miller to that of the baker, together with the profits of thofe who advance the wages of that labour.

The price of flax refolves itfelf into the fame three parts as that of corn. In the price of linen we muft add to this price the wages of the flax-dreffer, of the fpinner, of the weaver, of the bleacher, \&sc. together with the profits of their refpective employers.

As any particular commodity comes to be more manufactured, that part of the price which refolves itfelf into wages and profit, somes to be greater in proportion to that which refolves iffelf into rent.

number than the muft alvers, for fpinners; but pays, It always
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As the price or exchangeable value of every particular commodity, taken feparately, refolves itfelf into fome one or other or all
 of thofe three parts; fo that of all the commodities which compofe the whole annual produce of the labour of every country, taken complexly, muft refolve itfelf into the fame three parts, and be parcelled out among different inhabitants of the country, either as the wages of their labour, the profits of their ftock, or the rent of their land. The whole of what is annually either collected or produced by the labour of every fociety, or what comes to the fame thing, the whole price of it, is in this manner originally diftributed among fome of its different members. Wages, profit, and rent, are the three original fources of all revenue as well as of all exchangeable value. All other revenue is ultimately derived from fome one or other of thefe.

Whoever derives his revenue from a fund which is his own, muft draw it either from his labour, from his ftock, or from his land. The revenue derived from labour is called wages. That derived from ftock, by the perfon who manages or employs it, is called profit. That derived from it by the perfon who does not employ it himfelf, but lends it to another, is called the intereft or the ufe of money. It is the compenfation which the borrower pays. to the lender, for the profit which he has an opportunity of making by the ufe of the money. Part of that profit naturally belongs to the borrower, who runs the rifk and takes the trouble of employing it ; and part to the lender, who affords him the opportunity of making this profit. The intereft of money is always a derivative revenue, which, if it is not paid from the profit which is made by the ufe of the money, muft be paid from fome other fource of revenue, unlefs perhaps the borrower is a fpendthrift, who contracts a fecond debt in order to pay the intereft of the firft. The revenue which proceeds altogether from land, is called rent, and

> belongs
bolongs to the tandlond. The revenve of the farmer is dexived partly from hig-labour, and partly from his fock. To bim, linad is only the inftrument which fuablee him to earn the wages of this labour, and to make the profits of this flock. All taxese and all the revenue which is founded upon them, all falaries, penfions, and annuities of every kind, are ultimately derived from fome one or other of thofe three original fources of revenue, and are paid either immediately or mediately from the wages of labour, the profits of fock, or the rent of land.

When thofe three different forts of revenue belong to different perfons, they are readily diftinguifhed; but when they belong to the fame they are fometimes confounded with one another, at leaft in common language.

A gentleman who farms a part of his own eftate, after paying the expence of cultivation, fhould gain both the rent of the landtoid and the profitof the farmer. He is apt to denominate, however, his whole gain, profit, and thus confounds rent with profit, at leaft in common language. The greater part of our North American and Weft Indian planters are in this fituation. They farm, the greater part of them, their own eftates, and accordingly we feldom hear of the rent of a plantation, but frequently of its profit.

Common farmers feldom employ any overfeer to direct the general operations of the farm. They generally too work a good deal with their own hands, as ploughmen, harrowers, Eic. What remains of the crop after paying the rent, therefore, fhould not only replace to them their ftock employed in cultivation, together with its ordinary profits, but pay them the wages which are due to them, both as labourers and overfeers. Whatever remains, however,
is denived bim, iland ges of this ese and all penfions, I fome one id are paid abour, the
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however, after paying the rent and keeping up the'ftock, is called CHAP. profit. But wages evidently make a part of it. The farmer, by faving thefe wages, muft neceffarily gein them. Wages, therefore, are in this cafe confounded with profit.

AN independent manufacturer, who has ftock enough both to purchafe materials and to maintain himelf till he can carry his work to market, fhould gain both the wages of a journeyman, who works under a mafter, and the profit which that mafter makes by the fale of his work. His whole gains, however, are commonly called profit, and wages are, in this cafe too, confounded with profit.

A gardener who cultivates his own garden with his own hands, unites in his own perfon the three different characters, of landlord, farmer, and labourer. His produce, therefore, thould pay him the rent of the firf, the profit of the fecond; and the wages of the third. The whole, however, is commonly confidered as the carnings of his labour. Both rent and profit are, in this cafe, confounded with wages.

As in a civilized country there are but few commodities of which the exchangeable value arifes from labour only, rent and profit contributing largely to that of the far greater part of them, fo the annual produce of its labour will always be fufficient to purchafe or command a much greater quantity of labour than what was employed in raifing, preparing, and bringing that produce to market. If the fociety was annually to employ all the labour which it can annually purchafe, as the quantity of labour would increafe greatly every year, fo the produce of every fucceeding year would be of vaftly greater value than that of the foregoing. But there is no country in which the whole annual produce is employed in maintaining the

Vol. I.
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induftrious.
soox induftrious. The idle every where confume a great part of its and according to the different proportions in which it is annually divided between thofe two different orders of people, its ordinary or average value muft either annually increafe, or dimininifh, or continue the fame from one year to another.

## CHAP. VII. <br> Of the natural and market Price of Commodities.

THERE is in eyery fociety or neighbourhood an ordinary or average rate both of wages and profit in every different employment of labour and ftock. This rate is naturally regulated, as I fhall Dhow hereafter, partly by the general circumftances of the fociety, their riches or poverty, their advancing, flationary, or declining condition; and partly by the particular nature of each employment.

There is likewife in every fociety or neighbourhood an ordinary or average rate of rent, which is regulated too, as I fhatl fhow hereafter, partly by the general circumftances of the fociety or neighbourhood in which the land is fituated, and partly by the natural or improved fertility of the land.

These ordinary or average rates may be called the natural rates of wages, profit, and rent, at the time and place in which they commonly prevail.

When the price of any commodity is neither more nor lefs than what is fufficient to pay the rent of the land, the wages of the
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labour, and the profits of the fock employed in raifing, preparing, and bringing it to market, according to their natural rates, the commodity is then fold for what may be called its natural price.

The commodity is then fold precifely for what it is worth, or for what it really cofts the perfon who brings it to market; for though in common language what is called the prime coft of any commodity does not comprehend the profit of the perfon who is to fell it again, yet if he fells it at a price which does not allow him the ordinary rate of profit in his neighbourhood, he is evidently a lofer by the trade; fince by employing his ftgck in fome other way he might have made that profit. His profit, befides, is his revenue, the proper fund of his fubfiftence. As, while he is preparing and bringing the goods to market, he advances to his workinen their wages, or their fubfiftence, fo he advances to himfelf, in the fame manner, his own fubfiftence, which is generally fuitabldsto the profit which he may reafonably expect from the fale of his goods. Unlefs they yield him this profit, therefore, they do not repay him what they may very properly be faid to have really coft him.

Thovor the price, therefore, which leaves him this profit, is not always the loweft at which a dealer may fometimes fell his goods, it is the loweft at which he is likely to fell them for any confiderable time; at leaft where there is perfect liberty, or where he may change his trade as often as he pleafes.

The actual price at which any commodity is commonly fold is called its market price. It may cither be above, or belaw, or exactly the fame with its natural price.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{2} \quad \text { THE }
$$

The market price of every particular commodity is regulated by the proportion between the quantity which is actually brought to mafket, and the demand of thofe who are willing to pay the natural price of the commodity, or the whole value of the rent, labour, and profit, which muft be paid in order to bring it thither. Such people may be called the effectual demanders, and their demand the effectual demand; fince it may be fufficient to effectuate the bringing of the commodity to market. It is different from the abfolute demand. A very poor man may be faid, in fome fenfe, to have a demand for a coach and fix; he might like to have it; but his demand is not an effectual demand, as the commodity can never be brought to market in order to fatisfy it.

When the quantity of any commodity which is brought to market falls fhort of the effectual demand, all thofe who are willing to pay the whole value of the rent, wages, and profit, which muft be paid in order to bring it thither, cannot be fupplied with the quantity which they want. Rather than want it.altogether, fome of them will be willing to give more. A competition will immediately begin among them, and the market price will rife more or lefs. above the natural price, according as the greatnefs of the deficiency increafes more or lefs the eagernefs of this competition. The fame deficiency will generally occafion a more or lels eager competition, according as the acquifition of the commodity happens to be of more or lefs importance to the competitors. Hence the exorbitant price of the neceffaries of life during the, blockade of $\alpha$ : town or in a famine.

When the quantity brought to market exceeds the effectual demand, it cannot be all fold to thofe who are willing to pay thewhole value of the rent, wages and profit, which muft be paid in order to bring it thither. Some part muft be fold to thofe who
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are willing to pay lefs, and the low price which they give for it muft reduce the price of the whole The market price will fink more or lefs below the natural price, according as the greatnefs of the excels increafes more or lefs the competition of the fellers, or according as it happens to be more or lefs important to them to get immediately rid of the commodity. The fame excefs in the importation of perifhable, will occafion a much greater competition than in that of durable commodities; in the importation of oranges, for example, than in that of old iron.

When the quantity brought to market is juft fufficient to fupply the effectual demand and no more, the market price naturally comes to be either exactly, or as nearly as can be judged of, the fame with the natural price. The whole quantity upon hand can be difpofed of for this price, and cannot be difpofed of for more. The competition of the different dealers obliges them all to accept of this price, but does not oblige them to accept of lefs.

The quantity of every commodity brought to market naturally fuits itfelf to the effectual demand. It is the intereft of all thofe who employ their land, labour, or fock, in bringing any commodity to market, that the quantity never Thould exceed the effectual demand; and it is the intereft of all other people that it: never fhould fall fhort of it.

Is at any time it exceeds the effectual demand, fome of the component parts of its price muft be paid below their natural rate. If it is rent, the intereft of the landlords will immediately prompt them to withdraw a part of their land; and if it is wages or profit, the intereft of the labourers in the one, cafe, and of their employers in the other, will prompt them to withdraw: a part:
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BOOK a part of their labour or ftock from this employment. The quantity brought to market will foon be no more than fifficient to fupply the effectual demand. All the different parts of its price will rife to their natural rate, and the whole price to its natural price.
$I_{F}$, on the contrary, the quantity brought to market fhould at any time fall fhort of the effectual demand, fome of the component parts of its price muft rife above their natural rate. If it is rent, the intereft of all other landlords will naturally prompt them to prepare more land for the raifing of this commodity; if it is wages or profit, the intereft of all other labourers and dealers will foon prompt them to employ more labour and ftock in preparing and bringing it to market. The quantity brought thither will foon be fufficient to fupply the effectual demand. All the different parts of its price will foon fink to their natural rate, and the whole price to its natural price.

The natural price, therefore, is, as it were, the central price, to which the prices of all commodities are continually gravitating. Different accidents may fometimes keep them fufpended a good deal above it, and fometimes force them down even fomewhat below it. But whatever may be the obfacles which hinder them from fettling in this center of repofe and continuance, they are conftantly tending towards it.

The whole quantity of induftry annually employed in order to bring any commodity to market, naturally fuits itfelf in this manner to the effectual demand. It naturally aims at bringing always that precife quantity thither which may be fufficient to fupply, and no more than fupply, that demand.
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But in forme employments the fame quantity of induftry will CHAP. in different years produce very different quantities of commodities; while in others it will produce always the fame, or very nearly the fame. The fame number of labourers in hufbandry wiil, in different years, produce very different quantities of corn, wine, oil, hops, \&cc. But the fame number of finners and weavers will every year produce the fame or very nearly the fame quantity of linen and woollen cloth. It is only the average prodire of the one fpecies of induftry which can be fuited in any "foect to the effectual demand; and as its actual produce is freque. atch greater and frequently much lefs than its average produce, the quantity of the commodities brought to market will fometimes exceed a good deal, and fometimes fall fhort a good deal of the effectual demand. Even though that demand therefore fhould continue always the fame, their market price will be liable to great fluctuations, will fometimes fall a good deal below, and fometimes rife a good deal above their natural price. In the other fpecies of induftry, the produce of equal quantities of labour being always the fame or very nearly the fame, it can be more exactly fuited to the effectual demand. While that demand continues the fame, therefore, the market price of the commodities is likely to do fo too, and to be either altogether, or as nearly as can be judged of, the fame with the natural price. That the price of linen and woollen cloth is liable neither to fuch frequent nor to fuch great variations as the price of corn, every man's experience will inform him. The price of the one fpecies of commodities varies only with the variations in the demand: That of the other varies, not only with the variations in the demand, but with the much greater and more frequent variations in the quantity of what is brought to market in order to fupply that demand.

The of any commodity fall chiefly upon thofe parts of its price which refolve themfelves into wages and profit. That part which refolves itfelf into rent is lefs affected by them. A rent certain in money is not in the leaft affected by them either in its rate or in its value. A rent which confifts either in a certain proportion or in a certain quantity of the rude produce, is no doubt affected in its yearly value by all the occafional and temporary fluctuations in the market price of that rude produce: but it is feldom affected by them in its yearly rate. In fettling the terms of the leafe, the landlord and farmer endeavour, according to their beft judgement, to adjuft that rate, not to the temporary and occafional, but to the average and ordinary price of the produce.

Such fluctuations affect both the value and the rate either of wages or of profit, according as the market happens to be either over-ftocked or under-ftocked with commodities or with labour; with work done, or with work to be done. A publick mourning raifes the price of black cloth (with which the market is almoft always under-ftocked upon fuch occafions) and augments the profits of the merchants who pofiefs any confiderable quantity of it. It has no effect upon the wages of the weavers. The market is under-ftocked with commodities, not with labour; with work done, not with work to be done. It raifes the wages of journeymen taylors. The market is here under-ftocked with labour. There is an effectual demand for labour, for more work to be done than can be had. It finks the price of coloured filks and eloths, and thereby reduces the profits of the merchants who have any confiderable quantity of them upon hand. It finks too the wages of the workmen employed in preparing fuch commodities, for which all demand is fopped fo: fik months, perhaps for a twelvemonth.

 dities ${ }^{\prime}$ art Whithlabotr. ${ }^{2}$ ?

Bot though the tharket price of evely particular commodity is in this thantier continually gravitaing, if one may fay fo, towaids the natural price, yet fometimes particular accidents, fometimes natural caufes, and fometimes particular regulations of police, may, in many commodities, keep up the market price, for a long time together, a good deal above the natural price.

WHEN by an increafe in the effectual demand, the market price of fome particular commodity happens to rife a good deal above the natural price, thofe who employ their ftocks in fupplying that market are generally careful to conceal this change. If it was commonly known, their great profit would tempt fo many new rivals to employ their focks in the fame way that, the effectual demand being fully fupplied, the market price would foon be reduced to the natural price, and perhaps for fome time even below it. If the market is at a great diffance from the refidence of thofe who fupply it, they may fometimes be able to keep the fecret for feveral years together, and may fo long enjoy their extraordinary profits without any new rivals. Secrets of this kind however, it muft be acknowledged, can feldom be long kept; and the extraordinary profit can laft very little longer than they are kept.

Secrets in manufactures are capable of being longer kept than fecrets in trade. A dyer who has found the means of producing a particular colour with materials which coft only half the price of thofe commonly made ufe of, may, with good management, enjoy the advantage of his difcovery as long as he lives, and even leave it as a legacy to his pofterity. His extraordinary
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BOOK gains axife from the high price which is peid for tris private labour. They properly confift in the high wages of that labour. But as they are repeated upon every part of his ftock, and as their whole amount bears, upon that account, a regular propertion to it, they are commonly confidered as extraordinary profits of Atock.

Sucs enhancements of the market price are evidently the effeets of particular accidents, of which, however, the operation may fometimes laft for many years together.

Some natural productions require fiuch a fingularity of foil and fituation, that all the land in a great country, which is fit for producing them, may not be fufficient to fupply the effectual demand. The whole quantity brought to market, therefore, may be difpofed of to thofe who are willing to give more than what is fufficient to pay the rent of the land which produced them, together with the wages of the labour, and the profits of the ftock which ware employed in preparing and bringing them to market, according to their natural rates. Such commodities may continue to be fold at this high price for whole centuries together, and that part of it which refolves itfelf into the rent of land is in this cafe the part which is generally paid above its natural rate. The rent of the land which affords fuch fingular and efteemed productions, like the rent of fome vineyards in France of a peculiarly happy foil and fituation, bears no regular proportion to the rent of other equally fertile and equally well cultivated land in its neighbourhood. The wages of the labour and the profits of the ftock employed in bringing fuch commodities to market, on the contrary, are feldom out of their natural proportion to thofe of the other employments of labour and ftock in their neighbourhood.

Such enhancements of the market price are evidently the effect of natural caufes which may hinder the effectual demand from
abour.
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A MONOPOLY granted either to an individual or to a trading company has the fame effect as a fecret in trade or manufactures. The monepolifts, by keeping the market conftantly underftocked, by never fully fupplying the effectual demand, fell their commodities much above the natural price, and raife their emoluments; whether they confift in wages or profit, greatly above their natural rate.

The price of monopoly is upon every occafion the highert which can be got. The natural price, or the price of free competition, on ${ }^{-}$ the contrary, is-the loweft which can be taken, not upon every occafion, indeed, but for any confiderable time together. The one is upon every occafion the higheft which cán be fqueezed out of the buyers, or which, it is fuppofed, they will confent to give: The other is the loweet which the fellers can commonly afford to take, and at the fame time continue their bufnefs.

The exclufive privileges of corporations, fatutes of apprenticefhip, and all thofe laws which reftrain, in particular employments, the competition to a fmaller number than might otherwife go into them, have the fame tendency, though in a lefs degree. They are a fort of enlarged monopolies, and may frequently, for ages together and in whole clafles of employments, keep up the market: price of particular commodities above the natural price, and maintain both the wages of the labour and the profits of the flock employed about them fomewhat above their natural rate.

Such enhancements of the market price may laft as long as the regulations of police which give occafion to them.
$\mathrm{L}_{2}$ The
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The market price of any particular commodity, though it may continue long above, can feldom continue long below its natural price. Whatever part of it was paid below the natural rate, the perfons whofe intereft it affected would immediately feel the lofs, and would immediately withdraw either fo much land, or fo much labour, or fo much ftock; from being enployed about it, that the quantity brought to market would foon be no more than fufficient to fupply the effectual demand. Its market price, therefore, would foon rife to the natural price. This at leaft would be the cafe. where there was perfect liberty.

THE fame ftatutes of apprenticefhip and other corporation laws indeed, which, when a manufacture is in profperity, enable the workman to raife his wages a good deal above their natural rate, fometimes oblige him, when it decays, to let them down a good deal below it. As in the one cafe they exclude many people from his employment; fo in the other they exclude him from many employments. The effect of fuch regulations, however, is not near fo durable in finking the workman's wages below, as in raifing them above their natural rate. Their operation in the one way may endure for many centuries, but in the other it can laft no longer than the lives of fome of the workmen who were bred to the bufinefs in the time of its profperity. When they are gone, the number of thofe who are afterwards educated to the trade will naturally fuit itfelf to the effectual demand. The police muft be as violent as that of Indoftan or antient Egypt (where every man was bound by a principle of religion to follow the occupation of his father, and was fuppofed to commit the moft horrid facrilege if hie changed it for another) which can in any particular employment, and for feveral generations together, fink either the wages of labour or the profits of fock below their natural rate.

This
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THis is all that I think neceffary to be obferved at prefent con- CHAP. cerning the deviations, whether occafional or permanent, of the market price of commodities from the natural price.

The natural price itfelf varies with the natural rate of each of its component parts, of wages, profit, and rent ; and in every fociety this rate varies according to their circumftances, according to their riches or poverty, their advansing, ftationary, or declining condition. I fhall, in the four following chapters, endeavour to explain, as fully and diftinctly as I can, the caufes of thofe different variations.

First, I fhall endeavour to explain what are the circumftances which naturally determine the rate of wages, and in what manner thofe circumftances are affected by the riches or poverty, by the advancing, fationary, or declining ftate of the fociety.

Secondiy, I fhall endeavour to fhow what are the circumftances which naturally determine the rate of profit, and in what manner too thofe circumftances are affected by the like variations in the fate of the fociety.

Though pecuniary wages and profit are very different in the different employments of labour and ftock; yet a certain proportion feems commonly to take place between both the pecuniary wages in all the different employments of labour, and the pecuniary profits in all the different employments of ftock. This proportion, it will appear hereafter, depends partly upon the nature of the different employments, and partly upon the different laws and policy of the fociety in which they are carried on. But though in many refpects dependant upon the laws and policy, this proportion feems to be little affected by the riches

BOOK or poverty of that faciety; by its advancing, fationary, or declining condition; but to remain the fame or very nearly the fame in all thofe different fates. I Shall, in the third place, endeavour to explain all the different circumfances which regulate this proportion.

In the fourth and laft place I fhall endeavour to fhow what are the circumftances which regulate the rent of land, and which either raife or lower the real price of all the different fubftances which it produces.

> C H A P. VIII.

Of the Wages of Labour.

THE produce of labour conftitutes the natural recompence or wages of labour.

In that original ftate of things, which precedes both the appropriation of land and the accumulation of ftock, the whole produce of labour belongs to the labourer. He has neither landlord nor mafter to fhare with him.

HAD this ftate continued, the wages of labour would have augmented with all thofe improvements in its productive powers, to which the divifion of labour gives occafion. All things would gradually have become cheaper. They would have been produced by a fmaller quantity of labour; and as the commodities produced by equal quantities of labour would naturally in this ftate of

$$
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things be exchatiged for one another, they would have been purchafed likewife with the produce of a fmaller quantity.

Bur though all things would have become cheaper in reality, in appearance many things might have become deares than before, or have been exchanged for a greater quantity of other goods. Let us fuppofe, for example, that in the greater part of employments the productive powers of labour had been improved to tenfold, or that 2 is labour could produce ten times the quantity of work whic 'one originally; but that in a particuiar employment they improved only to double, or that a day's labour could produce only twice the quantity of work which it had done before. In exchanging the produce of a day's labour in the greater part of employments, for that of a day's labour in this particular one, ten times the original quantity of work in them would purchafe only twice the original quantity in it. Any particular quantity in it, therefore, a pound weight, for example, would appear to be five times dearer than before. In reality, however, it would be twice as cheap. Though it required five times the quantity of other goods to purchafe it, it would require only half the quantity of labour either to purchafe or to produce it. The acquifition, therefore, would be twiee as eafy as before.

But this originat fate of things, in which the labourer enjoyed the whole produce of his own labour, could not laft beyond the firf introduction of the appropriation of land and the accumnlation of ftock. It was at an end, therefore, long before the moft confiderable improvements were made in the productive powers of labour, and it would be to no purpofe to trace further what might have been its effects upon the recompence or wages of labour.

As foon as land becomes private property, the landiord demands a Mare of whatever produce the labourer can either raife, or col-
lect




BOOK lect from it. His rent makes the firt deduction from the produce of the labour which is employed upon land.

Ir feldom happens that the perfon who tills the ground has wherewithal to maintain himfelf till he reaps the harveft. His maintenance is generally advanced to him from the ftock of a mafter, the farmer who employs him, and who would have no intereft to employ him, unlefs he was to fhare in the produce of his labour, or unlefs his ftock was to be replaced to him with a profit. This profit makes a fecond deduction from the produce of the labour which is employed upon land.

The produce of almoft all other labour is liable to the like deduction of profit. In all arts and manufactures the greater part of the workmen ftand in need of a mafter to advance them the materials of their work, and their wages and maintenance till it be compleated. He fhares in the produce of their labour, or in the value which it adds to the materials upon which it is beftowed; and in this fhare confifts his profit.

It fometimes happens, indeed, that a fingle independant workman has ftock fufficient both to purchafe the materials of his work, and to maintain himfelf till it be compleated. He is both mafter and workman, and enjoys the whole produce of his own labour, or the whole value which it adds to the materials upon which it is beftowed. It includes what are ufually two diftinct revenues, belonging to two diftinct perfons, the profits of ftock, and the wages of labour.

Sucy cafes, however, are not very frequent, and in every part of Europe, twenty workmen ferve under a mafter for one that is independant; and the wages of labbur are every where undertood
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to be, what they ufually are, when the labourer is one perfon, and the owner of the ftock which employs him another.

What are the common wages of labour depends every where upon the contract ufually made between thofe two parties, whofe interefts are by no means the fame. The workmen defire to got as much, the mafters to give as little as poffible. The former are difpofed to combine in order to raife, the latter in order to lower the wages of labour.

Ir is not, however, difficult to forefee which of the two parties muft, upon all ordinary occafions, have the advantage in the difpute, and force the other into a compliance with their terms. The mafters, being fewer in number, cannot only combine more eafily, but the law authorifes their combinations, or at leaft does not prohibit them, while it prohibits thofe of the workmen. We have no acts of parliament againtt combining to lower the price of work; but many againt combining to raife it. In all fuch difputes the mafters can hold out much longer. A landlord, a farmer, a mafter manufacturer, or merchant, though they did not employ a fingle workman, could generally live a year or two upon the focks which they have already acquired. Many workmen could not fubfift a week, few could fubfift a month, and fcarce any a year without employment. In the long-run the workman may be as neceffary to his mafter as his mafter is to him; but the neceffity is not fo immediate.

We rarely hear, it has been faid, of the combinations of mafters; though frequently of thofe of workmen. But whoever imagines, upon this account, that mafters rarely combine, is as ignorant of the world as of the fubject. Mafters are always and every where in a fort of tacit, but conftant and uniform combination, not to Vor. I. $\quad \mathbf{M}$ raife

BOOK raife the wages of labour above their actual rate. To violate this combination is every where a moft unpopular action, and a fort of reproach to a mafter among his neighbours and equals. We feldom, indeed, hear of this combination, becaufe it is the ufual, and one may fay, the natural ftate of things which nobody ever hears of. Mafters too fometimes enter into particular combinations to fink the wages of labour even below this rate. Thefe are always conducted with the utmoft filence and fecrecy, till the moment of execution, and when the workmen yield, as they fometimes do, without refiftance, though feverely felt by them, they are never heard of by other people. Such combinations, however, are frequently refifted by a contrary defenfive combination of the workmen, who fometimes too, without any provocation of this kind, combine of their own accord to raife the prife of their labour. Their ufual pretences are, fometimes, the.high price of provifions; fometimes the great profit which their mafters make by their work. But whether their combinations be offenfive or defenfive they are always abundantly heard of, In order to bsing the point to a fpeedy decifion, they have always recourfe to the loudert clamour, and fometimes to the moft fhocking violence and outrage. They are defperate, and ace with the folly and extravagance of defperate men, who muff farve or frighten their mafters into an immediate compliance with their demands. $\mathbf{I}^{\top}$ :afters upon thefe occafions are juft as clamorous upon the c.isvr fide, and never ceafe to call aloud for the affiftance of the civil magiftrate, and the rigorous execution of thofe laws which have been enacted with fo much feverity againft the combinations of fervants, labourers, and journeymen. The workmen, accordingly, very feldom derive any advantage from the violence of thofe tumultuous combinations, which, partly from the interpofition of the civil magiftrate, partly from the fuperior fteadinefs of the mafters, partly from the neceffity which the greater part of the workmen are under of fub-
mitting
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But though in difputes with their workmen, maters muft generally fiave the advantage, there is however a certain rate below which it feems impofirble to reduce, for any confiderable time, the ordinary wages even of the lowert fpecies of labour.

A MAN muft always live by his work, and his wages muf at leaft be fufficient to maintain him. They muft even upon moft occafions be fomewhat more; otherwife it would be impoffible to bring up a fainily, and the race of fuch workmen could not laft beyond the firt generation. Mr. Cantilfon feems, upon this account, to fuppofe that the loweft feciés of common labourers muft every where earn at leart double their own maintenance, in order that one with another they may be enabled to bring up two children; the labour of the wife; on account of her neceffary attendance on the children, being fuppofed no more than fufficient to provide for herfelf. But one-half the children born, it is com. puted, die before the age of manhood. The pooreft labourers, ther.fore, according to this account, muft, one with another, attempt to rear at leaft four children, in order that two may have an equal chance of living to that age. But the neceffary maintenance of four children, it is fuppofed, may be nearly equal to that of one man. The labour of an able-bodied flave, the fame author adds, is computed to be worth double his maintenance; and that of the meaneft labourer, he thinks, cannot be worth lefs than that of an able-bodied flave. Thus far at leaft feems certain, that, in order to bring up a family, the labour of the hufband and wife together muft, even in the loweft feecies of common labour, be able to earn fomething more than what is precifly neceffary for their own maintenance; but in what proportion, whether in that above
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BOOK mentioned, or in any other, I fhall not take upon me to deterI. mine.

There are certain circuinfances, however, which fometimes give the labourers an adyantage, and enable them, to raife their wages confiderably above this rate; evidently the loweft which is confiftent with common humanity.

When in any country the demand for thofe who live by wages; labourers, journeymen, fervants of every kind, is continually increafing; when eyery year furnifhes employment for a greater number than had been emplayed the year before, the workmen have no uccafion to combine in order to raife their wages. The fcarcity of hands occafions a competition among mafters, who bid againft one another in order to get them, and thus voluntarily break through the natural combination of mafters not to raife wages.

The demand for thofe who live by wages, it is evident, cannot increafe but in proportion to the increafe of the funds which are deftined for the payment of wages. Thefe funds are of two kinds; firft, the revenue which is over and above what is neceffary for the maintenance; and, fecondly, the ftock which is over and above what is neeeffary for the employment of their mafters.

When the landlord, annuitant, or monied man, has a greater revenue than what he judges fufficient to maintain his own family, he employs either the whole or a part of the furplus in maintaining one or more menial fervants. Increafe this furplus, and he will naturally increafe the number of thofe fervants.

When an independant workman, fuch as a weaver or fhoemaker, has got more ftock than what is fufficient to purciafe
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 Whages of Labour, are higheft. England is certaialys in the prefent times, a much richer countriy than any part of Notth Amarich. The wages of tabour, however, are much higher in North America than is any part of Englaod. In the provinee No New iXYoks, ricommon labourers earn three fhilljinger and Expeppe sureency, hequal to two hhillings fterling, a day a flip ormentorsoftes, haillings and fixpence currency, with a pint of rum worth fixpengeifterling, vequal inalif to fix fhillings and fixpence ferling; houfe carpenters saded bricklayets, eight fhillings currencys equal to four fhillings
 equal to about two ohillinge and ten-pence fterlingor Thefe, prices are all above the London prices and wages are faid to be as high in the other cotonies as in New York. The price of provifions is every where in North America much lower than in England. A dearth has never been known there. In the morf feafons, they Vol. I. $M_{3}$ have

BOO K have always had a fufficiency for themfelves, though lefs for exportation. If the money price of labour, therefore, be higher than it is any where in the mother country, its real price; the real command of the neceffaries and conveniencies of lifo which it conveys. to the labourer, muft be higher in a ftill greater proportion.

- But though North America is hot yet fo rich as England, it is much more thriving, and advancing with much greater rapidity to the further acquifition of riches: The moft decifive mark of the profperity of any country is the inereafe of the number of its inhabitants. In Great Britain and mof other Earoperan countries they, are not fuppofed to double in leff tham five hundred years. In the Britifh colonies in North America, it has been found; that they double in twenty or five and twenty years. Nor in the prefent times is this increafe principally. owing to the continual importation of new inhabitants, but to the great multiplication of the feecies. Thofe who live to old age, it is fiaid, frequantly. fee there from fifty to a hundred, and fometimes many more, defendants from their own body. Labour is there of well rewarded that a numerous family of children, inftead of being a borthen, is a fource of opulence and profperity to the parents. The labour of each child, before it can leave their houfe, is computed to be worth a hundred pounds clear gain to them. A young widow with four or five young children, who, among the middling or inferior ranks of people in Europe, would have fo little chance for a fecond hufbanid, is there frequently courted as a fort of fortune. The value of children is the greateft of all encouragements to marriage. Whe cannot, therefore, wonder that the people in North America fhould generalky marry very young. Notwithftanding the great increafe occafioned by fuch early marriages, there is a continual complaint of the feareity of hands in North America. The demand for labourers, the funds deftined for maintaining them, increafe, it feems, fill fafter than they can find labourers to employ:
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Thover the wealth of a country fhould be very great, yèt CHAP. if it has been long ftationary, we muft not expect to find the wages of labour very high in it. The funds deftined for the payment of wages, the revenue and fock of its inhabitants, may be of the greateft extent, but if they have continued for feveral centuries of the fame, or very nearly of the fame extent, the number of labourers employed every year could eafily fupply, and even morethan fupply, the number wanted the following year. There could. feldom be any fearcity of hands, nor could the mafters be obliged to bid againft one another in order to get them. The hands, on the contrary, would, in this cafe, naturally multiply beyond their employment. There would be a conftant fcarcity of employment; and the labourers would be obliged to bid againft one another in order to get it. If in fuch a country the wages of labour had ever been more than fufficient to maintain the labourer and to enable him. to bring up a family, the competition of the labourers and the intereft of the mafters would foon reduce them to this loweft rate which is confiftent with common humanity. China has been long. one of the richeft, that is, one of the moft fertile, beft cultivated, moft induftrious and moft populous countries in the world. It.feems,. however, to have been long fationary. Marco Polo, who vifited it more than five hundred years ago, defcribes its cultivation, induftry and populoufnefs almoft in the fame terms in which they, are defaribed by travellers in the prefent times. It had perhaps even long: before his time acquired that full complement. of sicies which the nature of its laws and inftitutions permits it to acquire: The accounts of all travellers, inconfiftent in many other refpects, agree : in the low wages of labour, and in the difficulty which a labourer finds in bringing up a family in China. If by digging the ground a whole day he can get what will purchafe a fmall quantity of rice in the evening, he is contented. The condition of artificers is, if poffible, ftill worfe. Inftead of waiting indolently in their workhoufes,
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BOOK houfes, for the calls of their cuftomem, as in Europe, they are continually runaing about the freets with the tools of their refpective trades, offering their fervice, and as it wore"Begting employment. The poverty of the lower ranks of people in China far furpaffes that of the moft beggarly nations in Europe. In the neighbourhood of Canton many hundred, it is commonly fatid, many thoufand families have no habitation on the land, but live conftantly in little fifhing boats upon the rivers and canals. The fubfiftence which they find there is fo fcanty that they are eager to fifh up the naftieft garbage thrown overboard from any European thip. Any carrion, the carcafe of a dead dog or cat, for example, though half putrid and ftinking, is as welcome to them as the moft wholefome food to the people of other countries. Marriage is encouraged in China, not by the profitablenefs of children, but by the liberty of deftroying them. In all great towns feveral are every night expofed in the ftreet or drowned like puppies in the water. The performance of this horrid office is even faid to be the avowed bufinefs by which fome people earn their fubfiftence.

China, however, though it may perhaps ftand ftill, does not feem to go backwards. Its towns are nowhere deferted by their inhabitants. The lands which had once been cultivated are nowhere neglected. The fame or very nearly the fame annual labour muft therefore continue to be performed, and the funds deftined for maintaining it muft not, confequently, be fenfibly diminifhed. The loweft clafs of labourers, therefore, notwithftanding their fcanty fubfiftence, muft fome way or another make fhift to continue their race fo far as to keep up their ufual numbers.

But it would be otherwife in a country where the funds deftined for the maintenance of labour were fenfibly decaying. Every year the demand for fervants and labourers would, in all the different claffes
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claffes of employments, be lefs than it had been the year bofore. Many who had been bred in the fuperior claffes, not boing able to find employment in their own bufinefs, would be glad to feek it in the loweft. The loweft clafs being not only overftoeked with its own workmen, but with the overflowings of all the other claffes, the competition for employment would be fo great in it as to reduce the wages of labour to the moft miferable and feanty fubfiftence of the labourer. Many would not be able to find employment even upon thefe hard terms, but would either ftarye, or be driven to feek a fubfiftence either by begging, or by the perpetration perhaps of the greateft enormities. Want, famine, and mortality would immediately prevail in that clafs, and from thence extend themfelves to all the fuperior clafies, till the number of inhabitants in the country was reduced to what could eafily be maintained by the revenue and ftock which remained in it, and which had efcaped either the tyranny or calamity which had deftroyed the reft. This perhaps is nearly the prefent ftate of Bengal, and of fome other of the Englifh fettlements in the Eaft Indies. In a fertile country which hat before been much depopulated, where fubfiftence, confequently, fhould not be very difficult, and where, notwithftanding, three or four hundred thoufand people die of hunger in one year, we may be affured that the funds deftined for the maintenance of the labouring poor are faft decaying. The difference between the genius of the Britifh conftitution which protects and governs North America, and that of the mercantile company which oppreffes and domineers in the Eeft Indies, cannot perhaps be better illuftrated than by the different ftate of thofe countries.

The liberal reward of labour, therefore, as it is the neceffary effect, fo it is the natural fymptom of increafing national wealth. The fcanty maintenance of the labouring poor, on the other hand, is the natural fymptom that things are at a ftand, and their ftarving condition that they are going faft backwards.

Vol. I.
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B OOK In Great Britain the wages of habout feemp in the prefent tigness to be evidently more than what is precifly neceeffary to enable the labourer to bring up a family. In order to fatisfy ourfelves upon this point it will not be neceffary to enter into any terious or doubtful calculation of what may be the loweft fum upol, which it is poffible to do this. There are many plain fymptoms that the wages of labour are nowhere in this country regulated by this loweft rate which is confiftent with common humanity,

First, in almoft every part of Great Britain there is a diftinction, even in the loweft fecies of labour, between fummer and winter wages. Summer wages, are always higheft. But on account of the extraordinary expence of fewel, the maintenance of a family is moft expenfive in winter. Wages, therefore, being higheft when this expence is loweft, it feems evident that they are not regulated by what is neceffary for this expences but by the quantity and fuppofed value of the work. A labourer, it may be faid indeed, ought to fave part of his fummer wages in order to defray his winter expence; and that through the, whole year they do not exceed what is neceffary to maintain his family through the whole year. A lave, however, or one abfolutely dependent on us for immediate fubfiftence, would not be treated in this manner. His daily fubfiftence would be proportioned to his daily neceffities.

Secondiy, the wages of labour do not in Great Britain fluctuate with the price of provifions. Thefe vary everywhere from year to year, frequently from month to month. But in many places the money price of labour remains uniformly the fame fometimes for half a century together. If in thefe places, therefore, the labouring poor can maintain their families in dear years, they muft be at their eafe in times of moderate plenty, and in affluence in thofe of extraordinary cheapnefs. The high price of provifions during thefe ten years paft has not in many parts of the kingdom
kingdom been accompanied with any fenfible rife in the money price of labous. It has, indeed, in fomes owing probably more to the increafo of the demand for labour than to that of the prise of provifioner

Thindiyp as the price of provifions varies more from year to year than the wages of labour, fo, on the other hand, the wages of labour vary more from place to place than the price of provifions. The prices of bread and butcher's meat are generally the fame or very nearly the fame through the greater part of the united kingdom. Thefe and moft other things which are fold by retail, the way in which the labouring poor buy all things, are generally fully as cheap or cheaper in great towns than in the remoter parts of the country, for reafons which I mhall have occafion to explain hereafter. But the wages of labour in a great town and its neighbourhood are frequently a fourth or a fifth part, twenty or five and twenty per cent higher than at al few miles diftance. Eighteen pence a day may be reckoned the common price of labour in London and its neighbourhood. At a few miles diftance it falls to fourteen and fifteen pence. Ten-pence may be reckoned its price in Edinburgh and its neighbourhood. At a few miles diftance it falls to eight pence, the ufual price of common labour through the greater part of the low country of Scotland, where it varies a good deal lefs than in England. Such a difference of prices, which it feems is not always .fufficient to tranfport a man from one parifh to another, would neceffarily occafion fo great a tranfportation of the moft bulky commodities, not only from one parih to another, but from one end of the kingdom, almoft from one end of the world to the other, as would foon reduce them more nearly to a level. After all that has been faid of the levity and inconftancy of human nature, it appears evidently from experience that a man is of all forts of luggage the moft

BOOK difficult to bectranfported.d I6 the dabouring poorbitherefore, can maintain their families in thofe parts of ithe kingdom where the price of labour is loweft, they muft be in affluence where it is higheft.

Fourthiy, the variations in the price of labour not only do not correfpond either in place or time with thofe in the price. of provifions, but they are frequently quite oppofite.

Grain, the food of the common people, is dearer in Scotland than in Engtand, whence Scotland receives atmof every year very large fupplies. But Englifh corn muft be fold dearer in Scotland, the country to which it is brought, than in England, the cquntry from: which it comes; and in proportion to its quality it cannot be fold: dearer in Scotland than the Scotch corn that comes to the fame market in competition with it. The quality of grain depends chiefly upon the quantity of flour or meal which it yields at the mill, and: in this refpect Engligh grain is fo much fuperior to the. Scotch that, though often dearer in appearance, or in proportion to the meafure of its bulk, it is generally cheaper in reality or in proportion: to its quality, or even to the meafure of its weight. The price: of labour, on the contrary, is dearer in England than in Scotland. If the labouring, poor, therefore, can maintain their families in the one part of the united kingdom; they muft be in affluence in the other. Oatmeal indeed fupplies the common people in. Scotland with the greateft and the beft part of their food, which is in general much inferior to that of their neighbours of the: fame rank in England. This difference, however, in the mode: of their fubfiftence is not the caufe, but the effect of the difference. in their wages ; though, by a ftrange mifapprehenfion, I have frequently heard it reprefented as the caufe. It is not.becaufe one : man keeps a coach while his neighbour walks a-foot, that the

4 one
ofio isprich andiake other poorsibut becaufe the one is rich he keeps alcoach," and becaufe the other is poor he walks a-foot.

During the courfe of the laft century, taking one year with another, grain was dearer in both parts of the united kingdom. than during that of the prefent. This is a matter of fact which cannot now admit of any reafonable, doubt; and the proof of, it is, if poffible, ftill more decifive with regard to Scotland than with regard to England. It is in Scotland fupported by the evidence of the publick fiars, annual valuations made upon oath, according to the actual ftate of the markets, of all the different ferts of grain in every different county of. Scotland. If fuch direct proof could require any collateral evidence to confirm it, I woukd obferve that this has likewife been the cafe in France, and probably in moft other parts of Europe. With regard to France there is the cleareft proof. Bat though it is certain that in both parts of the united kingdom grain was fomewhat dearer in the laft century than in the prefent, it is equally certain that labour was much cheaper. If the labouring poor, therefore, could bring up. their families then; they muft be much more at their eafe now. In the laft century, the moft ufual day-wages of common labous: through the greater part of Scotland were fixpence in fummen and five-pence in winter. Three fhillings a week, the fame price very nearly, ftill continues to be paid in fome parts of the. Highlands and weftern Illands. Through the greater part of the low country the moft ufual wages of common labour are now eightpence a day; ten-pence, fometimes a fhilling about Edinburgh, in the counties which border upon England, probably on account of that neighbourhood, and in a few other places where there has lately been a confiderable rife in the demand for labour, about Glafgow, Carron, Ayr-fhire, \&cc. In England the improvements of agriculture, manufactures and commerce began much earliep than:
than in Scotland. The demand for labour, and confequently its price, muit neceffarily have increafed with thofe improvements. In the laft century, accordingly, as well as in the prefent, the wages of labour were higher in England than in Scotland. They have tifen too confiderably fince that time, thotigh on account of the greater variety of wages paid there in different places, it is more difficult to afcertain how much. In 1614, the pay of a foot foldier was the fame as in the prefent times, eight pence a day. When it was firft eftablifhed it would naturally be regulated by the ufual wages of common labourers, the rank of people from which foot foldiers are cominonly drawn. Lord Chief Juftice Hales, who wrote in the time of Charles II. computes the neceffary expence of a labourer's family, confiting of fix perfons, the father and mother, two children able to do fomething and two not able, at ten fhillings a week, or twenty-fix pounds a year. If they cannot earn this by their labour, they muft make it up, he fuppofes, either by begging or ftealing. He appears to have enquired very carefully into this fubject. In 1688, Mr. Gregory King, whofe fkill in political arithmetick is fo much extolled by Doctor Davenant, computed the ordinary income of labourers and out-fervants to be fifteen pounds a year to a family, which he fuppofed toconfift, one with another, of three and a half perfons. His calculation, therefore, though different in appearance, correfponds. very nearly at bottom with that of judge Hales. Both fuppofe the weekly expence of fuch families to be about twenty-pence a head. Both the pecuniary income and expence of fuch families have increafed confiderably fince that time through the greater part of the kingdom; in fome places more, and in fome lefs; though perhaps fcarce any where fo much as fome exaggerated accounts of the prefent wages of labour have lately reprefented them to the publick. The price of labour, it muft be obferved, cańnot be afcertained very accurately anywhere, different prices being
being often : at the fame place and for the fame fort of labour, not only ace ing.to the different abilities of the workmen, but according to the cafinefs or hardnefs of the mafters. Where wages are not regulated by law, all that we can pretend to determine is what are the moft ufual ; and experience feems to fhow that law can never regulate them properly, though it has often pretended to do fo.

The real recompence of labour, the real quantity of the neceffaries and conveniencies of life which it can procure to the labourer, has, during the courfe of the prefent century, increafed perhaps in a ftill greater proportion than its money price. Not only grain has become fomewhat cheaper, but many other things from which the induftrious poor derive an agreeable and wholefome variety of food, have become a great deal cheaper. Potatoes, for example, do not at prefent, through the greater part of the kingdom, coft half the price which they ufed to do thirty or forty years ago. The fame thing may be faid of turnips, carrots; cabbages; things which were formerly never raifed but by the fpade, but which are now commonly raifed by the plough. All fort of garden ftuff too has become cheaper. The greater part of the apples and even of the onions confumed in Great Britain were in the laft century imported from Flanders. The great improvements in the coarfer manufactures of both linen and woollen cloth furnifh the labourers with cheaper and better cloathing; and thofe in the manufactures of the coarfer metals, with cheaper and better inftruments of trade, as well as with many agreeable and convenient pieces of houfehold furniture. Soap, falt, candles, leather, and fermented liquors have, indeed, become a good deal dearer; chiefly from the taxes which have been laid upon them. The quantity of thefe however which the labouring poor are under any neceffity of confuming, is fo very fmall that
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the increafe in their priee does not compenfate the diminution in that of fo many other things. The common complaint that Juxury extends itfelf even to the loweft ranks of the people, and that the labouring poor will not now be contented with the fame food, cloathing and lodging which fatisfied them in former times, may convince us that it is not the money price of labour only, but its real recompence which has augmented.

Is this improvement in the circumftances of the lower ranks of the people to be regarded as an advantage or as an inconveniency to the fociety ? The anfwer feems at firt fight abundantly plain. Servants, labourers and workmen of different kinds, make up the far greater part of every great political fociety. But what improves the circumitances of the greater part can never be regarded as an inconveniency to the whole. No fociety can furely bel flouriffing and happy, of which the far greater part of the members are poor and miferable. It is but equity, befides, that they who feed, cloath and lodge the whole body of the people, fhould have fuch a fhare of the produce of their own labour as to be themfelves tolerably well fed, cloathed and lodged.

## neil) whenk lit :

Poverty, though it no doubt difcourages, does not always prevent marriage. It feems even to be favourable to generation. A half ftarved Highland woman frequently bears more than twenty children, while a pampered fine lady is often incapable of bearing any, and is generally exhaufted by two or three. Barrennefs, fo frequent among women of fafhion, is very rare among thofe of inferior ftation. Luxury in the fair fex, while it enflames perhaps the paffion for enjoyment, feems always to weaken and frequently to deftroy altogether the powers of generation.
${ }_{c}$ ByT povertysinthoughe it does not prevent the generation, is extreamly unfavourable to the rearirgi of childrent The tender plant is produced, butin fo cold/a foil and fo fevere a' climate; fooil withers iand dies: It is not uncommon, I have been frequently told, in the Highlands of Scotlanid for a mother who has borne twenty children not to have two alive. Several officets of great experience have affured me that fo far from recruiting their regiment, they have never been able to fupply it with drums and fifes from all the foldiers children that were born in it, A greater number of fine children, however, is feldom feen anywhere than about a barrack of foldiers. Very few of them; it feems, arrive at the age of thirteen or fourteen. In fome places one half the children aborn die before they are four yearsi of age s in many places before they are feven; and in almoftall places before they are nine or ten. This great mortality, hawever, will svery where be found chiefly among the children of the common people, who cannot afford to tend them with the fame care as thofe of better ftation. Though their marriages are generally more fruitful than thofe of people of fafhion, a fmaller proportion of their children arrive at maturity. In foundling hofpitals, and among the children brought up by parifh charities the mortality is ftill greater than among thofe of the common people.

Every feecies of animals naturally multiplies in proportion to the means of their fubfiftence, and no fecies can ever multiply beyond it. But in civilized fociety it is only among the inferior ranks of people that the fcantinefs of fubfiftence can fet limits to the further multiplication of the human fpecies; and it can do fo in no other way than by deftroying a great part of the children which their fruitful marriages produce.

- The liberal reward of labour, by enabling them to provide better for their children, and confequently to bring up a greater number, Vol. I.

0 naturally
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BodR fraturally tends to widen and extend thofe limits. It deferves to be remarked to0, that it neceffarily does this as nearly as pof, fible in the proportion which the demand for labour requires: If this demand is continually increafing, the reward of habour muft neceflarily encourage in fuch a manner the marriage and inulitiplication of labourers, as may enable them to fupply that continually increafing demand by a continually increafing popuHetion. If it fhould at any time be lefs than what was requifite for this purpofe, the deficiency of hands would foon raife it; and if it'fhould at any time be more, their exceffive multiplication would foon lower it to this neceffary rate. The market would tee fo much uinderftocked with labour in the one cafe, and fo muth overtockod in the other, as would ifoon force tback its price to that proper Pate which the circumftances of the fociety required. It fs in this mimner that the demand for men, like that for any other commodity, neceffarily regulates the production of men; quickens it when it goes on too flowly, and ftops it when it advances too faft. It is this demand which regulates and determines the state of propagation in all the different countries of the world, in North America, in Eurape, and in China; which renders it rapidly progreffive in the firft, fow and gradual in the fecond, and altogether ftationary in the laft.

Ho Tres tear and wear of a llave, it has been faid, is at the expence of his mafter; but that of a free fervant is at his own expence. The tear and wear of the latter, however, is, in reality, as much at the expence of his mafter as that of the former. The wages peid to journeymen and fervants of every kind muit be fuch as may enable them, one with another, tacontinue the race of journeymen and fervants, according as the increafing, diminiffing, or Itationary demand of the fociety may happen to require. But though the tear and wear of a froe fervant be equally at the expence
of his mafter, it generally cofts him much lefs than that of a flave. The fund deftined for replacing or repairing, if I may fay fo, the tear and wear of the 凡ave, is commonly managed by $n$ negligent mafter or carelefs overfeer. That deftined for perform${ }_{T}$ ing the fame office with regard to the free man, is managed by the free man himfelf. The diforders which generally prevail in the cconomy of the rich, naturally introduce themfelves into the management of the former : The ftrict frugality and parfimonious attention of the poor as naturally eftablifh themelves in that of the latter. Under fuch different management, the fame purf pofe muft require very different degrees of expence to execute it. It appears, accordingly, from the experience of all ages and nations, I believe, that the work done by freemen comes cheaper in the end than that performed by llaves. It is found to do fo even at Bofton, New York, and Philadelphia, where the wages of common labour are fo very high.
(Whowines 19 dto
The liberal reward of labour, therefore, as it is the effect of increafing wealth, fo it is the caufe of increafing population $\quad$ Tp complain of it is to lament over the neceffary effect and caule, of the greateft publick profperity.
zazbas:
Lutozol
It deferves to be remarked, perhaps, that it is in the progreffive ftate, while the fociety is advancing to the further acquifition, rather than when it has acquired its full complement of riches, that the condition of the labouring poor, of the great body of the people, feems to be the happieft and the mof comfortable. It is inavd in the ftationary, and miferable in the declining ftate. The nepgreffive ftate is in reality the chearful and the bearty fate tongll the different orders of the society. The ftationary is dull the the declining malapkholy.
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$
ThI

BOOK THE liberal reward of labour, as it encourages the propagation, fo it increafes the induftry of the common people. The wages of labour are the encouragement of induftry, which, like every other human quality, improves in proportion to the encouragement it receives. A plentiful fubfiftence increafes the bodily ftrength of the labourer, and the comfortable hope of bettering his condition, and of ending his days perhaps in eafe and plenty, animates him to exert that ftrength to the utmoft. Where wages are high, accordingly, we fhall always find the workmen more active, diligent, and expeditious, than where they are low; in England, for example, than in Scotland; in the neighbourhood of great towns, than in remote country places. Some workmen, indeed, when they can earn in four days what will maintain them through the week, will be idle the other three. This, however, is by no means the cafe with the greater part. Workmen, on the contrary, when they are liberally paid by the piece, are very apt to over-work themfelves, and to ruin their health and conftitution in a few years. A carpenter in London, and in fome other places, is not fuppofed to laft in his utmoft vigour above eight years. Something of the fame kind happens in many other trades, in which the workmen are paid by the piece; as they generally are in manufactures, and even in country labour, wherever wages are higher than ordinary. Almoft every clafs of artificers is fubject to fome peculiar infirmity occafioned by exceffive application to their peculiar féecies of work. Ramuzzini, an eminent Italian phyfician, has written a particular book concerning fuch difeafes. We do not reckon our foldiers the moft induftrious fet of people among us. Yet when foldiers have been employed in fome particular forts of work, and liberally paid by the piece, their officers have frequently been obliged to ftipulate with the undertaker, that they fhould not be allowed to earn above a certain fum every day, aecording to the rate at which they were paid. Till this ftipulation was made,
mutual emulation and the defire of greater gain, frequently prompt- $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}$ ed them to over-work themielves, and to hurt their health by exceffive labour. Exceffive application during four days of the week, is frequently the real caufe of the idlenefs of the other three, fo much and fo loudly eomplained of. Great labour, either of mind or body, continued for feveral days together, is in moft men naturally followed by a great defire of relaxation, which, if not reftrained by. force or by fome ftrong necefity, is almoft irrefiftable. It is the call of nature, which requires to be relieved by fome indulgence, fometimes of eafe only, but fometiries tod of diffipation and diverfion. If it is not complied with the confe. quences are often dangerous, and fometimes fatal, and fuch as almoft always, fooner or later, bring on the peculiar infirmity of the trade. If mafters would always liften to the dictates of reafon. and humanity, they have frequently occafion rather to moderate, than to animate the application of many of their workmen. It will be found, I believe, in every fort of trade, that the man who works fo moderately, as to be able to work conftantly, not only preferyes his health the longeit, but, in the courfe of the year, executes, the greateft quantity of work.

In cheap years, it is pretended, workmen are generally more idie, and in dear ones more. induftrious than ordinary in A plentiful fubfiftence, therefore, it has been concluded, relaxes, and a fcanty one quickens their induftry. That a little more plenty than ordinary may render fome workmen idle, cannot well be doubted, but that it fhould have: this effect upon the greater part, or that men in general fhould work better when they are ill, fed than when they are well fed, when they,are difheartened than when they are in good fpirits, when they are frequently fick than when they are generally in good health, feems not very probable. Years of dearth, it is to be obferved, are generally among the common people

B OOK people years of ficknefs and mortality, which cannot fail to dimi$\xrightarrow{\text { - }}$ nifh the product of their induitry.

In years of plenty, fervants frequently leave their mafters, and truft their fubfintence to what they can make by their own induftry. But the fame cheaphefs of provifions, by increafing the fund which is deftined for the maintenance of fervants, encourages mafters, farmers efpecially, to employ a greater number. Farmers upon fuch occafions expect more profit from their corn by maintaining a few' more labouring fervants, than by felling it at a low price in the finarket. The demand for fervants increafes, while the number of thofe who offer to fupply that demand diminifhes. The price of labour, therefore, frequently rifes in cheap years.

In years of fearcity, the difficulty and uncertainty of fubfiftence make all fuch people eager to return to fervice. But the high price of provifions, by diminifhing the funds deftined for the maintenance of fervants, difpofes mafters rather to diminim than to increafe the number of thofe they have. In dear years too, poor independant workmen frequently confume the little ftocks with which they had ufed to fupply themfelves with the materials of their work, and are obliged to become journeymen for fubfiftence. More people want employment than can eafily get it; many are willing to take it upon lower terms than ordinary, and the wages of both fervants and journeymen frequently fink in dear years.

MASTERS of all forts, therefore, frequently make better bargains with their fervants in dear than in cheap years, and find thein more humble and dependant in the former than in whe latter. Thėy naturalty, therefore, commend the former as more favoupable to induftry. Landlords and farmers, befides, two of the largett claffes of mafters, have another reafon for being pleafed with dear
years. The rents of the one and the profits of the other depend very much upon the price of provifions. Nothing can be more abfurd, however, than to imagine that men in general fhould work lefs when they work for themfelves, than when they work for other people. A poor independant workman will generally be more induftrious than even a journeyman who works by the piece. The one enjoys the whole produce of his own induftry; the other fhares it with his mafter. The one, in his feparate, independant ftate, is Jefs liable to the temptations of bad company, which in large manufactories fo frequently ruin the morals of the other. The fuperiority of the independant workman over thofe fervants who are hired by the month or by the year, and whofe wages and maintenance are the fame whether they do much or do little, is likely to be ftill greater. Cheap years tend to increafe the proportion of independant workmen to journeymen and fervants of all kinds, and dear years to diminifh it.

A French author of great knowledge and ingenuity, Mr. Meffance, receiver of the taitles in the election of St. Etienne, endeavours to fhow that the poor do more work in cheap than in dear years, by comparing the quantity and value of the goods made upon thofe different occafions in three different manufactures; one of coarfe woollens carried on at Elbeuf; one of linen, and another of filk, both which extend through the whale generality of Rouen. It appears from his account, which is copied from the regifters of the publick offices, that the quantity and value of the goods. made in all thofe three manufactures has generally been greater in cheap than in dear years; and that it has always been greateft in the cheapeft, and leaft in the deareft years. All the three feem to be ftationary manufactures, or which, though their produce may vary fomewhat from year to year, are upon the whole neither going backwards nor forwards.

THE
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B OOX The manufacture of linen in Scotland, and that of coarfe woollens in the weft riding of Yorkfhire, are growing manufactures, of which the produce is generally, though with fome variations, increafing both in quantity and value. Upon examining, however, the accounts which have been publifhed of their annual produce, I have not been able to obferve that its variations have had any fenfible connection with the dearnefs or cheapnefs of the feafons. In 1740, a year of great fcarcity, both manufactures, indeed, appear to have declined very confiderably. But in 1756, another year of great fearcity, the Scotch manufacture made more than ordinary advances. The York/hire manufacture, indeed, declined, and its produce did not rife to what it had been in, 1755 till 1766, after the repeal of the American ftamp act. In that and the following year it greatly exceeded what it had ever been before, and it has continued to do fo ever fince.

The produce of all great manufactures for diftant fale muft neceffarily depend, not fo much upon the dearnefs or cheapnefs of the feafons in the countries where they are carried on, as upon the circumftances which affect the demand in the countries where they are confumed; upon peace or war, upon the profperity or declenfion of other rival manufactures, and upon the good or bad humour of their principal cuftomers. A great part of the extraordinary work, befides, which is probably done in cheap years, never enters the publick regitters of manufactures. The men-fervants who leave their mafters become independant labourers. The women return to their parents, and commonly fpin in order to make cloaths for themfelves and their families. Even the independant workmen do not always work for publick fale, but are employed by fome of their neighbours in manufactures for fanily uíe. The produce of their labour, therefore, frequently makes no figure in thofe publick regifters of which the records are fometimes publifhed

Bifred with fo much parade, and from which our merchants and manufacturers would often vainly pretend to anounce the profperity or declenfion of the greateft empires.

Thover the variations in the price of labour, not only do not always correfpond with thofe in the price of provifions, but are frequently quite oppofite, we muft not, upon this account, imagine that the price of provifions has no influence upon that of labour. The money price of labour is neceffarily regulated by two circumftances; the demand for labour, and the price of the neceffaries and conveniencies of life. The demand for labour, according as it happens to be increafing, flationary, or declining, or to require an increafing, ftationary, or declining population, determines the quantity of the neceflaries and conveniencies of life which muft be given to the labourer; and the money price of labour is determined by what is requifite for purchafing this quantity. Though the money price of labour, therefore, is fometimes high where the price of provifions is low, it would be ftill higher, the demund continuing the fame, if the price of provifions was high.

Ir is becaufe the demand for labour increafes in years of fudden and extraordinary plenty, and diminifhes in thofe of fudden and extraordinary fcarcity, that the money price of labour fometimes rifes in the one, and finks in the other.

In a year of fudden and extraordinary plenty, there are funds in the hands of many of the employers of induftry, fufficient to maintain and employ a greater number of induftrious people than had been employed the year before; and this extraordinary number cannot always be had. Thofe mafters, therefore, who want more workmen bid againft one another, in order to get them,

Vol. I. $P$ which

B OOK which fometimes raifes both the real and the money price of their labour.

THE contrary of this happens in a year of fudden and extraordinary fcarcity. The funds deftined for employing induftry are lefs than they had been the year before. A confiderable number of people are thrown out of employment, who bid againft one another in order to get it, which fometimes lowers both the real and the money price of labour. In $\mathbf{1 7 4 0}^{\circ}$, a year of extraordinary fcarcity, many people were willing to work for bare fubfiftence. In the fucceeding years of plenty, it was more difficult to get labourers and fervants.

The fcarcity of a dear year, by diminifhing the demand for labour, tends to lower its price, as the high price of provifions tends to raife it. The plenty of a cheap year, on the contrary, by increafing the demand, tends to raife the price of labour, as the cheapnefs of provifions tends to lower it. In the ordinary variations of the price of provifions, thofe two oppofite caufes feem to counter-balance one another; which is probably in part the reafon why the wages of labour are every where fo much more fteady and permanent than the price of provifions.

The increafe in the wages of labour neceffarily increafes the price of many commodities, by increafing that part of it which refolves itfelf into wages, and fo far tends to diminifh their confumption both at home and abroad. The fame caufe, however; which raifes the wages of labour, the increafe of fock, tends to increafe its productive powers, and to make a fmaller quantity of labour produce a greater quantity of work. The owner of the ftock which employs a great number of labourers, neceffarily endeayours, for his own advantage, to make fuch a proper divifion and diftribution of employment, that they may be enabled to pro-
duce
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duce the greateft quantity of work poffible. For the fame realon, he endeavours to fupply them with the beft machinery which either he or they can think of. What takes place among the labourers in a particular workhoufe, takes place, for the fame reafon, among thofe of a great fociety. The greater their number, the more they naturally divide themfelves into different claffes and fubdivifions of employment. More heads are occupied in inventing the moft proper machinery for executing the work of each, and it is, therefore, more likely to be invented. There are many commodities, therefore, which, in confequence of thefe improvements, come to be produced by fo much lefs labour than before, that the increafe of its price does not compenfate the diminution of its quantity.


## CHAP. $\mathbf{1 X}$.

Of the Profits of Stock.

BOOK TTHE sife and fall in the profite of ftock depend upon tife I. fame caufes with the rife and fall in the wages of labotur, the increafing or declining ftate of the wealdi of the fociety; but thofe caufes affect the one and the other very differently.

The increafe of ftock, which raifes wages; tends to lower profit. When the flocks of many rich merchants are turned into the fame trade, their mutual competition naturally tends to lower its profit; and when there is a like increafe of ftock in all the different trades carried on in the fame fociety, the fame competition: muft produce the fame effect in them. all.

Ir is not eafy, it has already been obferved, to afcertain whatt are the average wages of labour even in a particular place, and ata particular time. We can, even in this cafe, feldom determine: more than what are the moft ufual wages. But even this can: feldom be done with regard to the profits of ftock. Profit is fo: very fluctuating, that the perfon who carries on a particular trade: cannot always tell you himfelf what is the average of his annual profit. It is affected, not only by every variation of price in the: commodities which he deals in, but by the good or bad fortune: both of his rivals and of his cuftomers, and by a.thoufand other
accidenta
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aecidents to which goods when carried either by fea or by land, or CHAP. even when ftored in a warehoufe, are liable. It varies, therefore,
 not only from year to year, but from day to day, and almoft from hour to hour. To afcertain what is the average profit of all the different trades carried on in a great kingdom, muft be much more difficult; and to judge of what it may have been formerly, or in semote periods of time, with any degree of precifion, muft be altogether impoffible.

But though it may be impoffible to deternine, with any degree of precifion, what are or were the average profits of fock, either in the prefent, or in antient times, fome notion may be formed of them from the intereft of money. It may be laid down as a maxim, that wherever a great deal can be made by the ufe of money, a great deal will commonly be given for the ufe of it; and that wherever little can be made by it, lefs will commonly be given for it. According, therefore, as the ufual market rate of intereft varies in any country; we may be affured that the ordinary profits of ftock muft vary with it, muft fink as it finks, and rife: as it rifes. The progrefs of intereft, therefore, may lead us to form fome notion of the progrefs of profit.

By the 37 th of Henry VIII, all intereft above ten per cent. was declared unlawful. More; it feems, had fometimes been taken before that. In the reign of Edward VI, religious zeal pro'hibited all intereft. This prohibition, however, like all others of the fame kind, is faid to have produced no effect, and probably vather increafed than diminifhed the evil of ufury. The fatute of Henry VIII was revived by the $13^{\text {th }}$ of Elizabeth cap: 8, and ten per cent. continued to be the legal rate of intereft till the 21 Ift of james I. when it was reftricted to eight per cent. It was reduced:

BOOK to fix per cent. foon after the reftoration, and by the 12 th of $\underbrace{\text { I. Queen Anne, to five per cent. All thefe different ftatutary regu- }}$ lations feem to have been made with great propriety. They feem to have followed and not to have gone before the market rate of intereft, or the rate at which people of good credit ufually borrowed. Since the time of Queen Anne, five per cent. feems to have been rather above than below the market rate. Before the late war; the government borrowed at three per cent.; and people of good credit in the capital, and in many other parts of the kingdom, at three and a half, four, and four and a half per cent.

Since the time of Henry VIII, the wealth and revenue of the country have been continually advancing, and, in the courfe of their progrefs, their pace feems rather to have been gradually accelerated than retarded. They feem, not only to have been going on, but to have been going on fafter and fafter. The wages of fabour have been continually increafing during the fame period, and in the greater part of the different branches of trade and manu-factures the profits of ftock have been diminifing.

It generally requires a greater ftock to carry on any fort of trade in a great town than in a country village. The great ftocks employed in every branch of trade, and the number of rich competitors, generally reduce the rate of profit in the former below what it is in the latter. But the wages of labour are generally. higher in a great town than in a country village. In a thriving town the people who have great ftocks to employ, frequently cannot get the number of workmen they want, and therefore bid againft one another in order to get as many as they can, which raifes the wages of labour, and lowers the profits of fock. In the, remote parts of the country there is frequently not ftock fufficient to employ all the people, who therefore bid againft one another in
order
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order to get employment, which lowers the wages of labour, and raifes the profits of ftock.

In Scotland, though the legal rate of intereft is the fame as in England, the market rate is rather higher. People of the beft credit there feldom borrow under five per cent. Even private bankers in Edinburgh give four per cent. upon their promiffory notes, of which payment either in whole or in part may be demanded at pleafure. Private bankers in London give no intereft for the money which is depofited with them. There are few trades which cannot be carried on with a fmaller ftock in Scotland than in England. The common rate of profit, therefore, muft be fomewhat greater. The wages of labour, it has already been obferved, are lower in Scotland than in England. The country too is not only much poorer, but the fteps by which it advances to a better condition, for it is evidently advancing, feem to be much flower and more tardy.

The legaI rate of intereft in France has not, during the courfe of the prefent century, been always regulated by the market rate. In 1720 intereft was reduced from the twentieth to the fiftieth penny, or from five to two per cent. In 1724 it was raifed to the thirtieth penny, or to $3+$ per cent. In 1725 it was again raifed to the twentieth penny, or to five per cent. In 1766, during the adminiftration of Mr. Laverdy, it was reduced to the twenty-fifth penny, or to four per cent. The Abbe Terray raifed it afterwards to the old rate of five per cent. The fuppofed purpofe of many of thofe violent reductions of intereft was to prepare the way for reducing that of the public debts; a purpofe which has fometimes been executed. France is perhaps in the prefent times not fo rich a country as England; and though the legal rate of intereft has
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BOOK in France frequently been lower than it England, the market rate has generally been higher, far theres as in other countries, they have feveral very fafe and eafy methods of evading the law. The profits of trade, I have been affiured by Britifh merchants who had traded in both countries are higher in France than in England; and it is no doubt upon this account that many Britifh fubjects chufe rather to employ their capitals in a country where trade is in difgrace, than in one where it is highly refpected. The wages of labour are lower in France than in England. When you go from Scotland to England, the difference which you may remark between tise drefs and countenance of the common people in the one country and in the other, fufficiently indicates the difference in their condition. The contraft is ftill greater when you return from France. France, though no doubt a richer country than Scotland, feems not to be going forward fo faft. It is a common and even a popular opinion in the country that it is going backwards; an opinion which, I apprehend, is ill founded even with regard to France, but which nobody can poffibly entertain with regard to Scotland, who fees the country now and who faw it twenty or thirty years ago.

The province of Holland, on the other hand, in proportion to the extent of its territory and the number of its people, is a richer country than England. The government there borrow at two per cent. and private people of good credit at three. The wages of labour are faid to be higher in Holland than in England; and the Dutch, it is well known, trade upon lower profits than any people in Europe. The trade of Holland, it has been pretended by fome people, is decaying, and it may perhaps be true that fome particular branches of it are fo. But thefe fymptoms feem to indicate fufficiently that there is no general decay. When

 devifys; though the diminution of profit is the nuthinal tefiederof ins plofleritys or of a greiter fock being eimployid in is thanebefore: During the late war the Dutch gained the whole carrying trade of Ffrance, of which they fill retain al very farge inard. The great property whieft they poffers both in the French and Englifh ffutids, abbut forty millions, it is faid, in the latters (in which I fufpect, however, there is is confiderable exaggeration), the great fums which they lend itd private people in countries where the rate of intereftis highter than in theirowny are circumftances whith no doubt demonfrute the redundancy of their ftock, or (that it has increaredebeyond what they can employ with tolerable profit in the proper bufinefs of their own country : but they do not demonftrate thatithat bufinefs has decreafetu. As the capital of a private man, thoiggh wequired biy a particuthrt tridejo miy increafe beyond what he cah employ in it, fand yet that trade continue to increafedtoo; fo may likewife the capital of a great nation.

In our North American and Weef Indian colonies not only they wages, of labour, but the interef of moness and confequently. the profits of fock are higher, than in England. In the diferent colonies both the legal and the market rate of intereft run from fix tosight per cent. High wages of labour and high profite of fock. hovereyer are things perhaps, which fcarce ever gotogether, except ig that peguliar circumftances of new colonies. A new colpoy, mult always for fome time be more undertocked in proportion th the extent of its territory, and more underpeopled in proportion. to the extent of its fock, than the greater part of other countries. They have more land than they have flock to cultivate, What they have, therefore, is applied to the cuitivation only of what is moft fertile and moft favourably fituated, the lands near the fea fhore, and along the banks of navigable riyers. Such land too is frequently purchafed at a price below the value even of its natural

[^1]
produce. Stact repployedit in the purchal and improveanent of fuch lande muft yield a very lenge profit, and comfequently afford to puy a wery large interef. In rapid accumulationin of ppofioble am amployment enebles the planter to increafe the aumber of thia bands fafter than be can find them in a naw setthement. Thofor whom he can find therefore, wre very liberally rewanded, the the colony increafes, the profits of ftock gradually diminith. When the moft fertile and beff fituated lands have been all eccupiede leff profit can be made by the cultivation of what infinferier bothe io foil amd fituation, and lefs intepent canjhe afforded for then fock wwhich is fo employed. In the greater part of our colanies seccondingly, both the legal and the market rate of interef hawe been confider. ably reduced during the courfe of the prefent century. As sjches, improvement, and population have ingreafod, intereft has deelined. The wages of labpur do not fink with, the profitio of Alock ch the demand for labour increafes with the increafe of fock whateyer be its profits; and after thefe are diminifhed, fock may mot only continue to increafe, but to increafe much fafter than before. It is with indufrious nations who are advancing in the acquiftioniof nichens as with induatrous intividials. A great Alosks chopgh with fmall profits, generally fincteafes faiker than a fhall Aock with great profits. Money, fays the proverb, makes money. When you have got a little, it is often eary toget more. The great diffcofty is to get that litele. The connection between the increvely f Aluek nal that of induhty, or of the demand for wifuth lath fungi hat partly boen explained already, but will be explained more ailly hereafter in treating of the accumulation of ftock.

The acquifition of new territory, or of new branches of trade, may fometimes raife the profits of fock, and with them the intereft of money, even in a country which is fatt advancing in the acquifition of riches. The fock of the country not being fufficient
for the whole acceffion of bufinefs, which fuch acquifition. prefent to the different people among whom it is divided, is applied to thofe particular branches only which afford the greateft pro'it. Part of what had before been employed in other trades, is neceffarily withdrawn from them, and turned into fome of the new and more piofitable ones. In all thofe old trades, therefore, the competition comes to be lefs than before. The market comes to be lefs fully fupplied with many different forts of goods. Their price neceffarily rifes more or lefs, and yields a greater profit to thofe who deal in them, who can, therefore, afford to borrow at a higher interef. For fome time after the conclufion of the late war, not only private people of the beft credit, but fome of the greateft companies in London, commonly borrowed at five per cent. who before that had not been ufed to pay more than four, and four and a half per cent. The great acceffion both of territory and trade, by our acquifitions in North America and the Weft Indies, will fufficiently account for this, without fuppofing any diminution in the capital ftock of the fociety. So great an acceffion of new bufinefs to be carried on by the old ftock, muft neceffarily have diminifhed the quantity employed in a great number of particular branches, in which the competition being lefs, the profits muft have been greater. I fhall hereafter have occafion to mention the reafons which difpofe me to believe that the capital ftock of Great Britain was not diminifhed even by the enormous expence of the late war.

The diminution of the capital ftock of the fociety, or of the funds deftined for the maintenance of induftry, however, as it lowers the wages of labour, fo it raifes the profits of flock, and confequently the intereft of money. By the wages of labour being lowered, the owners of what fock remains in the fociety can bring their goods cheaper to market than before, and lefs ftock
$\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ being

B fell them dearer. Their goods coft them lefs, and they get more for them. Their profits, therefore, being augmented at both ends, can well afford a large intereft. The great fortunes fo fiuddenly and fo eafily acquired in Bengal and the other Britifh fettements in the Eaft Indies, may fatisfy us that as the wages of labour are very low, fo the profits of ftock are very high in thofe ruined countries. The intereft of money is proportionably fo. In Bengal, money is frequently lent to the farmers at forty, fifty, and fixty per cent. and the fucceeding crop is mortgaged for the payment. As the profits which can afford fuch an intereft muft eat up almoft the whole rent of the landlord, fo fuch enormous ufury muft in its turn eat up the greater part of thofe profits. Before the fall of the Roman republick, a ufury of the fame kind feems to have been common in the provinces, under the ruinous adminiftration of their proconfuls. The virtuous Brutus lent money in Cyprus at five and forty per cent. as we learn from the letters of Cicero.

IN a country which had acquired that full comaplement of riches which the nature of its foil and climate and its fituation with refpect to other countries allowed it to acquire; which could, therefore, advance no further, and which was not going backwards, both the wages of labour and the profits of ftock would probably be very low. In a country fully peopled in proportion to what either its territory could maintain or its ftock employ ${ }_{*}$ the competition for employment would neceffarily be fo great as to reduce the wages of labour to what was barely fufficient to keep up the number of labourers, and, the country being already fully peopled, that number could never be augmented. In a country fully focked in proportion to all the bufinefs it had to tranfact, as great a quantity of ftock would be employed in every particular branch as the nature and extent of the trade would admit. The
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competition, therefore; would everywhere be as great, and confequently the ordinary profit as low as poffible.

BuT perhaps no country has ever yet arrived at this degree of opulence. China feems to have been long ftationary, and had probably long ago acquired that full complement of riches which is confiftent with the nature of its laws and inftitutions. But this complement may be much inferior to what, with other laws and inftitutions, the nature of its foil, climate, and fituation might admit of. A country which neglects or defpifes foreign commerce, and which admits the veffels of foreign nations into one or two of its ports only, cannot tranfact the fame quantity of bufinefs which it might do with different laws and inftitutions. In a country too, where, though the rich or the owners of large capitals enjoy a good deal of fecurity, the poor or the owners of fmall capitals enjoy fcarce any, but are liable, under the pretence of jutice, to be pillaged and plundered at any time by the inferior mandarines, the quantity of ftock employed in all the different branches of bufinefs tranfacted within it, can never be equal to what the nature and extent of that bufinefs might admit. In every different branch, the oppreffion of the poor mult eftablifh the monopoly of the rich, who, by engroffing the whole trade to themfelves, will be able to make very large profits. Twelve per cent. accordingly is faid to be the common intereft of money in China, and the ordinary profits of fock mulf be fufficient to afford this large intereft.

A defect in the law may fometimes raife the rate of intereft confiderably above what the condition of the country, as to wealth or poverty, would require. When the law does not enforce the performance of contracts, it puts all borrowers nearly upon the fame footing with bankrupts or people of doubtful credit in
better

B OOK better regulated countries. The uncertainty of recovering his money $\underbrace{\text { I. makes the lender exact the fame ufurious intereft which is ufually }}$ required from bankrupts. Among the barbarous nations who overrun the weftern provinces of the Roman emipire, the performance of contracts was left for many ages to the faith of the contracting ,parties. The courts of juftice of their kings feldom intermeddled in it. The high rate of intereft which took place in thofe antient times may perhaps be partly accounted for from this caufe.

When the law prohibits intereft altogether, it does not prevent it. Many people muft borrow, and nobody will lend without fuch a confideration for the ufe of their money as is fuitable, not only to what can be made by the ufe of it, but to the difficulty and dangor of evading the law. The high rate of intereft among all Mahometan nations is accounted for by Mr. Montefquieu, not from their poverty, but paidy from this, and parily from the difficulty of recovering the money.

The loweft ordinary rate of profit muft always be fomething more than what is fufficient to compenfate the occafional loffes to which every employment of ftock is expofed. It is this furplus only which is neat or clear profit. What is called grofs profit comprehends frequently, not only this furplus, but what is retained for compenfating fuch extraordinary loffes. The intereft which the borrower can afford to pay is in proportion to the clear profit only.

THE loweft ordinary rate of intereft muft, in the fame manner, be fomething more than fufficient to compenfate the occafional loffes to which lending, even with tolerable prudence, is expofed. Were it not more, charity or friendhip could be the only motives for lending.

In a country which had acquired its full complement of riches, where in every particular branch of bufinefs there was the greateft
 quantity of ftock that could be employed in it, as the ordinary rate of clear profit would be very fmall, fo the ufual market rate of intereft which could be afforded out of it, would be fo low as to render it impoffible for any but the very wealthieft people to live upon the intereft of their money. All people of fmall or middling fortunes would be obliged to fuperintend themfelves the employment of their own ftocks. It would be neceflary that almoft every man fhould be a man of bufinefs, or engage in fome fort of trade. The province of Holland feems to be approaching near to this ftate. It is there unfafhionable not to be a man of bufinefs. Neceflity makes it ufual for almoft every man to be fo, and cuftom every where regulates fafhion. As it is ridiculous not to drefs, fo is it, in fome meafure, not to be employed, like other people. As a man of a civil profeffion feems aukward in a camp or a garrifon, and is even in fome danger of being defpifed. there, fo does an idle man among men of bufinefs.

The higheft ordinary rate of profit may be fuch as, in the price of the greater part of commodities, eats up the whole of what fhould go to the rent of the land, and leaves only what is fufficient to pay the labour of preparing and bringing them to market, according to the loweft rate at which labour can any where be paid, the bare fubfiftence of the labourer. The workman muft always have been fed in fome way or other while he was about the work; but the landlord may not always have been paid. The profits of the trade which the fervants of the Eaft India Company carry on in Bengal may not perhaps be very far from this rate.

The proportion which the ufual market rate of intereft ought to bear to the ordinary rate of clear profit, neceffarily varies as profit

ByOK profit rifes or falls. Double intereft is in Great Britain reckoned, $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ what the merchants call, a good, moderate, reafonable profit; terms which E apprehend nean no more than a common and ufual profit. In a country where the ordinary rate of clear profit is eight or ten per cent. it may be rearonable that one half of it fhould go to intereft wherever bufinefs is carried on with borrowed money. The flock is at the rifk of the borrower, who, as it were, infures it to the-lender; and four or five per cent. may in the greater part of trades, be both a fufficient profit upon the rifk of this infurance, and a fufficient recompence for the trouble of employing the fock. But the proportion between intereft and clear profit might not be the fame in countries where the ordinary rate of profit was either a gbod deal lower, or a good deal higher. If it were a good deal lower, one half of it perhaps could not be afforded for intereft; and more might be afforded if it were a good deal higher.

In countries which are faft advancing to riches, the low rate of profit may, in the price of many commodities, compenfate the high wages of labour, and enable thofe countries to fell as cheap as their lefs thriving neighbours, among whon the wages of Iabour may be lower.
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## C HAP. X .

Of Wages and Profit in the diferent Employments of Labour and Stock.

THE whole of the advantages and difadvantages of the different employments of labour and ftock muft, in the fame neighbourhood, be either perfectly equal or continually tending to equality. If in the fame neighbourhood, there was any employment either evidently more or lefs adyantageous than the reft, fo many people would crowd into it in the one cafe, and fo many would defert it in the other, that its advantages would foon return to the level of other employments. This at leaft would be the cafe in a fociety, where things were left to follow their natural courfe, where there was perfect liberty, and where every man was perfectly free both to chufe what occupation he thought proper, and to change it as often as he thought proper. Every man's intereft would prompt him to feek the advantageous and to fhun the difadvantageous employment,
Peconfary wages'and profit, indeed, are every where in Europe extreamly different according to the different employments of labour and fock. But this difference arifes partly from certain circumftances in the employments themfelves, which, either really, or at leaft in the imaginations of men, make up for a fmall pecuniary gain in fome, and counter-balance a great one in others; and partly from the policy of Europe, which nowhere leayes things at perfect liberty.
Vol. I.
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The particular confideration of thofe circumftances and of that policy will divide this chapter into two parts.

$$
\mathbf{P A R}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{I}
$$

Inequalitics arifing from the Nature of the Employments themfelves.

T
HE five following are the principal circumftances which, fo far as I have been able to obferve, make up for a fmall pecuniary gain in fome employments, and counter-balance a great one in others: firf, the agreeablenefs or difagreeablenefs of the employments themfelves; fecondly, the eafinefs and cheapnefs, or the difficulty and expence of learning them; thirdly, the conitancy or inconftancy of employment in them; fourthly, the fmall or great truft which muft be repofed in thofe who exercife them; and, fifthly, the probability or improbability of fuccefs in them.

First, The wages of labour vary with the eafe or hardfhip, the cleanlinefs or dirtinefs, the honourablenefs or difhonourablenefs of the employment. Thus in moft places, take the year round, a journcyman taylor earns lefs than a journeyman weaver. His work is much eafier. A journeyman weaver earns lefs than a journeyman fimith. His work is not always eafier, but it is much cleanlier. A journeyman blackfmith, though an artificer; feldom earns fo much in twelve hours as a collier, who is only a labourer, does in eight. His work is not quite fo dirty, is lefs dangerous, and is carried on in day-light, and above ground. Honour makes a great part of the reward of all honourable profeffions. In point of pecuniary gain, all things confidered, they are generally under-recompenfed, as I fhall endeavour to fhow by and by. Difgrace has the contrary effect. The trade of a
butcher
butcher is a brutal and an odious bufinefs; but it is in moft places more profitable than the greater part of common trades. The moft deteftable of all employments, that of public executioner, is, in proportion to the quantity of work done, better paid than any common trade whatever.

Hunting and fifhing, the moft important employments of mankind in the rude ftate of fociety, become in its advanced ftate their moft agreeable amufements, and they purfue for pleafure what they once followed from neceflity. In the advanced fate of fociety, therefore, they are all very poor people who follow as a trade, what other people purfue as a paftime. Fifhermen have been fo fince the time of Theocritus. A poacher is every where a very poor man in Great Britain. In countries where the rigour of the law fuffers no poachers, the licenfed hunter is not in a much better condition. The natural tafte for thofe employments makes more people follow them than can live comfortably by them, and the produce of their labour, in proportion to its quantity, comes always too cheap to market to afford any thing but the moft fcanty fubfiftence to the labourers.

Disagreeableness and difgrace affect the profits of ftock in the fame manner as the wages of labour. The keeper of an inn or tavern, who is never mafter of his own houfe, and who is expofed to the brutality of every drunkard, exercifes neither a very agreeeble nor a very creditable bufinefs. But there is fcarce any common trade in which a fmall ftock yields fo great a profit.

Secondiy, The wages of labour vary with the eafinefs and cheapnefs or the difficulty and expence of learning the bufinefs.

$$
R_{2}
$$
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## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

BOOK When any expenfive machine is crected, the extraordinary work to be performed by it, before it is worn out, it mult be expected, will replace the capital laid out upon it, with at leaft its ordinary profits. A man educated at the expence of much labour and time to any of thofe employments which require extraordinary dexterity and fkill, may be compared to one of thofe expenfive machines. The work which he learns to perform, it mult be expected, over and above the ufual wages of common labour, will replace to him the whole expence of his education, with at leaft the ordinary profits of an equally valuable capital. It muft do this toe in a reafonable time, regard being had to the very uncertain duration of human life, in the fame manner as to the more certain. duration of the machine.

The difference between the wages of fkilled labour and thofe: of common labour, is founded upon this principle.

The policy of Europe confiders the labour of all mechianicks; artificers, and manufacturers, as ikilled labour; and that of all: country labourers as common labour. It feems to fuppofe that of the former to be of a more nice and delicate nature than that of the latter. It is fo perhaps in fome cafes; but in the greater part it is quite otherwife, as I fhall endeavour to fhew by and by. The laws and cuftoms of Europe, therefore, in order to qualify any perfon for exercifing the one feecies of labour, impofe the neceffity of an apprenticefhip, though with different degrees of rigour in different piaces. They leave the other free and open to every body. During the continuance of the apprenticefhip, the whole labour of the apprentice belongs to his mafter. In the mean time he muft. in many cafes, bc maintained by his parents or relations; and in almoft all cafes muft be cloathed by them. Some money too is commonly given to the mafter for teaching him his trade. They
who cannot give money, give time, or become bound for more than the ufual number of years; a confideration which, though it is not always advantageous to the mafter, on account of the ufual idlenefs of apprentices, is always difadvantageous to the apprentice. In country labour, on the contrary, the labourer, while he is employed about the eafier, learns the more difficult parts of his bufinefs, and his own labour maintains him through all the different ftages of his employment. It is reafonable, therefore, that in Europe the wages of mechanicks, artificers, and manufacturers, fhould be fomewhat higher than thofe of common labourers. They are fo accordingly, and their fuperior gains make them in moft places be confidered as a fuperior rank of people. This fuperiority, however, is generally very fmall; the daily or weekly earnings of journeymen in the more common forts of manufactures, fuch as thofe of plain linen and woollen cloth, computed at an average, are, in mort. places, very little more than the day wages of common labourers. Their employment, indeed, is more feady and uniform, and the fuperiority of their earnings, taking the whole year together, may be fomewhat greater. It feems evidently, however, to be no greater than what is fufficient to compenfate the fuperior expence of their education.

Education in the ingenious arts and in the liberal profeffions, is ftill more tedious and expenfive. The pecuniary recompence, therefore, of painters and fculptors, of lawyers and phyficians, ought to be much more liberal, and it is fo accordingly.
7) The profits of fock feem to be very little affected by the eafinefs or difficulty of learning the trade in which it is employed. All the different ways in which ftock is commonly employed in. great towns feem, in reality, to be almoft equally eafy and
equally

BOOK equally difficult to learn. One branch either of foreign or domef$\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ tick trade, cannot well be a much more intricate bufinefs than another.

Thirply, The wages of labour in different occupations vary with the conftancy or inconitancy of employment.

Employment is much more conftant in fome trades than in others. In the greater part of manufactures, a journeyman may be pretty fure of employment almoft every day in the year that he is able to work. A mafon or bricklayer, on the contrary, can work neither in hard froft nor in foul weather, and his employment at all other times depends upon the occafional calls of his cuftomers. He is liable, in confequence, to be frequently without any. What he earns, therefore, while he is employed, muft not only maintain him while he is idle, but make him fome compenfation for thofe anxious and defponding moments which the thought of fo precarious a fituation muft fometimes occafion. Where the computed earnings of the greater part of manufacturers, accord-, ingly, are nearly upon a level with the day wages of common labourers, thofe of mafons and bricklayers are generally from onehalf more to double thofe wages. Where common labourers earn four and five fhillings a week, mafons and bricklayers frequently earn feyen and eight; where the former earn fix, the latter often earn nine and ten; and where the former earn nine and ten, as in London, the latter commonly earn fifteen and eighteen. No fpecies of fkilled labour, however, feems more eafy to learn than that of mafons and bricklayers. Chairmen in London, during the fummer feafon, are faid fometimes to be employed as bricklayers. The high wages of thofe workmen, therefore, are not fo much the recompence of their fkill, as the compenfation for the inconftancy of their employment.

A house

A house carpenter feerns to exercife rather a nicer and more CHAP. ingenious trade than a mafoit. In moft places, however, for it is not univerfally fo, his day-wages are fomewhat lower. His employment, though it depends much, does not depend fo entircly upon the occafional calls of his cuftomers; and it is not liable to be interrupted by the weather.
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{E}}: \mathrm{N}$ the trades which generally afford conftant employment, happen in a particular place not to do fo, the wages of the workmen always rife a good deal above their ordinary proportion to thofe of common labour. In London almoft all journeymen artificers are liable to be called upon and difmiffed by their mafters. from day to day, and from week to week, in the fame manner as. day-labourers in other places. The loweft order of artificers, journeymen taylors, accordingly earn there half a crown a-day, though eighteen-pence may be reckoned the wages of common labour. In fmall towis and country villages, the wages of journeymen taylors frequently fcarce equal thofe of common labour; but in London they are often many weeks without employment, particularly during the fummer.

When the inconftancy of employment is combined with the hardihip, difagreeablenefs and dirtinefs of the work, it fometimes. raifes the wages of the noft common labour above thofe of the moft fkilful artificers. A collier working by the piece is fuppofed, at Newcaftle, to earn commonly about double, and in many parts of Scotland about three times the wages of common labour. His: high wages arife altogether from the hardfhip, difagreeablenefs, and dirtinefs of his work. His employment may, upon moft occafions, be as conftant as he pleafes. The coal-heavers in London exercife a trade which in hardfhip, dirtinefs, and difagreeablenefs, almoft equals that of colliers; and from the unavoidable-
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confidence could not fafely be repofed in people of a very mean or low condition. Their "ward muft be fach, therefore, as may give them that rank in the fociety which fo important a truft requires. The long time and the great expence which muft be laid out in their education, when combined with this circumftance, necefiarily enhance filll further the price of their labour.

When a perfon employs only his own ftock in trade, there is no truft; and the credit which he may get from other people, depends, not upon the nature of his trade, but upon their opi- nion of his fortune, probity, and prudence. The different rates of profit, therefore, in the difforent branches of trade, cannot arife from the different degrees of truft repofed in the traders:

Fifthey, The wages of labour in different employments vary according to the probability or improbability of fuccefs in them.

The probability that any particular perfon fhall ever be qualified for the e.uployment to which he is educated, is very different in different occupations. In the greater part of mechanick trades, fuccefs io almoft certain; but very uncertain in the liberal profeffions. Put your fon apprentice to a fhoemaker, there is ittle doubt of his learning to make a pair of fhoes: But fend him to ftudy the law, it is at leaft twenty to one if ever he makes fuch proficiency as will enable him to live by the bufinefs. In a perfeetly fair lottery, thofe who draw the prizes ought to gain all that is loft by thofe who draw the blanks. In a profeffion where twenty fail for one that fucceeds, that one ought to gain all that fhould have been gained by the unfucceffful twenty. The
counfellor

## $133^{\circ}$
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cqunfellor at law, who, perhaps, at near fonty years of agesfbegins: to make fomething by his profeffion, aught to receive the iretribution, not only of his own.fo tedious and expenfive education, but of that of more than twenty others who are never, likely to make any thing by it. How extravagant foever the fees of coanfellors at law may fometimes appear, their real retribution is never equal to this. Compute in any particular place, what is likely to be annually gained, and what is likely to be annually fpent, by all the different workmen in any common tyade, fuch as that of Shoemakers or weavers, and you will find that the formen fum willigenerally exceed the latter. But make the,fame, computation with regard to all the counfellors, and students of laws in all the differentinns of court, and you will find that thein annual gains bearrbutavery fmall proportion to their annual expence, even though you rate the former as high, and the latter as low, as can well be done. The lottery of the law, therefore, is very far from being a perfectly fair lottery; and that; as well as many other liberal and honourable profeffions, are, in point of pecuniary gain,


Those profeffions keep their level, however, with other oceupations, and, notwithftanding thefe difcouragements, all the mof generous and liberal fpirits are eager to crowd into them! Two different caufes contribute to recommend them. Firf, the defire of the reputation which attends upon fuperior excellence in any of them; and, fecondly, the natural confidence which every man has more or lefs not only in his own abilities but in hisown good


To excel in any profeffion, in which but few arrive at mediocrity, is the moft decifive mark of what is called genius or fuperior talents. The publick admiration which attends upon fuch diftinguifhed
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tinguifhed abilities; makes always a part of their reward; a greater CHAP. or fimaller in proportion as it is higher or lower in degree. It makes a confiderable part of it in the profeffion of phyfick; a fill greater perhaps in that of law; in poetry and philofophy it makes almoft the whole.

```
isupz
```

Three are fome very agreeable and beautiful talents of which the poffeffion commands a certain fort of admiration; but of which the exercife for the fake of gain is confidered, whether from reafon of prejudice, as al fort of publick proftitution. The pecuniary recornpence; therefore; of thofe who exercife them in this manner, muft be fufficient, not only to pay for the time, labour, and expence of aequiring the talents, but for the difcredit which attends the employment of them as the means of fubfitence. The exorbitant rewards of playsts, opera-fingers, opera-dancers, \&cc. are founded upon thofe two principles; the rarity and beauty of the tulents, and the diferedit of employing them in this manner. It feems abfurd at firft fight that we fhould defpife their perfons, and yet reward their talents with the moft profufe liberality. While we do the one, however, we muft of neceffity do the other. Shguld the publick opinion or prejudice ever alter with regard to fuch oocupations, their pecuniary recompence would quickly diminifh More people would apply to them, and the competition would quiekly reduce the price of their labour. Such talents, though far from being common, are by no means fo rare as is imagined. Many people poffefs them in great perfection, who difdain to make this ufe of them ; y and many more are capable of acquiring them, if any thing could be made honourably by them.

Tue over-weening conceit which the greater part of men have of their own abilities, is an antient evil remarked by the philofos phers and moralifts of all ages. Their abfurd prefumption in
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BDOX their dwn goodifortume, has been lefy taken notice of. It is, ihow-
( ever, if poffibte, Atilt more univerfal. There is no man living who, when in tolerable health and fpirits, has not fome fhare of it. The chance of gain is by every man more or lefs over-valued, and the chance of lofs is by moft men under-valued, and by fcarve any man, who is in tolerable health and fpirits, valued more than it is worth.

THAT the chance of gain is naturally overvalued, we may leam from the univerfal fuecefs of lotteries. The work tiether ever faw, nor ever will fee, a perfeetly fair lottery ; or one in which the whole gain compenfated the whole Jofs; becaufe the undertaker could make nothing by it. In the fate lotteries the tickets ade really not worth the price which is paid by the original fubferibers, and yet commonly fefl in the market for twenty, thirty, and fometimes forty per cent. advance. The vain hope of gaining fome of the great prizes is the fole ceure of this demand. The fobereft people fcarce look upon it as a folly to pay a fmall fuim for the chance of gaining ten or twenty thoufand pounds; though they know that even that fthall fum is perhaps twenty or thirty per cent, more than the chance is worth. In a lottery in which no prize exceeded twenty pounds, though in other refpects it approached much nearer to a perfectly fair one than the common ftate lotteries, there would not be the fame demand for tickets. In order to have a better chance for fome of the great prizes, fome people purchafe feveral tickets, and others, fmall flares in a ftill greater number. There is not, however, a more certain propofition in mathematicks than that the more tickets you adventure upon, the more likely you are to be a lofer. Adventure upon all the tickets in the lottery, and you lofe for certain; and the greater the number of your tickets the nearer you appronch to this certainty.

That dgosy
*Thatithe chance of lofs is frequently undervalued, and faaree ever valued more than it is worth, we may learn from the very ${ }_{\mathbf{x} \text {. }}{ }^{\text {P. }}$ moderate profit of infurers. In orter to make infurance, either from fire or fea rifk, a trade at all, the common premium nuft be fufficient to compenfate the common loffes, to ply the expence of management, and to afford fuch a profit as might have been drawn from an equal capital employed in any common trade. The perfon who pays no more than this, evidently pays no more than the real value of the rifk, or theloweft price at which he can reafonably expect to infure it. But though many people have made a little money by infurance, very few have made a great fortune ${ }_{s}$ and from this confideration alone it feems evident enough that the ordinary balance of profit and lofs is not more advantageous in this than in other common trades by which fo many people make fortuness woderate. however, as the premium of infurance commonly is, many people defpife the rink too much to care to pay it, Taking the whole kingdom at an average, nineteen houfes in twenty, or rather perhaps ninety-nine in a hundred, are not infured from fire. Sea: rikk is more alarming to the greater part of people, and the proportion of hips infured to thofe not infured is much greater. Many fail, however, at all feafons and even in time of war, without any infurance. This may fometimes, perhaps, be done without any imprudence. When a great company, or even a great merchant, has twenty or thirty flips at fea, they may, as it were, infure one another. The premium faved upon them all, may more than compenfate fuch loffes as they are likely to meet with in the common courfe. of chances. The neglect of infurance upoh, fhipping, however, in the fame manner as upon houfes, is, in moft cafes, the effect of no fuch nice caleulations but of mere: thoughtlefs rafhnefs and prefumptuous contempt of the rifk:

The contempt of rikk and the prefumptuous hope of fuccefs, are in no period of life more active than at the age at which young: people.

fuptritorts that of almort any artficers, and though their whote Hife is one contimual fcene of hardfhip and danger, yet for all this dexterity and fkin, for all thofe hatdmips and dangers, while they remain in the condition of common failors, they receive fearce any other recompence but the pleafure of exercifing the one and of furmounting the other. Their wages are not greater than thofe of common labourers at the port which regulates the rate of feamens wages. As they are continually going from port to port; the monthly pay of thofe who fail from all the different ports of Creat Britain, is more nearlycupon a level than that of any other workmem in thofe different places; and the rate of the port to and from which the greateft number fail, that is the port of London, regulates that of all the reft. At London the wages of the greater part of the different claffes of workmen are about double thofe of the fame claffes at Edinburgh. But the failors who fail from the port of London feldom earn above three or four fhillings a month more than thofe who fail from the port of Leith, and the differenceis frequently not fo great. In time of peace, and in the merchant fervice, the London price is from a guinea to about feven and twenty Millings the calendar month. A common labourer in London, at the rate of nine or ten fhillings a week, may earn in the calendar month from forty to five and forty fhillings. Thefailor, indeed, over and above his pay, is fupplied with provifions. Their value, however, may not perhaps always exceed the difference between his pay and that of the common labourer; and though it fometimes thould, the excefs will not be clear gain tothe failor, becaufe he cannot fhare it with his wife and family, whom he muft maintain out of his wages at home.

THE dangers and hair-breadth efcapes of a life of adventures, inftead of difheartening young people, feem frequently to recommend a trade to them. A tender mother, among the inferior ranks;
ranks of people, is often afraid to fend her fon to fchool at a fearport town, left the fight of the flips and the converfation and adventares of the failors ghould entice him to go to fea. The diftant profect of hazauds, from which we can hope to extricate ourfetvea iby cearrage and addrefs, is not, difagreeable to us, and does not raife the wages of labour in any employment. It is otherwiff with thofe in which courage and addrefs can be of no avail. In trades which are known to be very unwholefome, the wages of Jabour are always senaakkably high. Unwholefomenefs is a species of difagroeablenefs, and its effects upon the wages of labour are to be ranked under that general head.

IN all the different employments of fock, the ordinary rate of profit varies more or le's' with the certainty or uncertainty of the returnis. Thefe are in general lefs uncertain in the inland than in the foreign trade, and in fome branches of foreign trade than in others ; in the trade to North America, for example, than in that to Jamaica. The ordinary rate of profit always rifes more or lefs with the rifk, It does not, however, feem to rife in proportion to it, or fo as to compenfate it compleatly. Bankruptcies are moft frequent in the moft hazardous trades. The moft hazardous of all trades, that of a fmuggler, though when the adventure fucceeds it is likewife the moft profitable, is the infallible road to bankruptcy. The prefumptuous hope of fuccefs feems to act here as upon all other occafions, and to entice fo many adventurers into thofe hazardous trades, that their competition reduces the profit below what is fufficient to compenfate the rifk. To compenfate it compleatly, the common returns ought, over and above the ordinary profits of ftock, not only to make up for all occafional loffes, but to afford a furplus profit to the adventurers of the fame nature with the profit of infurers. But if the common returns were fuf-
 ${ }^{0} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ the itive circumituincts, hiterefort, which vary the wages of

 it is attended. In point op agreabserders at aifagrecabientery thete is littfe of no difterence "fn" the "hat greater part of the diffetent employments of fock; but a great deal in' thofe of labour , and the orffinary profit of fock, thoagh it trees with the rim, does fot always feem to rife in proportion to it. It hoould follow frome all this, that, in the fame fociety or neighbourhood, the average and odinary mates of srofit in the different employments of tock fhould beimonsomearly upon a level than the pecuniary wages of Ciffetent fortaiof labour. They are fo accordingly. The dif. incerces between the earnings of a common labourer and thofe of a-welliemployed lawyer or phyfician, is evidently much greater, thans thaty between the ordinary profits in any two different Erinicherof trade The apparent difference, befides, in the profits of differentetrades, is generally a deception arifing from our not atelaypodiftinguihing what, ought to be confidered as wages, from Whet ought-to beconfigered as profit.

ThApormbon*ise profit is become a bye-word, denoting fomething uinitommonly extrevergant This great apparent profit, however, is fitquently tho more than the reafonable wages of labour. The Akiliof rempothecary is a much nicer and more deligate matter than that of any artificer whatever ; and the truft which is repofed in him is of much greater importance. He is the phyfician of the poor in all cafes, and of the rich when the diftrefs or danger is Hot wery groat. His reward, thenefore, ought to be fuitable to wis 3 ifill and his truft, and it arifes generally from the price at Vol. I.

T
which

BOOK which hie fello his drugs Brit the whole drugs whieh the beft $\underbrace{1}$ employed apothecary, in a large market town, will fell im a year, may not perhaps coft him above thirty or forty pounds. 6 T Though he fhould feil them, therefore, for three or four hundred, orsat a thoufand $\mathbf{p}$ : cent. profit, this may frequently be no mcise than the reafonable wages of his labour charged; in the only way in which he can charge them, upon the price of his drugs. The grezser part of the apparent profit is real wages difguifed in the garb of


In a fmall fea-port town, a little grocer will make forty or fifty per cent. upon a fock of a fingle hundred pounds, while a confiderable wholefale merchant in the fame place will fcarce make eight or ten per cent. upon a ftock, of ten thouland, The trade of the grocer may be neceflary for the conveniency of the inhabitants, and the narrownefs of the market may not admit the employment of a larger capital in the bufinefs. The man, however; muft not only live by his trade, but live by it fuitably to the qualifications which it requires. Befides poffefing a little capital, he mupg tbe able to read, write, and acçount, and muft be a tolerable judge too of, perhaps, fifty or fixty different forts of goods, their prices; qualities, and the markets where they are to be had cheapeft He muft have all the knowledge, in fhort, that is neceflary fon great merchant, which nothing hinders him from becoming but the want of a fufficient capital. Thirty or forty pounds a year cannot be confidered as too great a recompence for the labour of a perfon fo accornplifhed. Deduct this from the feemingly great profits of his capital ${ }_{2}$ and little more will remain, perhaps, than the ordinary profits of fock. The greater past of the apparent profit is, in. this caft too, real wages.

The difference between the apparent profit of the retail and that of the wholefale trade, is much lefs in the capital than in fmall
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fimall towns and country villages. Where ten thoufand pounds can be employed in the grocery trade, the wages of the grocer's labour make but a very trifling addition to the real profits of fo great a ftock. The appareat profits of the wealthy retailer, therefores are there more nearly uponsalevel with thofe of the wholefale merchani.. It is upon this account that: goods fold by retail are generally as cheap and frequently much cheaper in the capital than in fmall towns and country villages. Grocery goods, for example, are generally much cheaper; bread and butcher's-meat frequently as cheap. It cofts no more to bring grocery goods to the great town than to the country village; but it cofts a great deal more to bring corn and cattle, as the greater part of them muft be brought from a much greater diftance. The prime coft of grocery goods, therefore, being the fame in both plaees, they are cheapeft where the leaft profit is charged upon thems The prime coft of bread and butcher's-meat is greater in the great town than in the country village ${ }^{3}$; and though the profiv is lefs, therefore, they are not always cheaper there, but often equally cheapy In fuch articles as bread and butcher's-meat, the fame caufe, which diminifhes apparent profit, increafes prime coft The extent of the market, by giving employment to $\{$, eater ftocks, diminifhes app arent profit's but by requiring fupplies from a greater diftance, it increafes prime coft. This diminution of the one and increafe of the other feem, in moft cafes, nearly to counter-balance one another; which is probably the reafon that, though the prioes of corn and cattle are commonly ver different in different parts of the Kingdom, thofe of bread and butcher's-meat are generaliy very nearly the fame through the greater part of it.

Thover the profits of fock both in the wholefale and retail trade are generally lefs in the capital than in fmall towns and country villages, yet great fortunes are frequently acquired from
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occafion none in the whole of the advantages and difadvantages, real or imaginary, of the different employments of either. The nature of thofe circumftances is fuch, that they make up for a fmall pecuniary gain in fome, and counter-balance a great one in others.

In order, however, that this equality may take place in the whole of their advantages or difadvantages, three things are requifite even where there is the moft perfect freedom. Firf, the employments muft be well known and long eftablifhed in the neighbourhood; fecondly, they muft be in their ordinary, or what may be called their natural ftates and, thirdly, they muft be the fole or principal employments of thofe who occupy them.

First, this equality can take place only in thofe employments which are well known, a.. have been long eftablifhed in the neighbourhood.

Where all other circumftances are equal, wages are generally bigher in new than in old trades. When a projector attempts to eftablifh a new manufacture, he muft at firt entice his workmen from other employments by higher wages than they can either earn in their own trades, or than the nature of his work would otherwife require, and a confiderable time muft pafs away before he can venture to reduce them to the common level. Manufactures for which the demand arifes altogether from fafhion and fancy, are continually changing, and feldom laft long enough to be confidered as old eftablifhed manufactures. Thofe, on the contrary, for which the demand arifes chiefly from ufe or neceflity, are lefs liable to change, and the fame form or fabrick may continue in demand for whole centuries together. The wages of labour, therefore, are likely to be higher in manufactures of the former,
than
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BOOK than in thofe of the latter kind. Birmingham deals chiefly in manufactures of the former kind ${ }_{3}$ Sheffield in thofe of the latter; and the wages of labour in thofe two different places, are faid to be fuitable to this difference in the nature of their manufactures.

The eftablifhment of any new manufacture, of any new branch of commerce, or of any new practice in agriculture, is always a fpeculation, from which the projector promifes himfelf extraordinary profits. Thefe profits fometimes are very great, and fometimes, more frequently, perhaps, they are quite otherwife; but in general they bear no regular proportion to thofe of other old trades in the neighbourhood. If the project fucceeds, they are commonly at firft very high. When the trade or practice becomes thoroughly eftablifhed and well known, the competition reduces them to the level of other trades.

Secondiy, this equality in the whole of the advantages and difadvantages of the different employments of labour and ftock, can take place only in the ordinary, or what may be called the natural ftate of thofe employments.

THE demand for almoft every different fpecies of labour, is fometimes greater and fometimes lefs than ufual In the one cafe the advantages of the employment rife above, in the other they fall below the common level. The demand for country labour is greater at hay-time and harveft, than during the greater part of the year! and wages rife with the demand. In time of war, when forty or fifty thoufand failors are forced from the merchant fervice into that of the king, the demand for failors to merchant fhips neceffarily rifes with their fearcity, and their wages upon fuch occafions commonly rife from a guinea and feven and twenty Chillings, to forty thillings and three pounds a month. In a de-
caying
caying minnufacture, on athe contraty; many workmen; rather than quit their old trade, contented with fmaller wages than would "otherwife be flitable to the nature of their employment.

The profits of ftock vary with the price of the commodities in which it is employed. As the price of any commodity rifes above the ordinary or average rate, the profits of at leaft fome part of the ftock that is employed in bringing it to matket, rife above their proper level, and as it falls they fink below it. All commodities are more or lefs liable to variations of price, but fome are much more fo than others. In all commodities which are produced by human induftry, the quantity of indaftry annually employed is neceffarily regulated by the annual demand, in fuch a manner that the average annual produce may, as nearly as poffible, be equal to the average annual confumption. In fome employments, it has already been obferved, the fame quantity of in duftry will always produce the fame, or very nearly the fame quantity of commodities. In the linen or woollen manufactures, for example, the fame number of hands will annually work up very nearly the fame quantity of linen and woollen cloth. The variations in the market price of fuch commodities, thereíve, can arife only from fome accidental variation in the demand. A publick mourning taifes the price of black cloth. But as the demand for moit forts of plain linen and woollen cloth is pretty uniformbs fa is likewife the price. But there are other employments in, which the fame quantity of induftry will not always produce the fame quantity of commodities. The fame quantity of induftry, for example, will, in different years, produce very different quantities of corn, wine, hops, fugar, tobacco, 8cc. The price of fuch commodities, therefore, varies not only with the variations of demand, but with the much greater and more frequent variations of quantity and is confequently extreamly fluctuating. But the profit of fome of the dealers muft neceffarily

## THE NATOREAND CAUSESFDF



 fees "that their 'price "rs' likely' to 'fite, and to fell them' when we'sis likely to fall.
 Tuirdive This equality in the whole of the advanta
Thirdir, This equality in the whole of the advantazes and difadvantages of the different employments of labour and fock, can take place only in fuch as are the fole or principal employments of (f) of thofe who pccupy them.

Whin a perfon derives his fubfiftence from one employment, Which does not pecypy the greater part of his timest in the jixtervals of his leifare he is often, willing to work ato angther mar lefs wages than would otherwife fuit the nature of the employ: ment.

THERe, fill fubfifts in many parts of Scotland an fert of people called مottersior Cottagets, though they weng more freguent forme years ago than they are now. They are a fort of gut-feryants of the landlords and farmers. The ufual reward, which they seceive from their mafters is a houfe, a imall garden, for pot-herbs, as much grafi as will feed, cow, and, perhapa, lam acre or awo of bad arable land. When their mafter has occafion for thein halapur, hegives them, befides, two pecks of oatmeal a week, worth about fixteen-pence ferling. During a great part of the year, ho has Hitteror no occafipn for atheirlatioury iand the cultivation/of their own limine poffefion is not fafficient to occupy the time which is teft atsthoit own-difpofalv. When fuch occupiers svere moresna-
 to give their fpare time for a very fmall recompence to any ibdy, and to have wrought for lefs wages than other labourers. In an-
tient
tient times they feem to have been common all over Burope. In CHAP. countries ill cultivated and worfe inhabited, the greater part of landords and farmers could not otherwife provide themfelves with the extraordinary number of hands, which country labour requires at certain feafons. The daily or weekly recompence which fuch latourers occafionally received from their mafters, was evidently not the whole price of their labour. Their fmall tenement made a confiderable part of it. This daily or weekly recompence, however, feems to have been confidered as the whole of it, by many writers who have collected the prices of labour and provifions in antient times, and who have taken pleafure in reprefenting both as wonderfully low,

The produce of fuch labour comes frequently cheaper to market than would otherwife be fuitable to its nature. Stockings in many parts of Scotland are knit much cheapor than they can any where be wrought upon the loom. They are the work of fervants and labourers, who derive the principal part of their fubfiftence from fome other employment. More than a thoufand pair of Shetland ftockings are annually imported into Leith, of which the price is from five-pence to feven-pence a pair. At Learwick, the fmall capital of the Shetland illands, ten-pence a day, 1 have been affured, is a common price of common labour. In the fame iflands they knit worfted fockings to the value of a guinea \& pair and upwards.

The foinning of linen yarn is carried on in Scotland nearly in the fame way as the knitting of ftockings, by fervants who are chiefly hired for other purpofes. They earn but a very fcanty fubfiftence, who endeavour to get their whole livelihood by either of thofe trades. In moft parts of Scotland fhe is a good fpinner who can earn twenty-pence a week.

[^2] any one trade is fufficient te enploy the whole dablour midiftotkoly thofe who occupy it. Infances of people's living by one employment, and at the fame time deyjiving fome lietle advantage froms another, occur chiefly in poor countries. The following inftance, however, of fomething of the fathe wind is vol be found in the capital of a very rich one. There is no city in Europe, I Believe; in which houlb-rent is deater thian in London, and yet 1 hthow eb capital in which a famimed apartinent can bo hived to cheap. Lodging is not only much cheaper in London wate in "Patis 't is mach cheaper than in Edinbargh of the fame degree of gbodneffs and what may feem extraordinary, the dearnefs of hould-fent is the caufe of the cheapnefs of lodging. The dearnef' of houfe-rent in London, auifes, not only from thofe cawres which render it dear in all great capitale, the dearnefo of faboulir, twis daintiefs'sf at the materials of building which ming genveraly ber broughe twoun on great ciftiates, and above all the dearneff of grodintiventestevery landlord aeting the part of a monopolin, and frequatity exatiing a higher thet for a finghe acre of bad land in a town, than cant be had for a hundred of the beit in the coumry; but it antes in part from the peculiar manters and cuatoms of the people, which obtige every maffer of a family to hire a whole houfe from top to bottom. A dwelling -houfe in England means every thing that is contained under the fame roof. In France, Scotland, and many other parts of Europe, it frequently means no more than a fingle fory $A$ tradofman in London is obliged to hire a wholo houfe in that part of the town where his cuftomers live. His thop is upon the groundfloor, and he and his family fleqp in the garret ; and, he endeavours to pay a part of his houfe-rent by letting the two middle Agries to lodgers. He expects to maintain his family by his trade, and not by his lodgers. Whereas at Paris and Edinburgh, the people who let lodgings, have commonly ino other means of fubfiftence;
and


 oth ai bru Jnequalitiss,pcasinned hy tbe Palizy of Ewrope.
SUCHf are the inegualities in the whole of the advantagee and qfifadyantages of the different employments of labour and feck, Whigh the defee of any of the ethree requifites above mentioned muftogcafion, even, where there is the yof perfect liberty. But the plicy of Europe, by not leaving things at perfect liberty, occafions other inequalities of much greater importance.

IT does this cliefly in the three following ways. Firf, by refraining the competition in fome employments to imaller numeber than would otherwife be difpofed to enter inte thism; fecondly, by increafing it in others beyond what it nattrally would be; and, thirdy, by obftructing the free circulation of labour and ftock, both from employment to employment and from place to placu.
FIRST, The policy of Europe oceafions a very important inequality in the whole of the advantages and difadvantiges of the different employments of labour and ftock, by reftraining the competition in fome employmerits to a fmaller number than might otherwife be difpofed to enter into them.

THE Exclufive privileges of corpoiations are the principal meads it makes ufe of for this purpofe.

The exclafive privilege of an incorporated trade neciffarily reftrains the competition, in the town where it is eftablifhed, to
 in the town under a maftef property qualified, is commionly: the neceffary requifite for obtaining this freedomest The hyenlaws of the corporation regulate fometimes, the number of apprentices which any mafter is, allowwed to haye eand almoftalways the number: of years which each appreptice is obliged to fervembithe intention of both regulations is to reftrain, the cempetition to a much, smaliernumber than might ofterwie be difpofed to enter into the trade. The limitation of the number of apprentices seftraings it directly. Altong term of apprentice Thip rect trains it itmore indiregdy, but as effectually, by increaring the expence of education.
mid sifitas is:

In Sheffield no mafter cutten cari have more than one apprentice at a time by a bye-law of the corporationt In with rieg maftervweaver can have more than two apprentices, under pain of forfcitiag five pounds a imonth to the king No mafter hatter can have more than two apprentices any where in England, or in the Englinh plantations, यunder pain of forfeiting five pounds a mpnth, half to the king and half to him whol thall, fue in any court of record. Both thele regulations, though they have been confirmed by a publick law of the kingdom, arei evidently dictated by the fame corporation fpirit which enacted the bye-law of Sheffielde: The filk weavers in London had fearce, been incorperated a year when they enaited a bye-law reftraining apy mafter from having, more than two apprentices at'a time . It required a particular act of pariament to refcind this bye-law,

Seven years feem antiently to have been, all over Europe, the ufiual iterm eftablifhed for the duration of apprenticefhips in the greater part of incorporated trades. All fuch incorporations were antiently called univerfities; which indeed, is thit proper Latin name for any incorporation whatever, The univerfity of fmiths, the univerfity of taylors, \&ec, are expreffions which we commonly
meet
meet with in the obt cbarters of amient towns. When thofe pari, ticular incorporations which are now peenliarly called univeritios were firt eftablifhed, the term of years which it was neceffary to ftudy, in order to obtain the degrep of matter of arts, appears evidemaly to have been copied fromes the terne of apprentikefhip in common tradef, of which the incorporations wrere much more antient. As to have wrought feven years under a mafter propersly qualified, was neceffary in order to intitle any perfon to become a mafter and to have himflif apprenticese in a common trade; fol to have fudiod feven years under a maifter properly qualified, was neceffary to entitle him to become a mafter, teacher, or doetor (words antiently (ymonimous) in the liberal arts, and to have fcholars or apprenciecs (words tikewife arigisally finonimous) to ftudy under him.

By the 5 th of Elizabeth, commonly called the statute of Apprenticelhip, it was enacted, that no perfon fhould for the future exercife any trade, craft, or miftery at that time exercifed in England, unlefs he had previaufly ferved to it an apprenticefhip of feven years at leaft; and what before had been the bye-law of many particular corporations, became in England the general and public law of all trades carried on in market towns. For though the words of the ftatute are very general, and feem plainly to include the whole kingdom, by interpretation its operation has been limited to market-towns, it having been held that in country villages a perfon may exercife feveral different trades, shough he han not ferved a fuven years apprenticelhip to each, they beine neeeflary for the pogveniency of the inhabitants, and the number of people frequandy not being fufficient to fupply sack with a pasticular fatt of hands.

By a frric interpretation of the words too the operation of this fatute has been limited to thofe trades which were eftablified

VoL. I. $\mathrm{U}_{3}$ in

BOOK in England before the $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}$ th of Elizabeth, and has never been $\underbrace{1 .}$ extended to fuch as have been introduced fince that time. This limitation has given occafion to feveral diftinctions /which, sonfidered as rules of police, lappear as foolifh asican well :be imagined; It has been adjudged, for example, thata coach-maker eam nei, ther himfelf make nor employ journeymen to make his coachwheels, but muft buy them of a mafter wheel-wrighti, this latter trade having been exercifed in England before the gth, lafiiEli-. zabeth. But a wheel-wright, though he has inever ferved an apprenticefhip to a coach-maker, may either thimfelf make or employ journeymen to make coaches; the trade of a coachmaker not being within the ftatute, becaufe not exercifed in England at the time when it was made. The manufactures of Manchefter, Birmingham, and Wolverhampton, are many of them, upon this account, not within the fatute; not having been exercifed in England before the $\mathbf{5}$ th of Elizabeth.

In France, the duration of apprenticefhips is different in different towns and in different trades. In Paris, five years is the term required in a great number; but before any perfon can be qualified to exercife the trade as a mafter, he muft, in many of them, ferve five years more as a journeymar, During this latter term he is called the companion of his mafter, and the term itfelf is called his companionfhip.

In Scotland there is no general law which regulates univerfally the duration of apprenticefhips. The term is different in different corporations. Where it is long, a part of it-may generally be redeemed by paying a finall fine. In moft towns too a very ifmall fine is fufficient to purchafe the freedom of any corporation. The weal vers of linen and hempen cloth, the principal manufactures of the country, as well as all other artificers fubfervient to them, wheel-makers, reel-makers, scc. may exercife their trades in any

[^3]
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 thenewection Three years is in Scefland a common term of ap ir preqticelhin, eyen in, fome yery nide knades, and in general 1 know of ing somptrys, in fifyope in whigh comporation laws ave fo little.
THE property which every marr has in his own labours as it is the original foundation of all other property, 60 it is the moft facred and inviolable. The patrimony of a poor man lies in the ftrength and dexterity of his hands: and to hinder him from employing this ftrength and dexterity in what manner he thinks proper without injury to his neighbour, is a plain violation of this mot lacied property. It is a manifeft encroachntent upon the juft fiberty both of the workman, and of thofe who might be difpofed to employ hims. As it hinders the one from working at what he thiniks proper, fo it hinders the other from employing whom they thime iproper. To judge whether lhe is fit to be em. ployed, may furely be trufted to the diferetion of the employers: whote intereft it fa much concerns. The affefted anxiety of the: law-giver left they fhould employ an improper perfon, is evidently. as impertinent as it is opprefive.

The inftitution of long apprenticefhips can give no, fecuritys that infufficient workmanhip Mall not frequently be expofed) to publick fale. When this is done it is generally the effect of fraud, and not of inability; and the longeft apprenticefhip can give no feentity againft fraudo Quite different regulations ase neceffary to prevent ithis abulae iThe fterling mark upon plategrand the ftamps upon linan and woollen cloth, give the purchafer mueh. greater fecurity than any ftatute of apprenticefhip. He generally. books at thefoy but never thinks it worth while to enquire whethen the worlamas had fatvod afeven years apprenticeafhipo ytiovvien adi

The

BOOK Tar inftitution of long apprenticeshipe has no tendeney to form young people tojinduftey. FiA joumeyntaw whowerke by the plece is likely to be induftriput, becaufe he iderides ae bendifit from every exertion of his induftrys. Ani apprentide is likelyta beidios, and aimoft always is. fo, beeaufeo lic iqnat no imtnediate interoft toibe otherwife. In the inferior iemployments, the fiweets of inibitur confift altogetber in the recompence of labour. They who are Goneft in a condition to anjoy the fiweets of it, are likely fooneft to conceive a relifh for it, and to acquire the early habicof induftry $q$ A young man naturally, sonceives an aūerfion to labour, when for a long time he receives no benefit from it. out appientices from, publick charities are generally bound for more than the ufual number of years, and they generally tum out very idle and worthles.

Apprenticeships were altogether unknown to the antie, its. The reciprocal duties of mafter and apprentice make a confiderable article in every modern code. The Roman law is perfectly filent with regard to them. I know no Greek or Latin Word I might venture, I believe, to affert that there is norne) which expreffes the idea we now annex to the word Apprentice, a fervant bound to work at a particular trade for the benefit of a mafter, during a term of years, upon condition that the mafter flall teach him that trade.

LoNG apprenticefhips are altogether unneceffary. The arts, which are much fuperior to common trades, fuch as thofe of making clocks and watches, contain no fuch myftery as to require a long courfe of inftruction. The firf invention of fuch beautifuitmachines, indeed, and even that of fome of the inftruments employed in making them, muft, no doubt, have been the work of doep thought and long time, and may juftly be confidered as among the
happieft
 fainly jitiveited and cate weltryunderfood, tof explain to 'any! poung mang indthercompleateft manmer, how ta apply theinftrumedite and bow fotconatruet thed rachinesjqcannot welb require more than the leffons fof sarfewiviveelssi querhaps thoferofora feweidays might be fuffididnth In the common mechanick trades, thofe of a few days might certainity be fufficient: Therdexterity of hand, indeed, even in commontrades; cannbt be acquifed without much practice and experictice. Buid young man's would lpractifo with much mope. diligencer and atiention, if from the beginning he ${ }^{-1}$ wrought as a jqumeyman, being paid in proportion to the little work which he could extecutes land paying in his itum for the materials which he might fometimes fpoil throughi cukwarduefs and inexperience.' His education would generally in this way be more effectual, and always lefs tedious and expenfive. The mafter, indeed, would be a doferm. He would lofe all the wages of the apprentice, which he now fayes, for feven years together. In the end perhaps, the appjentice himfelf would be a lofer. In a trade fo eafily learnt he wioyld bave more competitors, and his wages, when he came to be, compleat workman, would be much lefs thau at prefent. The, fame increafe of corapetition would reduce the profits of the matters as well as the wages of, the workmen. The trades, the crafts, fite mufteries, would all be lofers. But the public would be a gainer, the work of all artificers coming in this way much cheaper to market.


BOOK In England, indeed a charter from the king yas likewife neceffary.
But this prerogative of the crown feems to have been referved rather for extorting money from the fubject, than for the defence of the common liberty againßt fuch oppreflive monopolies. Upon paying a fine to the king, the charter,feems generally to have been readily granted; and when any partiçular clafs of artificers or tradors thought proper to act, as a corporation without a charter, fuch adulterine guilds, as they were called, were not always diffranchifed upon that account, but obliged to fine annually to the king for permiffion to exercife their ufurped privileges. The immediate infpection of all corporations, and of the bye-laws, which they might think proper to enact for their own government, belonged to tie town corporate in which they were eftablifhed; and whatever difcipline was exercifed over them, proceeded commonly, not from the king, but from that geater incorporation of whichthofe fubordinate ones were only parts or members.

The government of towns corporate was altogether in the hands of traders and artificers; and it was the manifeft intereft of: every particular clafs of them, to prevent the market from being. overftocked, as they commonly exprefs it, with their own particular species of induftry; which is in reality, to keep it always underftocked. Eaeh clafs was eager to eftablih regulations proper for: this purpofe, and, provided it was allowed to do fo, was willing to confent that every other clafs fhould do the fame. In confequence. of fuch regulations, indeed, each clafs was obliged to buy the goods. they had occafion for from every other within the town fomeyhat dearer than they otherwife might have done. But in tecomperice, they were enabled to fell their own juft as much dearer; fo that 59 . far it was as brcad as long, as they fay; and in the dealings of the different clafes within the town with one another, none of them were lofers by thefe regulations. But in their dealings with the
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country they were all great gainers; and in thefe latter deal- C.HAP. ings confifts she whole trade which funports and enriches $\underbrace{\text { x. }}$ every town.

Every towndraws its whole fubfitence, and all the materials of its induftry, from the country. It pays for thefe chiefly in two ways: firg, by fending back to the country sa part of thofe materials wrought up and manufectured; in which cafe their price is augmented by the wages of the workmen, and the profits of their mafters or immediate employers : fecondly, by fending to it a part both of the rude and manufactured produce, either of other countries, or of diftant parts of the fame country, imported into, the town; in whioh cafe too the original price of thofe goods is augmented by the wages of the carriers or failors, and by the profits of the merchants who employ them. In what is gained upon the firt of thofe two branches of commerce, confifts the advantage which the town makes by its manufactures; in what is gained upon the fecond, the advantage of its inland and foreign trade. The wages of the workmen, and the profits of their different employers, make up the whole of what is gained upon both. Whatever regulations, therefore, tend to increafe thofe wages and profits beyond what they otherwife would be, tend to enable the town to purchafe, with a fmaller quantity of its labour, the produce of a greater quantity of the labour of the country. They give the traders and artificers in the town an advantage over the landlords, farmers, and labourers in the country, and break down that natural equality which would otherwife take place in the commerce which is carried on between them. The whole annual produce of the labour of the fociety is annually divided between thofe two different fetts of people. By means of thofe regulations a greater fhare of it is given to the inhabitants of the town than would otherwife fall to them; and a lefs to thofe of the country.

## 156

THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF
BOOK THE price which the town really pays for the provifions and materials annually imported into it, is the quantity of manufactures and other goods annually exported from it. The dearer the latter are fold, the cheaper the former are bought. The induftry of the town becomes more, and that of the country lefs advantageous.

That the induftiy which is carried on in towns is, every where in Europe, more advantageous than that which is carried on in the country, without entering into any very nice computations, we may fatisfy ourfelves by one very fimple and obvious obfervation. In every country of Europe we find, at leaft, a hundred people who have acquired great fortunes from fmall beginnings by trade and manufactures, the induftry which properly belongs to towns, for one who has done fo by that which properly belongs to the country, the raifing of rudejproduce by the improvement and cultivation of land. Induftry, therefore, mult be better rewarded, the wages of labour and the profits of ftock muft evidently be greater in the one fituation than in the other. But ftock and labour naturally feek the moft advantageous employment. They naturally otherefore, refort as much as they can to the town, and defert the country.

Tur inhabitants of a town, being collected into one place, can eafily combine together. The moft infignificant trades carried on in towns have accordingly, in fome place or other, been incorporated; and even where they have never been incorporated, yet the corporation fpirit, the jealoufy of ftrangers, the averfion to take apprentices, or to communicate the fecret of thuir trade, generally prevail in them, and often teach them, by voluntary affociations and agreements, io prevent that free competition which they cannot prohibit by bye-laws. The trades which employ but a fmall number of hands, run moft eafily into fuch combinations. Half a dozen wool-combers perhaps are neceffary to keep a thoufand fpinners and
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anid weaversat work. ${ }^{t}$ By combining not to take apprentices they carr not only engross the employment, but reduce the whole manufacture into a fort of flavery to themfelves, and raife the price of their labour much above what is due to the nature of their work.

THE inhabitants of the country, difperfed in diftant places, cannot eafily eombine together. They have not only never been incorporated, but the corporation fpirit never has prevailed among them. No apprenticefhip has ever been thought neceffary to qualify for humbandry, the great trade of the country. After what are called the fine arts, and the liberal proiffions, however, there is perhaps no trade which requires fo great a variety of knowledge and experienter The innumerable volumes which have been written upon it in all languages, may facisfy us, that among the wiffet and moft learned nations, it has never been regarded as a matter very eafily undertood. And from all thofe volumes we fhall in vain attempt to collect that knowledge of its various and complicated operations, which is commonly poffeffed even by the common farmer; how contemptuoufly foever the very contemptible authors of fome of them may fometimes affect to fpeak of him. There is fearce any common mechanick trade, on the contrary, of which all the operations may not be as compleatly and diftinclly explained in a pamphlet of a very few pages, as it is poffible for words illuftrated by figures to explain them. In the hiftory of the arts, now publifhing by the French academy of fciences, feveral of them are actually explained in this manner. The direction of operations, befides, which muft be varied with every change of the weather, as well as with many other accidents, requires much more judgement and difcretion, than that of thofe which are always the fame or very nearly the fame.

BOOK Nor only the art of the farmer, the general direction of the operations of hufbandry, but many inferior branches of, country labour require much more skill and experience than the greater part of mechanick trades. The man who works upon brafs, and iron, works with inftruments and upon materials of which the temper is always the fame, or very nearly the fame. But the man who ploughs the ground with a team of horfes or oxen, works with inftruments of which the health, frength, and temper are very different upon different occafions. The condition of the materials which he works upon too is as variable as that of the inftruments which he works with, and both require to be managed with much judgement and difcretion. The common ploughman, though generally regarded as the pattern of ftupidity and ignorance, is feldom defective in this judgement and difretion. He is lefs accuftomed, indeed, to focial intercourle than the mechanick who lives in a town. His voice and language are more uncouth and more difficult to be underftood by thofe who are not ufed to them. His underftanding, however, being accuftomed to confider a greater variety of objects, is generally much fuperior to that of the other, whofe whole attention from morning till night is commonly occupied in performing one or two very fimple operations. How much the lower ranks of people in the country are really fuperior to thofe of the town, is well known to every man whom either bufinefs or curiofity has led to converfe much with both. In China and Indoftan accordingly both the rank and the wages of country labourers are faid to be fuperior to thofe of the greater part of artificers and manufacturers. They would probably be fo every where, if corporation laws and the corporation firit did not present it.

The fuperiority which the induftry of the towns has every where in Europe over that of the country, is not altogether owing
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to corporations and corporation laws. It is fupported by matry- CHAP. other regulations: The hifgh duties upon foreigh mamufactures and upon alf goods imported by alien merchants; all tend to the rame purpofe. Corporation laws eniable the inhabitants of towns to raife their prices, without fearing to be under-fold by the free competition of their own countrymen. Thofe other regulations recure them equatly againft that of foreignets. The enhancement of price occationed by both is every where finally paid by the landlords, farmers, and labourers of the country, who have feldom oppoled the entablifhment of fuch monopolies. They have commonly neither inclination hot fitnefs to enter into combinations; and the clamour and Yophiftry of merchants and manufacturers eafily perfuade them that th: private intereft of a part, and of a fubordinate part of. the fociety, is the general intereft of the whole.

In Great Britain the fuperiority of the induftry of the towns over that of the country, feems to have been greater formerly than in the prefent times. The wages of country labour approach nearer to thofe of manufacturing labour, and the profits of ftock employed in agriculture to thofe of trading and manufacturing ftock, than they are faid to have done in the laft century, or in the beginning of the prefent. This change may be regarded as the neceflary, though very late confequence of the extraordinary eacouragement given to the induftry of the towns. The ftock accumulated in them comes in time to be fo great, that it can no longer be employed. with the antient profit in that fpecies of induftry which is peculiar to them. That induftry has its limits like every other ; and the increafe of ftock, by increafing the competition, neceffarily reduces the profit. The lowering of profit in the town forces out fock to the country, where, by creating a new demand for country labour, it neceffarily raifes its wages. It then fpreads itfelf, if I may fay fo, over the face of the land, and by being

## 1691 <br> THEONATHR \& OAMR GAHMES $S_{A}$ OR

${ }^{B} O_{1}$ ? $F_{0}$ beingo employed in agriculture is in spartiseftored to the counify, at ${ }_{\text {the }}$ expence of which, in a great meafure, it had originally been accumulated in the town. That, every where in Europe the greateft improvements of the country have been owing to fach overflowings of the ftock originally accumulated in the towns, 1, Mhall endeavour to fhow hereafters; and at the fame time to demonftrate, that though fome sountrien have by thin courfe attained to a confiderable degree of opulence, it is in itfelf, neceffarily. Aovesuncertain , liable, to be difturbed and, interrupted by innumenale. accidents ${ }_{6}$, and in every refpect contrary to the order of nature and of reafon, The intereftse prejudices, laws and cuftoms which have given occafion, to it, $I_{\text {I }}$ hall endeavour to explain as fully and diftinctly as I can in the third and fourth books of this enquiry.

Pfopts of the fame trade feldom meet together, even for merriment and diverfion, but the converfation ends in a confpiracys againft the publicks, or in fome contrivance to raife prices, int if impoffible indeed to prevent fucch megingse hy any daw which either could be executed, or would be confiftent with liberty and juffice. But though the law cannot binder people of the fame trade from fometimes affembling together, it ought, to do nogn thing to facilitate fuch affemblies d much lefs to render them neen


A regulation which obliges all thofe of the fame trade in a particular town to enter their names and places of abodein a publick regifter, facilitates fuch affemblies. It connects indivi duals who might never otherwife be known to one another, and gives every man of the trade a direction where to find every other man of it.

Aregulation blo.


As incorporation inot only rendere them neceffary, but makes the act of the majority binding upon the whole. In a free trade an effectual combination cannot be eftablifhed but by the unanimous confent of every fingle member of it, and it cannot laft longer than every fingle member of it continues of the fame mind. The majority of a corporation can enact a bye-law with proper penalties, which will limit the competition more effectually and more durably than any voluntary combination whatever.

Tus pretence that corporations are neceflary for the better government of the trade, is without any foundation. The real and effectual difeipline which is exercifed over a workman, is not that of his corporation, but that of his cuftomers. It is the fear of lofing their employment which reftrains his frauds and corrects his negligence. An exclufive corporation neceflarily weakens the foree of this diflipline. A particular fett. of workmen muft then be employed, let them behave well or ill. It is upon this account that in many large incorporated towns no tolerable workmen are to be found, even in fome of the moft neceffary trades. If you would have your work tolerably executed, it muft be done in the fuburbs, where the workmen having no exclufive privilege, have nothing but their character to depend upon, and you muft then fmuggle it into the town as well as you can.

Ir is in this manner that the policy of Europe, by reftraining the competition in fome employments to a fmaller number than

Vox. I.
Y
would

aboutasimuch filiver sas stan pounds of our prefent money, was in CHAP. England the urual ppay of a curate or ftipendiary parifh prieft, as we find it regulated by the idecrees of feveral different national councils. At the fame period four-pence a day, containing the fame quatitity of filver as a ${ }^{\text {Glllling }}$ of our prefent money, was declared to be the pay of a meffer mafon, and three-pence a day, equal to nine-pence of bur prefent money, that of a journeyman mafon. The wages of both thefe labourers, therefore, fuppofing them to have been conftantly employed, were much fuperior to thofe of the curate. The wages of the mafter mafon, fuppofing him to have been without employment one-third of the year, would have fully equalled them. By the sath of Queen Anne, c. 12 , it is declared, That whereas for want of fufficient main"stenance and encouragement to curates, the cures have in feveral sf places been meanly fupplied, the bifhop is, therefore, empow"tered to appoint by writing under his hand and feal a fuffigient selicertain ftipend or allowance, not exceeding fifty and not lefs than "twenty pounds a year." Forty pounds a year is reckoned at prefent very good pay for a curate, and notwithftanding this aet of parliament, there are many curacies under twenty pounds a year. There are journeymen fhoe-makers in London who earn forty pounds a year, and there is Ccarce an induftrious workman of any kind in that metropolis who does not earn more than twenty. This laft fum indeed does not exceed what is frequently earned by common labourers in many country parifhes. Whenever the law has attempted to regulate the wages of workmen, it has always been. rather to lower them than to raife them. But the law has upon many occafions attempted to raife the wages of curates, and for the dignity of the church, to oblige the rectors of parifhes to give them more than the wretched maintenance which they themfelves might be willing to accept of. And in both cafes the law feems to have been equally ineffectual, and has never either been
$Y_{2}$ able

B 010 X able io raifet the wages nof curates tor to fink thole of tabouners: to the degree that/was $/$ intended; becaufe it has never been ablesto hinder either the one from being willing to accept of lefs than the legal allowance, on account of the indigence of their fituation and the mulditude of their competitors; or the other from receiving more, on account of the contrary competition of thofe who expected. to derive either profit or pleafure from employing them.

The great benefices and other ecclefiaftical dignities fupport the honour of the church, notwithftanding the mean circumftances of fome of its inferior members. The refpest paid to theprofeffion too makes fome compenfation even to them for the meannefs of their pecuniary recompence. In England, and in all Roman Catholick countries, the lottery of the church is in reality much more advantageous than is neceffary. The example of the churches of Scotland, of Geneva, and of feveral other proteftant churches, may fatisfy us that in fo creditable a profeffion, in which education is fo eafily procured, the hopes of much more moderate benefices will draw a fufficient number of learned, decent and refpectable men into holy orders.

In profeffions in which there are no benefices, fuch as law and: phyfick, if an equal proportion of people were educated at the publick expence, the competition would foon be fo great, as to fink very much their pecuniary reward. It might then not be worth any man's while to educate his fon to either of thofe profeffions at his own expence. They would be entirely abandoned to fuch as had been educated by thofe publick charities, whofe numbers and neceffities would oblige them in general to content themfelves with a very miferable recompence, to the entire degradation of the now refpectable profeffions of law and phyfick.

That
of Trencianiprofperousi race of men commonly dalled men of CHAP. letters, are pretty much in the fituation which lawyers and phyficians probably would be in upon the foregoing fuppofition In every part of Europe the greater part of them have been educated for the church, but have been hindered by different reafons from entering into holy orders. They have generally, therefore, been educated at the publick expence, and their numbers are every where fo great as commonly to reduce the price of their labour to a very paultry recompence.

BEFORE the invention of the art of printing, the only employment by which a man of letters could make any thing by his talents, was that of a publick teacher, or by communicating to other people the curious and ufeful knowledge which he had acquired himfelf; And this is ftill furely a more honourable, a more ufeful, and in general even a more profitable employment than that other of writing for a bookfeller, to which the art of printing has given occafion. The time and ftudy, the genius, knowledge and application requifite to qualify an eminent teacher of the fiences, are at leaft equal to what is neceffary for the greateft practitioners in law and phyfick. But the ufual reward of the eminent teacher bears no proportion to that of the lawyer or phyfician; becaufe the trade of the one is crowded with indigent people, who have been brought up to it at the publick expence; whereas thofe of the other two are incumbered with very few who have not been educated at their own. The ufual recompence, however, of publick and private tcachers, fmall as it may appear, would undoubtedly be lefs than it is, if the competition of thofe yet more indigent men of letters whio write for bread was not taken out of the market. Before the invention of the art of printing, a fcholar and a beggat feem to have been terms very nearly fynonymous. The different governors of the univerfities before that time appear to have often granted licences to their fchoiars to beg,
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In antient times, before any charities of this kind had been eftablifhed for the education of indigent people to the learned proferfions, the rewards of eminent teachers appear to have been much more confiderable. Ifocrates, in what is called his difcourfe againt the fophift, reproaches the teachers of his own times with inconfiftency. They make the moft magnificent promifes to their fcholars, fays he, and undertake to teach them to be wife, to be happy, and to be juft, and in return for fo important a fervice they ftipulate the paultry reward of four or five mine. They who tuach wifdom, continues he, ought certainly to be wife themfelves; but if any man was to fell fuch a bargain for fuch a price, he would be convicted of the moft evident folly." He certainly does not 'nean here to exaggerate the reward, and we may be affured that it was not lefs than he reprefents it. Four mina were equal to thirteen pounds fix fhillings and eight pence : five mine to fixteen pounds thirteen fhillings and four pence. Something not lefs than the largeit of thofe two fums, therefore, muft at that time have been ufually paid to the moft eminent teachers at Athens. Hocrates himfelf demanded ten mina, or thirty-three pounds fix fhillings and eight pence, from each fcholar. When he taught at Athens, he is faid to have had an hundred fcholars. I underfand this to be the number whom he taught at one time, or who atterded what we would call one courfe of lectures, a number which will not appear extraordinary from fo great a city to fo famons a teacher, who taught too what was at that time the rafhionable of all fciences, rhetorick. He muft have made, therefore, by each courfe of lectures, a thoufand minæ, or 3333 l .6 s .8 d . A thoufand mine, accordingly, is faid by Plutarch in another place, to have been his Didactron or uftual price of teaching. Many other eminent teachers in thofe times appear to have acquired great fortunes. Gorgias made a prefent to the temple of Delphi of his own ftatue in folid gold. We mult not, I prefume, fuppofe that it
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was arelarge as the life. His wey of lixing, as well as that of CHAR. Zippias and Protagoras, two other aminent teachers of thofe times, is reprefented by Plato as fplendid even to offentation. Plato himfelf is faid to have lived with a good deal of magnificence. Ariftotle, after having been tutor Alexander and moft munificently rewarded, as it is univerfally agreed, bath by him and his father Philip, thought it worth while, notwithftanding, to return to Athens, in order to refume the teaching of his fchool. Teachers of the ficiences were probably in thofe times lefs common than they came to be in an age or two afterwards, when the competition had probably fomewhat reduced both the price of their labour and the admiration for their perfons. The moft eminent of them, however, appear always to have enjoyed a degree of confideration much fuperior to any of the like profeffion in the prefent times. The Athenians fent Carneades the academick, and Diogenes the ftoick, upon a folemn embafly to Rome; and though their city had then declined from its former grandeur, it was ftill an independent and confiderable republick. Carneades too was a Babylonian by birth, and as there never was a people more jealous of admitting foreigners to publick offices than the Athenians $\boldsymbol{z}_{\text {, }}$, their confideration for him muft have been very great.

This inequality is upon the whole, perhaps, rather advantageous than hurtful to the publick. It may fomelvhat degrade the profeffion of a publick teacher; but the cheapnefs of literary education is furely an advantage which greatly over-balances this trifling inconveniency. The publick too might derive ftill greater benefit from it, if the conftitution of thofe fchools and colleges, in which educa-tion is carried on, was more reafonable than it is at prefent through the greater part of Europe.

Thirdey, The policy of Europe, by obftrueting the free circuslation of labour and fock both from employment so employment, and
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temop through the greater part of the eountry, it can afford no general refource to the workmen of other decaying manufactures, who, wherever the fatute of apprenticefhip takes place, have no other choice but either to come upon the parilh, or to work as conwowi habourert, for which, by themr biabitgs they are much worfe qualified than for any fort of manufacture that bears any refemblance to their own. They generally, therefore, chufe to come upon the parifh.

Whatever obftruets the free circulation of labour from one employinent to another, obftructs that of fock likewife; the quaiitity of ftock which can be employed in any branch of bufinefs depending very much upon that of labour which can be employed $i_{\text {n }}$ it Corporation laws, however, give lefs obftruetion to the free circulation of ftock from one place to another than to that of abour. It is every where much eafier for a wealthy merchant to obtain the privilege of trading in a town corporate, than for a poor artificer to obtain that of working in it.

The obfruction which corporation laws give to the free circulation of labour is common, I believe, to every part of Europe. That whid is given to it by the poor laws, fo far as I know, is peculiar to England. It confifts in the difficulty which a poor man finds in obtaining a fettlement, or even in being allowed to exercife his induftry in any parifh but that to which he belongs. It is the labour of artificers and manufacturers only of which the free circulation is obiftructed by corporation laws. The difficulty of obtaining fettlements obftructs even that of common labour. It may be worth while to give fome account of the rife, progrefs, and prefent ftate of this diforder, the greateft perhaps of any in the police of England,
Vor. I.
Z
When
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(1) Bu'r parifh officers, it feems, were not always more honeft with regard to their own, than they had been with regard to other parifhes, and fometimes connived at fuch intrufions, receiving the notice, and taking no proper fteps in confequence of it. As every perfon in a parih, therefore, was fuppofed to have an intereft to prevent as imuch as poffible their being burdened by fuch intruders, it was further enacted by the 3 diof William III, that the forty days refidence fhould be accounted only from the publication of fuch notice in writing on Sunday in the church immediately after divine fervice.
" After all, fays Doctor Burn, this kind of fettlement, by " continuing forty days after publication of notice in writing, is 4 ivery feldom obtained; and the defign of the acts is not fo much " for gaining of fettlements, as for the avoiding of them, by " perfons coming into a parih clandeftinely: for the giving of " notice is only putting a force upon the parifh to remove. But " if a perfon's fituation is fuch, that it is doubtful whether he is " actually removeable or not, he fhall by giving of notice compel " the parifh either to allow him a fettlement uncontefted, by fuf" fering him to continue forty days; or, by removing him, to try " the right."

This fatute, therefore, rendered it almof impracticable for a poor man to gain a new fettlement in the old way, by forty days inhabitancy. But that it might not appear to preclude altogether the common people of one parifh from ever eftablifhing themfelves with fecurity in another, it appointed four other ways by which a fettlement might be gained without any notice delivered or publifhed. The firf was, by being taxed to parih rates and paying them; the fecond, by being elected into an annual patifh office and ferving in it a year; the third, by ferving an apprenticefhip in the
parifl;

BOOK parimy the fourthy by being hired into fervice there for a year; (~) and continuing in the fame fervice during the whole of it . Lacil yeits

Nobody can gain a fettlement by either of the two firf ways, but by the publick deed of the whole parifh, who are too well aware of the confequences to adopt any new comer who has nothing but his labour to fupport him, either by taxing him to parifh rates, or by electing him into a parifh office.

No married man can well gain any fettlement in either of the two laft ways. An apprentice is fcarce ever married, and it is exprefly enacted, that no married fervant fhall gain any fettlement by being hired for a year. The principal effect of introducing fettlement by fervice, has been to put out in a great meafure the old fafhion of hiring for a year, which before had been fo cuftomary in England, that even at this day, if no particular term is agreed upon, the law intends that every fervant is hired for a year. But mafters are not always willing to give their fervants a fettlement by hiring them in this manner; and fervants are-not always willing to. be fo hired, becaufe as every laft fettlement difcharges all the foregoing, they might thereby lofe their original fettlement in the places of their nativity, the habitation of their parents and relations.

No independent workman, it is evident, whether labourer or: artificer, is likely to gain any new fettlement either by apprenticefhip or by fervice. When fuch a perfon, therefore, carried his induftry to a new parif, he was liable to be removed, how healthy and induftrious foever, at the caprice of any churchwarden or overfeer, unlefs he either rented a tenement of ten pounds a year, a thing impoffible for one who has nothing but his labour to live by; or could give fuch fecurity for the difcharge of the parifh as
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two jufticess of the peace flould judge fufficient. What fecourity they fhall require, indeed, is left altogether to their diferetions but
 they cannot well require lefs than thirty pounds, it having been enacteck, that the purchafe even of a freehold eftate of lefs than thirty pounds value, Chall not gain any perfon a fettlement, as not being fufficient for the difcharge of the parifh. But this is a fecurity which fcarce any man who lives by labour can give; and much greater fecurity is frequently demanded.

In order to reftore in fome which thofe different ftatutes h: invention of certificates was fallen upon. By the 8th and gth of William HI, it was enacted, that if any perfon fhould bring a certificate from the parih where he was laft legally fettled, fubfcribed by the churchwardens and overfeers of the poor, and allowed by two juffices of the peace, that every other parifh hould be obliged to receive him $_{\text {b }}$, that he fhould not be removable merely upon account of his being likely to become chargeable, but only upon. his becoming actually chargeable, and that then the parilh which. granted the certificate fhould be obliged to pay the expence both. of his maintenance and of his removal. And in order to give the: moft perfect fecurity to the parim where fuch certificated man fhould come to refide, it was further enacted by the fame ftatute, that he fhald gain no fettlement there by any means whatever, except either by renting a tenement of ten pounds a year, or by ferving upon his own account in an annual parifh office for one whole year; and confequently neither by notice, nor by fervice, nor by apprenticefhip, nor by paying parifh rates. By the 12th: of Queen Anne too, ftat. 1. c. 18. it was further enacted, that neither the fervants nor apprentices of fuch certificated man fhould gain any fettlement in the parifh where he refided under fuch cer-tificate.

How:
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$\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{1}, 1, \mathrm{H}$ Hew fan this invention has reftored, that free circulation of labour which the preceeding fftatutes ihadialmint entirely itaken away, we may learn from the following ivery judicious oobfer. vation of Doctor Burn. 'is ' it is obviousi fays he; that itheresiate " divers good reafons foy requiring certificates with perfortsi comal
" ing to fettle in any place; namely, that perfons refiding under "is them can gain no fettlement, neither by apprentice?hip, nor by " fervice, nor by giving notice, nor by paying parifh rateat that "they can fettle neither apprentices nor fervants s, that if they " become chargeable, it is certainly known whither to rempve "them, and the parith llall be paid for the remavals and for " their maintenance in the mean times and that if they falli fick; " and cannot be removed, the parif which gave theicertificate " muft maintain thems None of all which can be without alcer" tificate. Which reafons will hold proportionably fon parifhes " not granting certificates in ordinary cafesy for it ifs fastimore "than an equal chance, but that they will have the certificated "perfons again, and in a worfe condition, "rithe morall of thie obfervation feems to be, that certificates ought valways to ber rebs quired by the parith where any poor man eories to refide; and. that they ought very feldom to be granted by that which he propofes to leave. "There is fomewhat of hardfitip in this matter " of certificates," fays the fame very intelligent author in his Hiftory of the poor laws, " by putting it in the power of a parifh " officer, to imprifon a man as it were for lifes however incon" " venient it may be for him to continue at that place where he " has had the misfortune to acquire what is called a fettlement, or " whatever advantage he may propofe to himfelf by living elfes " where."

Though a certificate carries along with it no teftimonial of good behaviour, and certifies nothing but that the perfon belongs
to the parifh to which he really does belong, it is altogether difcretionaity in the parihbofficers either to grant or to refure it. A mandamus was once moved for, fays Doctor Burn, to compel the churchwardens and overfeers to fign/a certificate; but the court of King'siBench rejected the motion as a very ftrange attempt. तsbrus garibion दmok ch -
The very unequal price of labour which we frequently find in: England in plates at no great diftance from one another, is probably towing to the obfruction which the law of fettlements gives sow erpoor man who would carry his induftry from one parifh to another without a certificatebt A fingle man, indeed, who is healthy and induftrious, may fometimes refide by'fufferance without one; bute il man with a wife and family who fhould attempt to do fo, would in moft parifhes be fure of being removed, and if the fingle man mould afterwards marry, he would generally be removed likewife The foarcity of hands in one parifh, therefore, carmot always be, pelieved by thein fuper-abundanee in another, as it is conftantly in Scotland, and, I believe, in all other countries where there is no difficulty of, fettlement. In fuch countries, though wages may fometimes rife a little in the neighbourhood of a great town, or wherever elfe there is an extraordinary demand for labours, andfink gradually as the difance from fuch places increafes; till they fall back to the common rate of the country; yet we never meet, with thofe fudden and unaccountable differences in the wages of neighbouring places, which we fometimes find in England, where it is often more difficult for a poor man to pafs the artificial boundary of a parifh, than an arm of the fea or a ridge of high mountains, natural boundaries, which fometimes feparate very diftinctly different rates of wages in other countries.

To. remove a man who has committed no mifdemeanour from the. parifh where he chufes to refide, is an evident violation of natural
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 tural liberty and juftice. The compimon people of finglandy however, fo jealous of their tibertyw but , liks the sommon people of mon other countries never rightly manderfanditis, whernin itesonfifts, have now for mose than, a centurg together fiffifired showafelves to be expofad to this oppreffigin withoput a semedysi, Ashotigh men of reflection too have fometrimen somplained of $f_{n}$ the haw obif rettlements as a publick grievanceis, yet it has, never bernerthe
 general warrants an abufive tpractica simpdoubtedly quibum fiubh
 There is scarce a pops man in England of fortogeans ef agelint will venture to fayk. who, hasen not in in spmepert of this lifdifftr himfelf moft cruplly $y_{\text {o }}$ oppreft by, this ill contrived riaw of fetuler. ments.

I spals condudes this long 'chapter with offolvingl thatihoagh' anciently it was ufual no rate wages firithby son over the whole kingdoms and afterwardesby panticuliticoerdent of
 tices have now gone , nititely into, diflufen we By thexprticagecof - above four hondred years, fays Doctor Burn it fioms simie, to *- lay afide all endeavours to bring under" "t regulations, what - in its own natare feems incapable of ate limitation: for "If all perfons in the fame kind of work were to receive equal ; ec wages, there would be no emulation, and no room left for in"duftry or ingeneity."

Particular acts of parliament, however, fill attempt fometimes to regulate wages in particular trades and in particular places. Thus the 8th of George III. prohibits under heavy penalties all mafter taylors in London, and five miles round it, from giving and their workmen from accepting, more than two gellinge ind
feven-
 ing. $\cdot$ Wheneverthe legindure ittwhipds to regulate the differences
 maftest. Whew theitgatation, ${ }^{5}$ therefore, its in favour of the
 wife whenf in if idetref the matters. Thed the faw whith obliges the inated invefveral diffetelit trates to pay thir workinen in moneyriand noe ing godidy, is quffe foft and equitable. It impofes no rembathehip upent the maftifs. It only obliges then. to pay that
 reallygpay, inegoodse? This taw is in favour of the workmen; buethisigth of ceorge inI" is in favotur of the mafters. When matives zombintowother in bider to redtice the wages of their workmen, they commonly enter into a private bond or agreement? not to give more than a certain wage under a certain penalty.

 the hum would potifh thein very feverefy; afflifit dealtimpartially
 Georgosilu; enforceg by law that very progitlation which maters. fometimes utuempt to eftablith by fuch combinations. The com-, plaint of the workmen, thiat it puts the ableft and moft indu-, ftridus upion the fame footing with an ordinary workman, teems:


In antient times too it was ufual to attempt to regulate the: profits of merchants and other dealers, by rating the price both of provifions and other goodg. The affize of bread is, 10 far as I knowiqhe orily remnant of this antient ufage. Where there is. anl excluffer colporation, it may perhaps be proper to regulate the priee of the firt necefrary of life. But where thefe is none, the competitill thill regufate it much better tham any afine. The:

VOE. I. A a method:
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THE INATURE) AND ACAUSESHOF
BOOK method of fixing the affize of bread eftablifhed by the 3 ift of George II. could not be pipt in practice in Scotland, on account of a defect in the law; its execution depending upon the office of clerk of the market, which does not exift there. This defect was not remedied till the is of George III, The want of an affice oo cafioned no fenfible inconveniencys and the, eftabliohment of nt in the fow place where it has yet taken place, has produced no Senfible advantage. In the greater part of the towns of Scotlands however, theie, is fan incorporation of falkers whoclaine exclutive privileges; though they are not yery grictly guardect, oft evflimet
 2. The proportion between the different rates both of watges and profit in the different employments of labout and fock forms not to ibe much affeetede as has already, heen oobfaryed, hy ther righes on poverty; the advancing, ftationafy mindecining ffatyon fhe fogiet\% Siuch revolutions in the publick, welfare, though they affece thegeneral rates both of wages and profit, muft in the end affef, them, egyally in all different emplayments. The, proportion between them, theriforere, muft remain the famesond canmpt well be altereds at leaff forivapy confiderabletimes by any funch reyplutions, upvit srorit veilstodil
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$$ Dosefor, conffuteded as the priec pait for the ufe of landy is ${ }^{1}$ hadturany the fightentwhion the eentent ean afford to pay in the getuap rifecimitaneès of the land: $\mathbf{I n}$ adjufting the terms of the feare, the lanallord endedvours ito leave him tio greater fhare of the produce thiar what iv rafficient te keep up the fock from which he furnifhes the fred, prays the labourr; and purchafest and maintains the cattle and other inftruments of hurbandry, together with the ofrinary profits of fatming foek in the neighbourhood. This is Efitentily the chatient fhare writh twhith the teniant dan content himfelf


 Whatoved partiff (its pinces, is aver and above this /hare, hematurally


 liberality, more frequerity ${ }^{\text {th }}$ the ${ }^{1}$ ighoraticey of the landlord, makes him aceept of fomewhat lefs than this portion; and fometimes too, though more rarely; the ignorance of the tenant makes him undertake to pay fomewhat more, or to content himfelf with fomewhat lefs than the ordinary profits of farming fock in the neighbourhood. This portion, however, may ftill be confidered: as the natural rent of land, or the rent for which it is naturally, meant that land fhould for the moft. part be lett.

The rent of land, it may be thought, is frequently no more: than a reafonable profit or intereft for the fock Jaid out: by the landlord upon its improvement. This, no doubt, may be partly the: cafe. upon fome occafions, for it can fearce.ever be more than partly

## A.a. 2

the:

B'oto K the cafe: The landiond demands a zent even for unimproved land, and the fuppofed intereft or profit upori the expence of improvemient is generally an addition to this original rent. Thofe improvements, befides, are not always made by the fock of the landlord, but fometimes by that of the tenant. When the leafe comes to be renewed, however, the landlord commonly demands the fame augmentation of rent, as if they had been alt made by his own.

Hz fometimes demands rent for what is altogether incapable of human improvement. Kelp is a ipecies of fea-weed, which, when burnt, yields an alkaline falt, ufeful for making glafs, loatp, and for feveral other purpofes. It grows in feveral parts of Great Britain, particularly in Scotlahd, upon fuch rocks only as hie within the high water mark, which are twice every day covered with the fea, and of which the produce, therefore, was never saigmented by human induftry. The landiord, however, whofe eftide is bounded by a kelp fhore of this kind, demands a rent for it as much as for his corn fields.

390 Iis)
The fea in the neighbourhood of the iflands of Shetland is more than commonly abundant in fifh, which make a great part of the fubfiftences of theis inhabitintss. But in onder to profit by the produce of the watere, they muft have a hapitation upon the neighbouring land. The raty of the landlord is in proportion, not to whit the farmer , canuruke by the land, but to what he, can make both by the land and the wateir. It is partly paid in fea filh; and one of the very few inftances in which rentr makes a part of the price of that commodity, is to be found in that country.

The rent of land, therefore, confidered as the price paid for the ufe of the liand, is riaturally a monopoly price. It is not at all propertioned to what the madiert may have leid out ufpol the improvement
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improvement of the land, or to what he can afford top takep but to what the farmer can afford to give.

Sucre parts only of the produce of land can commonly te brought to market of which the ordinary price is fufficient to replege the fock which muft be employed in bringing them thither, together with its ordinary profits. If the ordinary price is more than this, the furplus part of it will naturally go to the rent of the land. If it is not more, though the commodity may be brought to market, it can afford no rent to the landiord. Whether the price is, or is not more, depends upon the demand.

TuERz are fome parts of the produce of land for which the demand muft always be fuch as to afford a greater price than what is fufficient to bring them to market; and there are others for which it either may or may not be fich as to afford this greater price. The former muft always afford a rent to the landiord. The latter fometimes may, and fometimes may not, according to different circumftances.

Rent, it is to be oblerved, thelfore, enters into the compofition of the price of commoditios in a difterent what wages and profit. High or low wages and profit, are the cautes of ligh or low price, bigk or tow rent is the offect of it, He is botairefigh or low whereend profit mivft Be paic, ie order to bring a particular commodiey to nearket, that ite price is high or low. Butitis becaufo ite price io high or low / a great deal hoore; or very little mont, or no more, than what is fufficient to pay thofe wages and profit, that it affords a bight raht, or a tow reft, of no reat at all.

Tun particular confideration, firts off thof paxts of the produce of land which always afford fomerent ; fecondly, of thofeowhich fometimes
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 of the variations "which, in the different periods of improwentient, naturally take place, in the relative value of thor two different e forts of rode produce,' whetricomparied 'both' with one 'anfothity, 'aha' With manufactured "Eomimbdities, "will athene this chaplet "tito ${ }^{\circ}$ trite





Of the Produce of Land which always affords Rent!

$A^{s}$$\$$ men, like all other animals, naturally multiplycin proportion
 inidemaridus Itrein always ppurghafe or command a greened orcfiliditive
 willing to doventething in lordetitosobtain itu oftheriquantibpofof labours indeed whichitican purthinfeg lit not alvayjuequal toiwhat it could maintaingriif managed lin the:moftreepmontitalo binary onsaecount of the highiwagesdwhichiars fonietihnesrgining moldebbudi But rit can always ppuichafo fuchs quantity if dabourras oitit cans: maintain, satodrding to the ratelat which that fort of labour income-


Bur land, in almost any fituation; produce betreateriquantity of food than what is fufficient to maintain all the labour neceffary for bringing it, to market, in the moft liberal way in which that labour is. ever maintained. The furplus too is always more than Sufficient it p replace the frock which employed that labour together with its profits. Son ething therefore, always remains for, a rent to the landlord,

The mont defiant moors in Norway and Scotland produce, some: fort of wafture, for cattle, of which the milk and the increate are
4. always.

 farmer, os owner of the herd or floek, but, to, afford fomp imall rent th, the landlord. . The rent increafes, in proportion to the goodo neff of,the paftupe. Rine fame extent of ground not only mains tains a greater number of cattle, but as they are brought within a fmaller compafs, lefs labour becomes requifite to tend them, and to collect their produce. The landlord gains both ways; by the increafe of the produce, and by the diminution of the labour which muft be maintained out of $i$ t.
aoTwacrept of land waries with ita fertilityd whatever be its preduce, candowith itedituation, whateveribe ita fertility. Land in the meightiourheodigf titowny gives iaigreateprrent than landicequally
 labourito quleivate the one than the other, it muft always coft more
 quantigy of labourp therefores/ muft be maintained iont of itily and
 and the arent of athedandiordy muftobe diminifhed suBatin remoet parts of theieduntryitheitate of profits as has already been fhown, is generally higher than in thesteighbourhood of a large towndac $A$ fmaller proportion of this diminifhed furplus, therefore, muft beleniguto the landordowborq ethoiterstil vris flomis ni ,basl tug
 Coov roads, canals, and ravigable rivers, by dimininting the expence of carriage, put the remote parts of the country more nearrye upori a level with thofe in the neighbourhood of the town. They are upon that account the greater of all improvements. They encourage the cultivation of the remote, which muft always be the moft extenfive circle of the country. They are advantageous to the towne, by breaking down the monopoly of the country in its, nèighbourhood.

## ith



end eguntry



 it for the fake of fuf defence. It in not mortthear fiftyyont ago that fome, of the gountiet in the neliftbourkiod of Lindong potic

 tended fromiato, cheapnefs of lationew, would bei iable to fell theise
 and woyld theging reduco their



A corn fiold of moderafe fertility producee 2 much giventor


 labout, if fikewif much gretter. If a popad of bucherethemem therefore, was never, fuppofed to be worth mere that aipiond of bread, thi greintars fifipluy would everg whepe be cf evment wiven and conftitute s greater fund, both for the and the rent of the landloid of It Eame to have done fo uninerifly in the rude beringings of egrigulures.

Bro the rcheive, value of thofe two defferes frecimeslopit,

 which then eqeupg the far greatur pepte of the cotentuy, wist abanional to entelo. There is more butater'o-ment then trued,

## THE WBALTH OF NATIONS.

end brendry theneforos'to Alvolood for which there is the grexteft compeitimis and awbicts confequenily bringe the grieteft price. Ac Butnos Ahyeg wo art telts by Ullon, four realo, one and twandyipences halfpemay fterlings, wivs, forty or fifty years ago, the
 dradivuthe figenoching' of the price of brend, probably becaufe he foynd mothing remarkable about it. An ox there, he fays, coft lieslapmone then the labour of catching him. But corn can' no where bacquifed without ow greme deal of lablour, and in a country which feet upont the river Plitep) at that tirne the direct road from Euppisita the filveri mines, of Potofi, the money price of labour could molibermely cheaps It ib otherwifo when cultivation is extendelivever the greater part of the country? There is then more broll theh hanchatsomeady The competition chatyes its direction, and the price of butcher's-meat becomes greater than' the 'price of bread.

17otanetrention tefides of cultivation, the unimproved wilds beluend wifinticient to fupply the demand for butchers-meat, A geth parturiethe etllivated lands muft be employed in rearing and fitutring adetes, of which the price, therefore, muft be fufficient to pay bator only the tabour neceflaty for tending them, but the rent wititer the- laindlond ante the profit which the farmer could have drumitrioit fach tand 'employed in tillage, The cartle bred upon the monturneutivated moors, when brought to the fame market, are, in proportion to their weight or goodnefs, fold at the fame price as thofe which are reared upon the moft improved land. The proptictors of thofe moors profit by it, and raife the rent of their landiajpropottion to the price of their eattlo. It is not more than a dentury agos that in many parts of the highlands of Scotland; butches's-mpat was as cheap or cheaper thain even bread made of oatmeiloa The anion apened the market of England to the highVol. I.

B b
land

## 

 greater thatin at the beginning of the centary, and the rentaiof aliang highland eftates have beef tripled and quadrapled in the fame timie. In almoft erey past of Great. Britainwipound of the bef bructierfomeat is, in the prefent timesjogenormily ( pounds of the beft white breadzsiand in plentifuri qcates ite is fowow

 ITr is thus that inthe progreff of improvemefit the rentiandi profit of unimproved pafture comer to be gegtulated implomiso meafuteiby the rent and profit of what is cimproved, ant theforaguin thy the rent and profit of torn. Com is ansamual crop. Batcher's-meat, a crop which requires four or five lyears to grow Hisamidere of
 species of food thaif of the others the inferiority of the quathtity mait be compenfater by the fuperiority of the price. If it was more thath compenfated; thore com land would be turned into paftare; afid if initwas noc conipenfatedy part of what was in piafture wbanalbe broughestade inte corn to boodwodigion ody mil yel doidw ylent
 w Truse equality, however, between the rent and profit of greas and thofe of comn; of the tand of which the immediate produce is food for cattles and of thate of which the inmediate produevers odal for mento muft be cuidertiod to take place only tifrobghtile greatet part of the improved ilandy of agreat country! In botme paditieular idcal fituations it is quite otherwife, and the rent and profit of grafs are much fuperior to what can be made by cornjlguoid of ui noitevisius ati bagemotit avesi flum bras amog ilo paotimo

THus in the neighbourhood of a great town, the demand for milk and for forage to horfes, frequently contribute, along with the ligh price of butchers-meat, to raife the value of grafs above what may be called its natural proportion to that of corn. This

lopaliadraitagerit istaidents cansot be communicated ton the landsi



- Pawnehoodincirchmftapeasis have fometimes rendered fome eomantried foppopuletus that inheswoletervitory, like the lands in the neighbounthoode $\rho$ f inigreitt towngs has not bepal fufficient to produce both the grafs and the corn necefiary for the fubfiatence of their inhabitants. Their lands, therefore, have been principally emproyedim the production of grafs, the more bulky commodity, and whichicmnot ibe fa safily shogught from a great diftances, and corn. the fobd of the greatibodys of the peoples has bocts chicfly imported from foreign countries. is Holland is at prefentin this fituation, and aoconfidenable patt of antient Italy fcems to bave beens fo during thoprafpecity of ithep RomanBsijtio feed, welly old Cato ifaids; asiwe
 management of miprivateiceftates to fead tolekably wally the focond ${ }_{3}$ and to feed illo the third. To plough, he ranked only in the fourth placenes profitand advantage Tillages indeed in that part of antient Italy which lay in the neighbourhood of Romes mulf haye been very much difeouraged by the dittributions of corn which were fre-
 paigesi This corm mat brought from the copquuered, provinces, of

 theregubliokr Then Iow pripe at which this sorn who diftributed sathe people, moft meceflabily chave funls the price of what could he brought to the Roman imarket from Latiums or the antient territory of Rome, and muft have difcouraged its cultivation in that country.

In an opan cquatry top, of which the principal produce is corn, Anwell enclefed pigge of grafs will frequently rent higher than any Bb 2 corn
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 Its highitentigi, Th this ieafeghot 和 properly paid from the givalue of its own prodicestrasifiom that of the corndands/which a aseloukivated by means of it. It is likely to fall, if ever the neighbouring rainds'are compleatly land in'Sébtland feems 1 owing tod the farcity of endofire), and will probably haft nit longer than ahat featcity: of The edvantage ofiem-



 ${ }^{\text {bresen }}$ But where there is notlocal adyanage off thigikinds the went

 fit for producing it, the rent and profition of pafteres storl yot botlett

 Sequal quanitity of linid feed a greater nuimber of cattle/then whien in natural grafs, fhould fothewhat roduce, itimighit be rexpected; the fuperiority which, in an improved country, the price of

 that, "cat leaft in the Eondon markets, the price of butchects meneat "in proportion to the price of bread is at good deal ilower inv the prefent times than it was in the bdgianing-of the laft cen-.


In the appendix to the Life of prince Henry, Doetor Bitch has given us an account of the prices of butcher's meat as redinmonly paid by that prince. It is there faid, that the four quarters anczuo

 tand leighet pence /per thundred pounds weights Prince Henry died oduther 6 tho of $/ /$ November, $\mathbf{3 6} 12$, in the nineteenth year of his age.
 bsidilarisMarthyt dzou, therq wals a pariliamentary enquiry into, the l leunes of the high iprice of provifions ab that timesitdt was then, -amdnguether/proofito theofamespurpofe, given in evidenge by a TWirgitide sherohaintI that cin March, 9 n/63; bei hadoyictuallechohis
 of beef, which he confidered as theordinaty pricesi wheroges inf that dear year he had paid twenty-feven fhillings for the fame weight and fort. r /This hight price in 1 y 64 , is, howevers four millingsa and teightsponace clieaper thani the ordinary price, paid by pringe Henfy;


 aweighe of the whold reareafe, coatfe and choice pieces, taken togerother, 3 land at that rate the choice piecesicould hot have been. fold


 ypuivisf the choiec pieces of tha beftebeefito be; to the confumer, 4 d. 4and 34 yd the pound ; and the coarfespieces in general to to be from seven farthings to $2 \frac{1}{2} d$ and $2 \frac{3}{4} d . ;$ and this they; faid was, in general one half-penny-dearer than the fame fort of pieces, had ufually been fold in the month of March.. But even this high price is ftill a good deal cheaper than what we can well fuppofe, the ordinary retail pricet to have been in the fime, of pieince:



Durine
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> enoiBnboiq apoibisig flate

In all great countries the greater part of the cultivated lands
 The rent and profit of thefe regulate the rent, and profit of and other cultivated land. If any particular produce afforded lefs,



 , Those productions, indeed, which require either a greater original expence of simprovement, pir a grater anmulabexpange of culavation $\varphi$ in enderito fitit che land fos thems i iappear apmpondy
 corm or pafture. This fuperiority showever, will foldom, be formp to amouint to more than remfonable intereft or compenfation far

 FIN a hop gardensia Axit yurcen, a kitchen garden, both she rent of the landlord, and the profit of the farmer, are geperilly greater than in a corn or grafs field. But to bring the ground into this

## 

 comes dat to the landofty. the tequires too a more attentive and Kkilful management. Hence a greattr profit' becomes dté to the farmer. The crop too, at leaft in the hop and fruit garden, is

 rance. The circurfiftances of gardeners, generally mean, and always moderate, may fatisfy us that their great ingenuity is not commonly


 naturally be their beft cuftomers, fupply themfelves with all their moft precious productions.

 ments feems at no time to Have beeri giteater than what was nufficient to compenfatei the original expence of making them. In thie ahtient huiftididy, after the Wineyara, of welp watered kithen gattech reffisil to have beenthe plitt of the farfil? wheme was rupa pofed to yield the moft valuable produte: But Democritus, who wrote upon hufbandry about two thoufand years ago, and who Was regardea by the rantients as ond of the fathers of the art, thoughitency didr not rete wifly who enclofed a kitchen gardem
 wail ; and brickes (he meant, I fappofe, bricks baked in the fun) mourlated with the rain, and the winter fforms and required contimial repairs. Cofaifiellas who reports thise judgement of Democritus, does not controvert it, but propbfes a very fraghal method of enclofing with a hedge of thorns and briars, which, the fays, he had found by experience to be both a laffing and an inipenetrable fence; but which, it feerns, was notcominorily known in the time of Democritus. Dalladius adopts the opinion of

Columella,

## 


 lowers and promoters of high cyltivation, feem generally diffofed to pecide with Columella in fayour of the vineyard. In France

 to matente a conffionthes in thofe, who muft have the experience, ehe this queces of cuflevation is at preffint io that country more Peoftate that ahy other If feem at the fome time, fow ©eve to malicaty anotier opinion, that this faperior profit cin
 laf no longer than the laws, which at precent reftrain the fire, chitivation of the vine. In 1731 , they obtained an order of,

 teruped fir thingergh, without a particular petmiffoniform the ling, to be granted only in confequence of an information from the intendant of the province, certifying that he had examineflsther land adi that it wat incapable of any other culture. The pretencesf this prder was the fgarcity of corniand pafures and;
 real in would, without any order of council have effectually Posyenated she plaptation of new vineyards, by reduoing the profits of thisispecien of,fultivation, below their natusil propotion to thafe of
 ocenfioned by the multiplication of vineyards, corn is no where in France more carefully cultivated than in the wine provinces, whers, the land is fit for producing. its as in Burgundy, Guienne, ande the Upper Languedocen The numergus hands employed in the ope fopcies of cultivation necoffirily, encourage the other by affonding a ready market for its produce. To diminifh the number of thofe who are capable of paying for it, is furely a moft unpromifing expedient for encouraging the cultivation of corn. It is like the

[^4]
 Fonabs ti bert In mbivs ai यi pilsup railuoog
The rent and profit of thofe productions, therefore, whicli sequire enther a greater original expehce of imptovement in "ofder to fit the land for theing or egreater anfual expence of caltivation, though often muck faperior to thofe of corn and pafture, yet when they do no more than compenfate fuch extriaorimary expence, are in realisy regulated by the rent and profit of thbefe common cropseshinnoa \& tiguerdit zamitartiol bisk totintib lisme :

Ir fomefimes happens, indeed, that the quatrity of tand which can be fitted for fome particular produce, siz tod thall to fupply the effectuat demand. The whole produce cant be wif pofed of to thofes who are willing to give fonitewhat more thani what is fuaficient to pay the whole rent, wages, and profit ne4 ceflany for raifing rand bringing it to market, according to their naturati fatses, or aecording "to the rates at which iethey afe paid
 of the price owhich remaink afterid defraying the while expence of. inproveinent and cultivation may comintonty, in this cafe, sinit inlthis cafe only, bear no regular proportion to the Hiee furplas. in corn or pafture, t but may exceed it in admoft any degreey a dind the greater part of this excefo naturally goes to the rent of the:

 Tinz afual and matural propertion, for example, between thetent and profit of wine dind thofe of corn and paftures muft toe minderttood toi take place only with regard to thofe vineyaids which: produce nothing but good common wine, fuch as can be raifed almoft any where upon any light, gravelly, or fandy foil, and Thich has nothing to recommend it but its frength and wholefomHion 7 H

## DHEA EWALTAA OFA MNATIONET

 country can be brought into competition; for with thofe of ${ }^{\circ}$ / peculiar quality it is evident that it cannot.
 other fruit tree. From fome it derives a flavour, which no culture or management can equal, it is fuppofed, upon, any other. This flaypurf real, or imaginary, is fometimes peculiar to the produce of ajofew wincyards; fometimes it extenda through the greater part of a fmall diftrict, and fometimes through a confiderable part of a large province. The whole quantity of fuch wines that is brought to market ffills Ahort of the effectual demand, or the demand of thafer whe would be willing to pay the whole rent profits and whages receffary, fpr preparing and bringing them, thisher shaccording to the, ordinary rates, or accerding to the rate, at which they are paid in common yineyards. The, whole quantity therefore, can be difipofed of to, thofe who are, willing to pay mores, which neceefarily raifes their price abovethat of common wine. The difference is greater on lefs acconding as the fagionablenefs and foarsity of the wine render the competition of the buyers more or lefs eager. Whatever it be, the greater part of it goes to the rent of the landlord. For though fuch vineyards are in general more carefully culkivated than moft others, the thigh price of the wine feems to be; not fo much the effect, as the caufe of this careful cultivation: In fo valuable a produce the lofs occafioned by negligence is fo great as to force even the moft carelefs to attention. A fmall part of this high price, therefore, is fufficient to pay the wages of the extroordinary labour beftowed upon their cultivation ${ }^{\prime}$ and the profits of the extraordinary fock which puts that labour into motion.

TaE fugar colonics poffefled by the European ations in the The rogar colonics ponered by the Earqpean mont wis the Weft Indies, may be compared to thofe precious vinejards. Their ( 3 c 2 whole

## 


 canste stifpofed of soithoce is fufficient to p pay the whale rientry puofit! and wages anecoffity for preparing amd bringing it to market, atecording too the rate at which theg arecomationly paid tbyfany other producean In Cochinyehina the fineft white ffigar commonly felle for three piaftres the quintalt; about thirteen Shiflings and fixperice of our mpriey, tas we are tobld by Mr. Poivre, a very careful obferver of the agricuiture oflithat country. What is there called the quintal weighs from a hundred and fifty to two hundred Paris pounds, op at hundrediand Neventy-
 hundred weight Englify to about eight fhillings ferlingisinot fourth part of what is commonly paid for the browts or muikareada) fagars imponted froms otip eiolonies, and not a fixth praitiof whit: is paid for thie fineft white foger. The greater opartiof the xultivated lands in Cochinedhina. arre employet in producing form idnd rice, the food of the great body of the peopteat Thy refpeqiveprices of com, rice, antef fog ity, aft there probably in sthe natorati proportion, or in that'whiaj waturaly tales place infathe aifliarent: crops of the greater part of cultivated fand, "did whileth weosmpenoes. the landford and farmer, afs rearly as can bes eompured, wecotimes. to what is ufiully the original expence of infiptoventert, addathe: annual expence of cultivations Butin oury datar eblonies, thoppriee: of fugar bears no fuch propportion to that of the: protute dfal alried: of com field either In Europe oft ins Ameritag. It is 1 commonly faid: that a fugar planter expeets that the rum and the molaftes fhould: defray the whole expence of his cultivation, anit that his/fugar. ffould be all clear profic. If this be true, for P pretend niot to affirnf it, it is as ff a corn farmer expected to defray the expence of his cultivation with the chaff and the fraw, and that the grain: Thould be all clear profit. We fee frequently focieties of merchants; in Eondon and other trading towns, purchafe. wafte lands in 'div:
 tom.

## 



 jurace in thofe countriebvo Nobedy: will wheniptite improve and coitivuadin the ifame mamer, the mof ffetile lands of Scotiand; Ihelands of the corn proviniest of NNorth America s though from the wore exuet adminiftration of joptice in thefe countries, more

 c葸 Wirginia and Maryland the enltivation of tobaceo is presgimech sas more profitablo, to. that ofic corn. abTobacco: might bescontivatodo with iddvantage through the greater part of Eut stopeyltuut in almón every part of Europe it had become a print
 fuxe furn in the oountry, where this plant, might happen to be cultivaedidewauld be more difficult, it haolbean fugpofed a than to
 tion of tobacca has uppon this account been mgft abfurdly prohibised through the greater part of Europer which neceffarily gives a. Cort off momonoly to the countries where it is allowed; and as Virsinie and Maryland produce the greateft quantity of it they fhare. largely though withe fome competitorss in the advantage of this monopolywint The culfivation of tobacsep however, feems not, to Horis adyantageoue an that of fugav; I have never even: heard of niny tobacese plantation that was improved and, cultivated, by the: oepital of merchants, who refided in Great Britain, and our tobacco, colonies fend, us home no fuch wealthy planters, as we fee fre-quentlyaraive from our fugar illands. Though from the preference given in thofe colonies to the cultivation of tobacco above that of corn, it would appear that the effectual demand of Europe for tobacco is not compleatly fupplied, it probably is more nearly fo than that for fugar: And though the prefent price of tobacco is probably more than fufficient to pay the whole rent, wages, and profit

## 



 not be fo much more as the prefent price of fugar. oC M, tebaceces planters, accordingly, have fhewn the fame fear of the fuper-abundance of tobaceo, which the , propristores, of, the old, vineyarder in France have of the fuper-abundance of wince By aet of effembly they have reftrinined its cultivation to fix thouland, plants, sfuppofed to yield a thoufand yeight of tobgecpe for, every negro between fixteen and fixty yegrs of:iaget, Sych a negro, ovem and aboye this quantity of fobaccon, spm, mapagen they reck kop four acres of Indian corn To preisent the market fromo being, oyerfthecked toon they have fometimes in plentiful years, we, arce told by Dr , Dougiqus, (I frifecet he has been ith informed) burat a scertain suapuity of tobacco for every negrot in the fames mannes, as the Dutsh are faid to do of Spicess, if fuch violent, methode are neceffleys to keep up the prefent price of tobacco, the fuperior advantage of itts. culture over that of corn, if it ftill has any, will not proghably, be of long continuance.


Ir is in this manner that the rent of the cultivated land, of which the produce is human food, regulates the rent of the greater part of other cultivated land. No particular produce, can long: afford lers; becaure the land would immediatoly be turned tos another ufe: And if any particular produce commonly , afforde. more, it is becaufe the quantity of land which can be fitted for it is too fmall to fupply the effectual demand.

In Europe corn is the principal prodice of lind which fervess immediately for human food. Except in particular fituations, therefore, the rent of corn land regulates in Europe that of all other sultiyatod land. Britain need envy neither the vineyards of ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Frasce, not theoolive plantations of Italy. Except in particalar*
fituations,
eximeng, the whe of theminghatito


 ${ }^{2}$ If ${ }^{2}$ Ih wanty country the common and favourlite vegetable food of uife people Yhould be daw from a plant of which the moff comhiof cinht, what the fande or mearly the fame cuiture, produced a mitich ghenter quatintity than the moft fertile does of corn, the tefte or the latidiord, ortate furfilus quantity of food which would retmatil to hility, ifter paying the libour and replacing the fock o the faimet tojectiet with its ordinary prolits, would neceffarily be muchegreater! "Whatever' was' the rate at which labour was comundinty ithaintained in that country, this greater furplus could alwaye stainflain'dy groiter "quantity' of it, and confequently enable the
 real walue of hifl rent, hits real power and authority his command of the ditecuralriet an'd conveniencies of "hfe with which the labour ef ether people could fupply him, would neceflarily be much greater:
${ }^{15} \mathrm{~B}$ 政et filld produces a much greater quantity of food than the nbort fettle eotri field. Two crops in the year from thirty to. ffity buffiels eadh, are fald to be the ordinary produce of an atre. fl Thoughr its cuitivation, therefore, requires more labour, a mach greater farplus remains after maintaining alr that tabour. In thofe rice countries, therefore, where rice is the common and favourite vegetable food of the people, and where the cultivators, are, chiefly maintained with it a greater fhare of this greater flurplus forould belong to the landlord than in com countries: F In Carolina, where the planteres; as in other Britilh colonied/ are generully, both, farmers and landlords, and where rent confequently tiss confoynded withngrofit, the cuiltivation of irice if found telbe ifores

THE NATURE AND CAUSES GF


#### Abstract

 $\xrightarrow[\sim]{-}$ corap is theryeat and dhougho finm the prevalenes of the cuftoms of Europe, rice is not there the common and favourite vegetable food of the people.


A acop rice, field is a bog at all feafons and lat one focion a loog covered with, water. It is unfit either for com, or pafture, or vineyard, ore indoed, fon any, other yegetalia produce that is very ufeful to mest: And the lapde which are fit for thofes purpofen are ngt fit for rice. Even in therice countries, therefores the rent of rice, lands cannot, regulate the rent of the other culsivatedi land which can never be turned to that produce.

THE food produced by a field of potatoes is not infwior in quan. Lity to that produced, by a field of risee, and, myeh fuperier to what is prodused by a field of wheat. Twelve thouland weighti of potateges from am acre, of land is: not a greater produce, than two thoufand weight of wheat. The food or folid nourifhment, in, deed, which can be drawn from each of thoie two plants, is not altogether in proportion to their weight, on account of the watery nature of potatoes. Allowing however, half the weight of this soot to go to water, a very large allowance, fuch an acre of potan toes, will ftill produce fix thoufand weight of folid nourihmente three, times the quantity produced by the acre of wheat, An acre of potatoes, is, cultivated with lefs expence than an acre of wheat ; the fallow which generally preceeds the fowing of wheat, more than compenfating the hoeing and, other extraordinary culturs which is always given to potatoes. Should this root eves become in any part of Europe, like, rice in fome rice countries, the comman and favourite vegetable food of the people, fo as to occupy the fame proportion of the lands in tillage which wheat and other farto of grain for human food do at prefent, the fame quantity of cultio 3

## 

vated tand would maintain a much sreatap number of dpeoplo, and the labourers: heing generally fed with potatoes, a a greater Ifyplus would remain after replacing all the ftock and main, taining ail the labour employed in cultivation. A greater fhare of this furplus too would belong to the landlord. Population would increafe, and rents would rife much beyond what they are at preent.
 THE, land which is fit for potatoest is fit for almof every :other ufefut yegetable, If they occupied the fame proportion of cultis vated land which corn docs at prefent, they would regulate, in the fame manner, the rent of, the greater part of other cultivated land.

## 

Wi. In fome parts of Laricafhire it is pretended, $I$ hive been told;
 wheptent brembsand I have frefuently heard thet faime doetrine hold incscotlanden Itam, however, fomewhat doubtfur of the trutff of atei Theicommon people in Scotland, "who are fet with date meals are in seneral neither fo frong nor fo handfome as the fame rank of peopie in Eingland, who are fed /with wheatenk bredd. They neither work' $f 0$ well nor look fo well; and as there is not the famedifference between the people of farhion in the two count trips axperience would feem to fhow, that the food of the combs mon people in' Scotland is not fo fuitable to the human confitution as that of their neighbours of the fame rank in England But it feems to be orherwife with potatoes. The Niairnen, porteis' and coalheavers in London, and thofe unfortinate women who live by proftitution, the frongeft men and the mon bedutifal (women perhaps in the Britift dominions, are faid to be, olhe greater part of themp fiom the lowent rank of people in Iteland, who' aresgenerally fed-with this root. No food can afford a more deje

$$
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 Li. fiyitable to the health of the human conglitution.

Ix is difficult to preferve potatoes through the year, and imporfible to fore them like corn, for two or three years together. The fear of not being able to fell them before they rot, difcourages their cultivation, and is, perhaps, the chief obftacle to their ever becoming in any great country, like bread, the principal vegetable. food of all the different ranks of the people.

## Paktif.

Of the Produce of Land wbich Jometimes does, and Jometimes dores not, afford Rent.

HUMAN food feems to be the only prodice of land whichir always and peceffarily affords fome rent to the landlord. Other forts of produce famefimes may and fametimes may not, according to different circumftances.

ABTER food, cloathing and lodging ave the twosgreat wants of mankind.

Lamp in its original rude fate can afford the materials of cloathing and lodging to a much greater number of people than it can feed. In its improved fate it. can fometimes feed a greater number of people than it can fupply with thofo materials, at leaft if the way in which they require them, and are willing to pay: for them. In the one ftate, therefore, there is always a fuperabundance of thofe materials, which are frequently upon that: account of little or no value. In the other there is often a fearcity, which neceflarily augments their value. In the one ftate a great


## THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

part of thene is thrown away as uelef, and the price of what is ufed is confidered as equal only to the labour and expence of fitting it for ufe, and can, therefore, afford no rent to the landlord. In the other they are all made ufe of, and there is frequently a demand for more than can be had. Somebody is always willing to give more for every part of them than what is fufficient to pay the expence of bringing them to market. Their price, therefore, can always afford fome rent to the landlord.

The fkins of the larger animals were the original materials of cloathing. Among nations of hunters and fhepherds, thereforé, whofe food confifts chiefly in the flefh of thofe animals, every man by providing himfelf with food, provides himfelf with the materials of more cloathing than he can wear. If there was no foreign commerce, the greater part of them would be thrown away as things of no value. This was probably the cafe among the hunting nations of North America, before their country was difcovered by the Europeans, with whom they now exchange their furplus peltry, for blankets, fire-arms, and brandy, which gives it fome value. In the prefent commercial ftate of the known world, the moft barbarous nations, I believe, among whom land property is eftablifhed, have fome foreign commerce of this kind, and find among their wealthier neighbours fuch a demand for all the materials of cloathing, which their land produces, and which can neither be wrought up nor confumed at home, as raifes their price above what it cofts to fend them thither. It affords, therefore, fome rent to the landlord. When the greater part of the highland cattle were confumed on their own hills, the exportation of their hides made the moft confiderable article of the commerce of that country, and what they were exchanged for afforded fome addition to the rent of the highland eftates. The wool of England, which in old times could:neither be confumed nor wrought up at home,

$$
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BOOK found a market in the then wealthier and more induftrious country of Flanders, and its price afforded fomething to the rent of the land which produced it. In countries not better cultivated than Eng; land was then, or than the highlands of Scotland are now, and which had no foreign commerce, the materials of cloathing would evidently be fo fuper-abundant, that a great part of them would be thrown away as ufelefs, and no part could afford any rent to the landlord.

THE materials of lodging cannot always be tranfported to fo great a diftance as thofe of cloathing, and do mot fo readily become an object of foreign commerce. When they are fu-per-abundant in the country which produces them, it frequently happens, even in the prefent commercial ffate of the world, that they are of no value to the landlord. A good fone quarry in the neighbourhood of London would afford a confider able rent. In, many parts of Scotland and Wales it affords none: Barren timber for beilding is of great value in. a populous and wellcultivated country, and the land which produces it, affords a confiderable rent. But in many parts of North Americathe landlord would be much obliged to any body, who would carry atvay the greater part of his large trees. In fome parts of the, highlands of Scotland the hark is the only part of the wood which, for want of roads and water-carriage, can be fent to market. The timber is left to rot upon the ground. When the materials of lodging are fo. fuper-abundant, the part made ufe of is worth only the labour and expence of fitting it for that ufe. It affords no rent to the landlord, who generally grants the ufe of it to whoeves takes the trouble of afking it. The demand of wealthier nations, however, fometimes enables him to get a rent for it. The paving of the frreets of London has enabled the owners of fome bairen socks on the coaft of Scotland to draw a rent from what never af-
forded any before: The woods of Norway and of the coafts of CHAP. the Baltick, find a market in many parts of Great Britain which $\underbrace{\text { XI. }}$ they could not find at home, and thereby afford fome rent to their proprietors.

Countries are populous, not in proportion to the number of people whom their produce can cloath and lodge, but in proportion to that of thofe whom it can feed. When food is provided, it is eafy to find the neceffary cloathing and lodging. But though thefe are at hand, it may often be difficult to find food; In fome parts even of the Britifh dominions what is called A Houfe, may be built by one day's labour of one mani. The fimpleft fpecies of cloathing, the fkins of animals, requires fomewhat more labour to drefs and prepare them for ufe. They do not, however, require a great deal. Among favage and barbarous nations, a hundredth or little more than a hundredth part of the labour of the whole year, win be fufficient to provide them with fuch cloathing and lodging as fatisfy the greater part of the people. All the other ninety-nine parts are frequently no more than enough to provide them with food.

Bur when by the improvement and cultivation of land the labour of one family can provide food for two, the labour of half the fociety becomes fufficient to provide food for the whole. The other half, therefore, or at leaft the greater part of them, can be employed in providing other things; or in fatisfying the other wants and fancies of mankind. Cloathing and lodging, houfehold furniture, and what is called Equipage, are the prineipal objects of the greater part of thofe wants and fancies. The rich man confumes no more food than his poor neighbour. In quality it may be very different, and to felea and prepare it may xequire morelabour and. arts, but in quantity it is very nearly the fame. But
compare

BOOK compare the 'fpacious palace; and great wardrobe of the one; with the hovel and the few rags of the other, and you will beffenfible that the difference between their cloathing, lodging, and houfes Thold furniture, is almoft as great in quantity as it is in quality. The defire of food is limited in every man by the narrow capacity of the human ftomach; but the defire of the conveniencies and ornaments of building, drefs, equipage, and houfehold farniture, feems to have no limit or certain boundary. Thofe, therefore, who have the command of more food than they themfelves can confume, are always willing to exchange the furplus, or, what is the farne thing, the price of it, for gratifications of this other kind. What is over and above fatisfying the limited defire, is given for the amufement of thofe defires which cannot be fatisfied, but feem to be altogether endlefs. The poor, in order to obtain food, exert themfeves to gratify thole fancies of the rich, and to obtain it more certainly, they vie with one another in the cheapnefs and perfection of their work. The number of workmen increafes with the increafing quantity of food, or with the growing improvement and cultivation of the lands; and as the nature of their bufinefs admits of the utmoft fubdivifions of labour, the quantity of materials which they can work up, increafes in a much greater proportion than their numbers. Hence arifes a demand for every fort of material which human invention can employ, either ufefully or ornamentally in building, drefs, equipage, or houfehold furniture; for the foffils and minerals contained in the bowels of the earth; the precious metals, and the precious, ftones.

Foop is in this raanier, not only the original fource of rent, bute every other part of the produce of land which afterwards: affordor rent, derives that part of its value from the improvement of the powers of labour in producing food by means of the improvement and cultivation of land.

Thosz

Trose other parts of the produce of land, however, which afterwards affiond rent, do not afford it always. Even in improved and eultivated countries, the demand for them is not always fueh as to afford a greater price than what is fufficient to pay the laBour, and replace, together with its ordinary profits, the ftock which muft be employed in bringing them to market. Whether: it is or is not fuch, depends upon different circumftances.

Whrther a coal-mine, for example, can afford any rents. depends partly upon its fertility, and partly upon its fituation.

A mine of any kind may be faid to be either fertile or barren, according as the quantity of mineral. which can be brought from it by a certain quantiry of labour, is greater or lefs than what can He brought by an equal quantity from the greater part of other minea of the fame kind.

Some coal-mines adrantageoufly fituated; cannot be wrought: on account of their barrennefs. The prodace does not pay theexpence. They can afford neither profit nor rent.

There are fome of which the produce is barely fufficient to: pay the labour, and replace, together with its ordinary profits, the: flock employed in working them. They afford fome profit to the: undertaker of: the work, but no rent to the landlord. They can) Be wrought advantageoufly by nobody but the landlord, who being, himfelf undertaker of the work, gets the ordinary profit of the: capital which he employs in it. Many coal-mines in Scotland are, wrought in this manner, and carc be wrought. in no other. The: landlord will allow no body elfe to work them without paying fome: rent, and no body can afford to pay any.

## Other:

## 

BOOK OTHER coal-mines in the fame country fufficiently fertilf, cannot be wrought on account of their fituation, A quantity of mineral. fufficient to defray the expence of working, could be brought: from the mine by the ordinary, or even lefs than the ordinary. quantity of labour: But in an inland country, thinly inhabited, and without cither good roads or water-carriage, thin quantity could not be fold.



Coals are a lefs agrecable fewel than wood: they, are faid, too to be lefs, wholefome. The expence of goals, therefore, at the place where they are confumed, muft generally be fomewhat lefs than


${ }^{1}$ THF pricf of wood again varies with the ftate of agriculturey nearly in the fame manners and exactly for the fame reaforl, as the price of cattle. In its rude beginnings, the greater partiof erefy: country is covered with wood, which is then a mere incumbrance of no yaiue to the landlord, who would gladly give, it to any body for the cutting. As agriculture advances, the woods are partly cleared by the progrefs of tillage ${ }_{b}$ and partly go to decay in confequence, of the increafed number of cattle. Thefe, though they do not increafe in the fame proportion as corn, which is altpgether the acquifition of human induftry, yet multiply under the care land protection of men; who fore up in the feafon of plenty what maymaintain them in that of fcarcity, who through the whole year fyrnifh them with a greater quantity of food than uncultivated nature provides, for them and who by deftroying and extirpating their enemies, fecure them in the free enjoyment of all that fhe proviges $\mathrm{Nup}_{z}$ merous herds of cattle, when allowed to wander through the,woods $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}$ though they do not deftroy the old trees, hinder any young ones! from coming up, fo that in the courfe of a century or two the $e_{3}$ whole foreft goes to ruin. The fcarcity of wood then raifes its price.
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price. It affords a good rent, and the landlord fometimes finds that he can fearce employ his beft lands more advantageoufly than in growing barren timber, of which the greatnefs of the profit often compenfates the latenefs of the returns. This feems in the prefent times to be nearly the ftate of things in feveral parts of Great Britain, where the profit of planting is found to be equal to that of either corn or pafture. The advantage which the landlord derives from planting, can no where exceed, at leaft for any confiderable time, the rent which thefe could afford him; and in an inland country which is highly cultivated, it will frequently not fall much fhort of this rent. Upon the fea-coaft of a well improved country, indeed, if it can conveniently get coals for fewel, it may fometimes be cheaper to bring barren timber for building from lefs cultivated foreign countries, than to raife it at home. In the new town of Edinburgh, built within thefe few years; there is not, perhaps, a fingle ftick of Scotch timber.

- Whatever may be the price of wood, if that of coals is fuch that the expence of a coal-fire is nearly equal to that of a wood one, we may be affured, that at that place, and in thefe circumftances, the price of coals is as high as it can be. It feems to be fo in fome of the inland parts of England, particularly in Oxfordfhire, where it is ufual, even in the fires of the common people, to mix coals and wood together, and where the difference in the expence of thofe twoforts of fewel cannot; therefore, be very great.

Coals, in the coal countries, are every where much below this higheft price. If they were not, they could not bear the expence of a diftant carriage, either by land or by water. A fmall quantity only could be fold, and the coal mafters and coal proprietors find it more for their intereft to fell a great quantity at a price fomewhat above the loweft, than a fmall quantity at the higheft. The
Vol. I. E e moft
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 moft fertile coal-mine too, regulates the price of coals, at all the other mines in its neighbourhood. Both the proprietor and the undertaker of the work find, the one that he can get a greater rent, the other that he can get a greater profit, by fomewhat underfelling all their neighbours. Their neighbours are foon obliged to fell at the fame price, though they cannot fo well afford it, and though it always diminifhes, and fometimes takes away altogether both their rent and their profit. Some works are abandoned altogether; others can afford no rent, and can be wrought only by the proprietor.The loweft price at which coals can be fold for any confiderable: time, is like that of all other commodities, the prise whith is barely fufficient to replace, together with its ordiaary piofits, the: flock which murt be employed in bringing therifto market, vat a: coal-mine for which the landlord can got nd sent, but which he: muft either work himfelf or let it alone altogether, the price of?

 ReNT, even where coals iafford one, lias generally a finaller fhare in their price thian in that of moftother parts of the rude produce of land. The rent of an eftate above ground, commonly; amounts to what is fuppofed to be a third of the grofe produces; and it is generally a rent certain and independent of the becafional variations in the crop. In coal-mines a fifth of the grofs produce: is a very great rent; a tenth the common rent; and it is feldom a rent certain, but depends upon the occafional variations in the produce. Thefe are fo great, that in a country where thirty years purchafe is confidered as a moderate price for the property of a landed eftate, ten years purchafe is regarded as a good price fors that of a coal-mine.

THE
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T THE price of every metal at every mine, therefores being regulated in fome meafure by its price at the moft fertile mine in the world that is actually wrought, it can at the greater part of mines do very little more than pay the expence of working and can feldom afford a very high rent to the landlord. Rent, accordingly, feems at the greater part of mines to have uut a fmall fhare in the price of the coarle, and a ftill fmaller in that of the precious metals. Labour and profit make up the greater part of both.

A sixTH part of the grofs produce may be reckoned the average rent of the tin mines of Cornwal, the moft fertile that are known in the world, as wo are told by the Reverend Mr. Borlace, vice-warden of the ftannaries. Some, he lays, afford more, and fome do not afford fo much. A fixth part of the grofs produce is the rent too of feveral very fertile lead mines in Scotland.

${ }^{\text {I }}$ In the filver mines of Peru, we are told by Frezier and Ulloa, the proprietor frequently exacts no other acknowledgement from the undertaker of the mine, but that he will grind the ore at his mill, paying him the ordinary multure or price of grinding. The tax of the king of Spain, indeed, amounts to one-fifth of the ftandard filver, which may be confidered as the real rent of the greater part of the filver mines of Peru, the richeft which are known in the world. If there was no tax, this fifth would naturally belong to the landlord, and many mines might be wrought which cannot be wrought at prefent, becaufe they cannot afford this tax. The tax of the duke of Cornwal upon tin is fuppofed to amount to more than five per cent, or one twentieth part of the value; and whatever may be his proportion it would naturally too belogg to the proprietor of the mine, if tin was duty free. But if you add one-twentieth to one fixth, you
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will find thiat the whote average rent of the tin mines of Cornwaly is to the whole average rent of the filver mines of Peru, as thirteen to twelve, The high tax upon filver too, gives much greater temptation to fmuggling than the low tax upon tin, and fmuggling muft be much eafier in the precions than in the bulky commodity. The tax of the king of Spain accordingly is faid to be: very ill paid, and that of the duke of Comwal very well. Rent, therefore, it is probable, makes a greater part of the price of tin at the moft fertile tin mines, than it does of filver at the moft, fertile filver mines in the world. After replacing the ftock employed in working thofe different mines, together with ite ordinary profits, the refidue which remains to the proprietor: is greater it feems in the coarfe than in the precious metal.

NAITHER are the profits of the undertakers of filyer minea commonly very great in Peru. The fame moft refpectable and well informed authors acquaint us that when any perfon undertakes to work a new mine in Peru, he is univerfally looked upon as a man deffined to bankruptcy and ruin, and is, upon that account hhunned and avoided by every body. Mining, it feems, is confidered there in the fame light as here, as a lottery in which the prizes do not compenfate the blanks, though the greatnefs of fome tempts many adventurers to throw away their fortunes in fuch unprofperous projects.
${ }^{1}$ As the fovereign, however, derives a confiderable part of his revenue from the produce of filver mines, the-law in Peru gives every poliible encouragement to the difcovery and working of new ones. Whoever difcovers a new mine, is entitled to meafure off two hundred and forty-fix feet in length, according to what he fuppofes to be the direction of the vein, and half as muich in breadth. He becomes proprietor of this portion of the mine, ung Axat ario on in and

HOOK 1 and can work it without paying any acknowledgement tod the landiord. The intereft of the duke of Cornwal has given occafion to a regulation nearly of the fame kind in that (antient dutchy. In wafte and uninelofed lands any perion who difos covers a tin mine, may mark out its limits to a certain extents: which is called bounding a mine. The bounder becomes the reat proprietor of the mine, and may either work it himfelf, or give it: in leafe to another, without the confent of the owner of the land; to whom, however, a very fmall acknowledgement muft be paid upon working it. In both regulations the facred rights of priwate property are facrified to the fuppofed interefts of publick revenue.

Thz fame encouragement is given in Peru to the difoovery and working of new gold mines; and in golt the king's tax amounts' only to a twentieth part of the ftandard metal. It was once' a fifth, as in filver, but it was found the work could not bear it. If it is rare, however, fay the fame authors, Frezier and Ulloa, to find a perfon who has made his fortune by a filver, it is ftill much rarertizo find one who has done fo by a gold mine This twentieth part feems to be the whole rent which is paid by the greater part of the gold mines in Chili and Peru. Gold too is much more liable to be fmuggled than even filver, not only on account of the fuperior value of the metal in proportion to its bulk, but on account of the peculiar way in which nature produces it. Silver is very feldom found virgin, but, like moft other metals, is generally mineralized with fome other body, from which it is impoffible to feparate it in fuch quantities as will pay for the dipence, but by a very laborious and tedious operation, which cannot well be carried on but in workhoufes erected for: the purpofe, and thereforevexpofed to the infpection of the king' officers. Gold, on the contrary, is almoft always found virgin. It is sift - ${ }^{2}$. fometimes ${ }_{3}$
fometimes found in pieces of fome bulk; and even when mixed in fmall and almoft infenfible particles with fand, earth, and other extraneous bodies, it can be feparated from them by a very fhort and fimple operation, which can be carried on in any private houfe by any body who is poffefled of a fmall quantity of mercury. If the king's tax, therefore, is but ill paid upon filver; it is likely to be much worfe paid upon gold; and rent muft make a much fmaller part of the price of gold, than even of that of filver.

The loweft price at which the precious metals can be fold, or the fmalleft quantity of other goods for which they can be exchanged during any confiderable time, is regulated by the fame principles which fix the loweft ordinary price of all other gootls. The ftack which muft commonly be employed, othe food, cloaths? and lorging, which muft commonly benconfumed in bringing them from the mine to the market, determine it. It muft at leaft Beefufficient to replace that ftock; with the ordinary profits. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - si it

Their higheft price, however, feems not to be neceflarily determined by any thing but the actual fcarcity or plenty of thofe metals: themfelves. It is not determined by that of any other commoz: dity, in the fame manner as the price iof coals is by that oflweodje beyond wkich no farcity can ever raife itw uncreafe the fcarcity of gold to a certain degree, and the fmalleft bit of it mady becomes more precious than a diamond, and exchange for a greater quantity; of other goods.

The demand for thofe metals arifes partly from their utility, and: partly from their beauty. If you except iron, they are more uffurl than, perhaps, any other metal. As they are lef liable to ruft and impurity, they can more eafily be kept cleaurs and the utent.
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BOOK fils either of the table or the kitchen are often upon that account more agreeable when made of them. A filver boiler is more cleanly than a lead, copper, or tin one; and the fame quality would render a gold boiler ftill better than a filver one. Their principal merit, however, arifes from their beauty, which renders them peculiarly fit for the ornaments of drefs and furniture. No paint or dye can give fo fplendid a colour as gilding. The merit of their beauty is greatly enhanced by their fcarcity. With the greater part of rich people, the chief enjoyment of riches confilts in the parade of riches, which in their eyes is never fo compleat as when they appear to poffefs thofe decifive marks of opulence which nobody can poffefs but themfelves. In their eyes the merit of an object which is in any degree either ufeful or beautiful, is greatly enhanced by its fcarcity, or by the great labour which it requires to collect any confiderable quantity of it, a labour which no body can afford to pay but themfelves. Such objects they are willing to purchafe at a higher price than things much more beautiful and ufeful, but more common. Thefe qualities of utility, beauty, and fcarcity, are the original foundation of the high price of thofe metals, or of the great quantity of other goods for which they can every where be exchanged. This value was antecedent to and independant of their being employed as coin, and was the quality which fitted them for that employment. That employment, however, by occafioning a new demand, and by diminifhing the quantity which could be employed in any other way, may haye afterwards contributed to keep up or increafe their valus.

The demand for the precious fones arifes altogether from their beauty. They are of no ufe, but as ornaments; and the merit of their beauty is greatly enhanced by their fcarcity, or by the difficulty and expence of getting them from the mine. Wages
and profit sgcordingly,make ups ; whole of their high price. Rent comes in but for a very fmall fhare; frequantly for no fhare ; and the moft fertile mines only afford any confiderable rent. When Tayernier, asjeweller, vifited the diamond mines of Golconda and Vifiapous, he was informed that the fovereign of the country, for whofe benefit they were wrought, had ordered all of them to be ghut up except thofe which yielded the largeft and fineft ftones. The others, it feems, were to the


40 As the price both of the precious metals and of the precious flones is regulated all over the world by their price at the moft fertile mine in it, the rent which a a ine of either can afford to its proprietor isfin proportion, not to its abfolute, but to what may be called its, relative fertility, or to its fuperiority over other mines of the fame kind. If new mines were difcovered as much fuperior to thofe of Potoff as they were fuperior to thofe of Europe, the yalue of filyer might be fo much degraded as to render even the mines of Potof net worth the working Before the difcovery of the Spanifh Weft Indies, the moft fertile mines in Europe may have afforded as great a rent to their proprietor as the richert mines in Peru do at prefent, Though the quantity of filver was much lefs, it might have exchanged for an equal quantity of other goods, and the proprietor's Ahare might have enabled him to purchafe or command an equal quantity either of labour or of commodities. The value both of the produce and of the rent, the real revenue which they afforded both to the publick and to the proprietor, might have been the fame.

The moft abundant mines either of the precious metals or of the precious fones could add little to the wealth of the world. A produce of which the value is principally derived from its fcarcity, is Vor. I. $\quad$ Ff neceflarily
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neceffarily degraded by its abundance. A fervice of plate, and the other frivolous ornaments of drefs and furniture, could be purchafed for a fmaller quantity of labour, or for a fmaller quantity of commodities; and in this would confift the fole advantage which the world could derive from that abundance.

IT is otherwife in eftates above ground. The value both of their produce and of their rent is in proportion to their abfolute, and not to their relative fertility. The land which produces a certain quantity of food, cloaths and lodging, can always feed, cloath and lodge a certain number of people; and whatever may be the proportion of the landlord, it will always give him a proportionable command of the labour of thofe people, and of the commoditics with which that labour can fupply him. The value of the moft barren lands is not diminilhed by the neighbourhood of the moft fertile. On the contrary, it is generally increafed by it. The great number of people maintained by the fertile lands afford a market to many parts of the produce of the barren, which they could never have found among thofe whom their own produce could maintain.

Whatevek increafes the fertility of land in producing food, increafes not only the value of the lands upon which the improvement is beftowed, but contributes likewife to increafe that of many other lands, by creating a new demand for their produce. That abundance of food, of which, in confequence of the improvement of land, many people have the difpofal beyond what they themfelves can confume, is the great caufe of the demand both for the precious metals and the precious ftones, as well as for every other conveniency and ornament of drefs, lodging, houfhold furniture, and equipage. Food not only conftitutes the principal part of the riches of the world, but it is the abundance of food which gives
the principal part of their value to many other forts of riches. The poor inhabitants of Cuba and St. Domingo, when they were firt difcovered by the Spaniards, ufed to wear little bits of gold as ornaments in their hair and other parts of their drefs. They feemed to value them as we would do any little pebbles of fomewhat more than ordinary beauty, and to confider them as juft worth the picking up, but not worth the refufing to any body who anked them. They gave them to their new guefts at the firft requeft, without feeming to think that they had made them any very valuable prefent. They were aftonifhed to obferve the rage of the Spaniards to obtain them; and had no notion that there could any where be a country in which many people had the difpofal of fo great a fuperfluity of food, fo fcanty always among themfelves, that for a very fmall quantity of thofe glittering baubles they would willingly give as much as might maintain a whole family for many years. Could they have been made to underftand this, the paffion of the Spaniards would not have furprifed them.

## Part III.

Of the Variations in the Proportion between the refpective Values of tbat Sort of Produce robich always afords Rent, and of that wbich fometimes does and fometimes does not afford Rent.

THE increafing abundance of food, in confequance of increafing improvement and cultivation, muft neceffarily increafe the demand for every part of the produce of land which is not food, and which can be applied either to ufe or to ornament. In the whole progrefs of improvement, it might therefore be expected, there fhould be only one variation in the comparative values of thofe two different fouts of produce. The value of tbat fort which fometimes aloes and fomatimes does not afford rent, fhould confauntly rife in proportion, to that which always :affords fome rent.

$$
F_{2}
$$
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BOOK As art and induftry advance, the materials of cloathing and lodging, the ufeful foffils and minerals of the earth, the precious metals and the precious ftones fhould gradually come to be more and more in demand, fhould gradually exchange for a greater and a greater quantity of food, or in other words, fhould gradually become dearer and dearer. This accordingly has been the cafe with moft of thefe things upon moft occafions, and would have been the cafe with all of them upon all occafions, if particular accidents had not upon fome occafions increafed the fupply of fome of them in a ftill greater proportion than the demand.

The value of a free-ftone quarry, for example, will neceffarily increafe with the increafing improvement and population of the country round about it ; efpecially if it fhould be the only one in the neighbourhood. But the value of a filver mine, even though there fhould not be another within a thoufand miles of it, will not neceffarily increafe with the improvement of the country in which it is fituated. The market for the produce of a free-ftone quarry can feldom extend more than a few miles round about it, and the demand muft generally be in proportion to the improvement and population of that fmall diftrict. But the market for the produce of a filver mine may extend over the whole known world. Unlefs the world in general, therefore, be advancing in improvement and population, the demand for filver might not be at all increafed by the improvement even of a large country in the neighbourhood of the mine. Even though the world in general were ímproving, yet, if in the courfe of its improvement, new mines fhould be difcovered, much more fertile than any which had been known before, though the demand for filver would neceffarily increafe, yet the fupply might increafe in fo much a greater proportion, that the real price of that metal might gradually fall; that is, any given quantity, a pound weight of it, for example, might gradually

4 purchafe
purchafe or command a fmaller and a fmaller quantity of labour, or exchange for a fmuller and a fmaller quantity of corn, the principal part of the fubfiftence of the labourer.

The great market for filver is the commercial and civilized part of the world.

If by the general progrefs of improvement the demand of this market fhould increafe, while at the fame time the fupply did not increafe in the fame proportion, the value of filver would gradually rife in proportion to that of corn. Any given quantity of filver would exchange for a greater and a greater quantity of corn; or, in other words, the average money price of corn would gradually become cheaper and cheaper.

Is, on the contrary, the fupply by fome accident fhould inc eafe for many years together in a greater proportion than the demand, that metal would gradually become cheaper and cheaper; or, in other words, the ayerage money price of corn would, in fpite of all improvements, gradually become dearer and dearer.

Bur if, on the other hand, the fupply of that metal fhould increafe nearly in the fame proportion as the demand, it would continue to purchafe or exchange for nearly the fame quantity of corn, and the average money price of corn would, in fpite of all: improvements, continue very nearly the fame.

These three feem to exhauft all the poffible combinations of events which can happen in the progrefs of improvement; and during the courfe of the four centuries preceeding the prefent, if we may judge by what has happened both in France and Great Britain, each of thofe three different combinations feems to have
taken :

BOOK taken place in the European market, and nearly in the fame order $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ too in which I have here fet them down.

Digrefion concerning the Variations in the Value of Silver during the Courfe of the Four laft Centuries.

First Period.
I N 1350, and for fome time before, the average price of the quarter of wheat in England feems not to have been eftimated lower thian four ounces of filver Tower-weight, equal to about twenty fhillings of our prefent money. From this price it feems to have fallen gradually to two ounces of filver, equal to about ten fhillings of our prefent money, the price at whici we find it eftimated in the beginining of the fixteenth century, and at which it feems to have continued to be eftimated till about $\mathbf{I}_{570}$.

In 1350 , being the 25 th of Edward III, was -nacted what is called, The fatute of labourers. In the preamble it complains much of the infolence of fervants, who endeavoured to raife their wages upon thicir mafters. It therefore ordains, that all fervants and labourers fhould for the future be contented with the fame wages and liveries (liveries in thofe times rignified, not only cloaths, but provifions) which they had been accuftomed to receive in the 20th year of the king, and the four preceeding years; that upon this account their livery wheat fhould no where be eftimated higher than ten-pence a buifhel, and that it fhould always be in the option of the mafter to deliver them either the wheat or the money. Ten-pence a bufhel, therefore, had in the 2 g th of Edward HI, been reckoned a very moderate price of wheat, fince it required a particular ftatute to oblige fervants to accept of it in exchange for
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their ufual livery of provifions; and it had been reckoned a reafonable price $t \mathrm{~m}$ years before that, or in the 16th year of the king, the term to which the ftatute refers. But in the 16 th year of Edward III, ten-pence contained about half an ounce of filver Tower-weight, and was nearly equal to half a crown of our prefent money. Four ounces of filver, Tower-weight, therefore, equal to fix fhillings and eight-pence of the money of thofe times, and to near twenty fhillings of that of the prefent, muft have been reckoned a moderate price for the quarter of eight bufhels.

This fatute is furely a better evidence of what was reckoned in thofe times a moderate price of grain, than the prices of fome particular years, which have generally been recorded by hiftorians and other writers on account of their extraordinary dearnefs or cheapnefs, and from which, therefore, it is difficult to form any judgement concerning what may have been the ordinary price. There are, befides, other reafons for believing that in the beginning of the fourteenth century, and for fome time before, the common price of wheat was not lefs than four ounces of filver the quarter, and that of other grain in proportion.

In 1300 , Ralph de Born, prior of St. Auguftine's Canterbury, gave a feaft upon his inftallation day, of which William Thorn has preferved, not only the bill of fare, but the prices of many particulars. In that feaft were confumed, uft, fifty-three quarters of wheat, which coft nineteen pounds, or feven flillings and twopence a quarter, equal to about one and twenty fhillings and fixpence of our prefent money: 2dly, Fifty-eight quarters of malt, which coft feventeen pounds ten fhillings, or fix fhillings a quarter, equal to about eighteen flillings of our prefent money: 3 dly, Twenty quarters of oats, which coft four pounds, or four hillin's a quarter, equal to about twelve fhillings of our prefent money.
${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ O $O K$ The prices of male and oats feem here to be higher than their ordi$\underbrace{\text { nary proportion to the price of wheat. }}$

Thest prices are not recorded on account of their extraordinary dearnefs or cheapnefs, but are mentioned accidentally as the prices actually paid for large quantities of grain confumed at a feaft which was famous for its magnificence.

Iv r262, being the grft of Henry III, was revived an ancient ftatute called, The ASize of Bread and Ale, which, the king fays in the preamble, had been made in the times of his progenitors fometime kings of England. It is probably, therefore, as old at leaft as the time of his grandfather Henry II, and may have been as old as the Conqueft . It regulates the price of bread according as the prices of wheat may happen to be, from one fhilling to twenty Ahillings the quarter of the money of thofe times. But ftatutes of this kind are generally prefumed to provide with equal care for all .deviations from the middle price, for thofe below it as well as for thofe above it. Ten fhillings, therefore, containing fix ounces of filver Tower-weight, and equal to about thirty fhillings of our prefent money, muft upon this fuppofition have been reckoned the middle price of the quarter of wheat when this ftatute was firft enacted, and muft have continued to be fo in the 51 If of Henry III. We cannot therefore be very far wrong in fuppofing that the middle price was not lefs than one-third of the highert price at which this ftatute regulates the price of bread, or than fix fhillings and eight-pence of the money of thofe times, containing four ounces of filver Tower-weight.

From thefe different facts, therefore, we feem to have fome reafon to conclude, that about the middle of the fourteenth century, and for a confiderable time before, the average or ordinary price
of the quarter of wheat was not fuppofed to be lefs than four ounces of filver Tower-weight.

From about the middle of the fourteenth to the beginning of the fixteenth century, what was reckoned the reafonable and moderate, that is the ordinary or average price of wheat, feems to have funk gradually to about one-half of this price; fo as at laft to have fallen to about two ounces of filver Tower-weight, equal to about ten thillings of our prefent money. It continued to be eftimated at this price till about 1570.

In the houmold book of Henry, the fifth Earl of Northumberland, drawn up in 1512 , there are two different eftimations of wheat. In one of them it is computed at fix thillings and eightpence the quarter; in the other at five fhillinge and eight-pence only. In 1 g12, fix fhillings and eight-pence contained only two ounces of filver 'Nower-weight, and were equal to about ton thillings of our prefent money.

From the $25^{\text {th }}$ of Edward III, to the beginning of the reign of Elizabeth, during the fpace of more than two hundred years, fix Ihillings and eight-pence, it appears from feveral different ftatutes, had continued to be confidered as what is called the moderate and reafonable, that is the ordinary or average price of wheat. The quantity of filver ${ }_{3}$ however, contained in that nominal fum was during the courfe of this period, continually diminighing, in confequence of fome alterations which were made in the coin. But the increafe of the value of filver had, it feems, fo far compenfated the diminution of the quantity of it contained in the fame nominal fum, that the legilature did not think it worth while to attend to this circumftance.
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Thus
siodK Thes in 1436 it was enacted, that wheat might be exported without a licence when the price was fo low as fix Chillings and eight-pence : And in 1463 it was enacted, that no wheat fhould be imported if the price was not above fix fhillings and eight-pence the quarter. The legillature had imagined, that when the price was fo low, there could be no inconveniency in exportation, but that when it rofe higher, it became prudent to allow of importation. Six fhillings and eight-pence, therefore, containing about the fame quantity of filver as thirteen fhillings and four-pence of our prefent money, (one-third part lefs than the fame nominal fum contained in the time of Edward III.), had in thofe times been confidered as what is called the moderate and reafonable price of wheat.

IN 1554, by the ift and 2d of Philip and Mary 3 and in 1558, by the ift of Elizabeth, the exportation of wheat was in the fame manner prohibited, whenever the price of the quarter fhould exceed fix fhillings and eight-pence, which did not then contain two penny worth more filver than the fame nominal fum does at prefent. But it had foon been found that to reftrain the exportation of wheat till the price was fo very low, was, in reality, to prohibit it altogether. In 1562 , therefore, by the 5 th of Elizabeth, the exportation of wheat was allowed from certain ports whenever the price of the quarter fhould not exceed ten fhillings, containing nearly the fame quantity of filver as the like nominal fum does at prefent. This price had at this time, therefore, been confidered as what is called the moderate and reafonable price of wheat. It agrees nearly with the eftimation of the Northumberlañd book in 1512.

That in France the average price of grain was, in the fame manner, much lower in the end of the fifteenth and beginning of the fixteenth century, than in the two centuries preceeding, has 4
bsen
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been obferved both by Mr. Duprè de St. Maur, and by the elegant author of the Eflay on the police of grain, Its price, during the fame period, had probably funk in the fame manner through the greater part of Europe.

This rife in the value of filver in proportion to that of corn, may either have been owing altogether to the increafe of the demand for that metal, in confequence of increafing improvement and cult tivation, the fupply in the mean time continuing the fame as before: Or, the demand continuing the fame as before, it may have been owing altogether to the gradual diminution of the fupply; the greater part of the mines which were then known in the world, being much exhaufted, and confequently the expence of working them much increafed: Or it may have been owing partly to the one and partly to the other of thofe two circumftances. In the end of the fifteenth and beginning of the fixteenth centuries, the greater part of Europe was approaching towards a more fettled form of $\mathbf{g o}_{-}$ vernment than it had enjoyed for feveral ages before. The increafe of fecurity would naturally increafe induftry and improvement; and the demand for the precious metals, as well as for every other luxury and ornament, would naturally increafe with the increafe of riches. A greater annual produce would require a greater quantity of coin to circulate it; and a greater number of rich people would require a greater quantity of plate and other ornaments of filver. It is natural to fuppofe too, that the greater part of the mines which then fupplied the European market with filver, might be a good deal exhaufted, and have become more expenfive in the working. They had been wrought many of them from the time of the Romans.

Ir has been the opinion, however, of the greater part of thofe who have written upon the prices of commodities in antient times, that, from the Conqueft, perhaps from the invafion of Gg 2

Julius

BOOK Julius Cufar till ehe difoovery of the mines of America, the value $\underbrace{1 .}$ of filver was continually diminifaing. This opinion they feem to have been led into, partfy by the obfervations which they had occafion to make upon the prices both of corn and of fome other parts of the rude produce of land; and partly by the popular notion, that as the quantity of filver naturally increafes in every country with the increafe of wealth, fo its value diminifhes as its quantity increafes.

In their obfervations upon the prices of corn, three different circumftances feem frequently to have mifed them.

F1RsT, In antient times almolt all rents were paid in kind; in a certain quantity of corn, cattle, poultry, \&cc. It fometimes happened, however, that the landlord would ftiputate with the tenant, that he thoutd be at liberty to demand either the annual payment in kind, or a certain fum of money inttead of it. The price at which the payment in kind was in this manner exchanged for a certain fum of money, is in Scotland called the converfion price. As the option is always in the landlord to take either the fubftance or the price, it is neceffary for the fafety of the tenant, that the converfion price fhould rather be below than above the average market price. In many places, accordingly, it is not much above one-half of this price. Through the greater part of Scotland this cuftom ftill continues with regard to poultry, and in fome places with regard to cattle. It might probably have continued to take place too with regard to corn, had not the inftitution of the publick fiars put an end to it. Thefe are annual valuations, according to the judgement of an affize, of the average price of all the different forts of grain, and of all the different quaities of each, aecording to the aetual market price in every different county. This inftitution rendered it fafficiently fafe for the tenant, and much more convenient for the landtord,
to convert, as they call it, the corn rent at the price iof the fiars of each year, rather than at any certain fined price: But the writers who have collected the prices of com in antient times, feem frequently to have miftaken what is called in Scodland the converfion price for the actual matket price r inlectwood acknowledges upon $^{\text {and }}$ one occafion that he had made this miftake. As he wrote his book, however, for a particulas puxpofe, he does not think proper to make this acknowledgement till after tranferibing this converfion price fifteen times. The price is eight fhillings the quarter of wheat. This fum in 1423 , the year at which he begins with it, contained the fame quantity of filver as fixteen flillings of our prefent money. But in 1562, the year at which he ends with it, it contained no more than the fame nominal fum does, at prefent. $\qquad$ E fii

Secondly, They have been mifled by the flovenly manner in which fome antient ftatutes of affize had been fometimes tranfcribed by lazy copiers; and fometimes perhaps, actually compofed by the legiflature.

The antient fatutes of affize feem to have begun always, with determining what ought to be the price of bread and ale when the price of wheat and barkey were at the lowert, and to have proceeded gradually to determine what it ought to be according as the prices of thofe two forts of grain hould gradually rife above this lowert price. But the tranicribers of thofe ftatutes ieem frequently to have though. it fufficient to copy the regulation as far as the three or four firft and loweft prices; faving in this manner their own labous, and judging, I fuppofe, that this was enough to dhow what proportion ought to be obferved in all higher prices.

Tuus in the affize of bread and ale, of the 5 ift of Hienry III. the price of bread was regulated accouding to the didifierent pricesi of

fhillings fterling of our prefent money. Mr. Rudiman feems to CHAP. conclude from this, that three fhillings was the higheft price to $\underbrace{\text { XI. }}$. which wheat ever rofe in thofe times, and that ten-pence, a fhilling, or at moft two fhillings, were the ordinary prices. Upon confulting the manufcript, however, it appears evidently, that all thefe. prices are only fet down as examples of the proportion which ought to be obferved between the refpective prices of wheat ane bread.
: The laft words of the ftatute are, "reliqua judicabis fecundum " prafcripta habendo refpectum ad pretium bladi." "You fhall " judge of the remaining cafes according to what is above written; " having a refpect to the price of corn."

Thirdiy, They feem to have been mifled too by the very low price at which wheat was fometimes fold in very antient times; and to have imagined, that as its loweft price was then m ch lower than in later times, its ordinary price muft likewife ha e been much lower. They might have found, however, that in thofe antient times, its higheft price was fully as much above, as its loweft price was below any thing that had ever been known in later times. Thus in 1270, Fleetwood gives us two prices of the quarter of wheat. The one is four pounds fixteen fhillings of the money of thofe times; equal to fourteen pounds eight fhillings of that of the prefent; the other is fix pounds eight fhillings; equal to nineteen pounds four fhillings of our prefent money. No price can be found in the end of the fifteenth, or beginning of the fixteenth century, which approaches to the extravagance of thefe. The price of corn, though at all times liable to variations, varies moft in thofe turbulent and diforderly focieties, in which the interruption of all commerce and communication hinders the plenty of one part of the country from relieving the fearcity of another. In the diforderly ftate of England under the Plantagenets, who governed it from about the middle of the twelfth, till towards the end of the fifteenth
century,

value of filver, in confequence of its increafing abundance, was continually diminifhing. The prices of corn which he himfelf has collected, certainly do not agree with this opinion. They agree perfectly with that of Mr. Duprè de St. Maur, and with that which I have been endeavouring to explain. Bifhop Fleetwood and Mr. Duprè de St. Maur are the two authors who feem to have collected, with the greateft diligence and fidelity, the prices of things in antient times. It is fomewhat curious that, though their opinions are fo very different, their facts, fo far as they relate to the price of corn at leaft, fhould coincide fo very exactly.

IT is not, however, fo much from the low price of corn, as from that of fome other parts of the rude produce of land, that the moft judicious writers have inferred the great value of filver in thofe very antient times. Corn, it has been faid, being a fort of manufacture, was, in thofe rude ages, much dearer in proportion than the greater part of other commodities; it is meant, I fuppofe, than the greater part of unmanufactured commodities, fuch as cattle, poultry, game of all kinds, \&sc. That in th-fe tinies of poverty and barbarifm thefe were proportionably much cheaper than corn, is undoubtedly true. But this cheapnefs was not the effect of the high value of filver, but of the low value of thofe commodities. It was not that filver would in fuch times purchate or reprefent a greater quantity of labour, but that fuch commodities would purchafe or reprefent a much fmaller quantity than in times of more cpulence and improvement. Silver muft certainly be cheaper in Spanifh America than in Europe; in the country where it is produced, than in the country to which it is brought, at the expence of a long carriage both by land and by fea, of a freight and an infurance. One and twenty pence halfpenny fterling, however, we are told by Ulloa, was, not many years ago, at Buenos Ayres, the price of an ox
Vol. I. H h chofen
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creafe of the productive pawers of hbogr in an ipprovying ftath of cultivation beine more or lefs counter-palanced by the continuplly iscraning price of catthe the pripcipal inftruments of agriculture. Uрор all thefe accounto theroforg we may reftafiyred that equal quantities of corn will, in every fate of fociety, in every ftage of improvement, more peasly reprefents of be equivalent to equal quantities of habour, thap equal quaptities of any other part of the rude produce of land, Corke, accordiggly, it has alrendy been obferved is in in ilthe different fagees of, wealth and improvement, a more accurate meafure of value than any other commodity or fett of commoditias. In all thofe different fagec, therefore, we can judge better of the real value of filver, by c $\sim$ mparing it w corn, than by comparing it with any other commodity, or fett of commodities.

Cors, befides. $A$ whatever elfe is the common and favourite vegetable food of the people, conftitutes in every civilized country, the principal part of the fubsiftence of the labourer. In confequence of the extenfion of agriculture, the land of eyery country produces a much greater quantity of vegetable than of animal food, and the labourer every where lives chiefly upon the wholefome food that is cheapeft and moft abundant, Butcher's-meat, except in the moft thriving countries, or where labour is mgit highly rewarded, makes but an infignificant part of his fubliftence: poultry makes a fill fmaller part of it, and game no part of it. In France, and even in Scotland, where labour is fomeqwhat better rewarad than in France, the labouring poor feldom eat butcher's - meat, except upon holidays, and other extraordinary occafions. The money price of tabour, thersfore depends much more upon the average money price of corn, the fubfiftence of the labourer, than upon, that of butcher's-meat, or of any ather part of the rude produce of land. The real value of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}$

BOOR gold and filvery therefore, thereal quantity of labour which they ban purchafe or command, depends much more upon the quantity of corn which they can purchafe or command, than upon that of butcher's-meat, or any other part of the rude produce of land. $d i$
${ }^{11}$ Suce flight obfervations, however, upon the prices either of com or of other commodities, would not probably have milled fo many intelligent authors, had thy not been agreeable to the popular notion, that as the quantity of filver naturally increafes in every country with the increafe of wealth, fo its value diminifhes as its quantity increafes. This notion, however, feems to be altogether groundlefs.
to visposit intmen of the precious metals may increafe in any country from two different caufes: either, firft, from the increafed abundance of the mines which fupply it; or, fecondly, from the increafed wealth of the people, from the increafed produce of their annual labour: The firtt of thefe caufes is no doubt neceffarily connected with the diminution of the ivalue of the precious metals; but the fecond is not.

When more abundant mines are difcovered, a greater quantity of the precious metals is brought to market, and the quantity of the neceffaries and conveniencies of life for which they mutt be exchanged being the fame as before, equal quantities of the metals muft be exchanged for fmaller quantities of commodities. So far, therefore, as the increafe of the quantity of the precious metals in. any country arifes from the increafed abundance of the mines, it is neceffarily connected with fome diminution of their. value.

When, on the contrary; the wealth of any country increafes, when the annual produce of its labour becomes gradually greater
4.
and
and greatet, a greater quantity of coin becomes neceffary in order to circulate a greater quantity of commodities; and the people, as they can afford it, as they have more commodities to give for it, will naturally purchafe a greater and a greater quantity of plate. The quantity of their coin will increafe from neceffity; the quantity of their plate from vanity and oftentation, or from the fame reafon that the quantity of fine ftatues; pictures, and of every other luxury and cuviofity, is likely to encreafe among them: But as ftatuaries and painters are not likely to be worfe rewarded in times of wealth and profperity, than in times of poverty ana depreffions. fo gold and filver are not likely to be worfe paid for: ${ }^{6}$ (12m)

गatbuceil
The price of gold and filver, when the accidental difcovery of more aboundant mines does not keep it down, as it naturally rifes with the wealth of every country, fo, whatever: be the ftate of the mines, it is at all timesmaturally higher in airich than in a poovicountrye Gold and filver, like all other commodities, naturally feek the market where the beft price is given for them, and the heft price is commonly given for every thing in the country which can beft afford it. Labour, it muft be remembered, is the ultimate price which is paid for every thing, and in countries where labour is equally well rewarded, the money price of labour will be in proportion to that of the fubfiftence of the labourer. But gold and filver will naturally exchange for a greater quantity of fubfiftence in a rich than in a poor country, in a country which abounds with fubfittence, than in one which is but indifferently fupplied with it. If the two countries are at a great diftance, the difference may be very great; becaufe though the metals naturally fly from the worfe to the better market, yet it may be difficult to tranfport them in fuch quantities as to bring their price nearly to a level in both. If the countries are near, the difference will be finaller, and may fometimes be fcarce perceptible; becaufe in this
cafe
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B OOK cafe the tranffortation will be eafy. China is a mach sicher country than any part of Europe, and the difference between the priee of fubfiftence in China and in Europe is very great. Riee in China is much eneaper than wheat is any where in Burope. England is a much richer country thian Scotlatids but the difference between the money price of corn in thofe two countries is much finaller, and is but juft perceptible. In proportion to the quantity or meafure, Seotch corn generally appears to be a good deal cheaper than Englifh; but in proportion to its quality, it is cortainly fomewhat dearex. Scotland receives almoft every year very large fupplies from England, and every commodity muft comintonly be fomewhat dearer in the country to which it is brought than in that from which it comes. Englih coin, therefore, moft be dearer in Seotland than in England, and yet in propertion to its equelity, or to the quantity and goodnefs of the flour or meal which'can be made from it, it cannot commonly be fold highar there thp. a the Seatch corn which comes to market in comperition with it.
ThE difference between the money price of labour in China and in Europe, is ftill greater than that between the money price of fubfiftence; becaufe the real recompence of labour is higher in Europe than in China, the greater part of Europe being in an improving ftate, while China feems to be ftanding fill. The money price of labour is lower in Scotland than in England, becaufe the real recompence of labour is much lower; Scotland, though advancing to greater wealth, advancing much more flowly than England. The proportion between the real recompence of labour in different countries, it muft be remembered, is naturally regulated, not by their actual wealth or poverty, but by their advancing, ftationary, or declining condition.

GoLD and filver, as.they are naturally of the greateft value among the richeff, fo they are naturally of leaft value among the pooreft fcarce ang value.

Is great sowne corn is alveays dearer than in remote parts of the country, Thise howeyer is the effect not of the real cheapnefs of filver, but of the real dearnofs of cornh It does not coft lefs labour to bring filver to the great town than to the remote parts of the countrys but it cofts ia great deal more to bring


In fome very sich and sommercial countries, ffucl as HolJand and the teuritory of Genoa, coun is dear for the fame reafon that it is dear in great towns. They do not produce ionough to maintsin, theirinhabitants, They are cichin the induftryand Acill of their artificers and mapuifackurers s in every fort of machineny wikioh can facilitate and abridge labours insfhipping, and insall the other inftruments and means of ccarriage and commerce: but thay are poor in com, which, as it muft he brought to them from diftant countries, muft, by an addition to its price, pay for the carriage from thofe countries. It does not coft lefs labour to bring filver to Amfterdam than to Dantzick; but it cofts a great deal more to bring sorn. The real coft of filver muft be nearly the fame in both places; but that of corn muft be very different. Diminifh the real opulence either of Holland or of the territory of Genoa, while the number of their inhabitants remains the fame; diminifh their power of fupplying themfelves from diftant countries; and the price of corn, inftead of finking with that diminution in the quantity of their filver, which muft neteffarily accompany this declenfion either as its caufe or as its effect, will rife to the price of a famine. When we are in want of neeeffaries we muft part with all fuperfluities, of which the value, as it rifes in times of, opulence and profperity, fo it finks in times of poverty and diftrefs. It is otherwife

of being commonly fold for about two ounces of filver the quarter, or about ten fhillings of our prefent money, came to be fold for fix and eight ounces of filver the quarter, or about thirty and forty fhillings of our prefent money.

The difcovery of the abundant mines of America, feems to have. been the fole caufe of this diminution in the value ffilver in proportion to that of corn. It is accounted for acco dingly in the fame manner by every body; and there never has been any difpute either about the fact, or about the caufe of it. The greater part of Europe was, during this period, advancing in induftry and improvement, and the demand for filver inuft confequently have been increafing. But the increafe of the fupply had, it feems, fo far exceeded that of the demand, that the value of that metal funk confiderably. The difcovery of the mines of America, it is to be obferved, does not feem to have bad any very fenibile effect upon the prices of things in England till after 1570; though even the mines of Potofi had been difcovered more than thirty years before.

From 1595 to $\mathbf{1 6 2 0}$, both inclufive, the average price of the quarter of nine bufhels of the beft wheat at Windfor market, appears, from the accounts of Eton College, to have been 21. is. 6d. भr. From which fum, neglecting the fraction, and deducting a ninth, or $4 \mathrm{~s} .7 \mathrm{~d} . \frac{\dot{3}}{\mathbf{j}}$, the price of the quarter of eight bufhels comes out to have been 1 i. $16 \mathrm{~s} .10 \mathrm{~d} . \mathrm{s}^{\circ}$. And from this fum, neglecting likewife the fraction, and deducting a ninth, or 4 s . Id. $\frac{1}{8}$, for the difference between the price of the beft wheat, and that of the middle wheat, the price of the middle wheat comes out to have been about 11. 12s.8d. $\frac{\text { p }}{\text {, or about fix ounces and one- }}$ third of an ounce of filver.

$$
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From 1621 to 1636 , both inclufive, the average price of the fame meafure of the beft wheat at the fame market, appears, from the fame accounts, to have been 21. $10 \mathrm{~s} . ;$ from which making the like deductions as in the foregoing cafe, the average price of the quarter of eight buihels of middle wheat comes out to have been Il. 19 s .6 d . or about feven ounces and two-thirds of an ounce of filver.

THIRDPERTOD.

BETWEEN $16{ }_{3} 0$ and 1640 , or about $16_{3} 6$, the effect of the difcovery of the mines of America in reducing the value of filver; appears to have been compleated, and the value of that metal feems. never to have funk lower in proportion to that of corn than it was about that time. It feems to have rifen fomewhat in the courfe of the prefent century, and it had probably begun to do fo, even fome time before the end of the laft.

FROM 1637 to 1700 , both inclufive, being the fixty-four laft years of the laft century, the average price of the quarter of nine: bufhels of the beft wheat at Windfor market; appears, from the fame accounts; to have been 21. IIs. od. $\frac{1}{3}$; which is only $1 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{od} . \frac{\mathrm{F}}{\mathbf{3}}$ dearer than it had been during the fixteen years before. But in the courfe of trefe fixty-four years there happened two events : which muft have produced a much greater fearcity of com than: what the courfe of the feafons would otherwife have occafioned;. and which, therefore, without fuppofing any further reduction: in the value of filver, will much more than account for this very: fmall enhancement of price.

The firft of thefe events was the civil war, which; by diffourageing tillage and interrupting commerce, muft have raifed the price:
of corn much above what the courfe of the feafons would otherwife have occafioned. It muft have had this effect more or lefs at all the different markets in the kingdom, but particularly at thofe in the neighbourhood of London, which require to be fupplied from the greateft diftance. In 1648, accordingly, the price of the beft wheat at Windfor market, appears, from the fame accounts, to have been 41.58 . and in 1649 to have been 41 . the quarter of nine bufhels. The exceff of thofe two years above 21 . ros. (the average price of the fixteen years preceding 1637) is 31.5 s ; which divided among the fixty-four laft years of the laft century, will alone very nearly account for that fmall enhancement of price which feems to have taken place in them. Thefe, however, though the higheft, are by no means the only high prices which feem to have been occafioned by the civil wars.

The fecond event was the bounty upon the exportation of corn granted in 1688. The bounty, it has been thought by many people, by encouraging tillage, may, in a long courfe of years, have occafioned a greater abundance, and confequently a greater cheapnefs of corn in the home-market than what would otherwife have taken place there. But between 1688 and 1700 , it had no time to produce this effect. During this fhort period its only ef ${ }_{+}$ fect muft have been, by encouraging the exportation of the furplus produce of every year, and thereby hindering the abundance of one year from compenfating the fcarcity of another, to raife the price in the home-market. The fearcity which prevailed in England from 1693 to 1699, both inclufive, though no doubt principally owing to the badnefs of the feafons, and, therefore, extending through a confiderable part of Europe, muft have been fomewhat enhanced by the bounty. In 1699, accordingly, the further exportation of corn was prohibited for nine months.

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i} 2}
$$
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There was a third event which occurred in the courfe of the fame period, and which, though it could not occafion any fcarcity of corn, nor, perhaps, any augmentation in the real quantity of filver which was ufually paid for it, muft neceflarily have occafioned fome augmentation in the nominal fum. This event was the great degradation of the filver coin, by clipping and wearing. This evil had begun in the reign of Charles II. and had gone on continually increafing till 1695; at which time, as we may learn from Mr. Lowndes, the current filver coin was at an average, near five and twenty per cent. below its ftandard value. But the nominal fum which conflitutes the market price of every commodity is neceflarily regulated, not fo much by the quantity of filver, which, according to the ftandard, ought to be contained in it, as by that which, it is found by experience, actually is contained in it. This nominal fum, therefore, is neceffarily higher when the coin is much degraded by clipping and wearing, than when near to its ftandard value.

In the courfe of the prefent century, the filver coin has not at any time been more below its ftandard weight than it is at prefent. But though very much defaced, its value has been kept up by that of the gold coin for which it is exchanged. For though before the late re-coinage, the gold coin was a good deal defaced too, it was lefs fo than the filver. In 1695, on the contrary, the value of the filver coin was not kept up by the gold coin; a guinea then commonly exchanging for thirty fhillings of the worn and clipt filver. Before the late re-coinage of the gold, the price of filver bullion was feldom higher than five fhillings and feven-pence an ounce, which is but five-pence above the mint price. But in 1695, the common price of filver bullion was fix fhillings and five-pence an ounce, which is fifteen-pence above the mint price. Even before the late re-coinage of the gold, therefore, the coin, gold and filver
filver
pofed
In 16
five a ginnin great 1 curren weight centur the ci the in which muft otherv the a produs courag the ho lower raife i more ; four $\mathbf{f}$ price c marke 21. of more during nine f teen y of An about years to hav
filver together, when compared with filver bullion, was not fuppofed to be more than eight per cent. below its ftandard value. In 1695, on the contrary, iit had been fuppofed to be near five and twenty per cent. below that value. But in the beginning of the prefent century, that is immediately after the great re-coinage in King William's time, the greater part of the current filver coin muft have been ftill nearer to its ftandard weight than it is at prefent. In the courfe of the prefent century too there has been no great publick calamity, fuch as the civil war, which could either difcourage tillage or interrupt the interior commerce of the country. And though the bounty, which has taken place through the greater part of this century, muft always raife the price of corn fomewhat higher than it otherwife would be in the actual ftate of tillage; yet, as in the courfe of this century the bounty has had full time to produce all the good effeets comimonly imputed to it, to encourage tillage, and thereby to increafe the quantity of corn in the home market, it may be fuppofed to have done fomething to lower the price of that commodity the one way, as well as to raife it the othcr. It is by many people suppofed to have done more; a notion which I thall examine hereafter. In the fixtyfour firft years of the prefent century accordingly, the average price of the quarter of nine bulhels of the beft wheat at Windfor market, appears, by the accounts of Eton College, to have been 21. 0s. $6 \mathrm{~d} . \frac{1}{3}$, which is about ten fhillings and fixpence, or more than five and twenty per cent. cheaper than it had been during the fixty-four laft years of the laft century; and about nine fhillings and fix-pence cheaper than it had been during the fixteen years preceeding ${ }_{1} 6_{3} 6$, when the difoovery of the abundant mines of America may be fuppofed to have produced its full effect; and about one fhilling cheaper than it had been in the twenty-fix years preceeding $\mathbf{1 6 2 0}$, before that difcovery can well be fuppofed to have produced its full effect. According to this account, the average

BOOK average price of middle wheat, during thefe fixty-four firf years
had of the prefent century, comes out to have been about thirty-two shillings the quarter of eight buihels.
'THE valuc of filver, therefore, feems to have rifen fomewhat in proportion to that of corn duting the courfe of the prefent century, and it had probably begun to do fo even fome time before the end of the lait.

In 1687, the price of the quarter of nine bushels of the beß wheat at Windfor market was sl. 5s. 2d. the loweft price at which it had ever been from 1595.

In 1688, Mr. Gregory King, a man famous for his knowledge in matters of this kind, eftimated the average price of wheat in years of moderati plenty to be to the grower 3 s .6 d . the bufhel, or eight and twenty Ihillings the quarter. The grower's price I underftand to be the fame with what is fometimes called the contract price, or the price at which a farmen contracts for a certain number of years to deliver a certain quantity of corn to a dealer. As a contract of this kind faves the farmer the expence and trouble of marketing, the contract price is generally lower than what is fuppofed to be the average market price. Mr. King had judged eight and twenty fhillings the quarter to be at that time the ordinary contract price in years of moderate plenty. Before the fcarcity occafioned by the late extraordinary courfe of bad feafons, it was the ordinary contract price in all common years.

In 1688 was granted the parliamentary bounty upon the exportation of corn. The country gentlemen, who then compofed a ftill greater proportion of the legiflature than they do at prefent,
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hiad felt that the money prise of corn was falling. The bounty was an expedient to raife it artificially to the high price at which it had frequently been fold in the times of Charles I. and II. It was to take place, therefore, till wheat was fo high as forty-eight fhillings the quarter; that is twenty flillings, or sths dearer than Mr. King had in that very year eftimated the grower's price to be in times of moderate plenty. If his calculations deferve any part of the reputation which they have obtained very univerfally ${ }_{\text {m }}$ eight and forty fhillings the quarter was a price which, without fome fuch expedient as the bounty, could not at that time beexpected, except in years of extraordinary fcarcity. Dat the goverament of king William vas not then fully fettled. It was in no condition to refure any thing to the country gentlemen, from whom it was at that very time foliciting the frit eftablifhment of the annual land-tax.

THE value of filver, therefore; in proportion to that of corn, hiad probably rifen fomewhat before the end of the laft century 50 and it feems to have continued to do fo during the courfe of the greater part of the prefent; though the neceflary operation of: the bounty muft have hindered that rife from being fo fenfible: as it otherwife would have been in the actual ftate of tillage.

In plentiful years the bounty, by occafioning an extraordinary' exportation, neceffarily raifes the price of corn above what it: otherwife would be in thofe years. To encourage tillage, by keeping up the price of corn even in the moft plentiful years, was the: arowed end of the inflitution.

In years of great fcarcity, indeed, the bounty has generally' been furpended. It muft, however, hwe had fome effect even: upon the prices of many of thofe years. By the extraondinaryy
exportations
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than of any fall in the real average value of corn. Corn, it has CHAP. already been obferved, is at diftent periods of time a more accurate meafure of value than cither filver or perhaps any other, commodity. When after the difcovery of the abundant mines of America, coms rofe to three and four times its former money price, this change was univerfally afcribed, not to any rife in the real value of corn, but to a fall in the real value of filver. If during the fixty-four firft years of the prefent century, therefore, the average money price of corn has fallen fomewhat below what it had been during the greater part of the laft century, we thould in the fame manner impute this change, not to any fall in the real value of corn, but to fome rife in the real value of filver in the European market.

THe high price of corn during thefe ten or twelve years paft, indeed, has occafioned a fufpicion that the real value of filver ftill continues to fall in the European market. This high price of corn, however, feems evidently to have been the effect of the extraoidinary unfavourablenefs of the feafons, and ought therefore to be regarded, not as a permanent, but as a tranfitory and occafional event. The feafons for thefe ten or twelve years paft have been unfavourable through the greater part of Europe; and the diforders of Poland have very much increafed the fearcity in all thofe countries, which in dear years ufed to be fupplied from that market. So long a courfe of bad feafons, though not a very common event, is by no means a fingular one; and whoever has enquired much into the hiftory of the prices of corn in former times, will be at no lofs to recollect feveral other examples of the fame kind. Ten years of extraordinary fearcity, befides, are not more wonderful than ten years of extraordinary plenty. The low price of corn from 1741 to 1750, both inclufive, may very well be fet in oppofition to its high priee during thefe laft eight or ten years. Frow 1741 to 1750, the average price of the quarter of Vol. I. K k nine
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nine, bufhels of the beft wheat at Windfor market, it, appears from the accounts of Eton Collegen was only 4 . in 3 st 9 id. which is nearly $6 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$. below, the average price of the fixty-four, firt years of the prefent century. The ayerage prige of the quarter of eight bufhels of middle wheaty comes out according to this account, to have been, during thefe ten, years, only $41,6 \mathrm{~s} .8 \mathrm{~d}$.

Betwen 1741 and 1759 , however, the bounty muft haye hindered the price of sorn from falling fo low in the home markgt as it naturally would haye done ${ }_{i S 0}$ During thefe ten years the quantity of all forts of grain exported, it appears, from the curf tom-houfe books, amounted to no lefs than eight millions twentynine thoufand one hundred and fifty-fix quarters one bufhel. The bounty paid for this amounted to $1,514,9621.175 \mathrm{~s}$. 4 : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~d}$ In 14749 accordingly, Mr. Pelham, at that time prime miniffer obferyed to the Houfe of Commons, that for the three years preceeding a very extraordinary fum had been paid as bounty for the exportation of corn. He had good reafon to make this oberervation, and in the following year, he might have had ftill better, In that fingle year the bounty paid amounted to no fefs than 324,1761 . 10s. 6 d . It is unneceffary to obferve how much this forced exportation muft have raired the price of corn above what it otherwife would have been in the home market.
${ }^{3}$ At the end of the accounts annexed to this chapter the reader will find the particular account of thofe ten years feparated from the reft. He will find thers too the particular account of the preceeding ten years, of which the average is likewife below, thot not fo much below, the general average of the fixty-four firf years of the century. The year 1740, however, was a year of extraordinary fcarcity. Thefe twenty years preceeding 1750, may yery well be fet in oppofition to the twenty preceeding 1770. 1 As .
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the former were ad gobd deal befow the general average of the century, notwithftanding the intervention of one or two dear years; fo the latter have been a good deal above it, notwithtanding the intervention of ohe or two cheap offes, of 1759 , for example. If the former have not Been as minch befod the general average, as the latter have been above it, we bught probably to impute it to the bounty. The change has evidently been too fudden to be afcribed to any change in the value of filver, which is always flow "and gradual. The fuddennefs of the effect can be accounted for onfly by a caufe which ${ }^{1}$ can operate fuddenly, the accidentat


The money price of labour in Great Britain has, indeed, rifen during the courte of the preient century. This, however, Ceems to be the effeet, not fo much of any diminution in the vattie or filvet in the European market, as of an increafe in the demand for labour in Great Britain, arifing from the great, and almolt univerfat profperity of the country. In France, 2 country not altogether $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ proferous, the money price of labour has, fince the middie of the laft century, been obferved to fink gradually with the ayerage money price of corn. Both in the laft century and in the prefent, the day-wages of common labour are there faid to have been pretty uniformly about the twentieth part of the average price of the feptier of wheat a meafure which contains a littlemore than four Winchefter bufhels. In Great Britain the real recompence of labour, it has already been fhown, the real quantity of the, neceffaries and conveniencies of life which are given to the labourer, has increafed confiderably during the cousfo of the prefent century. The rife in its money price feems to have been the effect, not of any diminution of the vaiue of filver ins the general market of Europej but of a rife in the reak $2 \mathrm{~d} / 2$
price

B OOX price of labour in the particulab market of Great Britains bwing to the peculiarly happy circumfanees of the country, Aispe. 10

For fome time after the firft diffovery of America; filver would continue to fell at its former, or not much below its former price. The profits of mining would for fome time be very great, and much above their natural rate. Thofe who imported that metal into Europe, however, would foon find that the whole annual importation could not be difpofed of at this high price. Silver would gradually exchange for a fmaller and a fmaller quantity of goods. Its price would fink gradually lower and lower till it fell to its natural price; or to what was juft fufficient to pay, according to their natural rates, the wages of the labour; the profits of the flock, and the rent of the land, which muft be paid in order to bring it from the mine to the market. In the greater part of the filver mines of Peru, the tax of the king of Spain, amounting to a fifth of the grofs produce, eats up, it has already been obferved, the whole rent of the land. This tax was originally a half, it foon afterwards fell to a third, and then to a fifth, at which rate it ftill continues. In the greater part of the filver mines of Peru this, it feems, is all that remains after replacing the ftock of the undertaker of the work, together with its ordinary profits; and it feems to be univerflly acknowledged that thefe profits, which were once very high, are now as low as they can well be, confifently with carrying on the works.

The tax of the king of Spain was reduced to a fifth part of the regiftered filver in 1504, one and thirty years before 1535 , the date of the difcovery of the mines of Potofi. In the courfe of a century, or before 1636 , thefe mines, the moft fertile in all 'America, had time fufficient to produce their full effeet, or to reduce the value of filver in the European market as low as it
could
could well fall, while it coatinued to pay this tax to itho king of Spain. A hundred years is time fufficient to reduce any commodity, of which there is no monopoly, to its natural price, or to the, loweft price at, which, while it pays a particular tax, it can continue to be fold for any confiderable time together.

Thz price of filver in the European market might perhaps have fallen ftill lowerp and it might have become neceflary either to lower the tax upon it, in the fame manner as that upon gold, on to give up working the greater part of the American mines which are now wrought. The gradual increafe of the demand for filver, or the gradual enfargement of the market for the produce of the tilver mines of America, is probably the caufe which has prevented this from happening, and which has not only kept up the value of filver in the European market, but has perhaps even raifed it fomewhat higher than it was about the middle of the laft century.

Since the firf difcovery of America, the market for the produce of its filver mines has been growing gradually more and more extenfive.

First, The market of Europe has become gradually more and more extenfive. Since the difcovery of America, the greater part of Europe has been much improved. England, Holland, France, and Germany; even Sweden, Denmark, and Ruffia, have all advanced confiderably both in agriculture and in manufactures. Italy feems not to have gone backwards. The fall of Italy preceeded the conqueft of Peru. Since that time it feems rather to have recovered a little. Spain and Portugal, indeed, are fuppofed to have gone backwards. Portugal, however, is but a very fmall part of Europe, and the declenfion of Spain is not, perhaps, fo great

 yiauss the more civilized nation of the twa, though they made wfe of gold and filver as ornaments, had nocoined money of any kinds Their whole commerce was cayried on by barters and there was accoordingly, fcarce, any divifipn $d \circ \mathrm{f}_{\text {d }}$ labour among them. Thofe who cultivated the ground were obliged to build their own houfes, to make their own houfhold fuynitures othein own cloaths, fhoes, and inftruments of agriculturew The, few artificers among them arge faid, to have been all maintained by the fovereign, the nobles, and the priefts, and werejprobably their fervants or flavas all the ancient arts of Mexico and Peru have never furnifhed one finglo manufacture to Europe. The Spanifh armies, though they fcarce ever exceeded five hundred men, and frequently did not amount to half that number, found almont every where great difficulty in procuring fubfitence. The famines which they are faid to have occafioned almoft wherever they went, in cquntries too which at the fame time are reprefented as very populous and well cultivated, fufficiently demonitrate that the ftory of this populoufnefs and high cultivation is in a great meafure fabulous. The Spanifh colonies are under a government in many refpects lefs favourable to agriculture, Improvement, and population, than that of the Englifh cotonies. They feem, however, to be advancing in all there much more rapidly than any country in Europe. In a fertile foil and. happy climate, the great abundance and cheapnefs of land, a circumftance common to all new colonies, is, it feems, fo great an. advantage as to compenfate many defects in civil government. Frezier, who vifited Peru in ${ }^{1713}$, reprefents. Lima as containing. between twenty-five and twenty-eight thoufand inhabitants. Ulloas who refided in the fame country between 1740 and 1746 , reprefents it as containing more than fifty thoufand. The difference in their accounts of the populoufnefs of feveral other principal towns: in Chili and Peru is nearly the fame; and as there feems to be no

creafe of employment to them all. Tea, for example, was a drug very little ufed in Europe before the middle of the lait century. At prefent the value of the tea annually imported by the Englifh Caft-India Company for the ufe of their own countrymen, amounts to more than a million and a half a year; and even this is not enough; a great deal more being conftantly fmuggled into the country from the ports of Holland, from Gottenburg in Sweden, and from the coaft of France too as long as the French Eaft-India Company was in profperity. The confumption of the porcelain of China, of the fpiceries of the Moluccas, of the piece goods of Bengal, and of innumerable other articles, has increafed very nearly in a like proportion. The tunnage accordingly of all the European fhipping employed in the Eaft-India trade at any one time during the laft century, was not, perhaps, much greater than that of the Englifh Eart-India Company before the late reduction of their fhipping.

Bur in the Eaft Indies, particularly in China and Indoftan, the value of the precious metals, when the Europeans firf began to trade to thofe countries, was much higher than in Europe ${ }_{\text {; }}$ and itftill continues to be fo. In rice countries, which generally yield two, fometimes three crops in the year, each of them more plentifal than any common crop of corn, the abundance of food muft be much greater than in any corn country of equal extent. Such countries are accordingly much more populous. In them too the rich, having a greater fuper-abundance of food to difpofe of beyond what they themfelves can confume, have the means of purchafing a much greater quantity of the labour of other people. The retinue of a grandee in China or Indoftan accordingly is, by all accounts, much more numerous and fplendid than that of the richert fubjects in Europe. The fame fuper-abundance of food; of which they thave the difpofal, enables them to give a greater quantity of it for all thofe ingular and rare productions which nature furnifhes - Yoi. I. L 1 but
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manufatures. TE cofts more labour, and therefore more money, to bring firf the materials, and afterwards the compleat manufacture to market. In China and Indoftan the extent and variety of inland navigations fave the greater part of this labour, and confequently of this mothey, and thereby reduce ftill lower both the real and the nominal price of the greater part of their manufactures. Upon all thefe accounts, the precious metals are a commodity which it always has been, and ftill continues to be, extremely advantageo to carry from Europe to India. There is fcarce any corn riy which brings a better price there; or which, in pooportion ( wio quantity of labour and commodities which it cofts in Europe, will purchafe or command a greater quantity of labour and commodities in India. It is more advantageous too to carry filver thither than gold; becaufe in Cbina, and the greater part of the other markets. of India, the proportion between fine filver and fine gold is bat as ten to one; whereas is Europe it is as fourteen or fifteen to one. In China, and the greater part of the other markets of India, ten ounces of filver will purchafe an ounce of gold: in Europe it reguires from fourteen to fifteea ounces. In the cargoes, therefore, of the greater part of European fhips which fail to India, filver has generally been one of the moft valuable articles. It is the moft valuable article in the Acapolco fhips whish fail to Manila. The filver of the new continent feems in this manner to be the principal commodity by which the commerce between the two extremities of the old one is carried on, and it is by means of it chiefly that thofe diftant parts of the world are connected with one another.

In order to fupply fo very widefy extended a market, the quantity of filver anmuatly brought from the mines muft not only be fufficient to fupport that conticual inereafe both of coin and of plate which is required in all thriving countries; but to repair that
$\mathrm{L}_{1}{ }_{2}$ continual

BOOK continual wafte and confamption of filver which takes place in all $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ countries where that metal is ufed.

The continual confinmption of the precious metals in coin by: wearing, and in plate both by wearing and cleaning, is very fenfible; and in commodities of which the ufe is fo very widely extended, would alone require a very great annual fupply. The confumption of thofe metals in fome particular manufactures, though it may not perhaps be greater upon the whole than this gradual confumption, is, however, much more fenfible, as it is much more rapid. In the manufactures of Birmingham alone, the quantity of gold and filver annually employed in gilding and plating, and thereby difqualified from ever afterwards appearing in the fhape of thofe metals, is faid to amount to more than fifty thoufand pounds fterling. We may from thence form fome notion how great muft be the annual confumption in all the different parts of the world, either in manufactures of the fame kind with. thofe of Birmingham, or in laces, embroideries, gold and filer ftuffs, the gilding of books, furniture, \&cc. A confiderable quantity too muft be annually lof in tranfporting thofe metals from one place to another both by fea and by land. In the greater part of the governments of Afia, befides, the almof univerfal cuftom of concealing treafures in the bo lls of the earth, of which the knowledge frequently dies with the perfon who makes the concealment, muft oocafion the lofs of a ftill greater quantity.

The quantity of gold and filver imported at both Cadiz and Lifbon (including not only what comes under regifter, but what may be fuppefed to be fmuggled) amounts, according to the beft accounts, to about fix millions fterling a yeia.

According

S Accorime to Mr:Meggens the annual importation of the precious metals into Spain, at an average of fix years; viz. from 1748 to 1753 , beth inclufive; and into Portugal, at an average of feven years is viz.ij from 1747 to 1753 , both inclufive; amounted in filver to i, iol, 107 pounds weight; and in gold to 49.940 pounds weight. The filver, at fixty-two fhillings the pound Troy, amounts to $3,413,4311$. 10s. iterling. The gold, at forty-four guineas and a half the pound Troy, amounts to $2,333,4461$. 14 s . fterling. Both together amount to $5,746,8781$. 4 s . fterling. The account of what was imt-sted under regifter, he affures us is exact. He gives us the detail of the particular places from which the gold and filver were brought, and of the particular quantity of each metal, which, according to the regiter, each of them afforded. He makes an allowance too for the quantity of each metal which he fuppofes may have been fmuggled. The great experience of this jadicious merehant renders his opinion of confiderable weight.

According to the eloquent and fometimes well informed author of the philofophical and political hiftory of the eftablifument of the Europeans in the two Indies, the annual importation of regiftered gold and filver into Spain, at an average of eleven years; viz. from 1754 to 1764 , both inclufive; amounted to $13,984,185 \frac{3}{7}$ piaftres of ten reals. On account of what may have: been fmuggled, however, the whole annual importation, he fuppofes, may have amounted to feventeen millions of piaftres; which at 4 s . 6 d . the piaftre, is equal to $3,825,000 \mathrm{l}$. fterling. Hegives the detail too of the particular places from which the gold and: filver were brought, and of the particular quantities of each metal which, according to the regifter, each of them afforded. He informs us too, that if we were to judge of the quantity of gold. annually imported from the Brazils into Lifhon by the amount of the tax paid to the king of Portugal, which it feems is one-fifth

B OOK of the ftandard metal, we might value it at eighteen militions of cruzadoes, oi forty-five millions of French livres, equal to about two millions fterling. On account of what may have beenk fmuggled, however, we may fafely, he fays, add to this fum an eighth more, or 250,0001 . ferling, fo that the whole will amount to $2,250,0001$, ferling. According to this aecount, therefore, the whole annual importation of the precious metais into both Spain and Portugal, amounts to about $6,075,000$ I. fterling.

Several other very well authenticated accounts, I have been affured, agree in making this whole annual importation amount at an average to about fix millions fterling; fometimes a little more, fometimes a little Iefs.

The annual importation of the precious metals into Cadiz and Libon, indeed, is not equal to the whole annual produce of the mines of America. Some part is fent annually by the Acapulco flipn to Manilla; fome part is employed in the contraband trade which the Spanifh colonies carry on with thote of other Earopean nations; and fome part, no doubt, remains in the country. The mines of America, befides, are by no means the only gold and filyer mines in the world, They are, however, by far the moft abundant: The produce of thl the other miney which are known, is infignificants, ity is adtnowledged, in comparifon with theirs; and the far greater part of their produce, it is likewife acknowledged, is annually imported inta Cadiz and Libon. But the confumption of Birmingham alone, at the rate of fifty thoufand pounds a year, is equal to thie liundred and twentieth part of this annual importation at the rate of fix millions a year. The whote annual confumption of gold and filver therefore in all the different countries of the world where thofe metals are ufed, may periaps be inearly equal to the whole annual produce. The remainder may
be no mope than, fufficient to fapply the increafing demand of all CHAP. thriving countries. It may even have fallen fo far fhort of thisdemand ap fomewhat to taife the price of thofe metals in the Eurqpean market

The quantity of brafs and iron annually brought from the mine to the market is out of all proportion greater than that of gold and filver. We do not, however, upon this account, imagine that thofe coarfe metals are likely to multiply beyond the demand, or to become gradually cheaper and cheaper. Why fhould we imagine that the precious metals are likely to do fo: The coarfe metals indeed, though harder, are put to much harder ufes, and. as they are of lefs value, lefs care is employed in their prefervation. The precious metals, however, are not neceffarily immortal any. more than they, but are liable too ta be. loft, wafted and confumed. in a great variety of ways.

Thz price of all metals, though liable to flow atid gradual variations, varies lefs from year to year than that of almof any other part of the rude produce of land; and the price of the precious metals is even lefs liable to fudden variations than that of the coarfe ones. The durablenefs of metals is the foundation of this extraordinary fteadinefs of price. The corn which was brought to market laft year, will be all or almoft all confumed long before: the end of this year. But fome part of the iron which was brought: from the mine two or three hundred years ago, may be ftill in: ufe, and perhaps fome part of the gold which was brought from. it two or three thoufand years ago. The different maffes of corn: which in different years muft fupphy the confumption of the world, will slways be nearly in proportion to the refpective produce of thofe difierent years. But the proportion between the different mallies of iron which may be in ufe in two different years, will be: 4.
very
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BOOK very little affected by any accidental difference in the produce of the iron mines of thofe two years 3 and the proportion between the maffes of gold will be ftill lefs affected by any fuch difference in the produce of the gold mines. Though the produce of the greater part of metallick mines, therefore, varies, perhaps, ftill more from year to year than that of the greater part of corn fields, thofe variations have not the fame effect upon the price of the one fpecies of commodities, as upon that of the other.

Variations in the Proportion between the refpective Values of Gold and Silver.

BEFORE the difcovery of the mines of America, the value of fine gold to fine filver was regulated in the different mints of Europe, between the proportions of one to ten and one to twelve; that is, an ounce of fine gold was fuppofed to be worth from ten to twelve ounces of fine filver. About the middle of the laft century it came to be regulated, between the proportions of one to fourteen and one to fifteen; that is, an ounce of fine gold came to be fuppofed worth between fourteen and fifteen ounces of fine filver. Gold rofe in its nominal value, or in the quantity of filver which was given for it. Both metals funk in their real value, or in the quantity of labour which they could purchafe; but filver funk more than gold. Though both the gold and filver mines of America exceeded in fertility all thofe which had ever been known before, the fertility of the filver mines had, it feems, been proportionably ftill greater than that of the gold ones.

The great quantities of filver carried annually from Europe to India, have, in fome of the Englifh fettlements, gradually reduced the value of that metal in proportion to gold. In the mint of 7

Calcutta,
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Calcutta, an ounce of fine gold is fuppofed to be worth fifteen ounces of fine filver, in the fame manner as in Europe. It is in the mint perhaps rated too high for the value which it bears in the market of Bengal. In China, the proportion of gold to filver ftill continues as one to ten. In Japan it is faid to be as one to eight.

The proportion between the quantities of gold and filver annually imported into Europe, according to Mr. Meggens's account, is as one to twenty-two nearly; that is, for one ounce of gold there are imported a little more than twenty-two ounces of filver. The great quantity of filver fent annually to the Eaft Indies, reduces, he fuppofes, the quantities of thofe metals which remain in Europe to the proportion of one to fourteen or fifteen, the proportion of their values. The proportion between their values, he feems to think, muft neceffarily be the fame as that between their quantities, and would therefore be as one to twenty-two, were it not for this greater exportation of filver.

But the ordinary proportion between the refpective values of two commodities is not neceffarily the fame as that between the quantities of them which are commonly in the market. The price of an ox, reckoned at ten guineas, is about threefcore times the price of a lamb, reckoned at 3 s .6 d . It would be abfurd, however, to infer from thence, that there are commonly in the market threefcore lambs for one ox : and it would be juft as abfurd to infer, becaufe an ounce of gold will commonly purchafe from fourteen to fifteen ounces of filver, that there are commonly in the market only fourteen or fifteen ounces of filver for one ounce of gold.

The quantity of filver commonly in the market, it is probable, is much greater in proportion to that of gold, than the value of a Vol. I.

Mm
certain
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certain quantity of gold is to that of an equal quantity of filver. The whole quantity of a cheap commodity brought to market, is commonly, not only greater, but of greater value, than the whole quantity of a dear one. The whole quantity of bread annually brought to market, is not only greater, but of greater value than the whole quantity of butcher's-meat; the whole quantity of butcher's-meat, than the whole quantity of poultry; and the whole quantity of poultry, than the whole quantity of wild fowl. There are fo many more purchafers for the cheap than for the dear commodity, that, not only a greater quantity of it, but a greater value can commonly be difpofed of. The whole quantity, therefore, of the cheap commodity muft commonly be greater in proportion to the whole quantity of the dear one, than the value of a certain quantity of the dear one, is to the value of an equal quantity of the cheap one. When we compare the precious metals with. one another, filver is a cheap, and gold a dear commodity. We ought naturally to expect, therefore, that there fhould always be in the market, not only a greater quantity, but a greater value of. filver than of gold. Let any man, who has a little of both, compare his own filver with his gold plate, and he will probably find, that, not only the quantity, but the value of the former greatly exceeds that of the latter. Many people, befides, have a good deal of filver who have no gold plate, which, even with thofe who have it, is generally confined to watch cafes, fnuff-boxes, and fuch like trinkets, of which the whole amount is feldom of great value. In the Britifh coin, indeed, the value of the gold preponderates greatly, but it is not $f 0$ in that of all countries. In the coin of fome countries the value of the two metals is nearly equal. In the Scotch. coin, before the union with England, the gold preponderated very little, though it did fomewhat, as it appears by the accounts of the mint. In the coin of many countries the filver preponderates. In France, the largeft fums are commonly paid in that metal,
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and it is there difficult to get more gold than what it is neceffary to carry about in your pocket. The fuperior value, however, of the filver plate above that of the gold, which takes place in all countries, will much more than compenfate the preponderancy of the gold coin above the filver, which takes place only in fome countries.

Thover, in one fenfe of the word, filver always has been, and probably alw ill be, much cheaper than gold; yet in another fenfe, goperhaps, in the prefent ftate of the European market, o be fomewhat cheaper than filver. A commodity may be faid to be dear or cheap, not only according to the abfolute greatnefs or fmallnefs of its ufual price, but according as that price is more or lefs above the loweft for which it is poffible to bring it to market for any confiderable time together. This loweft price is that which barely replaces, with a moderate profit, the ftock which muft be employed in bringing the commodity thither. It is the price which affords nothing to the landlord, of which rent makes not any component part, but which refolves itfelf altogether into wages and profit. But, in the prefent ftate of the European market, gold is certainly fomewhat nearer to this loweft price than filver. The tax of the king of Spain upon gold is only one-twentieth part of the ftandard metal, or five per cent.; whereas his tax upon filver amounts to one-fifth part of it, or to twenty per cent. In thefe taxes too, it has already been obferved, confifts the whole rent of the greater part of the gold and filver mines of Spanih Amerioa; and that upon gold is ftill worfe paid than that upon filver. The profits of the undertakers of gold mines too, as they more rarely make a fortune, muft, in general, be ftill more moderate than thofe of the undertakers of filver mines. The price of Spanim gold, therefore, as it affords both lefs rent:and lefs profit, muft, in the European market, be fomewhat nearer to the loweft Mm ${ }_{2}$
price



BOOK price for which it is poffible to bring it thither, than the price of Spanifh filver. The tax of the king of Portugal, indeed, upon the gold of the Brazils, is the fame with that of the king of Spain upon the filver of Mexico and Peru; or one-fifth part of the ftandard metal. It muft fill be true, however, that the whole mafs of American gold comes to the European market, at a price nearer to the loweft for which it is poffible to bring it thither, than the whole mafs of American filver. When all expences are computed, it would feem, the whole quantity of the one metal cannot be difpofed of fo advantageoully as the whole quantity of the other.

The price of diamonds and other precious ftones may, perhaps, be ftill nearer to the loweft price at which it is poffible to bring them. to market, than even the price of gold.

Were the king of Spain to give up his tax upon filver, the price of that metal might not, upon that account, fink immediately in the European market. As long as the quantity brought thither continued the fame as before, it would ftill continue to fell at the fame price. The firft and immediate effect of this change, would be to increafe the profits of mining, the undertaker of the mine now gaining all that he had been ufed to pay to the king. Thefe great profits would foon tempt a greater number of people to undertake the working of new mines. Many mines would be wrought which cannot be wrought at prefent, beeaufe they cannot afford to pay this tax, and the quantity of filver brought to market would, in a few years, be fo much augmented, probably, as to fink its price about one-fifth below its prefent ftandard. This diminution in the value of filver would again reduce the profits of mining nearly to their prefent rate.

IT is not indeed very probable, that any part of a tax which CHAP. affords fo important a revenue, and which is impofed too upon one of the moft proper fubjects of taxation, will ever be given up as long as it is poffible to pay it. The impoffibility of paying it, however, may in time make it neceflary to diminifh it, in the fame manner as it made it neceffary to diminifh the tax upon gold. That the filver mines of Spanifh America, like all other mines, become gradually more expenfive in the working, on account of the greater depths at which it is neceffary to carry on the works, and of the greater expence of drawing out the water and of fupplying them with frefh air at thofe depths, is acknowledged by every body who has enquired into the ftate of thofe mines.

These caufes, which are equivalent to a growing fcarcity of filver, (for a commodity may be faid to grow fearcer when it becomes more difficult and expenfive to collect a certain quantity of it), muft, in time, produce one or other of the three following events. The increafe of the expence muft either, firf, be compenfated altogether by a proportionable increafe in the price of the metal; or, fecondly, it muft be compenfated altogether by a. proportionable diminution of the tax upon filver; or, thirdly, it muft be compenfated partly by the one, and partly by the other of thofe two expedients. This third event is very poffible. As gold rofe in its price in proportion to filver, notwithftanding a great diminution of the tax upon gold; fo filver might rife in its price in proportion to labour and commodities, notwithftanding an equal. diminution of the tax upon filver.

That the firft of thefe three events has already begun to take place, or that filver has, during the courfe of the prefent century, begun to rife fomewhat in its value in the European market, the facts and arguments which have been alledged above difpofe me to, believe.
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the earth, \&cc. naturally grow dearer as the fociety advances in wealth and improvement, I have endeavoured to fhow already. Though fuch commodities, therefore, come to exchange for a greater quantity of fiver than before, it will not from thence follow that filver has become really cheaper, or will purchafe lefs labour than before, but that fuch commodities have become really dearer, or will purchafe more labour than before. It is not their nominal price only, but their real price which rifes in the orogrefs of improvement. The rife of their nominal price is the erect, not of any degradation of the value of filver, but of the rife in their real price.

Diferent Effecis of the Progrefs of Improvement upon three different: Sorts of rude Produce.

THESE different forts of rude produce may be divided into three clafles. The finft coraprehends thofe which it is fcarce in the power of human induftiy to multiply at all. The fecond, thofe which it can multiply in pioportion to the demand. The third, thofe in which the efficacy of induftry is either limited or uncertain. In the progrefs of wealth and improvement, the real price of the firft may rife to any degree of extravagance, and feems not to be limited by any certain boundary. That of the fecond, though it may rife greatly, has, however, a certain boundary beyond which it cannot well pafs for any confiderable time together. That of the third, though its natural tendency is to rife in the progrefs of improvement, yet in the fame degree of improvement it may fometimes happen even to fall, fometimes to continue the fame, and fometimes to rife more or lefs, according as different accidents render the efforts of human induftry, in multiplying_this fort of rude produce, more or lefs fuccefsful.

Firf

## Firft Sort.

The firft fort of rude produce of which the price rifes in the progrefs of improvement, is that which it is fcarce in the power of human induftry to multiply at all. It confifts in thofe things which nature produces only in certain quantities, and which being of a very perifable nature, it is impoffible to accumulate together the produce of many different feafons. Such are the greater part of rare and fingular birds and fifhes, many different forts of game, almoft all wild-fowl, all birds of paffage in particular, as well as many other things. When wealth, and the luxury which accompanies it, increafe, the demand for thefe is likely to increafe with them, and no effort of human induftry may be able to increafe the fupply much beyond what it was before this increafe of the demand. The quantity of fuch commodities, therefore, remaining the fame, or nearly the fame, while the competition to purchafe them is continually increafing, their price may rife to any degree of extravagance, and feems not to be limited by any certain boundary. If woodcocks fhould become fo fafhionable as to fell for twenty guineas a-piece, no effort of human induftry could increafe the number of thofe brought to market, much beyond what it is at prefent. The high price paid by the Romans, in the time of their greateft grandeur, for rare birds and fifhes, may in this manner eafily be accounted for. Thefe prices were not the effects of the low value of filver in thofe times, but of the high value of fuch rarities and curiofities as human induftry could not multiply at pleafure. The real value of filver was higher at Rome, for fome time before and after the fall of the republic, than it is through the greater part of Europe at prefent. Three feftertii, equal to about fixpence fterling, was the price which the republic paid for the modius or peck of the tithe wheat of Sicily. This price, however,
was probably below the average market price, the obligation to deliver their wheat at this rate being confidered as a tax upon the Sicilian farmers. When the Romans, therefore, had occafion to order more corn than the tithe of wheat amounted to, they were bound by capitulation to pay for the furplus at the rate of four feftertii, or eight-pence fterling the peck; and this had probably been reckoned the moderate and reafonable, that is, the ordinary or average contract price of thofe times ; it is equal to about one and twenty fhillings the quarter. Eight and twenty fhillings the quarter was, before the late years of fearcity, the ordinary contract price of Englifh wheat, which in quality is inferior to the Sicilian, and generally fells for a lower price in the European market. The value of filver, therefore, in thofe antient times, muft have been to its value in the prefent, as three to four inverfely, that is, three ounces of filver would then have purchafed the fame quantity of labour and commodities which four ounces will do at prefent. When we read in Pliny, therefore, that Seius bought a white nightingale, as a prefent for the emprefs Agrippina, at the price of fix thoufand feftertii, equal to about fifty pounds of our prefent money; and that Afinius Celer purchafed a furmullet at the price of eight thoufand feftertii, equal to about fixty-fix pounds thirteen fhillings and four-pence of our prefent money, the extravagance of thofe prices, how much foever it may furprife us, is apt, notwithftanding, to appear to us about one-third lefs than it really was. Their real price, the quantity of labour and fubfiftence which was given away for them, was about one-third more than their nominal price is apt to exprefs to us in the prefent times. Seius gave for the nightingale the command of a quantity of labour and fubfiftence, equal to what 661. 13 s. 4 d . would purchafe in the prefent times; and Afinius Celer gave for the furmullet the command of a quantity equal to what $881.17 \mathrm{~s} .9 \frac{1}{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{d}$. would purchafe. What occafioned the extravagance of thofe high prices was, not fo
$B 00 \mathrm{~K}$ much the abundance of filver, as the abundance of labour and fubfiftence, of which thofe Romans had the difpofal, beyond what was neceflary for their own ufe. The quantity of filver, of which they liad the difpofal, was a good deal lefs than what the command of the fame quantity of fabour and fubfiftence would have procured to them in the prefent times. sin aliequh ents of sisteo Second Sort.

10. The fecond fort of rude produce of which the price rifes in the progrefs of improvement, is that which human induftry can multiply in proportion to the demand. It confifts in thofe ufeful plants and animals which, in uncultivated countries, nature produces with fuch profufe abundance, that they are of little or no yalue, and which as cultivation advances, are therefore forced to give place to fome more profitable produce. During a long period in the progrefs of improvement, the quantity of thefe is continually diminihhing, while at the fame time the demand for them is continually increafing. Their real value, therefore, the real quantity of labour which they will purchafe or command, gradually rifes, till at laft it gets fo high as to render them as profitable a produce as any thing elfe which human induftry can raife upon the moft fertile. and beft cultivated land. When it has got fo high it cannot well go higher, If it did, more land and more induftry would foon be. employed to increafe their quantity.
When the price of cattle, for example, rifes fo high that it is as profitable to cultivate land in order to raife food for them, as in order to raife food for man, it cannot well go higher. If it did, more corn land would foon be turned into pafture. The extenfion of tillage, by diminifhing the quantity of wild pafture, diminifhes the quantity of butcher's-meat which the country naturally produces without labour or cultivation, and by increafing the number of thofe
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thofe who have either corn, or, what comes to the fame thing, the price of corn, to give in exchange for it, increafes the demand. The price of butcher's-meat therefore, and confequently of cattle, muft gradually rife till it Igets fo high that it becomes as profitable to employ the moft fertile, and beft cultivated lands in raifing food for them as in raifing corn. But it mult always be late in the progrefs of improvement before tillage can be fo far extended as to raife the price of cattle to this height; and till it has got to this height, if the country is advancing at all, their price muft be continually rifing. There are, perhaps, fome parts of Europe in which the price of cattle has not yet gop to this height. It had not got to this height in any part of Scotland, before the union. Had the Scotch cattle been always confined to the market of Scotland, in a country in which the quantity of lands which can be applied to no other purpofe but the feeding of datte, is fo great in proportion to what can be applied to other purpofes, it is fcarce poffible, perhaps, that their price could ever have rifen fo high as to render it profitable to cultivate land for the fake of feeding them. In England, the price of cattle, it has already been obferved, feems, in the neighbourhood of London, to have got to this height about the beginning of the laft century; butitwas much later probably before it got to it through the greater part of the remoter counties; in fome of which, perhaps, it may fcarce yet have got to it. Of all the different fubftances, however, which compofe this fecond fort of rude produce, cattle is, perhaps, that of which the price, in the progrefs of improvement, rifes firft to this height.

Till the price of cattle, indeed, has got to this height, it feems farce poffible that the greater part, even of thofe lands which are capable of the highef cultivation, can be completely cultivated. In all farms too diftant from any town to carry manure from it, $\mathrm{Nn}_{2}$ that
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SOOX that is, in the far greater part of thofe of every extenfive country,
$\mathrm{L}^{\text {L }}$ the quantity of well-cultivated land muft be in proportion to the quantity of manure which the farm itfelf produces; and this again muft be in proportion to the ftock of cattle which are maintained upon it. The land is manured either by pafturing the cattle upon it, or by feeding them in the ftable, and from thence carrying out their dung to it. But uniefs the price of the cattie be fufficient to pay both the rent and profit of cultivated land, the farmer cannot afford to pafture them upon it; and he can fill lefs afford to feed them in the ftable. It is with the produce of improved and cultivated land only, that cattle can be fed in the ftable; becaufe to collect the fcanty and fcattered produce of wafte and unimproved lands would require too much labour and be too expenfive. If the prise of the cattle, therefore, is not fufficient topay for the produce of improved and cuftivated land, when they. are allowed to pafture it, that price will be fitll lefs fufficient to. pay for that produce when it muft be collected with a good deal of additional labour, and brought into the ftable to them. In thefe circumftances, therefore, no more cattle can, with profit, be fed in the ftable than what are neceffary for tillage. But thefe can never afford manure enough for keeping conftantly in good condition, all the lands which they are capable of cultivating. What they afford being infufficient for the whole farm, will natarally be referved for the lands to. which it can be moft advantageoufly or conveniently applied; the moft fertile, or thofe, perhaps, in the neighbourhood of the farm-yard. Thefe, therefore, will be kept conftantly in good condition and fit for tillage. The reft will, the greater part of them, be allowed to lie wafte, producing: fcarce any thing but fome miferable pafture, juft fufficient to keep alive a few ftraggling, half-ftarved cattle; the farm, though much underfocked in proportion to what would be neceffary for its complete cultivation, being very frequently overftocked in proportion to
its actual produce. A portion of this wafte land, however, after having been paftured in this wretched manner for fix or feven years together, may be ploughed up, when it will yield, perhaps, a poor crop or two of bad oats, or of fome other coarfe grain; and then, being entirely exhaufted, it muft be refted and paftured again as before, and another portion ploughed up to be in the fame manner exhaufted and refted again in its turn. Such accordingly was the general fyftem of management all over the low country of Scotland before the union. The lands which were kept conftantly well manured and in good condition, feldom exoeeded a third or a fourth part of the whole farm, and fometimes did not amount to a fifth or a fixth part of it. The reft were never manured, but a certain portion of thom was in its turn, notwithftanding, regularly cultivated and exhaufted. Under this fyftem: of management, it is evident, even that part of the lands of 8 cot-m land which is capable of good cultivation, could produce but little in comparion of what it may be capable of producing. But how difadvantageous foever this fyftem may appear, yet before the union the low price of cattle feems to have rendered it almoft unavoid able. If, notwithftanding a great cile in their price, it ftill continues to prevail through a confiderable part of the country, it is owing in many places, no doubt, to ignorance and attachment to old cuftoms, but in moft places to the unavoidable obfractions which the natural courfe of things oppofes to the immediate or fpeedy eftablifhment of a better fyftem : firft, to the poverty of the tenants, to their not having yet had time to acquire a ftock of cattle fufficient to cultivate their lands more completely, the fame rife of price which would render it advantageous for them to mains tain a greater ftock, rendering it more difficult for them to acquire it; and, fecondly, to their not having yet had time to put their lands in condition to maintain this greater ftock properly, fuppofing they were capable of acquiring it. The increafe of ftock
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${ }^{B}$ OOK ftock and the improvement of land are two events which muft go hand in hand, and of which the one can no where much out-run the other. Without fome increafe of ftock, there can be fearce any iraprovement of land, but there can be no confiderable increafe of ftock but in confequence of a confiderab' improvement of land; becaufe otherwife the land could not maunkain it. Thefe natural obftructions to the eftablifhment of a better fyftem, cannot be removed but by a long courfe of frugality and induftry; and half a century or a century more, perhaps, muft pafs away before the old fyitem, which is wearing out gradually, can be completely abolifhed through all the different parts of the country. Of all commercial advantages, however, which Scotland has derived from the union with England, this rife in the price of cattle is, perhaps, the greateft. It has not only raifed the value of all highland eftates, but it has, perhaps, been the principal caufe of the improvement of the low country.

In all new colonies the great quantity of wafte land, which can for many years be applied to no other purpofe but the feeding of cattle, foon renders them extremely abundant, and in every thing great cheapnefs is the neceffary confequence of great abundance. Though all the cattle of the European colonies in America were originally carried from Europe, they foon multiplied fo much there, and became of fo little value, that even hores were allowed to run wild in the woods without any owner thinking it worth while to claim them. It muft be a long time after the firf eftablifhment of fuch colonies before it can become profitable to feed cattle upon the produce of cultivated land. The fame caufes, therefore, the want of manure, and the difproportion between the ftock employed in cultivation, and the land which it is deftined to cultivate, are likely to introduce there a fyftem of hufbandry not unlike that which fill continues to
take
take place in fo many parts of Scotland. Mr. Kalm, the Swedifh CHAP. traveller, when he gives an account of the hufbandry of fome XI. of the Englifh colonies in North America, as he found it in 1749, obferves, accordingly, that he can with difficulty difcover there the character of the Englifh nation, fo well fkilled in all the different branches of agriculture. They make fcarce any manure for their corn fields, he fays; but when one piece of ground has been exhaufted by continual cropping, they clear and cultivate another piece of frefh land; and when that is exhautted, proceed to a third. Their cattle are allowed to wander through the woods and other uncultivated grounds, where they are half ftarved; having long ago extirpated almoft all the annual graffes by cropping them too early in the fpring, before they had time to form their flowers, or to thed their feeds. The annual grafles were, it feems, the beft natural graffes in that part of North America; and when the Europeans firft fettled there, they ufed to grow very thick, and to rife three or four feet high. A piece of ground which, when he wrote; could not maintain one cow, would in former times, he was affured, have maintained four, each of which would have given four times the quantity of milk, which that one was capable of giving. The poornefs of the pafture had, in his opinion, occafioned the degradation of their cattle, which degenerated fenfibly from one generation to another. They were probably not unlike that ftunted breed which was common all over Scotland thirty or forty years ago, and which is now fo much mended through the greater part of the low country, not fo much by a change of the breed, though that expedient has been employed in fome places, as by a more plentiful method of. feeding them.

Though it is late, therefore, in the progrefs of improvement: before cattle can bring fuch a price as to render it profitable to cultivate:

BOOK cultivate land for the fake of feeding them; yet of all the different parts which compofe this fecond fort of rade produce, they are perhaps the firft which bring this price; becaufe till they bring it, it feems impoffible that improvement can be brought near even to that degree of perfection to which it has arrived in many parts of Europe.

As cattle are among the firt, fo perhaps venifon is among the laft parts of this fort of rude produce which bring this price. The price of venifon in Great Britain, how extravagant foever it may appear, is not near fufficient to compenfate the expence of a deer park, as is well known to all thofo who have had any experience in the feeding of deer. If it was otherwife, the feeding of deer would foon become an article of common farming; in the fame manner as the feeding of thofe fmall birds called Turdi was among the antient Romans. Varro and Columella affure us tha: it was a moft profitable article. The fattening of Ortolans, birds of paffage which arrive lean in the country, is faid to be fo in fome parts of France. If venifon continues in fahkion, and the wealth and luxury of Great Britain increafe as they have done for fome time paft, its price may very probably rife ftill higher than it is at prefent.

Between that period in the progrefs of improvement which brings to its height the price of fo neceffary an article as cattle, and that which brings to it the price of fuch a fuperfluity as venifon, there is a very long interval, in the courfe of which many other forts of rude produce gradually arrive at their higheft price, fome fooner and fome later, according to different circumftances.

Thus in every farm the offals of the barn and ftables will maintain a certain number of poultry. Thefe, as they are fed with
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with what would otherwife be loft, are a meer fave-all; and as they coft the farmer fcarce any thing, fo he can afford to fell them for very little. Almoft all that he gets is pure gain, and their price can fcarce be fo low as to difcourage him from feeding this number. But in countries ill cultivated, and, therefore, but thinly inhabited, the poultry, which are thus raifed without expence, are often fully fufficient to fupply the whole demand. In this ftate of things, therefore, they are often as cheap as butcher's-meat, or any other fort of animal food. But the whole quantity of poultry, which the farm in this manner produces without expence, muft always be much fmaller than the whole quantity of butcher's meat which is reared upon it; and in times of wealth and luxury what is rare, with only nearly equal merit, is always preferred to what is common. As wealth and luxury increafe, therefore, in confequence of improvement and cultivation, the price of poultry gradually rifes above that of butcher's meat, till at laft it gets fo high that it becomes profitable to cultivate land for the fake of feeding them. When it has got to this height, it cannot well go higher. If it did, more land would foon be turned to this purpofe. In feveral provinces of France, the feeding of poultry is confidered as a very important article in rural ceconomy, and fufficiently profitable to encourage the farmer to raife a confiderable quantity of Indian corn and buck wheat for this purpofe. A middling farmer will there fometimes have four hundred fowls in his yard. The feeding of poultry feems fearce yet to be generally confidered as a matter of fo much importance in England. They are certainly, however, dearer in England than in France, as England receives confiderable fupplies from France. In the progrefs of improvement, the period at which every particular fort of animal food is deareft, muft naturaliz be that which immediately preceeds the general practice of cultivating land for the fake of raifing it. For fome time
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BOOK before this practice becomes general, the fcarcity muft neceffarily raife the price. After it has become general, new methods of feeding are commonly fallen upon, which enable the farmer to raife upon the fame quantity of ground a much greater quantity of that particular fort of animal food. The plenty not only. obliges him to fell cheaper, but in confequence of thefe improvements he can afford to fell cheaper; for if he could not afford it, the plenty would not be of long continuance: It has been probably in this manner that the introduction of clover, turnips, carrots, cabbages, \&cc. has contributed to fink the common price of butcher's-meat in the London market fomewhat below what it. was about the beginning of the laft century.

The hog, that finds his food among ordüre, and greedily dèvours many things rejected by every other ufeful animal, is, like poultry; originally kept as a fave-all. As long as the number of fuch animals, which can thus be reared at little or no expence, is fully: fufficient to fupply the demand, this fort of butcher's-meat comes. to market at a much lower price than any other. But when the demand rifes beyond what this quantity can.fupply, when it becomes neceffary to raife food on purpofe for feeding and fattening hogs, in the fame manner as for feeding and fattening other cattle, the price neceffarily rifes, and becomes proportionably either. higher or lower than that of other, butcher's-meat, according as the nature of the country, and the ftate of its. agriculture, happen to render the feeding of hogs more or lefs: expenfive than that of other cattle. In France, according to Mr. Buffon, the price of pork is nearly equal to that of: beef. In moft parts of Great Britain it is at prefent fomewhat higher:

$\bullet$
(1) $n \in$ great rife in the price both of hogs and poultry has in Great Britain been frequently imputed to the diminution of the number of ccttagers and other fmall occupiers of land; an event which has in every part of Europe been the immediate fore-runner of improvement and better cultivation, but which at the fame time may have contributed to raife the price of thofe articles, both fomewhat fooner and fomewhat fafter than it would otherwife have rifen. As the pooreft family can often maintain a cat or a dog, without any expence; fo the pooreft occupiers of land can commonly maintain a few poultry, or a fow and a few pigs, at very little. The little offals of their own table, their whey, fkimmed milk, and butter-milk, fupply thofe animals with a part of their food, and they find the reft in the neighbouring fields without doing any fenfible damage to any body. By diminifhing the number of thofe fmall occupiers, therefore, the quantity of this fort of provifions which is thus produced at little or no expence, mult certainly have been a good deal diminifhed, and their price muft confequently have been raifed both fooner and fafter than it would otherwife have rifen. Sooner or later, however, in the progrefs of improvement, it muft at any rate have rifen to the utmof height to which it is capable of rifing; or to the price which pays the labour and expence of cultivating the land which furnifhes them with food as well as thefe are paid upon the greater part of other cultivated land.

The bufinefs of the dairy, like the feeding of hogs and poultry, is originally carried on as a fave-all. The cattle neceffarily kept upon the farm, produce more milk than either the rearing of their own young, or the confumption of the farmer's family requires; and they produce moft at one particular feafon. But of all the productions of land, milk is perhaps the moft perifheble. In the warm feafon, when it is moft abundant, it will fcarce keep

002
four

BOOK four and twenty hours. The farmer, by making it into frefh butter, ftores a fmall part of it for a week: by making it into falt butter, for a year: and by making it into cheefe, he ftores a much greater part of it for several years. Part of all thefe is referved for the ufe of his own family. The reft goes to market, in order to find the beft price which is to be had, and which can farce be folow as to difcourage him from fending thither whatever is over and above the ufe of his own family. If it is very low, indeed, he will be likely to manage his dairy in a very llovenly and dirty manner, and will fearee perhaps think it worth while to have a particular room or building on purpofe for it, but will fuffer the bufinefs to be carried on amidft the fmoke, filth, and naftinefs of his own kitchen; as was the cafe of almoft all the farmers dairies in Scotland thirty or forty years ago, and as is the cafe of many of them fill. The fame caufes which gradually raife the price of butcher's-meat, the increafe of the demand, and, in confequence of the improvement of the country, the diminution of the quantity which can be fed at little or no expence, raife, in the fame manner, that of the produce of the dairy, of which the price naturally connects with that of butcher'smeat, or with the expence of feeding cattle. The increafe of price pays for more labour, care, and cleanlinefs. The dairy becomes more worthy of the farmer's attention, and the quality of its produce gradually improves. The price at laft gets fo high that it becomes worth while to employ fome of the moft fertile and beft cultivated lands in feeding cattle merely for the purpofe of the dairy s and when it has got to this height, it cannot well go higher. If it did, more land would foombe turned to this purpofe. It feems to have got to this height through the greater part of England, where much good land is commonly employed in this manner. If you except the neighbourhood of a few confiderable towns, it feems not yet to have got to this height any where in Scotland, where
where common farmers feldom employ much good land in raifing food for cattle merely for the purpofe of the dairy. The price of the produce, though it has rifen very confiderably within thefe few years, is probably ftill too low to admit of it. The inferiority of the quality, indeed, compared with that of the produce of Englifh dairies, is fully equal to that of the price. But this inferiority of quality is, perhaps, rather the effect of this lownefs of price than the caufe of it. Though the quality was much better, the greater part of what is brought to market could not, I apprehend, in the prefent circumftances of the country, be difpofed of at a much better price; and the prefent price, it is probable, would not pay the expence of the land and labour neceffary for producing a much better quality. Through the greater part of England, notwithftanding the fuperiority of price, the dairy is not reckoned a more profitable employment of land than the raifing of corn, or the fattening of cattle, the two great objects of agriculture. Through the greater part of Scotland, therefore, it cannot yet be equally profitable.

The lands of no country, it is evident, can ever be compleatly cultivated and improved, till once the price of every produce, which human induftry is obliged to raife upon them, has got fo high as to pay for the expence of compleat improvement and cultivation. In order to do this, the price of each particular produce muft be fufficient, firft, to pay the rent of good corn land, as it is that which regulates the rent of the greater part of other cultivated land; and, fecondly, to pay the labour and expence of the farmeras well as they are commonly paid upon good corn land; or, in other words, to replace with the ordinary profits the ftock which he employs about it. This rife in the price of each particular produce, muft evidently be previous to the improventent and cultivation of the land which is deftined for raifing it. Gain is the end

BOOK end of all improvement, and nothing could deferve that name of which lofs was to be the neceflary confequence. But lofs muft be the neceflary confequence of impraving land for the fake of a prosduce of which the price could never bring back the expence. If the compleat improvement and cultivation of the country be, as it moft certainly is, the greateft of all publick advantages, this rife in the price of all thofe different forts iof rude produce, inftead of being confidered as a publick calamity, ought to be regarded as the neceffary fore-runiner and attendant of the greateft of all publick advantages.

This rife too in the nominal or money price of all thofe different forts of rude produce has been the effect, not of any degradation in the value of filver, but of a rife in their real price. They have become worth, not only a greater quantity of filver, but a greater quantity of labour and fubfiftence than before. As it cofts a greater quantity of labour and fubfiftence to bring them to market, fo when they are brought thither, they reprefent or are equivalent to a greater quantity.

## Third Sort.

The third and laft fort of rude produce, of which the price naturally rifes in the progrefs of improvement, is that in which the efficacy of human induftry, in augmenting the quantity, is either limited or uncertain. Though the real price of this fort of rude produce, therefore, naturally tends to rife in the progrefs of improvement, yet, according as different accidents happen to render the efforts of human induftry more or lefs fuccefful in augmenting the quantity, it may happen fometimes even to fall, fometimes to continue the fame in very different periods of improvement, and fometimes to rife more or lefs in the fame period.

There

## THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

There are fome forts of rude produce which nature has rendered a kind of appendages to other forts; fo that the quantity of the one which any country can afford, is neceffarily limited by that of the other. The quantity of wool or of raw hides, for example, which any country can afford, is neceffarily limited by the number of great and fimall. cattle that are kept in it. The ftate of its improvement and the nature of its, agriculture, again neceffarily: determine this number.

The fame caufes, which in the progrefs of improvement, gradually raife the price of butcher's-meat, fhould have the fame offect, it may be thought, upon the prices of wool and raw hides, and raife them too nearly in the fame proportion. It probably would be fo, if in the rude beginnings of improvement the market for the latter commodities was confined within as narrow bounds as that for the former. But the extent of their refpective markets is. commonly extreamily different:

The market for butcher's-meat is almoft every where confined to the country which produces it. Ireland, and fome part of Britilh America indeed, carry on a confiderable trade in falt pro* vifions; but they are, I believe, the only countries in the commercial world which do fo, or which export to other countries any. confiderable part: of their butcher's-meat.

The market for wool and raw hides, on the contrary, is in : the rude beginnings of improvement very feldom confined to the: country, which produces them. They can eafily be tranfported todiftant countries, wool without any preparation, and raw hidés with very little; and as they are the materials of many manufactures, the induftry of other countries may occafion a demand for:
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In countries ill cultivated, and therefore but thinly Inhabited, the price of the wool and the hide bears always a much greater proportion to that of the whole beaft, than in countries where, improvement and population being further advanced, there is more demand for butcher's-meat. Mr. Hume obferves, that in the Saxon times, the fleece was eftimated at two-fifths of the value of the whole fheep, and that this was much above the proportion of its prefent eftimation. In fome provinces of Spain, I have been affured, the fheep is frequently killed merely for the fake of the fleece and the tallow. The carcafe is often left to rot upon the ground, or to be devoured by beafts and birds of prey. If this fometimes happens even in Spain, it happens almoft conftantly in Chili, at Buenos Ayres, and in many other parts of Spanifh America, where the horned cattle are almoft conftantly killed merely for the fake of the hide and the tallow. This too ufed to happen almoft conftantly in Hifpaniola, while it was infefted by the Buccancers, and before the fettlement, improvement and populoufnefs of the French plantations (which now extend round the coalt of almoft the whole weftern half of the illand) had given fome value to the cattle of the Spaniards, who ftill continue to poffef, not only the caftern part of the coaft, but the whole inland and mountainous part of the country.

Thoves in the progrefs of improvement and population, the price of the whole beaft neceffarily rifes, yet the price of the carcafe is likely to be much more affected by this rife than that of the wool and the hide. The market for the carcale, being in the rude ftate of fociety confined always to the country which produces it, muft neceffarily be extended in proportion to the improvement
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and population of that country. But the market for the wool and the hides even of a barbarous country often extending to the whole commercial world, it can very feldom be enlarged in the fame proportion. The fate of the whole commercial world can feldom be much affected by the improvement of any particular country; and the market for fuch commodities may remain the fame or very nearly the fame, after fuch improvements, as before. It fhould however in the natural courfe of things rather upon the whole be fomewhat extended in confequence of them. If the manufactures, efpecially, of which thofe commodities are the materials, thould ever come to flourih in the country, the market, though it might not be much enlarged, would at leaft be brought much nearer to the place of growth than before; and the price of thofe materials might at leaft be increafed by what had ufually been the expence of tranfporting them to diftant countries. Though it might not rife therefore in the fame proportion as that of butcher's-meat, it ought naturally to rife fomewhat, and it ought certainly not to fall.

In England, however, notwithftanding the flourifhing fate of its woollen manufacture, the price of Englifh wool has fallen very confiderably fince the time of Edward III. There are many authentick records which demonftrate that during the reign of that prince (towards the middle of the fourteenth century, or ahout 1339) what was reckoned the moderate and reafonable price of the tod or twenty-eight pounds of Englifh wool was not lefs than ten fhillings of the money of thofe times *, containing, at the rate of twenty-pence the ounce, fix ounces of filver Tower-weight, equal to about thirty flillings of our prefent money. In the prefent times, one and twenty fhillings the tod may be reckoned a good
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BOOR price for very good Englinh wool. The money-price of wool, I. therefore, in the time of Edward III, was to its money-price in the prefent times as ten to feven. The fuperiority of lits real price was ftill greater. At the rate of fix fhillings and eight-pence the quarter, ten fhillings was in thofe ancient times the price of twelve buhels of wheat. At the rate of twenty-eighthillings the quarter, one and twenty flollings is in the prefent times the price of fix Stifhels only. The proportion between the real prices of ancient and modern times, theiefore, is as twelve to fix, or as two to one. In thofe anciont times a tod of wool would have purchafed twice the quantity of fubaitence which it will purchafe at prefent $;$ and confequently wice the quantity of labour, if the real recompence of labour had been the fame in both periods.

THis degradation both in the real and nominal value of wool could never have happened in confequence of the natural courfe of things. It has accordingly been the effect of violence and artifice: Firft, of the abfolute prohibition of exporting wool from England; Secondly, of the permiffion of importing it from all othe ${ }^{\mathbf{r}}$ countries duty free; Thirdly, of the prohibition of exporting it from Ireland to any other country but England. In confequence of thefe regulations, the market for Englifh wool, inftead of being fomewhat extended in confequence of the improvement of England, has been confined to the home market, where the wool of all other countries is allowed to come into competition with it, and where that of Ireland is forced into competition with it. As the woollen manufactures too of Ireland are fully as much difcouraged as is confiftent with juftice and fair dealing, the Irifh can work up but a fmall part of their own wool at home, and are, therefore, obliged to fend a greater proportion of it to Great Britain, the only market they are allowed.

I have

I нAve not been able to find any fuch authentick records concerning the price of raw hides in ancient times. Wool was com, monly paid as a fubfidy to the king, and its valuation in that fubfidy afcertains, at leaft in fome degree, what was its ordinary price, But this feems not to have been the cafe with raw hides. Fleetwood, however, from an account in 1425 , between the prior of Burcefter Oxford and one of his canons, gives us their price, at leaft as it was ftated, upon that particular accafion : viz. five of hides at twelve fhillings; five cow hides at feven fhillings and three-pence; thirty-fix fheeps \{kins of two years old at nine fhillings; fixteen calves ikins at two fhillings, In 1425, twelve fhillings contained about the fame quantity of filver as four and twenty fhillings of our prefent money. An ox hide, therefore, was in this account valued at the fame quantity of filver as 4 s . $\frac{4}{f}$ ths of our prefent money. Its nominal price was a good deal lower than at prefent. But at the rate of fix millings and eight-pence the quarter, twelve Millings would in thofe times have purchafed fourteen bufhels and four-fifths of a bufhel of wheat, which, at three and fix-pence the bufhel, would in the prefent times coft 51s. 4d. An ox hide, therefore, would in thofe times have purchafed as much corn as ten hillings and three-pence would purchafe at prefent. Its real value was equal to ten hillings and three-pence of our prefent money. In thofe ancient times, when the cattle were half ftarved during the greater part of the winter, we camnot fuppofe that they were of a very large fize. An ox hide which weighs four ftone of fixteen pounds averdupois, is not in the prefent times reckoned a bad one; and in thofe ancient times would probably have been reckoned a yery good one. But at half a crown the ftone, which at this moment (February, 1773) I underfand to be the common price, fuch a hide would at prefent coft only ten fhillings. Though its nominal price, therefore, is higher in the prefent than it was in thofes gacient times, its real

$$
\mathbf{P}_{2} \quad \text { price }
$$

THEO NMTUREO AND CNUEES :OF
$\mathrm{BO}_{1} \mathrm{~K}$ price, the real quanity of fabfitience which it will purchafe os command; is rather fomewhat lower. The price of cow hides, as ftated in the above accournt, is nearly in the common proportion to that of ox hides. That of fheep fkins is a good deat above it: They had probably been fold with the wool. That of calves iking) on the contrary, is greatly below it. In countries where the price of cattle is very low, the calves, which are not intended to be reared in order to keep up the ftock, are generally killed very young; as was the cafe in Scotland twenty or thirty years ago. It faves the milk, which their price would not pay for. Their fkins, therefore, are commonly good for little.

The price of raw hides is a good deal lower at prefent than it was a few years ago; owing probably to the taking off the duty upon feal fkins, and to the allowing, for a limited time, the importation of raw hides from Ireland and from the plantations duty free, which was done in 1769. Take the whole of the prefent century at an average, their real price has probably been fomewhat higher than it was in thofe ancient times. The nature of the commodity renders it not quite fo proper for being tranfported to diftant markets as wool. It fuffers more by keeping. A falted hide is reckoned inferior to a frelh one, and fells for a lower price. This circumftance muft neceffarily have fome tendency to fink the price of raw hides produced in a country which does not manufacture them, but is obliged to export, them; and comparatively to raife that of thofe produced in a country which does manufacture them. It muft have fome tendency to fink their price in a barbarous, and to raife it in an improved and manufacturing country. It muft have had ficiae texsiency therefore to fink it in ancient, and to trife it-in modern times. Our tanners befides have not been quite fo fuicceffful as our clothiers in convincing the wifdom of the nation that the fafety of the commonwealth
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$$ of raw dides has, indeed, been prohibited, and declared a nuifance: but their importation from foreign countries has been fubjected to a duty; and though this duty has been taken off from thofe of Ireland and the plantations (for the limited time of five years only) yet Ireland has not been confined to the market of Great Britain for the fale of its furplus hides, or of thofe which are not manufactured at home. The hides of common cattle have but within thefe few years been put among the enumerated commo. dities which the plantations can fend nowhere but to the mother country; neither has the commerce of Ireland been in this cafe oppreffed hitherto in order to fupport the manufactures of Great Britain.


Whatzezer regufations tend to fink the price either of wool or of raw hides below what it naturally would be, muft, in an improved and cultivated country, have fome tendency to raire the prife of butcher's.meat. The price both of the great and fimall cattle, which are fed on improved and cultivated land, muft be fufficient to pay the rent which the landlord, and the profit which the farmer has reafon to expect from improved and cuitivited land. If it is not, they will foon ceafe to feed them. Whatever part of this price, therefore, is not paid by the wool and the hide, muft be paid by the carcafe. The lefs there is paid for the one, the more muft be paid for the other. In what manner this price is to be divided upon the different parts of the beaft, is indifferent to the landlords and farmers, provided it is all paid to them. In an improved and cultivated country, therefore, their intereft as landlords and farmers cannot be much affected by fuch regulations, though their intereft as confumers may, by the rife in the price of provifions. It would be quite otherwife, however, in an

BOOK unimproved and uncultivated country, where the greater part of the lands could be applied to no other purpofe but the feeding of cattle, and where the wool and the hide made the principal part of the value of thofe cattle. Their intereft as landlords and farmers would in this cafe be very deeply affected by fuch regulations, and their intereft as confumers very little. The fall in the price of the wool and the hide, would not in this cafe raife the price of the carcafe; becaufe the greater part of the lands of the country being applicable to no other purpofe but the feeding of cattle, the fame number would ftill continue to be fed. The fame quantity of butcher's-meat would ftill come to market. The demand for it would be no greater than before. Its price, therefore, would be the fame as before. The whole price of cattle would fall, and along with it both the rent and the profit of all thofe lands of which cattle was the principal produce, that is, of the greater part of the lands of the country. The perpetual prohibition of the exportation of wool which is commonly, but very falfely, afcribed to Edward III, would, in the then circumftances of the country, have been the moft deftructive regulation which could well have been thought of. It would not only have reduced the actual value of the greater part of the lands of the kingdom, but by reducing the price of the moft important fuecies of fmall cattle ${ }_{2}$ it would have retarded very much its fublequent improvement.

The wool of Scotland fell very confiderably in its price in confequence of the union with England, by which it was excluded from the great market of Europe, and confined to the narrow one of Great Britain. The value of the greater part of the lands in the Youthern counties of Scotland, which are chiefly a fheep country, would have been very deeply affected by this event, had not the rife in the price of butcher's-meat fully compenfated the fall in the price of wool.

As the efficacy of human induftry, in increafing the quantity either of wool or of raw hides, is limited, fo far as it depends upon the produce of the country where it is exerted; fo it is uncertain fo far as it depends upon the produce of other countries. It fo far depends, not fo much upon the quantity which they produce, as upon that which they do not manufacture; and upon the reftraints which they may or may not think proper to impofe upon the exportation of this fort of rude produce. Thefe circumftances, as they are altogether independent of domeftick induftry, fo they neceffarily render the efficacy of its efforts more or lefs uncertain. In multiplying this fort of rude produce, therefore, the efficacy of human induftry is not only limited, but uncertain.

In multiplying another very important fort of rude produce, the quantity of fifh that is brought to market, it is likewife both limited and uncertain. It is limited by the local fituation of the country, by the proximity or diftance of its different provinces from the fea, by the number of its lakes and rivers, and by what may be called the fertility or barrennefs of thofe feas, lakes and zivers, as to this fort of rude produce. As population increafes, as the annual produce of the land and labour of the country grows greater and greater, there come to be more buyers of fifh, and thofe buyers too have a greater quantity and variety of other goods, or, what is the fame thing, the price of a greater quantity and variety of other goods, to buy with. But it will generally be impoffible to fupply the great and extended market without employing a quantity of labcur greater than in proportion to what had been requifite for fupplying the narrow and confined one. A market which, from requiring only one thoufand, comes to require annually ten thoufand tun of fifl, can feldom be fupplied without employing more than ten times the quantity of labour which had before been fufficient to fupply it. The fia muft generally be fought for at a
greater
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Book greater diftance, larger veffels muft ie employed, and more expenfive machinery of every kind made ufe of. The real price of this commodity, therefore, naturally rifes in the progrefs of improvement. It has accordingly done fo, I believe, more or lefs in every country.

Thoven the fuccefs of a particular day's finhing may be a very uncertain matter, yet, the local fituation of the country being fuppofed, the general efficacy of induftry in bringing a certain quantity of fifh to market, taking the courfe of a year, or of feveral years together, it may perhaps be thought, is certain enough; and it, no doubt, is fo. As it depends more, however, upon the local fituation of the country, than upon the fate of its wealth and induftry; as upon this account it may in different countries be the fame in very different periods of improvement, and very different in the fame period; its connection with the ftate of improvement is uncertain, and it is of this fort of uncertainty that I am here feeaking.

In increafing the quantity of the different minerals and metals which are drawn from the bowels of the earth, that of the more precious ones particularly, the efficacy of human induftry feems not to be limited, but to be altogether uncertain.

The quantity of the precious metals which is to be found in any country is not limited by any thing in its local fituation, fuch as the fertility or barrennefs of its own mines. Thofe metals frequently abound in countries which poffefs no mines. Their quantity in every particular country feems to depend upon two different circumftances; firft, upon its power of purchafing, upon the ftate of its induftry, upon the annual produce of its land and labour, in confequence of which it can afford to employ a greater
or a fmaller quantity of labour and fubfiftence in bringing or purchafing fuch fuperfluities as gold and filver, either from its: own mines or from thofe of other countries; and, fecondly, upon the fertility or barrennefs of the mines which may happen at any puetieular time to fupply the commercial world with thofometals. The quantity of thofe metals in the countries moft remote from the mines, muft be more or lef's affected by this fertility or barrennefs, on account of the eafy and cheap tranfportation of thofe metals, of their fmall bulk and great value. Their quantity in China and Indoftan muft have been more or lefs affected by the abundance of the mines of America.
${ }^{3}$ So far as their quantity in any particular country depends upon the former of thofe two circumftances (the power of purchafing) their real price, like that of all other laxuries and fuperfluities, is likely to rife with the wealth and improvement of the country, and to fall with its poverty and depreffion. Countries which have a great quantity of labour and fubfiftence to fpare, can afford to purchafe any particular quantity of thofe metals at the expence of a greater quantity of labour and fubfiftence, than countries which have lefs to ppare.

So far as their quantity in any particular country depends upon the latter of thofe two circumftances (the fertility or barrennefs of the mines which happen to fupply the commercial world) their real price, the real quantity of fabour and fubfiftence which they will purchafe or exchange for, will, no doubt, fink more or lefs in proportion to the fertility, and rife in proportion to the barreninefs of thofe mines.

The fertility or barrennefs of the mines, however, which may happen at any particular time to fupply the commercial world,
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is a circimftance which, it is evident, may have no fort odf beom nedtion with the fate of induftry in a particular country, ilffeens even to have no very neceffary connection with that of the worla in general. As arts and commerce, indeed, gradually pread themfelves over a greater and a greater part of the earth, the foarch for new mines, being extended over a wider furface, may have fomewhat a better chance for being fuccefsful, than when confined within narrower bounds. The difcovery of new mines, however, as the old ones come to be gradually exhaufted, is a matter of the greateft uncertainty, and fuch as no human fkill or induftry can enfure. All indications, it is acknowledged, are doubtful, and. the actual difcovery and fuccefsful working of a new mine can: alone afcertain the reality of its value, or even of its exiftence. In this fearch there feem to be no certain limits either to the poffible fuecefs, or to the poffible difappointment of human induftry. In the courfe of a century or two, it is poffible that new mines may be difcovered more fertile than any that have ever yet been known; and it is juft equally poffible that the moft fertile mine then known may be more barren than any that was wrought before the difcovery of the mines of America. Whether the one or the other of thofe two events may happen to take place, is of very little importance to the real wealth and profperity of the world, to the real value of the annual produce of the land and labour of mankind. Its nominal value, the quantity of gold and filver by, which this annual produce could be expreffed or reprefented, would, no. doubt, be very different; but its real value, the real quantity of labour which it could purchafe or command, would be preciely the fame, A hilling might in the one cafe reprefent no more labour than a penny does at prefent; and a penny in the other might reprefent as much as a fhilling does now. But in the one cafe he who had a fhilling in his pocket, would be no richer than he who has a penny at prefent; and in the other he who had a penny would
would bejuet as rich as ho who hase a hhilling now. The cheapnefs $\mathbf{C H} A \mathbf{A}$. and abundance of gold and filver plate, would be the fole advantage which the world could derive from the one event, and the dearmefiand fearcity of thafe trifling fuperfluities the only incanveniency it could fuffer from the other.

Conclufion of the Digrefion concerning the Variations in the Value of Silver.
${ }^{\text {al }}$ THE greater part of the writers who have collected the money prices of things in antient times, feem to have confidered the low money price of corn, and of goods in general, or, in other wgrds, the high value of gold and filver, as a proof, not only of the ccarcity of thofe metals, but of the poverty and barbarifm of the country at the time when it took place. This notion is connected with the fyftem of political ceconomy which reprefents national wealth as confifting in the abundance, and national poverty in the Icarcity of gold and filver; a fytem which I fhall endeavour to explain and examine at great length in the fourth book of this enquiry. I chall only obferve at prefent, that the high value of the precious metals can be no proof of the poverty or barbarifin of any particular country at the time when it took place. It is a proof only of the barrennefs of the mines which happened at that time to fupply the commercial world. A poor country, as it cannot afford to buy more, fo it can as little afford to pay dearer for gold and filver than a rich one; and the value of thofe metals, therefore, is not likely to be higher in the former than in the latter. In China, a country much richer than any part of Europe, the value of the precious metals is much higher than in any part of Europe. As the wealth of Europe, indeed, has increafed greatly fince the difoovery of the mines of America, fo the value Qq 2
 their valae;' however; has not been owing to ther increaferiof sthe teal ' wealh of Europes of the annuali produce:ofinits tandennd day tow, abut toot the accidentall diffovery of more abindant minerethan any that were known before. The increafe of the quantity effigold and filver in Europe, and the increafe of its manufactures and agriculture, are two events which, though they have happened nearly about the fame time, yet have arifen from very different, caufes, and have fcarce any natural connection with one another. The one, has arifen from a mere accident, in which neither prudence nor policy either had or could have any chare: The other from the fall of the feudal fyftem, and from the eftablifhment of a government which afforded to induftry, the only encouragement which it requires, fome tolerable fecurity that it Mhall enjoy the fruits of its own labour. Poland, where the feudal fyttem fill continues to take place, is at this day as beggarly a country as it was before the difoovery of America. The money price of com, however, has rifen, the real value of the precious metals has fallen in Poland, in the fame manner as in other parts of Europe. Their quantity, therefore, muft have increafed there as in other places, and nearly in the fame proportion to the annual produce of its land and labour. This increafe of the quantity of thofe metals, howeyer has not, it feems, increafed that annual produce, has neither improved the manufactures and agriculture of the country, nor mended the circumftances of its inhabitants. Spain and Portugat, the countries which poffefs the mines, are, after Poland, perhaps, the two moft beggarly countries in Europe. The value of the precious metals, however, muft be lower in Spain and Portugal than in any other part of Europe; as they come from thofe countries to all other parts of Europe, loaded, not only with a freight and an infurance, but with the expence of fmuggling, their exportation being either prohibited, of fubjected to a duty. In
propertion

${ }^{82}$ As the law value of gold and filver, therefore, is no proof of the wealth and flourifhing ftate of the country where it takes place; po neither is their high value, or the low money price either of gods in general or of corn in particular, any proof of its poverty and barbarifin.

Bur though the tow money price either of goods in generat, or of corn in particular, be no proof of the poverty or barbarifm of the times, the low money price of fome particular forts of goods, fuch as cattle, poultry, game of all kinds, in proportion to that of corri, is a molt decifive one. It clearly demonftrates, firf, their great abundance in proportion to that of corn, and confequently the great extent of the land which they occupied in proportion to what was occupied by corn; and, fecondly, the low value of this land in proportion to that of corn land, and confequently the un${ }^{2}$ cultivated and unimproved fate of the far greater part of the lands of the country. It clearly demonftrates that the fock and population of the country did not bear the fame proportion to the extent of its territory, which they commonly do in civilized countries, and that fociety was at that time, and in that country, but in its. infancy. From the high or low money price either of goods in general, or of corn in particular, we can infer only that the mines which at that time happened to fupply the commerciat world with gold and filver, were fertile or barren, not that the country was rich or poor. But from the high or low money price of fome
forts

Beik forts of goods in proportion to that of others, weremin infer with/a degree of probability that approaches alinof to certainty that it wwer rieh or poor, that the greater part of its lands/wereimproved orunimproved, and that it was cether in a more or tefs babbarcuis ftate, or in a more or lefs civilized one.

Any rife in the money price of goods which proceeded altogether from the degradation of the value of filver, would affect all forts of goods equally, and raife their price univerfally thirds a fourth, or a fifth part higher, according as filver happened to lofe a third, or a fourth, or a fifth part of its former value. But the rife in the price of provifions, which has been the fubject of fo much reafoning and converfation, does not affect all forts of provifions equally. Taking the courfe of the preient century at an average, the price of corn, it is acknowledged, even by thofe who account for this rife by the degradation of the value of filyer, has rifen much lefa than that of fome ather forts of provifions. The rife in the price of thofe other forts of provifions, therefore, cannot be owing altogether to the degradation of the value of filver. Some other caufes muft be taken into the account, and thofe which have been above affigned, will, perhaps, without having recourfe to the fuppofed degradation of the value of filver, fufficientiy explain this rife in thofe paricular forts of proyifions of which the price has actually rifen in proportion to that of corn

As to the price of corn itfelf, it has, during the fixty-four firft years of the prefent century, and before the late extraordinary courfe of bad feafons, been fomewhat lower than it was during the fixtyfour haft years of the preceding century. This fact is attefted, not only by the accounts of Windfor market, but by the publick fiars of all the different counties of Scotland, and by the accounts
of ifferval dififerent markets in France, which ' we been collected CHAP. with great diligence and fidelity by Mr. Melmance and by Mr. $\underbrace{\text { XI. }}$ Duprè de St. Maur. The evidence is more compleat than could weltstrave been expected in a matter which is naturally fo very difficult to be afcertained.

As to the high price of corn during thefe laft ten or twelve years, it can be fufficiently accounted for from the badnefs of the feafons, without fuppofing any degradation in the value of filver.

THE apinion, therefore, that filver is continually finking in its value, feems not to be founded upon any good obfervations, either upon the prices of corn, or upon thofe of other provifions.

THE fame quantity of filver, it may, perkaps, be faid, will in the prefent times, even according to the account which has been here given, purchafe a much fmaller quantity of feveral forts of provfions than it would have done during fome part of the laft century; and to afcertain whether this change be owing to a rife in the value of thofe goods, or to a fall in the value of filver, is only to eitablith a vain and ufelefs diftinction, which can be of no fort of fervice to the man who has only a certain quantity of filver to. go to market with, or a certain fixed revenue in money. I certainly do not pretend that the knowledge of this diftinction will enable him to buy cheaper. It may not, however, upon that: account, be altogether ufelefs.

IT may be of fome ufe to the publick by affording ant eafy proof of the profperous condition of the country. If the rife in the price of fome forts of provifions be owing altogether to a fall in the: value of filver, it is owing to a circumftance from which nothing:

$$
4 \quad \text { can }
$$ real wealth of the country, the anrual produce of its land and labour, may, notwithftanding this circumftance, be either gradually declining, as in Portugal and Poland; or gradanlly advancing. as in molt other parts of Europe. But if this rift in the price of fome forts of provifions be owing to a rife in the real value of the land which produces them, to its increafed fertility, or, in confequence of more extended improvement and good cultivation, to its having been rendered fit for prodacing corn, it is owing to a circumftance which indicates in the cleareft manner the profperous and advancing ftate of the country. The land conftitutes by far the greateft, the moft important, and the moft durable part of the wealth of every extenfive country. It may furely be of fome ufe, or, at leaft, it may give fome fatisfaction to the publick, to have fo decifive a proof of the increaing value of by far the greateft, the moft important, and the moft durable part of its wealth.

It may too be of fome ufe to the publick in regulating the pecuniary reward of fome of its inferior fervants. If this rife in the price of fome forts of provifions be owing to a fall in the value of filver, their pecuniary reward, provided it, was not too large before, ought certainly to be augmented in proportion to the extent of this fall. If it is not augmented, their real recompence will evidently be fo much diminifhed. But if this rife of price is owing to the increafed value, in confequence of the improved fertility of the land which produces fuch provifions, it becomes a much nicer matter to judge either in what proportion any pecuniary reward ought to be augmented, or whether it ought to be augmented at all. The extenfion of improvement and cultivation, as it neceffarily raifes more or lefs, in proportion to the price of corn, that of every fort of animal food, fo it as neceffa-
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Thly lowers that of I believe, every fort of vegetale food. It railics c the prige of animal food s becaufe a great part of the land which producen it being rendered fit for producing corn, muff afford to the landlowd and farmer the rent and profit of corn land. It lowers the price of vegetable food; becaufe by increafing the fertility of the land, it increafes its abundance. The improvements of agriculture too introduce many forts of vegetable food, which, requiring lefs land and not more labour than corn, come much cheaper to market. Such are potatoes and maize, or what is called Indian corn, the two moft important improvements which the agriculture of Europe, perhaps which Europe itfelf has received from the great extenfion of its commerce and navigation. Many forts of vegetable food befides, which in the rude ftate of agriculture are confined to the kitchen garden, and raifed only by the fpade, come in its improved fate to be intraduced into common fields, and to be raifed by the plough: fuch es turnips, carrots, cabbages, \&cc. If in the progrefs of improvement, therefore, the real price of one fpecies of food neceffarily rifes, that of another as neceffarily falls, and it becomes a matter of more nicety to judge how far the rife in the one may be compenfated by the fall in the other. When the real price of butcher's meat has once got to its beight, (which, with regard to Eiliy fort, except perhaps that of hogs fielh, it feems to have done through a great part of England, more than a century ago) any rife which can afterwards happen in that of any other fort of amimal food, cannot much affect the circumftances of the inferior ranks of people. The circumftances of the poor through a great part of England cannot furely be fo much diftreffed by any rife ih the price of poultry, fifh, wild-fow, or venifon, as they muft be relieved by the fall in that of potatoes.

In the prefent feafon of fcarcity the high price of corn no doubt diftreffes the poor. But in times of moderate plenty, when VoL. I. $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{r}$ corn

BOOK corn is at its ordinary or average price, the natural rife in the price of any other fort of rude produce cannot much affect them They fuffer more, perhaps, by the artificiai rife which has been occafioned by taxes in the price of fome manufatured comb modities as of falt, foap, leather, candles, malt, beer and ale, \&sc.

Eficis of the Progteft of Impravement upon the roal Price of: Manufactures.
$\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{T}}$ is the natural effect of improvement, however, to diminif gradually the real price of almiof all manufactures. 1 That of the manufacturing workmanhlip diminifhes perhaps in all of athem without exception. In confequence of better machinery, of greater dexterity, and of a more proper divifion and diftribution of work, ail E § which are the naturai effects of improventent, a innch finaller quantity of tabour becomes requifite for oxecuting any particular piece of work; and though in confequence of the flourihing circumftances of the fociety, the real price of labour should rife very confiderably, yet the great diminution of the quantity will generally mulch more than compenfate the greateft rife which can happen in the price.

There are, indeed, a few manufactures, in which the neceffary rife in the real price of the rude materials will more than compenifite all the advantages which improvement can introdace into the execution of the work. In carpenters and joiners work, and in the coarfer fort of cabinet work, the neceffary rife in the real price of barren timber, in confequence of the improvement of land, will more than compenfate all the advantages which can
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be derived from the beft machinery, the greatoft dexterity, and CHAP. the mioft proper divifion and diftribution of work.

Bor in all cafes in which the real price of the rude materialo either does not rife at all, or does not rife very much, that of the manufactured commodity finks very confiderably.

This diminution of price has, in the courfe of the prefent and preceeding century, been mon remarkable in thofe manus. factures of which the materials are the coarfer metals. A better movement of a watch, than about the middle of the laft century could have been bought for twenty: pounds, may now perhaps: be had for etwenty fhillings. fioln the work, of cuslers $\rangle$ and lockfmiths, in all the toys which are mado of the coarfer metals: and in all thofe goods which are commonly known by the name of Birmingham and Sheffield ware, there has been, during the fame period a very great reduction of price $_{\mathbf{p}}$ though not altogether fo great ${ }^{2}$ as in watch work It has, however, been furficient to aftonith the workmen of every other part of Europe : who in many cafes acknowledge that they can produce no work: of equal goodnefs for double, or even for triple the price. Theror are perhaps no manufactures in which the divifion of labour can, be carried further, or in which the machinery employed admjts, of a greater variety of improvements, than thofe of which the materials are the coarfer metals.
IN the clothing manufacture there has during the fame period of In the clothing manufacture there has, during the fame periode been no fuch fenfible reduction of price. The price of fuperfine cloth, I have been affured, on the contrary, has, within thefe five and twenty or thirty years, rifen fomewhat in proportion to its quality; owing, it was faid, to a confiderable rife in the price of the material, which confifts altogether of Spanifi wool. That

$$
\mathbf{R r}_{2}
$$

OOK) of the Yorkhire clothi, which is made altogether of Englifh wool, is faid indeed, duing the courfe of the presint century go to have fallen a good deal in proportion to its quality. Quality, howevers is fo very difputable a matter, that I look upon all informations of this kind as fomewhat uncertain. In the clothing manufacture, the divifion of tabour is nearly the fame now, as it was a century ago, and the machinery employed is not very different. There mays however, have boen fome fimall hraprovemaents in both, which may have occafioned fome reduction of prise.

The reduction, however, will appear much more fenfible and: undeniable, if we compare the price of this manufactuve in the: prefent times with what it was in a much remoter period, towards. the end of the fifteenth century, when the labour was probably 'much lefs' fubdivided, and the machinery employed much more imperfect than it is at prefent.

In 1487 , being the $4^{\text {th }}$ of Henry VIIth, it was enected, that "4 whofoever fhall fell by retail a broad yard of the fineft fearlet " grained, or of other grained cloth of the fineft making, above " fixteen fhillings, fhall forfeit forty millings for every yard fo "fold." Sixteen chillings, therefore, containing about the fame quantity of filver as four and twenty fhillings of our prefent money, was, at that time, reckoned not an unreafonable price for a yard of the fineft cloth; and as this is a fumptuary law, fuch cloth, it is probable, had ufually been fold fomewhat dearer. A guinea may be reckoned the higheft price in the prefent times. Even though the quality of the cloths, therefore, thould be fuppofed equal, and that of the prefent times is moft probably much fuperior, yet, even upon this fuppofition, the money price of the fineft cloth appears to have been confiderahly reduced fince the end of the fifteenth century. But its real price has been
much
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michi more reduced Slx fhillings and eight-pence was thent CHAP: arid long afterwards, reckoned the average price of 3 a quarter $\underbrace{\text { XI. }}$ of wheat. Sixteen fiflings; therefore, was the price of two quarters and more than three bufhels of wheat. Valuing a 'quarter of wheat in the prefent times at eight and twenty fhillings, the real price of a yard of fine cloth muft, in thofe times, have been equal to at leaft three pounds fix fhillings. and fixpefice of our prefent money. The man who bought it muft have parted with the command of a quantity of labotir and fubfiftence equal to what that fum would purchafe in the prefent times.

THE reduction in the real price of the codre manufacture, though confiderable, has not been fo great as in that of the fine.

In 1463 , being the 3 d of Edward IVth, it was enacted, that " no fervant in hufbandry, nor common labourer, nor fervant is to any artificer inhabiting out of a city or burgh? Thah ufe - or wear in their cloathing any cloth above two flillings the "broad yard." In the 3d of Edward the IVth, two fhillings contained very nearly the fame quantity of filver as four of our prefent money. But the Yorkfhire cloth which is now fold at four fhillings the yard, is probably much fuperior to any that was then made for the wearing of the very pooreft order of common fervants. Even the money price of their cloathing, therefore, may in propgrtion to the quality, be fomewhat cheaper in the prefont than it was in thofe antient times. The real price is certainly a good deal cheaper. Ten pence was then reckoned what is called the moderate and reafonable price of a bufhel of wheat. Two hillings, therefore, was the price of two bufhels and near two pecks of wheat, which in the prefent times, at three frillings and fixpence the buinel, would be worth cight fhillings and nine-

BOOK nine-pence. For a yard of this cloth the poor forvant muft have $\underbrace{\text { I. }}$, parted with the power of purchafing a quantity of fubfiftence equal to what eight fhillings and nine-pence would purchafe in the prefent times. This is a fumptuary law too, reftraining the luxury and extravagance of the poor. Their cloathing therefore, had commonly been much more expenfive.

The fame order of people are, by the fame law, prohibited from wearing hofe, of which the price ftould exceed fourteenpence the pair, equal to about eight and twenty pence of our prefent money. But fourteen-pence was in thofe times the price of a bufhel and near two pecks of wheat; which in the prefent times, at three and fixpence the bufhel, would coft five fhillings and three-pence. We fhould in the prefent times confider this as a very high price for a pair of ftockings to a fervant of the pooreft and loweft order. He muft, however, in thofe times have paid what was really equivalent to this price for them.

Is the time of Edward IVth, the art of knitting fockings was probably not known in any part of Europe. Their hofe were made of cornmon cloth, which may have been one of the caufs of their dearnefs. The firft perfon that wore fockings in England is faid to have Been Queen Elizabeth. "Stie rectived them as a prefent from the Spanifh ambilfador.

BOTH in the coarfe and in the fine woollen manufacture, the mackimery ${ }^{7}$ enployed was much more imperfect in thofe ant ain than it is in the prefent times. It has fince received three yery capital improventents, befides, probably, many fmaller ones of whict it may be difficult to afcertain either the number or the importarce. The three capital improvements are firft, The exchange of the fock and findle for the finning wheel, which, B2um
with the fame quantity of labour, will perform more than double the quantity of work. Secondly, the ufe of feveral very ingenious machines which facilitate and abridge in a fill greater proportion: the winding of the worked and woollen yarn, or the proper: arrangement of the warp and woof before they are put into the loom; an operation which, previous to the invention of thole machines, must have been extreamly tedious and troublefome. Thirdly The employment of the fulling-mill for thickening the cloth $n$ inffead of treading it in water. Neither wind nor water mills of any kind were known in England fo early as the beginning of the fixteenth century, nor, fo far as I know, in any other part of Europe north of the Alps, They had been introduce into Italy forme time before. $\qquad$ d

The confideration of thee circumstances may, perhaps; in forme meafure explain to us why the real price both of the coarfe and of the fine manufacture, was fo much higher in thole antient, than it is in the prefent times. It colt a greater quantity of labour to bring the goods to market. When they were brought thither, therefore, they mut have purchased or exchanged for the price of a greater quantity.
${ }^{2}$ Thing coanfe manufacture probably, was, in thole ancient times, carried on in England, in the fame manner as it always has been in countries where arts and manufactures are in their infancy. It was probably a houfhold manufacture , in $_{8}$ which every different part of the work was occafianally performed by all "the different members of almoft every private family; but fo as to Be their work only when they had nothing ellie to do, and not to be the principal bufines from which any of them derived the greater part of their fubfiftence. The work which is performed in this manner, it has already been observed, comes always A. ${ }_{4}^{4}$ much THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF
BOOK much cheaper to market than that whieh is the principal or fole fund of the workman's fubfittence. The fine manufacture, on the other hand, was not in thofe times carried on in England, hut in the rich and commercial country of Flanders; and it was probably conducted then, in the fame manner as now, by people who derived the whole, or the principal part of their fubfitence from it. It was befides a foreign manufacture, and muft have paid fome duty, the antient cuftom of tunnage and poundage at leaf, to the king. This duty, indead, would not probably be yery great. It was not then the policy of Europe to reftrain, by high duties, the importation of foreign manufactures, but rather to encourage it, in order that merchants might be enabled to fupply, at as eafy a rate as poffible, the great men with the conveniencies and luxuries which they wanted, and which the induftry of their own country could not afford them.

Tús confideration of thefe cincumitances may, perhaps, in fome meafure explain to us why, in there antient times, the real price of the coarfe manufacture was in propersion to that of the finc, fo much lower than in the prefent times.

COMCLUSION of the Chapter.

1SHALL conclude this very long chapterp with obfarving that every improvement in the circumftances of the fociety tends either directly or indirectly to raife the real rent of fand, to increafe the reat wealth of the landiord, his power of purchafing the labour, or the produce of the labour of other people.

Tye extenfion of improvement and cultivation tends to raife it directly. The landlord's thare of the produce neceffarily increafes with the increafe of the produce.
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Tant rife in the real price of thofe parts of the rude produce of land, which is firft the effect of extended improvement and cultivation, and afterwards the caufe of their being ftill further extended, the rife in the price of cattle, for example, tends too to raife the rent of land directly, and in a fill greater proportion. The real value of the landlord's fhare, his real command of the labour of other people, not only rifes with the real value of the produce, but the proportion of his fhare to the whole produce rifes with it. That produce, after the rife in its real price, requires no more labour to collect it than before. A fmaller proportion of it will, therefore, be fufficient to replace, with the ordinary profit, the ftock which employs that labour. A greater proportion of it muft, confequentlj, belong to the landlord.

All thofe improvements in the productive powers of labour, which tend directly to reduce the real price of manufactures, tend indirectly to raife the real rent of land. The landlord exchanges that part of his rude produce, which is over and above his own confumption, or what comes to the fame thing, the price of that part of it, for manufactured produce. Whatever reduces the real price of the latter, raifes that of the former. An equal quantity of the former becomes thereby equivalent to a greater quantity of the latter; and the landlord is enabled to purchafe a greater quantity of the conveniencies, ornaments, or luxuries, which he has occation for.

Every increafe in the real wealth of the fociety, every increafe in the quantity of ufeful labour employed within it, tends indirectly to raife the real rent of land. A certain proportion of this labour naturally goes to the land. A greater number of men and cattle are employed in its cultivation, the produce increafes with the increafe of the ftock which is thus employed in raifing it, and the rent increafes with the produce.
Vol. I. S f $\quad$ The

LuciTHEcontrary circumiftances, therneglect of cultivation and improvement, the fall in the real price of any part of the rude produce of land, the rife in the real price of manufactures from the decay of manufacturing art and induftry, the declenfion of the real wealth of the fociety, all tend, on the other hand, to lower the real rent of land, to reduce the real wealth of the landlord, to diminifh his power of purchafing either the labour, or the produce of the labous of other people.

The whole annual produce of the land and labour of every country, or what comes to the fame thing, the whole price of that annual prod'ıce, naturally divides itfelf, it has already been obferved, into three parts; the rent of land, the wages of labour, and the profits of ftock; and conftitutes a revenue to three different orders of people; to thofe who live by rent, to thofe who live by wages. and to thofe who live by profit. Thefe are the three great original and conftituent orders of every civilized fociety, from whofe revenue that of every other order is ultimately derived.

The intereft of the firft of thofe three great orders, it appears from what has been juft now faid, is ftrictly and infeparably connected with the general intereft of the fociety. Whatever either promotes or obftructs the one, neceffarily promotes orobftructs the other. When the publick deliberates concerning any regulation of commerce or police, the proprietors of land never can millead it, with a view to promote the intereft of their own particular order; at leaft, if they have any tolerable knowledge of that intereft. They are, indeed, too often defective in this tolerable knowledge. They are the only, one of the three orders whofe revenue cofts them neither labour nor care, but comes to them, as it were, of its own accord, and independent of any plan or project of their own. That indolence which is the natural effect of the eafe and fecurity of their fituation, renders them too

7
often,
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often, not only ignorant; but incapable of that application of mind which is neceffary in order to forefee and underftand the confequences of any publick reguiation.

The intereft of the fecond order, that of thofe who live by wages, is as ftrictly connected with the intereft of the fociety as that of the firft. The wages of the labourer, it has already been fhewn, are never fo high as when the demand for labour is continually rifing, or when the quantity employed is every year increafing confiderably. When this real wealth of the fociety becomes ftationary, his wages are foon reduced to what is barely enough to enable him to bring up a family, or to continue the race of labourers. When the fociety declines, they fall even below this. The order of proprietors may, perhaps, gain more by the profperity of the fociety, than that of labourers : but there is no order that fuffers fo cruelly from its decline. But though the intereft of the labourer is ftrictly connected with that of the fociety, he is incapable either of comprehending that intereft, or of underftanding its connection with his own. His condition leaves him no time to receive the neceffary information, and his education and habits are commonly fuch as to render him unfit to judge even though he was fully informed. In the publick deliberations, therefore, his voice is little heard and lefs regarded, except upon fome particular occafions, when his clamour is animated; fet on, and fupported by his employers, not for his, but their own particular purpofes.

His employers conftitute the third order, that of thofe who live by profit. It is the fock that is employed for the fake of profit, which puts into motion the greater part of the ufeful labour of every fociety. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The plans and projects of the employers of ftock regulate and direct all the moft important operations of labour, and
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 be itg jeyysofor sheir own benefit, an abfitrde taxe uponithe refb of their fettow citi"" 78. The propofal of any new law or regulation of commerce which comes from this order, ought always to be liftened to with great precaution, and ought never to be adopted till after having been long and carefully examined, not only with the moft Icrupulous, but with the moft fufpicious attention. It comes from an order of men, whofe intereft is never exactly the fame with that of the publick, who have generally an intereft to deceive and even to opprefs the publick, and who accordingly have, upon many occafions, both deceived and oppreffed it.
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Prices of the quarter of nine Bufbels of the beft or bigbefl priced Wheat at Windjor Market, on Lady-day and Micbaelmas, from 1595 to 1764, botb inclufive; the Price of each rear being the medium between the bigbeft Prices of thofe Two Market Days.







perfon who employs his ftock in maintaining labour, neceflarily Introdustion. wifhes to employ it in fuch a manner as to produce as great a quantity of work as poffible. He endeavours, therefore, both to make among his workmen the moft proper diffribution of employment, and to furnifh them with the beft machines which he can either invent or afford to purchafe. His abilities in both thefe refpects are generally in proportion to the extent of his ftock, or to the number of people whom it can employ. The quantity of induftry, therefore, not only increafes in every country with the increafe of the ftock which employs it, but, in confequence of that increafe, the fame quantity of induftry produces a much greater quantity of work.

Suck are in general the effects of the increafe of ftock upon induftry and its productive powers.

In the following k I have endeavoured to explain the nature of ftock, the effects of its accumulation into capitals of different kinds, and the effects of the different employments of thofe capitals. This book is divided into five chapters. In the firft chapter, I have endeavoured to fhow what are the different parts or branches into which the ftock, either of an individual, or of a great fociety, naturally divides itfelf. In the fecond, I have endeavoured to explain the nature and operation of money confidered as a particuJar branch of the general fock of the fociety. The ftock which is accumulated into a capital, may eithet be employed by the perfon to whom it belongs, or it may be lent to fome other perfon. In the third and fourth chapters, I have endeavoured to examine the manner in which it operates in both thefe fituations. The fifth and laft chapter treats of the different effects which the different employments of capital immediately produce upon the quantity both of national induftry, and of the annual produce of land and labour.
Voz. I.
U u


There are two different ways in which a capital may be employed fo as to yield a revenue or profit to its employer.
tore than w weeks, mfumes it 0 acquire med altois labour ring poor
him for enue from immediate is to come two parts. te is called diate conion of his pofe; or, as it grapurchafed et entirely : and the onfifts the :diate con-

First, it may be employed in raifing, manufacturing, or purchafing goods, and felling them again with a profit. The capital employed in this manner yields no revenue or profit to its employer, while it either remains in his poffeffion or continues in the fame fhape. The goods of the merchant yield him no revenue or profit till he fells them for money, and the money yields him as little till it is again exchanged for goods. His capital is continually going from him in one fhape, and returning to him in another, and it is only by means of fuch circulation or fucceffive exchanges that it can yield him any profit. Such capitals, therefore, may very properly be called circulating capitals.

Secondiy, it may be employed in the improvement of land, in the purchafe of ufeful machines and inftruments of trade, or in fuch-like things as yield a revenue or profit without changing mafters or circulating any further. Such capitals, therefore, may very properly be called fixed capitals.

Different occupations require very difte:ent proportions between the fixed and circulating capitals employed in them.

The capital of a merchant, for example, is altogether a circulating capital. He has occafion for no machines or inftruments of trade, unlefs his fhop or warehoufe be confidered as fuch.

Some part of the capital of every mafter artificer or manufacturer muft be fixed in the inftruments of his trade. This part, however, is very fmall in fome, and very great in others. A mafter

$$
\mathrm{U} \mathrm{u}_{2} \quad \text { taylor }
$$

There

B OOK taylor requires no other inftruments of trade but a parcel of needles.
$\mathrm{II}^{\text {II. }}$ Thofe of the mafter fhoemaker are a little, though but a very little, more expenfive. Thofe of the weaver rife a good deal above thofe of the fhoemaker. The far greater part of the capital of all fuch mafter artificers, however, is circulated either in the wages c their workmen, or in the price of their materials, and repaid with a profit by the price of the work.

In other works a much greater fixed capital is required. In a great iron-work, for example, the furnace for melting the ore, the forge, the flitt-mill, are inftruments of trade which cannot be erected without a very great expence. In coal-works and mines of every kind, the machinery neceffary both for drawing out the water and for other purpofes, is frequently fill more expenfive.

That part of the capital of the farmer which is employed in the inftruments of agriculture is a fixed; that which is employed in the wages and maintenance of his labouring fervants, is a circulating capital. He makes a profit of the one by keeping it in his own poffeffion, and of the other by parting with it. The price or value of his labouring cattle is a fixed capital in the fame manner as that of the inftruments of hubbandry: Their maintenance is a circulating capital in the fame manner as that of the labouring fervants. The farmer makes his profit by keeping the labouring cattle, and by parting with their maintenance. Both the price and the maintenance of the cattle which are bought in and fattened, not for labour, but for fale, are a circulating capital. The farmer makes his profit by parting with them. A flock of fheep or a herd of cattle that, in a breeding country, is bought in, neither for labour nor for fale, but in order to make a profit by their wool, by their milk, and by their increafe, is a fixed capital. The profit is made by keeping them. Their maintenance is a circulating capital.
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capital. The profit is made by parting with it; and it comes CHAP. back with both its own profit, and the profit upon the whole price of the cattle, in the price of the wool, the milk, and the increafe. The whole value of the feed too is properly a fixed capital. Tho: it goes backwards and forwards between the ground and the granary, it never changes mafters, and therefore does not properly circulate. The farmer makes his profit, not by its fale, but by its increafe.

The general fock of any country or fociety is the fame with that of all its inhabitants or members, and therefore naturally divides itfelf into the fame three portions, each of which has a diftinct function or office.

The Firft, is that portion which is referved for immediate confumption, and of which the characteriftick is, that it affords no revenue or profit. It confifts in the fock of food, cloaths, houfhold furniture, \&cc. which have been purchafed by their proper confumers, but which are not yet entirely confumed. The whole ftock of mere dwelling houfes too fubfifting at any one time in the country, make a part of this firft portion. The fock that is laid out in a houfe, if it is to be the dwelling houfe of the proprictor, ceafes from that moment to ferve in the function of a capital, or to afford any revenue to its owner. A dwelling houfe, as fuch, contributes nothing to the revenue of its inhabitant; and though it is, no doubt, extremely ufeful to him, it is as his cloaths and houfho'd furniture are ufeful to him, which, however, make a part of his expence, and not of his revenue. If it is to be lett to a tenant for rent, as the houfe itfelf can produce nothing, the tenant muft always pay the rent out of fome other revenue which he derives either from labour, or ftock, or land. Though a houfe, therefore, may yield a revenue to its proprietor, and thereby ferve' in the function of a capital to him, it cannot yield any to the publick,
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${ }^{B O O K}$ publick, nor ferve in the function of a capital to it, and the revenue $\underbrace{\text { II. }}$ of the whole body of the people can never be in the fmalleft degree increafed by it. Cloaths, and houfhold furniture, in the fame manner, fometimes yield a revenue, and thereby ferve in the function of a capital to particular perfons. In countries where mafquerades are common, it is a trade to lett out mafquerade dreffes for a night. Upholfterers frequently lett furniture by the month or by the year. Undertakers lett the furniture of funerals by the day and by the week. Many people lett furnifhed houfes, and get a rent, not only for the ufe of the houfe, but for that of the furniture. The revenue, however, which is derived from fuch things, muft always be ultimately drawn from fome other fource of revenue. Of all parts of the ftock, either of an individual, or of a fociety, referved for immediate confumption, what is laid out in houfes is moft flowly confumed. A ftock of cloaths may laft feveral years: a ftock of furniture half a century or a century : but a ftock of houfes, well built and properly taken care of, may laft many centuries. Though the period of their total confumption, however, is more diftant, they are ftill as really a ftock referved for immediate confumption as either cloaths, or houfhold furniture.

The Second of the three portions into which the general ftock of the fociety divides itfelf, is the fixed capital; of which the characteriftick is, that it affords a revenue or profit without circulating or changing mafters. It confifts chiefly of the four following articles:

First, of all ufeful machines and inftruments of trade which facilitate and abridge labour :

Secondiy, of all thofe profitable builaings which are the means of procuring a revenue, not only to their proprietor who letts
letts them for a rent, but to the perfon who poffeffes them and CHAP. pays that rent for them; fuch as fhops, warehoufes, workhoufes, $\underbrace{1 .}$ farmhoufes, with all their neceffary buildings, ftables, granaries, \&cc. Thefe are very different from mere dwelling houfes. They are a fort of inftruments of trade, and may be confidered in the fame light:

Thirdly, of the improvements of land, of what has been profitably laid out in clearing, draining, enclofing, manuring, and reducing it into the condition moft proper for tillage and culture. An improved farm may very juftly be regarded in the fame light as thofe ufeful machines which facilitate and abridge labour, and by means of which, an equal circuiating capital can afford a much greater revenue to its employer. An improved isrm is equally advantageous and more durable than any of thofe machines, frequently requiring no other repairs than the moft profitable application of the farmer's capital employed in cultivating it :

Fourthiy, of the acquired and ufeful abilities of all the inhabitants or members of the liociety. The acquifition of fuch talents, by the maintenance of the acquirer during his education, ftudy, or apprenticefhip, always cofts a real expence, which is a capitalfixed and realized, as it were, in his perfon. Thofe talents, as they make a part of his fortune, fo do they likewife of that of the fociety to which he belongs. The improved dexterity of a workman may be confidered in the fame light as a machine or inftrument of trade which facilitates and abridges labour, and which, though it cofts a certain expence, repays that expence with a profit.

The Third and laft of the three portions into which the general ftock of the fociety naturally divides itfelf, is the circulating capital;

BOOK of which the characteriftick is, that it affords a revenue only by circulating or changing mafters. It is compofed likewife of four parts :
wor

First, of the money by means of which all the other three are circulated and diftributed to their proper ufers and confumers :

Secondiy, of the ftock of provifions which are in the poffeffion of the butcher, the grazier, the farmer, the corn-merchant, the brewer, \&c. and from the fale of which they expect to derive a profit:

Thirdiy, of the materials, whether altogether rude, or more or lefs manufactured, of cloaths, furniture, and building, which are not yet made up into any of thofe three fhapes, but which remain in the hands of the growers, the manufacturers, the mercers and drapers, the timber-merchants, the carpenters and joiners, the brickmakers, \&cc.

Fourthly, and laftly, of the work which is made up and compleated, but which is ftill in the hands of the merchant or manufacturer, and not yet difpofed of or diftributed to the proper ufers and confumers; fuch as the finifhed work which we frequently find ready made in the fhops of the fmith, the cabinetmaker, the goldfmith, the jeweller, the china-merchant, \&ec. The circulating capital confifts, in this manner, of the provifions, materials, and finifhed work of all kinds that are in the hands of their refpective dealers, and of the money that is neceffary for circulating and diftributing them to thofe who are finally to ufe or to confume them.

Of

## THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{F}}$ thefe four parts three, provifions, materials, and finifhed work, are, either annually, or in a longer or fhorter period, regularly withdrawn from it, and placed either in the fixed capital or in the ftock referved for immediate confumption.

Every fixed capital is both originally derived from, and requires to be continually fupported by a circulating capital. All ufeful machines and inftruments of trade are originally derived from a circulating capital, which furnifhes the materials of which they are made, and the maintenance of the workmen who make them. They require too a capital of the fame kind to keep them in conftant repair.

No fixed capital can yield any revenue but by means of a circulating capital. The moft ufeful machines and inftruments of trade will produce nothing without the circulating capital which affords the materials they are employed upon, and the maintenance of the workmen who employ them. Land, however improved, will yield no revenue without a circulating capital, which maintains the labourers who cultivate and collect its produce.

To maintain and augment the fock which may be referved for immediate confumption, is the fole end and purpofe both of the fixed and circulating capitals. It is this fock which feeds, cloaths, and lodges the people. Their riches or poverty depends upon the abundant or fparing fupplies which thofe two capitals can afford to the ftock referved for immediate confumption.

So great a part of the circulating capital being continually withdrawn from it in order to be placed in the other two branches of the general Itock of the fociety, it muft in its turn require continual

[^5]BOOK fupplies, without which it would foon ceafe to exift. Thefe fupplies are principally drawn from three fources, the produce of land, of mines, and of fifheries. Thefe afford continual fupplies of provifions and materials, of which part is afterwards wrought up into finifhed work, and by which are replaced the provifions, materials, and finifhed work continually withdrawn from the circulating capital. From mines too is drawn what is neceffary for maintaining and augmenting that part of it which confifts in money. For though, in the ordinary courfe of bufinefs, this part is not, like the other three, neceffarily withdrawn from it, in order to be placed in the other two branches of the general ftock of the fociety, it muft, however, like all other things, be wafted and worn out at laft, and fometimes too be either loft or fent abroad, and muft, therefore, require continual, though, no doubt, much fmaller fupplies.

Land, mines, and fifheries, require all both a fixed and a circulating capital to cultivate them; and their produce replaces with a profit, not only thofe capitals, but all the others in the fociety. Thus the farmer annually replaces to the manufacturer the provifions which he had confumed and the materials which he had wrought up the year before; and the manufacturer replaces to the farmer the finifhed work which he had wafted and worn out in the fame time. This is the real exchange that is annually made between thofe two orders of people, though it feldom happens that the rude produce of the one and the manufactured produce of the other, are directly bartered for one another; becaufe it feldom happens that the farmer fells his corn and his cattle, his flax and his wool, to the very fame perfon of whom he chufes to purchafe the cloaths, furniture, and inftruments of trade which he wants. He fells, therefore, his rude produce for money, with which he can purchafe; wherever it is to be had, the manufactured produce he has occafion
for.
fifhe
whic of $t h$ bow
for. Land even replaces, in part at leaft, the capitals with which $\mathrm{CHAP}_{\text {I. }}$
fifheries and mines are cultivated. It is the produce of land which draws the filh from the waters; and it is the produce of the furface of the earth which extracts the minerals from its bowels.

The produce of land, mines, and fifheries, when their natural fertility is equal, is in proportion to the extent and proper application of the capitals employed about them. When the capitals are equal and equally well applied, it is in proportion to their natural fertility.

In all countries where there is tolerable fecurity, every man of common undertanding will endeavour to employ whatever fock he can command in procuring either prefent enjoyment or future profit. If it is employed in procuring prefent enjoyment, it is a ftock referved for immediate confumption. If it is employed in procuring future profit, it muft procure this profit either by ftaying with him, or by going from him. In the one cafe it is a fixed, in the other it is a circulating capital. A man muft be perfectly crazy who, where there is tolerable fecurity, does not employ all the fock which he commands, whether it be his own or borrowed of other people, in fome one or other of thofe three ways.

In thofe unfortunate countries, indeed, where men are continually afraid of the violence of their fuperiors, they frequently bury and conceal a great part of their ftock, in order to have it always at hand to carry with thern to fome place of fafety in cafe of their being threatened with any of thofe difafters to which they confider themfelves as at all times expofed. This is faid to be a common practice in Turky, in Indoftan, and, I believe, in moft other governments $\mathrm{X} \times 2$
of
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B OOK of Afia. It feems to have been a common practice among our anceftors during the violence of the feudal government. Treafure-trove was in thofe times confidered as no contemptible part of the revenue of the greateft fovereigns in Europe. It confifted in fuch treafurs as was found concealed in the earth, and to which no particuli r perfon could prove any right. This was regarded in thofe times as fo important an objeit, that it was always confidered as belonging to the fovereign, and neither to the inder nor to the proprietor of the land, unlefs the right to it had been convey $\mathbf{d}$ to the latter by an exprefs claufe in his charter. It was put upon the fame footing with gold and filver mines, which, without a fecial claufe in the charter, were never fuppofed to be comprehended in the general grant of the lands, though mines of lead, copper, tin, and coal were, as things of fmaller confequence.

## C H A P. II.

Of Money confidered as a particular Brancb of the general Stock of the Society, or of the Expence of maintaining the National Capitai.

$I^{T}$T has been fhewn in the firft book, that the price of the greater part of commodities refolves itfelf into three parte, of which one pays the wages of the labour, another the profits of the ftock, and a third the rent of the land which had been employed in producing and bringing them to market: that there are, indeed, fome commodities of which the price is made up of two of thofe parts only, the wages of labour, and the profits of ftock: and a very few in which it confifts altogether in one, the wages of labour : but that the price of every commodity neceffarily refolves itfelf into fome one or other or all of thefe three parts; every part of it which goes neither to rent nor to wages, being neceffarily profit to fome-

Since this is the cafe, it has been obferved, with regard to every particular commodity, taken feparately; it muft be fo with regard to all the commodities which compofe the whole annual produce of the land and labour of every country, taken complexly. The whole price or exchangeable value of that annual produce, muft refolve itfelf into the fame three parts, and be parcelled out
among the different inhabitants of the country, either as the muft refolve itfelf into the fame three parts, and be parcelled out
among the different inhabitants of the country, either as the wages of their labour, the profits of their fock, or the rent of their land.

THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF
But though the whole value of the annual produce of the land and labour of every country, is thus divided among and conftitutes a revenue to its different inhabitants, yet as in the rent of a private eftate we diftinguifh between the grofs rent and the neat rent, fo may we likewife in the revenue of all the inhabitants of a great country.

THE grofs rent of a private eftate comprehends whatever is paid by the farmer: the neat rent, what remains free to the landlord, after deducting the expence of management, of repairs, and all other neceffary charges; or what, without hurting his eftaie, he can afford to place in his fiock referved for immediate confurnption, or to fpend upon his table, equipage, the ornaments of his houfe and furniture, his private enjoyments and amufements. His real wealth is in proportion, not to his grofs, but to his neat rent.

The grofs revenue of all the inhabitants of a great country, comprehends the whole annual produce of their land and labour : the neat revenue, what remains free to them after deducting the expence of maintaining; firft, their fixed; and; fecondly, their circulating capital; or what, without encroaching upon their capital, they can place in their ftock referved for immediate confumption, or fpend upon their fubfiftence, conveniencies and amufements. Their real wealth too is in proportion, not to their grols, but to their neat revenue.

THE whole expence of naintaining the fixed capital, muft evidently be excluded from the neat revenue of the fociety. Neither the materials neceffary for fupporting their uteful machines and inftruments of trade, their profitable buildings, \&c. nor the produce of the labour neceffary for fafhioning thofe materials into the proper form, can ever make any part of it. The price of that labour may, indeed, make a part of it; as the workmen fo employed
employed may place the whole value of their wages in their ftock referved for immediate confumption. But in other forts of labour, both the price and the produce go to this ftock, the price to that of the workmen, the produce to that of other people, whofe fubfiftence, conveniencies, and amufements, are augmented by the labour of thofe workmen.

The intention of the fixed capital is to increafe the productive powers of labour, or to enable the fame number of labourers to perform a much greater quantity of work. In a farm where all the neceffary buildings, fences, drains, communications, \&c. are in the moft perfect good order, the fame number of labourers and labouring cattle will raife a much greater produce, than in one of equal extent and equally good ground, but not furnifhed with equal conveniencies. In manufactures the fame number of hands affifted with the beft machinery, will work up a much greater quantity of goods than with more imperfect inftruments of trade. The expence which is properly laid out upon a fixed capital of any kind, is always repaid with great profit, and increafes the annual produce by a much.greater value than that of the fupport which fuch improvements require. This fupport, however, ftill requires a certain portion of that produce. A certain quantity of materials, and the labour of a certain number of workmen, both of which might have been immediately employed to augment the food, cloathing, and lodging, the fubfiftence and conveniencies of the fociety, are thus diverted to another employment, highly advantageous indeed, but ftill different from this one. It is upon this account that all fuch improvements in mechanicks, as enable the fame number of workmen to perform an equal quantity of work, with cheaper and fimpler machinery than had been ufual before, are always regarded as advantageous to every fociety. A certain quantity of materials, and the labour of a certain number

BOOK of workmen, which had before been employed in fupporting a II. more complex and expenfive machinery, can afterwards be applied to augment the quantity of work which that or any other machinery is ufeful only for performing. The undertaker of fome great manufactory who employs a thoufand a-year in the maintenance of his machinery, if he can reduce this expence to five hundred, will naturally employ the other five hundred in purchafing an additional quantity of materials to be wrought up by an additional number of workmen. The quantity of that work, therefore, which his machinery was ufeful only for performing, will naturally be augmented, and with it all the advantage and conveniency which the fociety can derive from that work.

THE expence of maintaining the fixed capital in a great country, may very properly be compared to that of repairs in a private eftate. The expence of repairs may frequently be neceffary for fupporting the produce of the eftate, and confequently both the grofs and the neat rent of the landlord. When by a more proper direction, however, it can bediminifhed without occafioning any diminution of produce, the grofs rent remains at leaft the fame as before, and the neat rent is neceffarily augmented.

Bur though the whole expence of maintaining the fixed capital is thus neceffarily excluded from the neat revenue of the fociety, it is not the fame cafe with that of maintaining the circulating capital. Of the four parts of which this latter capital is compofed, money, provifions, materials, and finifhed work, the three laft, it has already been obferved, are regularly withdrawn from it, and placed either in the fixed capital of the fociety, or in their ftock referved for immediate confumption. Whatever portion of thofe confumable goods is not employed in maintaining the former, goes all to the latter, and makes a part of the neat revenue of the fociety.
fociety. The maintenance of thofe three parts of the circulating eapital, therefore, withdraws no portion of the annual produce from the neat revenue of the fociety, befides what is neceffary for maintaining the fixed capital.

The circulating capital of a fociety is in this refpect different from that of an individual. That of an individual is totally excluded from making any part of his neat revenue, which muft confift altogether in his profits. But though the circulating capital of every individual, makes a part of that of the fociety to which. he belongs, it is not upon that account totally excluded from making a part likewife of their neat revenue. Though the whole goods in a merchant's fhop muft by no means be placed in his own ftock referved for immediate confumption, they may in that of other people, who from a revenue derived from other funds, may regularly replace their value to him together with its profits, without occafioning any diminution either of his capital or of their's.

Money, therefore, is the only part of the circulating capital. of a fociety of which the maintenance can occafion any diminution in their neat revenue.

The fixed capital, and that part of the circulating capital which confifts in money, fo far as they affect the revenue of the fociety, bear a very great refemblance to one another.

First, as thofe machines and inftruments of trade, \&cc. require a certain expence firft to erect them and afterwards to fupport them, both which expences, though they make a pait of the grofs, are deductions from the neat revenue of the fociety; fo the fock of money. which circulates in any country muft require a certain. Vol. I. Yy expence,
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BOOK expence, firft to collect it, and afterwards to fupport it, both which $\mathrm{II}^{\text {II. }}$ expences, though they make a part of the grofs, are, in the fame manner, deductions from the neat revenue of the fociety. A certain quantity of very valuable materials, gold and filver, and of very curious labour, inftead of augmenting the ftock referved for immediate confumption, the fubfiftence, conveniencies, and amufements of individuals, is employed in fupporting that great but expenfive inftrument of commerce, by means of which every individual in the fociety has his fubfiftence, conveniencies, and amufements, regularly diffributed to him in their proper proportions.

Secondly, as the machines and inftruments of trade, \&ec. which compofe the fixed capital either of an individual or of a fociety, make no part either of the grofs or of the neat revenue of either; fo money, by means of which the whole revenue of the fociety is regularly diftributed among all its different members, makes itfelf no part of that revenue. The great wheel of circulation is altogether different from the goods which are circulated by means of it. The revenue of the fociety confifts altogether in thofe goods, and not in the wheel which circulates them. In computing either the grofs or the neat revenue of any fociety, we muft always, from their whole annual circulation of money and goods, deduct the whole value of the money, of which not a fingle farthing can ever make any part of either.

Ir is the ambiguity of language only which can make this propofition appear either doubtful or paradoxical. When properly explained and underfood, it is almoft felf-evident.

When we talk of any particular fum of money, we fometimes mean nothing but the metal pieces of which it is compofed; and fometimes we include in our meaning fome obfcure reference to
the goods which can be had in exchange for it, or to the power of purchafing which the poffeffion of it conveys. Thus when we fay, that the circulating money of England has been computed at eighteen millions, we mean only to exprefs the amount of the metal pieces, which fome writers have computed or rather have suppofed to circulate in that country. But when we fay that a man is worth fifty or a hundred pounds a-year, we mean commonly to exprefs not only the amount of the metal pieces which are annually paid to him, but the value of the goods which he can annually purchafe or confume. We mean commonly to afcertain what is or ought to be his way of living, or the quantity and quality of the nereffaries and conveniencies of life in which he can with propriety indulge himfelf.

When, by any particular fum of money, we mean not only to exprefs the amount of the metal pieces of which it is compofed, but to include in its fignification fome obfcure reference to the goods which can be had in exchange for them, the wealth or revenue which it in this cafe denotes, is equal only to one of the two values which are thus intimated fomewhat ambiguoully by the fame word, and to the latter more properly than to the former, to the money's-worth more properly than to the money.

Thus if a guinea be the weekly penfion of a particular perfon, he can in the courfe of the week purchafe with it a certain quantity of fubfiftence, conveniencies, and amufements. In proportion as this quantity is great or finall, fo are his real riches, his real weekly revenue. His weekly revenue is certainly not equal both to the guinea, and to what can be purchafed with it, but only to one or other of thofe two equal values; and to the latter more properly than to the former, to the guinea's-worth rather than to theguinea.

Y $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ Is

BOOK IF the penfion of fuch a perfon was paid to him, not in gold, $\underbrace{11}$ but in a weekly bill for a guinea, his revenue furcly would not fo properly confift in the piece of paper, as in what he could get for it. A guinea may be confidered as a bill for a certain quantity of neceffaries and conveniencies upon all the tradefmen in the neighbourhood. The revenue of the perfon to whom it is paid, does not fo properly conlift in the piece of gold, as in what he can get for it, or in what he can exchange it for. If it could be exchanged for nothing, it would, like a bill upon a bankrupt, be of no more value than the molt ufelefs piece of paper.

Thover the weekly, or yearly revenue of all the different inhabitants of any country, in the fame manner, may be, and in reality frequently is paid to them in money, their real riches, however, the real weekly or yearly revenue of all of them taken together, muft always be great or fmall in proportion to the quantity of confumable goods which they can all of them purchafe with this money. The whole revenue of all of them taken together is evidently not equal to both the money and the confumable goods; but only to one or other of thofe two values, and to the latter more properly than to the former.

Though we frequently, therefore, exprefs a perfon's revenue by the metal pieces which are annually paid to him, it is becaufe the amount of thofe pieces regulates the extent of his power of purchafing, or the value of the goods which he can annually afford to confume. We ftill confider his revenue as confifiting in this power of purchafing or confuming, and not in the pieces which convey it.

But if this is fufficiently evident even with regard to an individual, it is ftill more fo with regard to a fociety. The amoment of
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the metal pieces which are annually paid to an individual, is often precifely equal to his revenue, and is upon that account the fhorteft and beft expreffion of its value. But the amount of the metal pieces which circulate in a fociety, can never be equal to the revenue of all its members. As the fame guinea which pays the weekly penfion of one man to-day, may pay that of another tomorrow, and that of a third the day thereafter, the amount of the metal pieces which annually circulate in any country, muft always be of much lefs value than the whole money penfions annually paid with them. But the power of purchafing, the goods which can fucceffively be bought with the whole of thofe money penfions as they are fucceffively paid, muft aliways be precifely of the fame value with thofe penfions; as muft likewife be the revenue of the different perfons to whom they are paid. That revenue, therefore, camat confift in thofe metal pieces, of which the amount is fo much inferior to its value, but in the power of purchafing, in the goods which can fucceffively be bought with them as they circulate from hand to hand.

Money, therefore, the great wheel of circulation, the great inftrument of commerce, like all other inftruments of trade, though it makes a part and a very valuable part of the capital, makes no part of the revenue of the fociety to which it belongs; and though the metal pieces of which it is compofed, in the courfe of their annual circulation, diftribute to every man the revenue which properly belongs to him, they make themfelves no part of that revenue.

Thirdly, and laftly, the machines and inftruments of trade, \&sc. which compofe the fixed capital, bear this further refemblance to that part of the circulating capital which confifts in money; that as every faving in the expence of erecting and fupporting thofe machines,

B O OK machines, which does not diminifh the productive powers of labour, II. is an improvement of the neat revenue of the fociety; fo every faving in the expence of collecting and fupporting that part of the circulating capital which confifts in money, is an improvement of: exactly the fame kind.

Ir is fufficiently obvious, and it has partly too been explained already, in what manner every faving in the expence of fupporting the fixed capital is an improvement of the neat revenue of the fociety. The whole capital of the undertaker of every work is neceffarily divided between his fixed and his circulating capital. While his. whole capital remains the fame, the fmaller the one part, the greater muft neceffarily be the other. It is the circulating capital which furnifhes the materials and wages of labour, aad puts induftry into motion. Every faving, therefore, in the expence of maintaining the fixed capital, which does not diminifh the productive powers of labour, muft increafe the fund which puts induftry into motion, and confequently the annual produce of land and labour, the real revenue of every fociety.

The fubftitution of paper in the room of gold and filver money, replaces a very expenfive inftrument of commerce with one much lefs coftly, and fometimes equally convenient. Circulation comes to be carried on by a new wheel, which it cofts lefs both to erect and tomaintain than the old one. But in what mamner this operation is performed, and in what manner it tends to increafe either the grofs or the neat revenue of the fociety, is not altogether fo obvious, and may therefore require fome further explication.

There are feveral different forts of paper money; but the circulating notes of banks and bankers are the fpecies which is beft known, and which feems beft adapted for this purpofe.

When the people of any particular country have fuch confidence in the fortune, probity, and prudence of a particular banker, as to beljeve that he is always ready to pay upon demand fuch of his promiffary notes as are likely to be at any time prefented to him ; thofe notes come to have the fame currency as gold and filver money, from the confidence that fuch money can at any time be had for them.

A particular banker lends among his cuftomers his own promiffary notes, to the extent, we fhall fuppofe, of a hundred thourand pounds. As thofe notes ferve all the purpofes of money, has debtors pay him the fame intereft as if he had lent them fo much money. This intereft is the fource of his gain. Though fome of thofe notes are continually coming back upon him for payment, part of them continue to circulate for months and years together. Though he has generally in circulation, therefore, notes to the extent of a hundred thoufand pounds, twenty thoufand pounds in gold and filver may, frequently, be a fufficient provifion for anfiwering occafional demands. By this operation, therefore, twenty thousand pounds in gold and filver perform all the functions which a hundred thoufand could otherwife have performed. The fame exchanges may be made, the fame quantity of confumable goods may be circulated and diftributed to their proper confumers, by means of his promiffary notes, to the value of a hundred thoufand pounds, as by an equal value of gold and filver money. Eighty thoufand pounds of gold and filver, therefore, can, in this manner, be fpared from the circulation of the country; and if different operations of the fame kind, fhould, at the fame time, be carried on by many different banks and bankers, the whole circulation may thus be conducted with a fifth part only of the gold and filver which would otherwife have been requifite.

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

Let us fuppofe, for example, that the whole circulating moneys of fome particular country amounted, at a particular time, to one million fterling, that fum being then fufficient for circulating the whole annual produce of their land and labour. Let us fuppofe too, that fome time thereafter, different banks and bankers iffued. promiffary notes, payable to the bearer, to the extent of one million, referving in their different coffers two hundred- thoufand pounds for anfwering occafional demands. There would remain, therefore; in circulation, eight hundred thoufand pounds in gold and filver, and a million of bank notes, or, eighteen hundred thoufand pounds of paper and money together. But the annual produce of the land and labour of the country had before required only one million to circulate and diftribute it to its proper confumers, and that annual produce cannot be immediately augmented by thofe operations of banking. One million, therefore, will be fufficient to circulate it after them. The goods to be bought and fold being precifely the fame as before; the fame quantity of money will be fufficient for buying and felling them. The channel of circulation, if I may be allowed fuch an expreffion, will remain precifely the fame as before. One million we have fuppofed fufficient to fill that channel. Whatever, therefore, is poured into it beyond this fum, cannot run in it, but muft overflow. One million eight hundred thoufand pounds are poured into it. Eight hundred thoufand pounds, therefore, muft overflow, that fum being over and above what can be employed in the circulation of the country. But though this fum cannot be employed at home, it is too valuable to be allowed to lie idle. It will, therefore, be fent abroad, in order to feek that profitable employment which it cannot find at home. But the paper cannot go abroad; becaufe at a diftance from the banks which iffue it, and from the country in which payment of it can be exacted by law, it will not be received in common payments. Gold and filver, therefore, to the amount
amount of eight hundred thoufand pounds will be fent abroad, and the channel of home circulation will remain filled with a million of paper, inftead of the million of thofe metals which filled it before.

Bur though fo great a quantity of gold and filver is thus fent abroad, we muft not imagine that it is fent abroad for nothing, or that its proprietors make a prefent of it to foreign nations. They will exchange it for foreign goods of fome kind or another, in order to fupply the confumption either of fome other foreign count's of their own.

If they employ it in purchafing goods in one foreign country in order to fupply the confumption of another, or in what is called the carrying trade, whatever profit they make will be an addition to the neat revenue of their own country. It is like a new fund, created for carrying on a new trade; domeftick bufinefs being now tranfacted by paper, and the gold and filver being converted into a fund for this new trade.

If they employ it in purchafing foreign goods for home confumption, they may either, firft, purchafe fuch goods as are likely to be confumed by idle people who produce nothing, fuch as foreign wines, foreign filks, \&cc.; or, fecondly, they may purchafe an additional ftock of materials, tools, and provifions, in order to maintain and employ an additional number of induftrious people, who re-produce, with a profit, the value of their annual confumption.

So far as it is employed in the firf way, it promotes prodigality, increafes expence and confumption without increafing production, or eftablifing any permanent fe id for fupporting that expence, and is in every refpect hurtful to the fociety.
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So far as it is employed in the fecond way, ft promotes induftry; and though it increafes the confumption of the fociety, it provides a permanent fund for fupporting that confumption, the people who confume, re-producing, with a profit, the whole value of their annual confumption. The grofs revenue of the fociety, the annual produce of their land and labour, is increafed by the whole value which the labour of thofe workmen adds to the materials upon which they are employed; and their neat revenue by what remains of this value, after deducting what is neceffary for fupporting the tools and inftruments of their trade.

That the greater part of the gold and filver which, being forced abroad by thofe operations of banking, is employed in purchafing foreign goods for home confumption, is and muft be employed in purchafing thofe of this fecond kind, feems, not only probable, but almoft unavoidable. Though fome particular men may fometimes increafe their expence very confiderably though their revenue does not increafe at all, we may be affured that no clafs or orde: of men ever does fo ; becaufe, though the principles of common prudence do not always govern the conduct of every individual, they always influence that of the majority of every clafs or order. But the revenue of idle people, confidered as a clafs or order, cannot, in the fmalleft degree, be acreafed by thofe operations of banking. Their expence in general, therefore, cannot be much increafed by them, though that of a few individuals among them may, and in reality fometimes is. The demand of idle people, therefore, for foreign goods, being the fame, or verv nearly the fame, as before, a very fmall part of the money, which being forced abroad by thofe operations of banking, is employed in purchafing foreign goods for home confumption, is likeiy to be employed in purchafing thofe for their ufe. The greater part of it will naturally
be deftined for the employment of induftry, and not for the maintenance of idienefs.

When we compute the quantity of induftry which the circulating capital of any fociety can employ, we muft always have regard to thofe parts of it only, which confift in provifions, materials, and finifhed work : the other, which confifts in money, and which ferves only to circulate thofe three, muft always be deducted. In order to put induftry into motion, three things are requifite; materials to work upon, ools to work with, and the wages or recompence for the fake of which the, work is done. Money is neither a material to work upon, nor a tool to work with; and though the wages of the workman are commonly paid to him in money, his real revenue, like that of all other men, confifts, not in the money, but in the money's worth; not in the metal pieces, but in what can be got for them.

The quantity of induftry which any capital can employ, muft, evidently, be equal to the number of workmen whom it can fupply with materials, tools, and a maintenance fuitable to the nature of the work. Money may be requifite for purchafing the materials and tools of the work, as well as the maintenance of the workmen. But the quantity of induftry which the whole capital can employ, is certainly not equal both to the money which purchafes, and to the materials, tools, and maintenance, which are purchafed with it; but only to one or other of thofe twa values, and to the latter more properly than to the former.

When paper is fubftituted in the room of gold and filver money, the quantity of the materials, tools, and maintenance, which the whole circulating capital can fupply, may be increafed by the whole value of gold and filver which ufed to be employed in purchafing
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carried on by means of the paper of thofe different banking CHAP. companies, with which purchafes and payments of all kinds $\underbrace{\text { II }}_{\text {II. }}$ are commonly made. Silver very feldom appears, except in the change of a twenty fhillings bank note, and gold ftill feldomer. But though the conduet of all thore different companies has not been unexceptionable, and has accordingly required an act of parliament to regulate it; the comtry, notwithftanding, has evidently derived great benefit from their trade. I have heard it afferted, that the trade of the city of Glafgow doubled in about fifteen years after the firft erection of the banks there; and that the trade of Scothand has more than quadrupled fince the firft erection of the two publick banks at Edinburgh, of which the one, called The Bank of Scotland, was eftablifhed by act of parliament in 1695, the other, called The Royal Bank, by royal charter in 3727. Whether the trade, either of Scotland in general, or of the city of Glafgow in particular; has really increafed in fo great a proportion, during fo fhort a period, I do not pretend to know. If either of them has increafed in this proportion, it feems to be an effect too great too be accounted for by the fole operation of this cadfe. That the trade and induftry of Scotland, however, have increafod very confiderably diring this period, and that the banks have contributed a good deal to this increafe, cannot be doubted.

The value of the filver money which circulated in Scotland before the union, in 1707, and which immediately after it was. brought into the bank of Scotland in order to be re-coined, amounted to $411,1171.105 .9 d$. fterling. No account has been got of the gold coin; but it appears from the antient accounts of the mint of Scotland, that the value of the gold annually coined. fomewhat exceeded that of the filver *. There were a good many people too upon this occafion, who, from a diffidence of re-

* See Rudiman's Preface to Anderfon's Diplomata, scc. Scotia.
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payment, tiere weet befideln fome Englifh coin, which was not called in . The whole, value of the gold and filvers thereforen which circulated in Scotland before the union, cannot be eftimated, at i lefs than a million fterling. It feems to have confituted almoft the whole circulation of that country; for though the circulation of the bank of Scotland, which had, then na rivab, was confiderable, it feems to have made but a very fmall part of the, whole. In the prefent times the whole circulation of ${ }_{7}$ Scotland cannot be eftimated at lefs than two millions of which thathpart which confifts in gold and filver, moft probably, does not amount to half a million. But though the circulating gold and filvar of Scotland have fuffered fo great a diminution during this periods its real riches and profperity do not appear to have fuffered any: Its agricultare, manufactures, and trades non the eontwary dy the annual produce of its land and labour, nhave evidently been augmented.

Ir is chielly by difcounting bills of exchange, that is, by addvancing money upon them before they are due, that the greater patt of banks and bankers iffue their promiffory notes. They deduct atways, upon whatever fum they advance, the legal intereft till the bill fhall become due. The payment of the bith, when it becomes due, replaces to the bank the value of what fiad been advaniced, together with a clear profit bef the intereft. The banker who advances to the merchant whofe bill he difcounts, not gold and filver, but his own promiffory notes, has the advantage of being able to difcount to a greater amount, by the whole value of his promifiory notes, which he find by experience, are commonly in circulation. He is thereby enabled to make his clear gain of intereft on fo much a larger fum.

The
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The commerce of Scotland, which at prefent is not very great, CHAP. was ftill more inconfiderable when the two firft banking companies were eftablifhed; and thofe companies would have had but little trade, had they confined their bufinefs to the difcounting of bills of exchange. They invented, therefore, another method of iffuing their promiflary notes ; by granting, what they called, cafh accounts, that is, by giving credit to the extent of a certain fum, (two or three thoufand pounds, for example), to any individual who could procure two perfons of undoubted credit and good landed: eftate to become furety for him, that whatever money fhould be advanced to him, within the fum for which the credit had been given, fhould be repaid upon demand, together with the legal intereft. Credits of this kind are, I believe, commonly granted by banks and bankers in all different parts of the world. But the eafy terms upon which the Scotch banking companies accept of re-payment are, fo far as 1 know, peculiar to them, and have, perhaps, been the principal caufe, both of the great trade of thofe companies, and of the benefit which the country has received: from it.

Whoever has a credit of this kind with one of thofe companies, and borrows a thoufand pounds upon it, for example, may repay this fum piece-meal, by twenty and thirty pounds at a time, the company difcounting a proportionable part of the intereft of the great fum from the day on which each of thofe fmall fums is paid in, till the whole be in this manner repaid. All merchants, therefore, and almoft all men of bufinefs, find it convenient to keep fuch cafh accounts with them, and are thereby interefted to promote the trade of thofe companies, by readily receiving their notes in all payments, and by encouraging all thofe with whom they have any influence to do the fame. The baniks, when their cuftomers apply to them for money, generally advance it to them in their own promiffary

B OOK promiffary notes. Thefe the merchants pay away to the manu$\underbrace{\text { II. }}$ facturers for goods, the manufacturers to the farmers for materials and provifions, the farmers to their landlords for rent, the landlords repay them to the merchants for the conveniencies and luxuries with which they fupply them, and the merchants again seturn them to the banks in order to balance their cafh accounts, or to replace what they may have borrowed of them; and thus almoft the whole money bufinefs of the country is tranfacted by means of them. Hence, the great trade of thofe companies.

By means of thofe cafh accounts every merchant can, without imprudence, carry on a greater trade than he otherwife could do. If there are two merchants, one in London, and the other in Edinburgh, who employ equal focks in the fame branch of trade, the Edinburgh merchant can, without imprudence, carry on a greater trade, and give employment to a greater number of people than the London merchant. The London merchant muft always keep by him a confiderable fum of money, either in his own coifers, or in thofe of his banker, who gives him no intereft for it, in order to anfwer the demands continually coming upon him for payment of the goods which he purchafes upon credit. Let the ordinary amount of this fum be fuppofed five hundred pounds. The value of the goods in his warehoufe muft always be lefs by five hundred pounds than it would have been, had he not been obliged to keep fuch a fum unemployed. Let us fuppofe that he generally difpofes of his whole ftock upon hand, or of goods to the value of his whole ftock upon hand, once in the year. By being obliged to keep fo great a fum unemployed, he muft fell in a year five hundred pounds worth lefs goods than he might otherwife have done. His annual profits muft be lefs by all that he could have made by the fale of five hundred pounds worth more goods ; and the number of people employed in preparing his goods for the market, muft be lefs by all thofe that five
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five hundred pounds more flock could have employed. The $\mathrm{CHAP}_{\mathrm{II}}$. merchant in Edinburgh, on the other hand, keeps no money unemployed for anfwering fuch occafional demands. When they actually come upon him, he fatisfies them from his cafh account with the bank, and gradually replaces the fum borrowed with the money or paper which comes in from the occafional fales of his goods. With the fame ftock, therefore, he can, without imprudence, have at all times in his arehoufe a larger quantity of goods than the London merch and can thereby both make a greate: profit himfelf, and giv employment to a greater number of induftrious people wricare thofe goods for the market. Hence the great benefit which the country has derived from this trade.

The facility of difcounting bills of exchange, it may be thought indeed, gives the Englifh merchants a conveniency equivalent to the cafh accounts of the Scotch merchants. But the Scotch merchants, it muft be remembered, can difcount their bills of exchange as eafily as the Englifh merchants; and have, befides, the additional conveniency of their cafh accounts.

The whole paper money of every kind which can eafily circulate in any country never can exceed the value of the gold and filver, of which it fupplies the place, or which (the commerce being fuppofed the fame) would circulate there, if there was no paper money. If twenty fhilling notes, for example, are the loweft paper money current in Scotland, the whole of that currency which can eafily circulate there cannot exceed the fum of gold and filver, which would be neceflary for tranfacting the annual exchanges of twenty fhillings value and upwards ufually tranfacted within that country. Should the circulating paper at any time exceed that fum, as the excefs could neither
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BOOK be fent abroad nor be employed in the circulation of the country, it muft immediately return upon the banks to be exchanged for gold and filver. Many people would immediately perceive that they had more of this paper than was neceffary for tranfacting their bufinefs at home, and as they could not fend it-abroad, they would immediately demand payment of it from the banks. When this fuperfluous paper was converted into gold and filver, they could eafily find a ufe for it by fending it abroad; but they could find none while it remained in the fhape of paper. There would immediately, therefore, be a run upon the banks to the whole extent of this fuperfluous paper, and, if they fhowed any difficulty or backwardnefs in payment, to a much greater extent; the alarm, which this would occafion, neceffarily increafing the run.

Over and above the expences which are common to every: branch of trade; fuch as the expence of houfe-rent, the wages of fervants, clerks, accountants, \&cc.; the expences peculiar to a bank confift chiefly in two articles: Firf, in the expence of keeping at all tirues in its coffers, for anfwering the occafional demands of the holders of its notes, a large fum of money, of which it lofes the intereft : And, fecondly, in the expence of replenifhing: thofe coffers as faft as they are emptied by anfwering fuch occafional demands.

A banking company which iffies more, paper than can be employed in the circulation of the country, and of which the excefs is continually returning upon them for payment, ought to increare the quantity of gold and filver, which they keep at all times in their coffers, not only in proportion to this exceffive increafe of their circulation, but in a much greater proportion; their notes returning upon them much fafter than in proportion
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to the excefs of their quantity. Such a company, therefore, ought to increafe the firft article of their expence, not only in proportion to this forced increafe of their bufinefs, but in a much greater proportion.

The coffers of fuch a company too, though they ought to be filled much fuller, yet muft empty themfelves much fafter than if their bufinefs was confined within more reafonable bounds, and muft require, not only a more violent, but a more conftant and uninterrupted exertion of expence in order to replenifh them. The coin too, which is thus continually drawn in fuch large quantities from their coffers, cannot be employed in the circulation of the country. It comes in place of a paper which is over and above what can be employed in that circulation, and is therefore, over and above what can be employed in it too. But as that coin will not be allowed to lie idle, it muft, in one fhape or another, be fent abroad, in order to find that profitable employment which it cannot find at home; and this continual exportation of gold and filver, by enhancing the difficulty, muft neceffarily enhance ftill further the expence of the bank, in finding new gold and filver in order to replenifh thofe coffers, which empty themfelves fo very rapidly. Such a company, therefore, muft, in proportion to this forced increafe of their bufinefs, increafe the fecond article of their expence ftill more than the firft.

Let us fuppofe that all the paper of a particular bank, which the circulation of the country can eafily abforb and employ, amounts exactly to forty thoufand pounds; and that for anfwering occafional demands, this bank is obliged to keep at all times in its coffers ten thoufand pounds in gold and filver. Should this bank attempt to circulate forty-four thoufand pounds, the four thoufand pounds which are over and above what the circulation can eafily abforb
$3 \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ and
${ }^{\text {B }}$ II. O and omploy, will returs upon it almoft as fate as they are iffued: II, $\}$ For anfwering scoafional demands, therefore, this bank ought to keep at all times in it coffers, nat eleven thoufand pounds only. but fourteen thoufand pounds. It will thus gain nothing by the intereft of the four thoufand pounds exceffive circulation; and it will lofe the whole expence of continually collecting four thoufand pounds in gold and filver which will be continually: going out of its coffers as faft as they are brought into them.

HAD every particular banking company alwwas underftood and attended to its own particular intereft, the circulation never could have been overfocked with paper money. But every particular banking company has not always underfood or attended to its own particular intereft, and the circulation has frequently been overftocked with paper money.

By iffuing too great a quantity of paper, of which the excefs was continually returning, in order to be exchanged for gold and filver, the bank of England was for many yearstogether obliged to coin gold to the extent of between eight hundred thoufand pounds and a million a year; or at an average, about eight hundred and fifty thoufand pounds. For this great coinage, the bank (i. nfequence of the worn and degraded fate into which the $g$, coin had fallen-a few years ago) was frequently obliged to purchare gold bullion at the high price of four pounds an ounce, which it foon after iffued in coin at 31.178 . 10d. $\frac{1}{4}$ an ounce, lofing in this manner between two and a half and three per cent. upon the coinage of fo very large a fum. Though the bank therefore paid no faignorage, thpugh the government was properly at the expence of the coinage, this liberality of government did not prevent altogether the expence of the baik.

The kind, i to colle one an by the V of thre poinde. coférg cafe th dents in they wa them fo and a: which t no oth feeond correfpe the fam two or tereft a thofe Ss extream refource
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THE Scotch banks, in confequence of an excefs of the fame CHAP. kind, were all obliged to employ conftantly agents at Lonidon to colleet money for them, at an expence which was feldom below one and a half or two per cent. This money was fent down by the waggon, and infured by the carriers at an additional expence of three quarters per cent. or fifteen fhillings on the hundred pounde. Thofe agents were not always able to replenih the coffers of their employers fo faft as they were emptied. In this cafe the refource of the banks was, to draw upon their correfpondents in London bills of exchange to the extent of the fum which they warted. When thofe correfondents afterwards drew upon them for the payment of this fum, together with the intireft, and a commiffion, fome of thofe banks, from the diftrefs intowhieh their exceffive circulation had thrown them, had fometimes: no other means of fatisfying this draught but by drawing a feeond fett of bills either upon the fame, or upon fome other correfpondents in London; and the fame fum, or rather bills for the fame fum, would in this manner make fometimes more than two or three journies; the debtor, bank, paying always the intereft and commiffion apon the whole accumulated fum. Evens thofe Scotch banks which never diftinguifhed themfelves by their extream imprudence, were fometimes obliged to employ this ruinous: refource.

THE gold coin which was paid out either by the bank of England, or by the Scotch banks, in exchange for that part of their paper which was over and above what could be employed in the circulation of the country, being likewife over and above: what could be employed in that circulation, was fometimes fent abroad in the fhape of coin, fometimes melted down and fent abroad in the fhape of bullion, and fometimes melted down and. fold to the bank of England at the high price of four pounds, an ounce. It was the neweft, the heavieft, and the beft pieces only which were carefully picked out of the whole coin, and either fent abroad or melted down. At home, and while they remained in the fhape of coin, thofe heavy pieces were of no more value than the light : But they were of more value abroad, or when melted down into bullion, at home. The bank of England, notwithftanding their great annual coinage, found to their aftonifhment, that there was every year the fame fcarcity of coin as there had been the year before; and that notwithftanding the great quantity of good and new coin which was every year iffued from the bank, the ftate of the coin, inftead of growing better and better, became every year worfe and worfe. Every year they found themfelves under the neceffity of coining nearly the fame quantity of gold as they had coined the year before, and from the continual rife in the price of gold bullion, in confequence of the continual wearing and clipping of the coin, the expence of this great annual coinage became every year greater and greater. The bank of England, it is to be obferved, by fupplying its own coffers with coin, is indirectly obliged to fupply the whole kingdom, into which coin is continually flowing from thofe coffers in a great variety of ways. Whatever coin therefore was wanted to fupport this exceffive circulation both of Scotch and Englifh paper money, whatever vacuities this exceffive circulation occafioned in the neceffary coin of the kingdom, the bank of England was obliged to fupply them. The Scotch banks, no doubt, paid all of them very dearly for their own imprudence and inattention. But the bank of England paid very dearly, not only for its own imprudence, but for the much greater imprudence of almoft all the Scotch banks.

The over trading of fome bold projectors in both parts of the united kingdom, was the original caufe of this exceffive circulation of paper money.

What
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NGat a bank can with propriety advance to a merchant or Whardier of any kind, is not, either the whole capital with Whed he traides or even any confiderable part of that capithls but that part of it only, which he would otherwife be obliged to keep by him unemployed, and in ready money for anfwering occafional demands. If the paper money which the bank advances never exceceds this value, it can never exceed the value of the gond and figer, which would neceflarily circulate in the country if there was no paper money; it can never exceed the quansity which the circulation of the country can eafily abforb and epploy:

When bank difoounts to merchant a real bill of exchange. drawn by a real creditor upon a real debtor, and which, as foon wo it bueomes due, is really paid by that debtor; it only advances. to him a part of the value which he would otherwife be obliged to kiep by him unemployed, and in ready money for anfwerW. occafionial demands. The paynient of the bill, when it becomes due, replaces to the bank the value of what it had advanced, together with the intereft. The coffers of the bank, fo. fo as its dealings are confined to fuch cuftomers, refemble a water pond, from which, though a ftream is continually running: out, yet another is continually running in, fully equal to that which runs out; fo that, without any further care or attention, the pond keeps always equally, or very near equally full. Little or no expence can ever be neceffary for replenifhing the coffers of fuch a bank.

A MERCHANT; without over-trading, may frequently have occafion for a fum of ready money, even when he has no bills to difcount. When a bank, befides difcounting his bills, advances. him likewife upon fuch occafions, fuch fums upon his caif account, and accepts of a piece-meal repayment as the money comes in.
V.ol. I.
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 ficiently firori his cafh account, the beank, howfivery in dealing with fich cuffomers, ought to bbferve with grot athentions whethet an the coutte of fome thote perimd lof fout, ives, the, or eight mionthis, for exmmple) the funt of the rejeyments, $\boldsymbol{p}$ thich
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ments
ments from all their cuftomers, and did not eare to deal with any perfon, whatever might be his fortune or credit, who did not: make, what they called, frequent and regular operations with them. By this attention, befides faving almoft entirely the extraordinary expence of replenifhing their coffers, they gained two other very confiderable advantagis.

Frrst, by this attention they were enabled to make fome tolerable judgement concerning the thriving or declining circumfances of their debtors, without being obliged to look out for any other evidence befides what their own books afforded them; men being for the moft part either regular or irregular in their repayments, according as their circumftances are either thriving or declining. A private man who lends out his money to perhaps half a dozen or a dozen of debtors, may, either by himfelf or his agents, obforve and enquire both conftantly and carefully into the conduct and fituation of each of them. But a banking company, which lends money to perhaps five hundred different people, and of which the attention is continually occupied by objects of a very different kind, can have no regular information concerning the conduct and circumftances of the greater part of its debtors beyond what its own books afford it. In requiring frequent and regular re-payments from all their cuftomers, the banking companies of Scotland inad probably this advantage in view.

Sucondex, by this attention they fecured themfelves from the poffibility of iffuing more paper money than what the circulation of the country could eafily abforb and employ. When they obferved that within moderate periods of time the re-payments of a particular cuftomer were upon mof occafions fully equal to the advances whieh they had made to him, they might Vol. I.

3 B be
${ }^{B}$ OOK II be affured that the paper money which they had advanced to him, had not at any time exceeded the quantity of gold and filver which he would otherwife have been obliged to keep by him for anfwering occafional demands; and that confequently the paper money which they had circulated by his means had not at any time exceeded the quantity of gold and filver which would have circulated in the country, had there been no paper money. The frequency, regularity and amount of his re-payments would fufficiently demonftrate that the amount of their advances had at no time exceeded that part of his capital which he would otherwife have been obliged to keep by him unemployed, and in ready money for anfwering occafional demands; that is, for the purpofe of keeping the reft of his capital in conftant employment. It is this part of his capital only which, within moderate periods of time, is continually returning to every dealer in the fhape of money, whether paper or coin, and continually going from him in the fame fhape. If the advances of the bank had commonly exceeded this part of his capital, the ordinary amount of his re-payments could not, within moderate periods of time, have equalled the ordinary amount of its advances: The fream which, by means of his dealings, was continually running into the coffers of the bank, could not have been equal to the ftream which, by means of the fame dealings, was continually running.out. The advances of the bank paper, by exceed ing the quantity of gold and filver which, had there been no fuch advances, he would have been obliged to keep by him for anfwering occafional demands, might foon come to exceed the whole quantity of gold and filver which (the commerce being fuppofed the fame) would have circulated in the.covntry had there been no paper money; and confequently, to exceed the quantity which the circulation of the country could eafily abforb and employ; and the excefs of this paper money would immediately have returned upon
the
advi
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the bank in order to be exchanged for gold and filver. This fecond advantage, though equally real, was not perhaps fo well unde ftood by all the different banking companies of Scotland as the firft.

When, partly by the conveniency of difcounting bills, and partly by that of cafh accounts, the creditable traders of any country can be difpenfed from the neceffity of keeping any part of their ftock by them, unemployed and in ready money, for anfwering occafional demands, they can reafonably expect no further affiftance from banks and bankers, who, when they have gone thus far, cannot, confiftently with their own intereft and fafety, go farther. A bank cannot, confiftently with its own intereft, advance to a trader the whole or even the greater part of the circulating capital with which he trades; becaufe, though that capital is continually returning to him in the fhape of money, and going from him in the fame frape, yet the whole of the returns is too diftant from the whole of the out-goings, and the fum of his repayments could not equal the fum of its advances within fuch moderate periods of time as fuit the conveniency of a bank. Still lefs could a bank afford to advance him any confiderable part of his fixed capital; of the capital which the undertaker of an iron forge, for example, employs in erecting his forge and fmelting-houfe, his work-houfes and warehoufes, the dwelling houfes of his workmen, \&ec.; of the capital which the undertaker of a mine employs in finking his fhafts, in erecting engines for drawing out the water, in making roads and waggonways, \&cc.; of the capital which the perfon who undertakes to improve land employs in clearing, draining, enclofing, manuring and ploughing wafte and uncultivated fields, in building farm-houfes, with all their neceffary appendages of ftables, granaries, \&c. The returns of the fixed capital are in almoft all cafes much flower than thofe of the circulating capital; and fuch expences,
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 very feldom return to the undertaker till after a period of many years, a period by far too diftant. to fuir the conveniency of a bank. Traders and other undertakers may, no doubt, with great propriety, carry on a very confiderable part of their projeens with borrowed money. In juftice to their creditors, however, the own capital ought, in this cafe, to be fufficient to enfure, if i may fay fo, the capital of thofe creditors; or to render it extreamly improbable that thofe creditors fhould incur any lofs, even though the fiuccefs of the project thould fall very much fhort of the expectation of the projectors. Even with this precaution too, the money which is borrowed, and which it is meant fhould not be repaid till after a period of feveral years, ought not to be borrowed of a bank, but ought to be borrowed upon bond or mortgage, of fuch private people as propofe to live upon the intereft of their money, without taking the trouble themfelves, to employ the capital; and who are upon that account willing to lend that capital to fuch people of good credit as are likeely to keep it far feveral years. A bank, indeed, which lends its money without the expence of ftampt paper, or of attornies fees for drawing bonds and mortgages, and which accepts of repayment upon the eafy terms of the banking companies of Scotlands would, no doubt, be a very convenient creditor to fuch traders and undertakers. But fuch traders and undertakers would, furely, be moft inconvenient debtors to fuch 2 bank.

It is now more than five and twenty years fince the paperr money iffued by the different banking companies of Scotland was fully equal, or rather was fomewhat more than fully equal to what the circulation of the country could eafily abfort and employ. Thofe companies, therefore, had fo long ago given. all the affiftance to the traders and ether undertakers of Scotland.
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which it is poffble for banks and bankers, conffitently with their own interef, to give. They had even done fomewhat more. They had over-traded a little, and had briught upon themfelves that lofs, or at leaft that diminution of profit, which in this particular bufinefs never fails to attend the fmalleft degree of over-trading. Thofe traders and other undertakers, having got fo much maftance from banks and bankers, wifhed to get fill more. The banks, they feem to have thought, cauld extend their credits to whatever fum might be wanted, without incurring any other expence befides that of a few reams of paper. They complained of the contracted viewis and daftardly fpirit of the directors of thofe banks, which did not, they faid, extend their credits in proportion to the extenfion of the trade of the country; meaning, no doubt, by the extenfion of that trade, the extenfion of their own projecte beyond what they could carry on, either with their own capital, or with what they had credit to borrow of private people in the ufial way of bond or mort gage. The banks, they feem to have thought, were in honour bound to fupply the deficiercy, and to provide them with alt

BOOK brought into Scotland, where, in proportion to the very limited
II. II. commerce, and to the very moderate capital of the country; it was foon carried on to a much greater extent than it ever had been in England.

The practice of drawing and re-drawing is fo well known to all men of bufinefs, that it may perhaps be thought unneceflary to give any account of it. But as this book may come into the hands of many people, who are not men of bufinefs, and as the effects of this practice upon the banking trade are not perhaps generaliy underftood even by men of bufinefs themfelves, I fhall endeavour to explain it as diftinctly as I can.

The cuftoms of merchants, which were eftablifhed when the barbarous laws of Europe did not enforce the performance of their contracts, and which during the courfe of the two laft centuries have been adopted into the laws of all European nations, have given fuch extraordinary privileges to bills of exchange, that money is more readily advanced upon them, than upon any other fpecies of obligation; efpecially when they are made payable within fo fhort a period as two or three months after their date. If when the bill becomes due, the acceptor does not pay it as foon as it is prefented, he becomes from that moment a bankrupt. The bill is protefted, and returns upon the drawer, who, if he does not immediately pay it, becomes likewife a bankrupt. If before it came to the perfon who prefents it to the acceptor for payment, it had paffed through the hands of feveral other perfons, who had fucceffively advanced to one another the contents of it either in money or goods, and who, to exprefs that each of them had in his turn received thofe contents, had all of them in their order endorfed; that is, written their names upon the back of the bill; each endorfer becomes in his turn liable to the owner
of the bill for thofe contents, and if he fails to pay he becomes too from that moment a bankrupt. Though the drawer, acceptor, and endorfers of the bill fhould, all of them, be perfons of doubtful credit; yet fill the fhortnefs of the date gives fome fecurity to the owner of the bill. Though all of them may be very likely to become bankrupts; it is a chance if they all become fo in fo fhort a time. The houfe is crazy, fays a weary traveller to himfelf, and will not fand very long; but it is a chance if it falls to-night, and I will venture, therefore, to fleep in it to-night.

The trader A in Edinburgh, we fhall fuppofe, draws a bill upon B in London, payable two months after date. In reality B in London owes nothing to A in Edinburgh; but he agrees to accept of A's bill, upon condition that before the term of payment he fhall redraw upon A in Edinburgh, for the fame fum, together with the intereft and a commiffion, another bill, payable likewife two months after date. B accordingly, before the expiration of the firlt two months, re-draws this bill upon A in Edinburgh; who again, before the expiration of the fecond two mo:ths; draws a fecond bill upon B in London, payable likewife two months after date; and before the expiration of the third two months, $B$ in London re-draws upon $A$ in Edinburgh another bill, payable alfo two months after date. This practice has fometimes gone on, not only for feveral months, but for feveral years together, the bill always returning upon A in Edinburgh, with the accumulated intereft and commiffion of all the former bills. The intereft was five per cent. in the year, and the commifion was never lefs than one half por cent. on each draught. This commiffion being repeated more than fix times in the year, whatever money A might raife by, this ex+ pedient muft neceffarily have coft him fomething more than eight
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 either the priee of the commiffion happened, to nife, or twhen he was obliged to pay compound insemef upon the intereft mita commifion of former bilts This practice was called neifing money by circulation.

In \& country whare the ordinary profits of took in the greater part of mercantile projeots are Auppofed to pun hatwegn fix and ten per cent. 3 it muft have been 2 very fortunate, fipeculation of which the returns could not only repay the enoprmous expence at which the money was thus borrowed for carrying it on; but affordy befides, a good furplus prafit to the projector. Many vaft and extentive projects, hawever, were undertaken, and for feveral years carried on without any other fund to fupport them befides what was raifed at this onormous expence. The projeetors, no doubt, had in their golden dpeams the moft diftinct vifion of this great profit, Upon their awaking, however, either at the ond of their projects, or when they werg, no dongegr able to carry them on, they very feldom, I believg, had the good fortune to find it.

The bills which A in Edinburgh drew upan B in Lendon the regularly difcounted two months before they were due with fame bank or banker in Edinburgh, and the bills which B in London re-drew upon A in Edinburgh, he as regularly difeounted either with the bank of England, or with fome other bankers in London. Whatever was advanced upon fuch circulating bills, was, in Edinburgh, advaneed in the paper of the Ecoteh banks, and in London, when they were difcounted at the bank of England, in the paper of that bank. Though the bille upon which this paper had been advanced, were all of them repaid in their turn as foon as they became due; yet the value which had been really ad-
vanced upon thit it bill, was never really returned to the banks which advanced iss becaufe before each bill became due, another
 bill was always drawn to fomewhat a greater amount than the bill which was foon to be paid; and the difcounting of this other bill was effentially neceffary towards the payment of that which was foon to be due. This payment, therefore, was altogether fictitious. The ftream, which by means of thofe circulating bills of exchange, had once been made to run out from the coffers of the banks, was never replaced by any fream which really run into them.

The paper which was iffued upon thofe circulating bills of exchange, amounted, upon many occafions, to the whole fund deftined for caroying on fome vait and extenfive project of agriculture, commerce, or manufactures; and not merely to that (part of it which, had there been no paper, money, the projector would have been obliged to keep by him, unemplayed and, in ready money, for anfwering occafional demanids. The greater part of this paper was, confequently, over and above the walue of the goid and filver which would have circulated iin the country, had there been no paper money. It was over andiabove, thecefore, what the circulation of the country could eafily abforb and employ, fand, upon chatjaccount, immediately sretirned upon sthe Banks in ouder to be exchanged for gold and filver, which they were to find as they conkd. It was a capital which thofe sprojettors had very artfully contrived to draw from thofe banks, - 'not only without their knowledge or deliberate confent, ' but ifor fome time, perhaps, whithouttheir having the moiftidiftant fufpicion that they haid really advanced it.

WhEN two people, who are continually drawing and re-drawing upon one another, difcount their bills always with the fame banker, Vol. I.
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BOOK he muft immediately difeover what theylarecaboutp and fes clearty that they are trading, not with any capitalof their own, but with the capital which he advances to themis But this difcovery is notiale together fo eafy when they difcount their bills fometimesi withlond banker, and fometimes with another, and when the fame itiwo pens fons do not conftantly draw and re-draw upon one another, put occafionally run the round of a great circle of projectors, who find it for their intereft to affift one another in this method of sraifing money, and to render it, upon that account, as difficult ${ }^{2}$ as poffible to diftinguifh between a real and a fictitious bill of exchange; between a bill drawn by a real creditor upon a real debtor, and a bill for which there was properly no real creditor but the bank which difcounted it; nor any real debtor but the projector who made ufe of the money. When a banker had even made, this difcovery, he might fometimes make it too late, and might find that he had already difcounted the bills of thofe projectors to ${ }^{2}$ fo great an extent, that by refufing to difcount any more, he would neceflarily make them all bankrupts, and thus, by ruining, them, might perhaps ruin himfelf. For his own intereft, and fafety, therefore, he might find it neceffary, in this very perilous fituation, to go on for fome time, endeavouring however, to withdraw gradually, and upon that account making every day greater, and greater difficulties about difcounting, in order to force thofe proiectors by degrees to have recourfe, either to other bankers, or to other methods of raifing money; fo as that he himfelf might, as foon as poffible; get out of the circle. The difficulties, accordingly, which the bank of England, which the principal bankers. in Londen, and which even the more prudent Scotch banks began, after a certain time, and when all of them had, already gone too far, to make about difcounting, not only alarmed, but enraged in the higheft degree thofe projectors. Their own diftrefs, of which this prudent and neceffary referve of the hanks, was, no
dóu
tryis
doubts the immediate occafion, they called the diftrefs of the coun- C H A P. tryizy and thisodiftrefs of the country, they faid, was altogether owingnto the ignorance pufillanimity and bad conduct of the banks, i which idid not give a fufficiently liberal aid to the fpirited undertakings of thofe who exerted themelves in order to beautify, improve, cand enrich the country. It was the duty of the banks, they feemed to think, to lend for as long a time, and to as great anilextent as they night wifh to borrow. The banks, however, by tefufing in this manner to give more credit to thofe to whom they had already given a great deal too much, took the only method by which it was now poffible to fave either their own credit, or the publick eredit of the country.

In the midift of this clamour and diftrefs, a new bank was eftablinhed in Scotland for the exprefs purpofe of relieving the diftrefs of the country. The defign was generous; but the execution Was imprudent, and the nature and caufes of the diftrefs which it theant to relieve, were not, perhaps, well underftood. This bank was more liberal than any other had ever been, both in granting ccafh accounts, and in difoounting bills of exchange. With regard to the latter, it feems to have made fcarce'any diftinction between real and circulating bills, but to have difcounted all equally. It -was the avowed principle of this bank to advance, upon any reafonable fecurity, the whole capital which was to be employed in improvements of which the returns are the moft flow and diftant, - fuch as the improvements of land. To promote fuch improvements was even faid to be the chief of the publick fpirited purpofes for which it was inftituted. By its liberality in granting cafh accounts, and in difcounting bills of exchange, it, no doubt, iffued great quantities of its bank-notes. But thofe bank-notes being, the greater part of them, over and above what the circulation of the country could eafily abforb and employ, returned upon it, in
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B'OOR ofder to be exchanged for gold and filvery as aith they were iffued. Its coffers were never well filled. The capital which had been fabreribed to this bank at two different fubferiptions, amounted to one hundred and fixty thoufand potunds, of which eighty per cent. only was paid up. This fum ought to have been paid in at feveral different inftalifents. A great part of the proprietors; when they paid in their firft inftallment, opened a cafh aceount with the bank; and the directors, thinking themfetve obliged to treat their own proprietors with the fame liberality with whieh they treated all other men, allowed many of them to borrow tupon this cafh aceount what they paid in upon all their fubfequent inftithments. Such payments, therefore, only put into one coffer, what had the moment before been taken out of another. But had the coffers of this bank been filled ever 10 well, its exceffive icireulation finuft have emptied them fafter than they eould have been replenifhed by any other expedient but the ruinows one of drawing suponi London, and when the bill bocame due, paying it, together with intereft and commiffion, by another draught upon the fame place. Its coffers having been filled to very ill, it is faid to have been driven to this refource within a very few months after it began to do buafinefs. The effates of the praprietors of this bank were *Worth feveral millions, and by their fubfeription to the original bond or contract of the bank, were really pledged for anfwering all its engagements. By means of the great credit which fo great a pledgeneceflarily gave it, it was, notwithftanding its too liberal conduct, enabled to carry on bufinefs for more than two years. When it was obliged to ftop, it had in the circulation about two hundred thoufand pounds in bank-notes. In order to fupiport the circulation of thofenotes, which were continually-returnsing upon tit as faft as they were iffued, it had been confantly in the pradtice sof idrawing bills of exchange upon London, of which the numberi and vahue were continually increafing, and, nsid
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when it frgpt, amounted to upwards of fix hundred thoufand pounds. This bank, therefore, had, in little more than the courre of two years, advanced to different people upwards of eight hundred thoufand pounds at five per cent. Upon the two hundred thoufand pounds which it circulated in bank-notes, this five per cent. might, perhaps, be confidered as clear gain, without any other deduction befides the expence of management. But uppo ypwards of fix hundred thoufand pounds, for which it was continually drawing bills of exchange upon London, it was paying. in the way of intereft and commiffion, upwards of eight per sent. and was confequently lofing more than three per cent. upon more than three-fourths of all its dealings.
 oppofite to thofe which were intended by the particular perfons wha planned and directed it. They feem to have intended to fupport the fpirited undertakings, for as fuch they confidered them, which weye at that time carrying on in different parts of the countrys and at the fame time, by drawing the whole banking bufinefs to themelves, to fupplant all the other Scotch banks; particularly thofe sftablifhed at Edinburgh, whofe backwardnefs in difcounting thills;of exchange had given fome offence. This bank, no doubt, gave fome temporary relief to thofe projectors, and enabled them to garry on their projects for about two years longer than they spuld otherwife have done. But it thereby only enabled them to get fo much deeper into debt, fo that when ruin came, it fell fo musch the heavier both upon them and upon their creditors. The operations of this bank, therefore, inftead of relieving, in reality aggravated in the long-run the diftrefs which thofe projectors had brought both upon themelelves and upon their country. It would have been much better for themfelves, their creditors and their country, had the greater part of them, been obliged to ftop two years fooner than this bank afforded to thofe projectors, proved a real and permanent relief to the other Scotch banks. All the dealers in circulating bills of exchange, which thofe other banks had become fo backward 'f difcounting, had recourfe to this new bank, where they were received with open arms. Thofe other banks, therefore, were enabled to get very eafily out of that fatal circle, from which they could not otherwife have difengaged themfelves without incurring a confiderable lofs, and perhaps too even fome degree of difcredit.

In the long-run, therefore, the operations of this bank increafed the real diftrefs of the country which it meant to relieve; and effectually relieved from a very great diftrefs thofe rivals whom it meant to fupplant.

Ar the firf fetting out of this bank, it was the opinion of fome people, that how faft foever its coffers might be emptiedjititonight eafily replenifh them by raifing money upon the fecurities of thofe to whorn it had advanced its paper. Experience, I believe, foon convinced them that this method of raifing money was by much too flow to anifwer their purpofe; and that coffers which originally were fo ill filled, and which emptied themfelves fo very faft; could be replenihied by no other expedient but the ruinous one of drawing bills upon London, and when they became due, paying them bay other draughts upon the fame place with accumulated intereft and commiffion But though they had been able by this methodito raife money as faft as they wanted it; yet inftead of making a profit, they muft have fuffered a lofs by every fuch operation is fo that in the long-run they muft have ruined themfelves as a mercantile company, though, perhaps, not fo foon as by the more expenfive practice of drawing and re-drawing. They could ftill an.t have
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have made nothing by the intereft, of the paper, which, being over and above what the circulation of the country could abiorb and employ $y_{A}$ returned upon them, in order to be exchanged for gold and filver, as faft as they iffued it, and for the payment of which they were themelives continually obliged to borrow money, On the contrary, the whole expence of this borrowing, of employing agents to look out for people who had money to lend, of negociating with thofe people, and of drawing the proper bond or affignment, muft have fallen upon them, and have been fo much clear lofs upon the balance of their accounts. The project of repleniming their coffers in this manner may be compared to that of a man who had a water-pond from which a ftream was continually running out, and into which no ftream was continually running, but who propofed to keep it always equally full by employing a number of people to go continually with buckets to a well at fome: miles diftance in order to bring water to replenifh it.
smbiur though this operation had proved, not only practicable, Sint profitable to the baik as mercantile company; yet the country could have derived no benefit from it; but, on the contraty, muft have fuffered a very confiderable lofs by it: This operation could not augment in the fmalleft degree the quantity of money ita be lent It could only have erected this bank into did fort of general loan office for the whole country. Thofe who wanted to borrow, muft have applied to this bank y inftead of rapplying to the private perfons who had lent it their money. But m bank which lends money, perhaps, to five hundred diffeient people, the giteater part of whom its direCtors can know very little abouty is not likely to be more judicious int the choice of its debtors, than a private perfon who lends out his motrey among a few people whom he knows, and in whofe fober and frugal conduet he thinks he has good reafon to confide. The debtors of fuch a bank, as that whofe conduct $I$ have been giving fome
account
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B000 account of, were likely, the greater part of them, to be thituterical projectors, the drawers and re-drawers of circulatitify bilis of exchange, who would employ the money in extravagatht undertakings, which, with al the affifance that could be given thet, they would probably never be able to complete, and witich, if they fhould be compleated, would Hever repay the expenice which they had really coft, would never afforrd a fund capabie' 6 f 'mainintaining a quartity of labour equal to that which thad been employed about them. The fober arid frugal debtors 'f privitite perfons, on the contrary, would be more fikely to effipity the money borrowed in fober undertakings which were proportionted to their capitials, and which, though they might have 'lefs' of the grand and the matvelldus, would 'have more 'of the fotid "and the profitable, which"would repay" with a large piofit "whatever had been laid out upon them, and 'which woutd thus sifford
 than that which had been employed about them. The fuccefs of this operation, therefore, without encreafing in the fmalleft degree the capital of the country, would only have trainsfectred a great part of it from prudent and profitable, to imprudent and unprofitable undertakings.

That the induftry' of Scotland languified for 'wathe of materey to employ it, "was the opinion of the famous Mr. Läw. By eftablifhing a bank of a particullar kind, which, he feems to have 'inlagined, might iffue paper to' the amotut of the whole vatue of all the lanias in the country, he'propofed to remedy this want of money. The parliathent of Scotland, ${ }^{\text {Th }}$ when he firte propofed his project, did not think projer to adopt it. It was afterwards adopiped, with fome vatiations, by the duke of Orteahs, at that time regent of France. The idea of the poffibility of maltiplying "paper modrey to almort any extent, was the real fourilaatibrfof what is called the Mififfippi cheme, the mort extravagint projeet both
of banking and ftock-jobbing that, perhaps, the world ever faw. The different operations of this fcheme are explained fo fully, fo clearly, and with fo much order and diftinctnefs, by Mr. Du Verney, in his Examination of the Political Reflections upon Commerce and Finances of Mr. Du Tot, that I fhall not give any account of them. The principles upon which it was founded are explained by Mr. Law himfelf, in a difcourfe concerning money and trade, which he publifhed in Scotland when he firf propofed his project. The fplendid, but vifionary ideas which are fet forth in that and fome other works upon the fame principles, fill continue to make an impreffion upon many people, and have, perhaps. in part, contributed to that excefs of banking, which has of late been complained of both in Scotland and in other places.
THR bank of England is the greateft bank of circulation in Earope. It was incorporated, in purfuance of an act of parliament, by a charter under the great feal, dated the 27 th July, 1694. It at that time advanced to government the fum of one million two floundred, thoufand pounds, for an annuity of one hundred thousf fand pounds; or for $96,000 \mathrm{l}$. a year intereft, at the rate of eight percent, and 4000 l. a year for the expence of management. The credit of the new government, eftablifhed by the revolution, we may believe, muft have been very low, when it was obliged to \Borrow at fo high an intereft.

- IN 1697 the bank was allowed to enlarge its capital ftock by an engraftment of $1,001,1711$. 10 s . Its whole capital ftock, therefore, amounted at this time to $2,201,1711$. IOS. This engraftment is faid to have been for the fupport of publick credit. $\ln 1 \mathbf{1} 696$ tallies had been at forty, and fifty, and fixty per cent. difcuunt, and bank notes at twenty per cent.*. During the great recoinage of the filver, which was going on at this time, the bank had thought proper to difcontinue the payment of its notes, which neceflarily occafioned their diferedit.
* James Poftlethwaite's Hittory of the Publick Revenue, poge $30 n$.
V.oL. I.
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 paid into the exchequer, the fum of 400,000 i. 3 damakinglin all the fum of $4,600,0001$. which it had advanced upon it original annuity of 96,0001 . intereft and 40001 . Sar exparice iof management. In 1708, therefore, the credit of governnent was als good as that of private perfons, fince it could borrow at fix per cent. intereft, the common legal and market rate of thofe times. In purfuance of the fame act, the bank cancelled exchequer bills to the amount of $1,775,0271.178$. $10 \div \mathrm{d}$. at fix per cent. intereft. and was at the fame time allowed to take in fublefiptions for doubling its capitel. In 1708, therefore, the capital of the bank amounted to $4,402,3431.3$ and it had advanced to government the fum of $3,375,0271$. 17 s . $10 \div \mathrm{d}$.

Br a call of fifteen per cent. in 1709, there was paid in and made fock $6,6,2041$. Is. 9 d. $;$ and by another of ten per cent. in $1710,501,4481.12 \mathrm{~s} .11 \mathrm{~d}$. In confequence of thafe two calls, therefore, the bank capital amounted to $5,559.995 .12 .14 \mathrm{si} .8 \mathrm{~d}$.

In purfuance of the 8th George I. c. wxi. the bank purchafed of the South Sea Company, ftock to the amount of $4,000,0001$. 4 and in iya2, in confequence of the fubferiptions which it had taken in for enabling it to make this purchafe, its capital ftock was: increafed by $3,400,000$ I. At this time, therefore; the bank had: advanced to the publick $9,375,0271.17$ s. $10 \div$ d. 3 and its capital fock amsunted only to $8,959,995$ 1. 14 9. 8d. It was upon this occafion chat the fum which the bank had advanced to the publick, and for whichit received intereft, began firft to exceed its eapital ftock, or the fum for which it paid a dividend to the proprietors of bank: ftock, or, in other words, that the bank began to have an uhailvided capital, over and above its divided one. It has continued to have an urloivided capital of the fame kind ever fince. In $174^{6}$ the bank had, upon different occafions, advanced to the publick

Kole to,600;800iv and its divided eapital had.been raifed by different culfermint fabferiptions to $10,780,0001$. The ftate of thofe two fuime have continued to be the fame ever fince. In purfaance of the 4in of Goorge III. C. 2 g . the bank agreed to pay to government for the renewal of its charter, iro,000 l. without intereft or repayment. This fum, therefore, did not increafe either of thofe two other fums.

Tre dividead of the bank has varied according to the variations in the rate of the intereft which it has, at different times, received fof the money it had advanced to the publick $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{v}}$ as well as according to other circumftances. This rate of interef has gradually been reduced from eight to three per cent. For fome years paft the bank dividend has been at five and a half per cent.

The fability of the bank of England is equal to that of the Britifh government. All that it has advanced to the publick muft be lof before its creditors can fuftain any lofs. No other banking enthpany in England can be eftablifhed by act of parliament, or can confift of more than fix members. It acts, not only as an ordinary bani, but as a great engine of fate. It receives and pays the greatet part of the annuities which are due to the creditors of the pablick, it circulates exchequer bills, and it advances to government the annuat amount of the land and malt taxes, which are frequently not paid up till fome years thereafter. In thoie different operations, its duty to the publick may fometimes have obliged it, without any fault of its directors, to overfock the circulation with paper money. It likewife difcounts merchants bills, and has, upon feveral different occafions, fupported the oredit of the principal houfes, not only of England, but of Hamburgh and Ifolland. Upon one occafion it is faid to have advanced for this purt pofe, in one week, about $1,600,0001$.; a great part of it in bullion. I do not, however, pretend to warrant either the greatnefs of the finm, or the Ahortnefs of the time. Upon ortien occafions, this great company has been reduced to the neceffity of paying in fixpences.
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$\underbrace{\text { II. }}$ rendering a greater part of that capitall active sand phoduefive than would otherwife be fo, that the mon judicious coperationis of banking can increafe the induftry of the country. ${ }^{\text {atr in ihat }}$ part of his capital which a dealer is obliged to keep by fim unemployed, and in ready money for aniwering occafional demands is fo much dead ftock, which, fo long as it remains in this fituation, preluces nothing either to him or to his country. The judicious operations of banking, enable him to convert this dead fock into active and productive fock; into materials to work upon, into tools to work with, and into provifions and fubfiftence to work for; into ftock which produces fomething both to him and to his country. The gold and filver money which circulates in any country, and by means of which, the produce of its land and labour is annually circulated and diftributed to the proper confumers, is, in the fame manner as the ready money of the dealer, all dead ftock. It is a very valuable part of the capital of the country, which produces nothing to the country. The judicious operations of banking, by fubftituting paper in the room of a great part of this gold and filver, enables the country to convert a great part of this dead fock into active and productive ftock; into ftock which produces fomething to the country. The gold and filver money which circulates in any country may very properly be compared to a highway, which, while it circulates and carries to market all the grafs and corn of the country, produces itfelf not a fingle pile of either. The judicious operations of banking, by providing, if I may be allowed fo violent a metaphor, a fort of waggon-way through the air ; enable the country to convert, as it were, a great part of its highways into good paftures and corn fields, and thereby to increafe very confiderably the annual produce of its land and labour. The commerce and induftry of the country, however, it muft be acknowledged, though they may be fomewhat augmented, cannot
 woru the Dedalian wings of paper money as when they travel about upon the folid ground of gold and, filver. Over, and aboue the accidents to which they are expofed from the unikilfulnefs of the fonductor of this paper money, they are liable to feveral, others, from which no prudence or \{kill of thofe conductors can gyard
> them.

> An unfucceffful war, for example, in which the enemy got poffeffion of the capital, and confequently of that treafure which fupported the credit of the paper money, would occafion a much greater confufion in a country where the whole circulation was carried on by paper, than in one where the greater part of it was carried on by gold and filver. The ufual inftrument of commerce having lof its value, no exchanges could be made but either by barter or upon credit. All taxes having been ufually paid in paper money, the prince would not have wherewithal either to pay his troopse or to furnifh his magazines; and the ftate of the country would be much more irretrievable than if the greater part of its circulation had confifted in gold and filver. A prince, anxious to maintain his dominions at all times in the fate in which he can moft eafily defend them, ought, upon this account, to guard, not only againft that exceffive multiplication of paper money which suins the, very banks which iffue it, but even againft that multiplication of it, which enables them to fill the greater part of the circulation of the country with it.
> THE circulation of every country may be confidered as divided into two different byanches; the circulation of the dealers with one another, and the circulation between the dealers and the confumers. Though the fame pieces of money, whether paper or metal, may be employed fometimes in the one circulation and fometimes in the other, yet as both are conftantly going on at the fame time, each requires
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BOOK requires a certain fock of money of one kitrid or anothery itocarry
$\xrightarrow[\sim]{\text { IT, }}$ it on, The value of the goods-cirkalated botweetr the diffirent deaters, never can exceed the value of thofa cireulated Between the dealers and the confumers; whatever is bought By the dealers; being ultimately deftined to be fold to the confimens Thecircian lation betiveen the dealers, as it is carried on by wholefale, requires generally a pretty large fum for every particular tranfaction. That between the dealers and the confumers, on the contrary, as it is generafly carried on by retail, frequently requires but yery fmall ones, a fhilling, or ever a halfpenny, being often fufficient. But frall fums circulate much fafter than large ones. A Shilling changes mafters more frequently than a guinea, and a hatfpeniny more frequently than a fhilling. Though the annual purehafes of all the confumers, therefore, are at leaft equal in value to thofe of all the deafers, they can generally be franfacted with a much fmaller quantity of money; the fame pieces, by a more rapid cireulations; ferving as the inftrument of many more purchafes of the one kind than of the other.

Paper money may be fo regulated, as either to confine itfelf very much to the circulation between the different dealers, or to extend itfelf likewife to a great part of that between the dealers and the confumers. Where no bank notes are circulated under ten pounds value, as in London, paper money confines itfelf very much to the circulation between the dealers. When a ten pound bank note comes into the hands of a confumer, he is generally obliged to change it at the firft fhop where he has occafion to purchafe five fhillings worth of goods, fo that it often returns into the hatids of a dealer, before the confumer has fpent the fortieth part of the money. Where bank notes are iffued for fo fmall fums as twenty fhillings, as in Scotland, paper money extends iffelf to a confiderable part of the circulation between dealery and confumers. Before the att of parliament, which put a fiop to the circulation of ten and

Guerfhilling inctes, it filled actill greater part of that circutation. In the icurrencies of North America, paper was commonly iffued for formall a fum as a hilling, and filled almort the whole of that cinculations In fome paper currencies of Yorkhire, it was ifficed even for to mall a fum as a fixpence.

Where the iffuing of bank notes for fuch very fmall fums is allowed and commonly practifed, many mean people are both enabled and encouraged to become bankers. A perfon whofe promiffory note for five pounds, or even for twenty fhillings, would be rejected by every body, will get it to be received without fcruple. when it is iffiued for fo fmall a fum as a fixpence. But the frequent tbanilarupteies to which fuch beggarly bankers muft be liable, may occafionadvery confiderable inconveniency, and fometimes even a very great-calamity to many poor people who had received their notes in payment.

It were better, perhaps, that no bank notes were iffued in any part of the kingdom for a fmaller fum than five pounds. Paper money would then, probably, confine itfelf, in every part of the kingdom, to the circulation between the different dealers, as much as it does at prefent in London, where no bank notes are ifflued under ten pounds value; five pounds being, in moft paits of the kingdom, a fum which, though it will purchafe, perthaps, littlemore than half the quantity of goods, is as much confidered, and is as feldom fpent all at once, as ten pounds are amidft the profufe expence of London.

Where paper money, it is to be obferved, is pretty nuch confined to the circulation between dealers and dealers, as at London, there is always pienty of gold and filver. Where it extends itfelf to2 confiderable part of the circulation between dealers and confumers,

BOOK as in Scotland, and fill more in North America, it banifhes gold and filver almoft entirely from the country; almoft all the ordinary tranfactions of its interior commerce being thus carried on by paper. The fuppreffion of ten and five fhilling bank notes, fomewhat relieved the fcarcity of gold and filver in Scotland; and the fuppreffion of twenty fhilling notes, would probably relieve it ftill more. Thofe metals are faid to have become more abundant in America, fince the fuppreffion of fome of their paper currencies. They are faid, likewife, to have been more abundant before the inftitution of thofe currencies.

Thover paper money fhould be pretty much confined to the circulation between dealers and dealers, yet banks and bankers might ftill be able to give nearly the fame affiftance to the induftry and commerce of the country, as they had done when paper money filled almoft the whole circulation. The ready money which a dealer is obliged to keep by him, for anfwering occafional demands, is deftined altogether for the circulation between himfelf and other dealers, of whom he buys goods. He has no occafion to keep any by him for the circulation between himfelf and the confumers, who are his cuftomers, and who bring ready money to him, inftead of taking any from him. Though no paper money, therefore, was allowed to be iffued, but for fuch fums as would confine it pretty much to the circulation between dealers and dealers; yet partly by difcounting real bills of exchange, and partly by lending upon cafh accaunts, banks and bankers might ftill be able to relieve the greater part of thofe dealers from the neceffity of keeping any confiderable part of their ftock by them, unemployed and in ready money, for anfwering occafional demands. They might ftill be able to give the utmoft affiftance which banks and bankers can, with propriety, give to traders of every kind.
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12 To reftrin private people, it may be faid, from receiving in payment the promifary notes of a banker, for any fum whether great or faall, when they themfelves are willing to receive them; or, to reffrain a banker from iffuing fach notes, when all his' neighbours are willing to accept of them, is a manifeft violation of that niatural Hiberty which it is the proper bufinefs of law, not to infringe, but to Support. Such regulations may, no doubt, be confidered as in fome refpect a violation of natural liberty. But thofe exertions of the natural liberty of a few individuals, which might endanger the fecurity of the whole fociety, are, and ought to be, reftrained by the laws of all governments; of the mof free, as well as the moft defpotical. The obligation of building party walls, in order to prevent the communication of fire, is a violation of natural Wherty exacty of the fame kind with the regulations of the banking trade which are here propofed.
A PARER money confifting in bank notes, iffued by people of undoubted credit, payable upon demand without any condition, and in face always readily paid as foon as prefented, is, in every sefpeft equal in value to gold and filver money; fince gold and filver money can at any time be had for it. Whatever is either bought, or fold for fuch paper, muft neceffarily be bought or fold as cheap asit could have been for gold and filver.

- The increafe of paper money, it has been faid, by augmenting the quantify, and confequently diminifling the yalue of the whole currenfy, neceffarily augments the money price of commodities. But as the quantity of gold and filver, which, is taken from the currency, is always equal to the quantity of paper which is added to it, paper money does not neceffarily increafe the quantity of the whole currency. From the beginning of the laft century to the prefent times, provifions never were cheaper in Scotland than in

1759,

BOOK 1759, though, from the circulation of ten and five milling bank notes, there was then more paper money in the country than at prefent. The proportion between the price of provifions in Scotland and that in England, is the fame now as before the great multiplication of banking companies in Seotland. Corn is, upon moft occafions, fully as cheap in England as in France; though there is a great deal of paper money in England, and fcarce any in France. In 1751 and in 1752, when Mr. Hume publifhed his Political difcourfes, and foon after the great multiplication of paper money in Scotland, there was a very fenfible rife in the price of provifions, owing, probably, to the badnefs of the fealons, and. not to the multiplication of paper money.

It would be otherwife, indeed, with a paper money confifing: in promiffary notes, of which the immediate payment depended, in any refpect, either upon the good will of thofe who iffued them; or upon a condition which the holder of the notes might not always have it in his power to fulfil; or of which the payment was not exigible till after a certain number of years, and which in the meantime bore no intereft. Such a paper money would, no doubt, fall more or lefs below the value of gold and filver, according as the difficulty or uncertainty of obtaining immediate payment was fuppofed to be greater or lefs; or according to the greater or lefs; diftance of time at which payment was exigible. .

Some years ago the different banking companies of 'Scotland were in the practice of inferting into their bank notes, what they called an Optional Claufe, by which they promifed payment to the bearer, either as foon as the note fhould be prefented, or, in the. option of the directors, fix months after fuch prefentment; together with the legal intereft for the faid fix months.: The directors of: fome of thofe banks fometimes took advantage of this optional. 4 claufe.
clau filve
claufe, and fometimes threatened thofe who demanded gold and filver in exchange for a confiderable number of their notes, that they would take advantage of it, unlefs fuch demanders would content themfelves with a part of what they demanded. The promiffary notes of thofe banking companies conftituted at that time the far greater part of the currency of Scotland, which this uncertainty of payment neceffarily degraded below the value of gold and filver money. During the continuance of this abufe, (which prevailed chiefly in 1762,1763 , and 1764), while the exchange between London and Carlifle was at par, that between London and Dumfries would fometimes be four per cent. againft Dumfries, though this town is not thirty miles diftant from Carlifle. But at Carlife, bills were paid in gold and filver; whereas at Dumfries they were paid in Scotch bank notes, and the uncertainty of getting thofe bank notes exchanged for gold and filver coin had thus degraded them four per cent. below the value of that coin. The fame act of parliament which fuppreffed ten and five fhilling bank notes, fuppreffed likewife this optional claufe, and thereby reftored the exchange between England and Scotland to its natural rate, or to what the courfe of trade and remittances might happen to make it.

In the paper currencies of Yorkfhire, the payment of fo fmall a fum as a fixpence fometimes depended upon the condition that the holder of the note fhould bring the change of a guinea to the perfon who iffued it; a condition, which the holders of fuch notes might frequently find it very difficult to fulfil, and which muft have degraded this currency below the value of gold and filver money. An act of parliament, accordingly, declared all fuch claufes unlawful, and fuppreffed, in the fame manner as in Scotland, all promiffary notes, payable to the bearer, under twenty fhillings value. notes payable to the bearer on demand, but in a government paper, of which the payment was not exigible till feveral years after it was iffued : And though the colony governments paid no intereft to the holders of this paper, they declared it to be, and in fact rendered it, a legal tender of payment for the full value for which it was iffued. But allowing the colony fecurity to be perfectly good, a hundred pounds payable fifteen years hence, for example, in a country where intereft is at fix per cent. is worth litthe more than forty pounds ready money. To oblige a creditor, therefore, to accept of this as full payment for a debt of a hundred pounds actually paid down in ready money, was an act of fuch violent injuftice, as has fcarce, perhaps, been attempted by the government of any other country which pretended to be free. It bears the evident marks of having originally been, what the honeft and downright Doctor Douglafs affures us it was; a fcheme of fraudulent debtors to cheat their creditors. The government of Penfylvania, indeed, pretended, upon their firft emiffion of paper money in 1722, to render their paper of equal value with gold and filver, by enacting penalties againft all thofe who made any difference in the price of their goods when they fold them for a colony paper, and when they fold them for gold and filver; a regulation equally tyrannical, but much lefs effectual than that which it was meant to fupport. A pofitive law may render a fhilling a legal tender for a guinea; becaufe it may direct the courts of juftice to difcharge the debtor who has made that tender. But no pofitive law can oblige a perfon who fells goods, and who is at liberty to fell or not to fell, as he pleafes, to accept of a fhilling as equivalent to a guinea in the price of them. Notwithftanding any regulation of this kind, it appeared by the courfe of exchange with Great Britain, that a hundred pounds fterling was occafionally confidered as equivalent, in fome of the colonies, to a hundred and thirty pounds, and in others to fo great a fum as
eleven
cleven hundred pounds currency; this difference in th value CHAP. arifing from the difference in the quantity of paper einitted $\underbrace{\text { II. }}$ in the different colonies, and in the diftance and probability of the term of its final difcharge and redemption.

No law, therefore, coutd be more equitable than the act of parliament, fo unjuftly complained of in the colonies, which declared that no paper currency to be emitted there in time coming, fhould be a tegal tender of payment.

Pensyivania was always more moderate in its emifions of paper money than any other of our colonies. Its paper currency accordingly is faid never to have funk below the value of the gold and filver which was current in the colony before the firft emiffion of its paper money. Before that emiffion, the colony had raifed the denomination of its coin, and had, by act of affembly, ordered five fhillings fterling to pafs in the colony for fix and threepence, and afterwards for fix and eight-pence. A pound colony currency, therefore, even when that currency was gold and filver, was more than thirty per cent. below the value of a pound fterling; and when that currency was turned into paper, it was feldom much more than thirty per cent. below that value. The pretence for raifing the denomination of the coin, was to prevent the exportation of gold and filver, by making equal quantities of thofe metals pafs for greater fums in the colony than they did in the mother country. It was found, however, that the price of all goods from the mother country rofe exactly in proportion as they raifed the denomination of their coin, fo that their gold and filver were exported as fart as ever.

The paper of each colony being received in the payment of the provincial taxes, for the full value for which it had been iffued,

## THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

B.OO.K it neceffarily derived from this ufe fome additional value, over and above what it would have had, from the real or fuppofed diftance of the term of its final difcharge and redemption. This additional value was greater or lefs, according as the quantity of paper iffued was more or lefs above what could be employed in the payment of the taxes of the particular colony which iffued it. It was in all the colonies very much above what could be employed in this manner.

A prince, who fhould enact that a certain proportion of his taxes fhould be paid in a paper money of a certain kind, might thereby give a certain value to this paper money; even though the term of its final difcharge and redemption fhould depend aitogether upon the will of the prince. If the bank which iffued this paper was careful to keep the quantity of it always fomewhat below what could eafily be employed in this manner, the demand for it might be fuch as to make it even bear a premium, or fell for fomewhat more in the market than the quantity of gold or filver currency for which it was iffued. Some people account in this manner for what is called the Agio of the bank of Amfterdam, or for the fuperiority of bank money over current money; though this bank money, as they pretend, cannot be taken out of the bank at the will of the owner. The greater part of foreign bills of exchange muft be paid in bank money, that is, by a transfer in the books of the bank; and the directors of the bank, they alledge, are careful to keep the whole quantity of bank money always below what this ufe occafions a demand for. It is upon this account, they fay, that bank money fells for a premium, or bears an agio of four or five per cent. above the fame nominal fum of the gold and filver currency of the country. This account of the bank of Amfterdam, however, I have reafon to believe, is altogether chimerical.

A paper
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A paper currency which falls below the value of gold and filver coin, does not thereby fink the value of gold and filver, or occafion equal quantities of thofe metals to exchange for a fmaller quantity of goods of any other kind. The proportion between the value of gold and filver and that of goods of any other kind, depends in all cafes, not upon the nature or quantity of any particular paper money, which may be current in any particular country, but upon the richnefs or poverty of the mines, which happen at any particular time to fupply the great market of the commercial world with thofe metals. It depends upon the proportion between the quantity of labour which is neceflary in order to bring a certain quantity of gold and filver to market, and that which is neceffary in order to bring thither a certain quantity of any other fort of. goods.

If bankers are reftrained from iffuing any circulating bank. notes, or notes payable to the bearer, for lefs than a certain fam; and if they are fubjected to the obligation of an immediate and unconditional payment of fuch bank notes as foon as prefented, their trade may, with fafety to the publick, be rendered in all other refpects perfectly free. The latemultiplication of banking companies in both parts of the united kingdom, an event by which many people have been much alarmed, inftead of diminifhing, increafes the fecurity of the publick. It obliges all of them to be more circumfpect in their conduct, and, by not extending their currency. beyond its due proportion to their cafh, to guard themfelves againft thofe malicious runs, which the rivalfhip of fo many competitors is always ready to bring upon- them: It reftrains the circulation of each particular company within a narrower circle, and reduces their circulating notes to a fmaller number. By/

By dividing the whole circulation into a greater number of parts, the failure of any one company, an accident which, in the courfe of things, muft fometimes happen, becomes of lefs confequence to the publick. This free competition too obliges all bankers to be more liberal in their dealings with their cuftomers, left their rivals fhould carry them away. In general, if any branch of trade, or any divifion of labjur, be advantageous to the publick, the freer and more general the competition, it will always be the more fo.

## C H A P. III.

Of the Accumulation of Capital, or of productive and unproductive Labour.

THERE is one fort of labour which adds to the value of the fubject upon which it is beftowed: There is an ther which has no fuch effect. The former, as it produces a value, may be called productive; the latter unproductive * labour. Thus the labour of a manufacturer adds generally to the value of the materials which he works upon, that of his own maintenance, and of his mafter's profit. The labour of a menial fervant, on the contrary, adds to the value of nothing. Though the manufacturer has his wages advanced to him by his mafter, he, in reality, cofts him no expence, the value of thofe wages being generally reftored, together with a profit, in the improved value of the fubject upon which his labour is beftowed. But the maintenance of a menial fervant never is reftored. A man grows rich by employing a multitude of manufacturers: He grows poor, by maintaining a multitude of menial fervants. The labour of the latter, however, has its value,

[^6]and
and deferves its reward as well as that of the former. But the labour of the manufacturer fixes and realizes itfelf in fome particular fubject or vendible commodity, which lafts for fome time at leaft after that labour is paft. It is, as it were, a certain quantity of labour ftocked and ftored up to be employed, if neceffary, upon fome other occafion. That fubject, or what is the fame thing, the price of that fubject, can afterwards, if neceffary, put into motion a quantity of labour equal to that which had originally produced it. The labour of the menial fervant, on the contrary, does not fix or realize itfelf in any particular fubject or vendible commodity. His fervices generally perih in the very inftant of their performance, and feldom leave any trace or value behind them, for which an equal quantity of fervice could afterwards be procured.

The labour of fome of the moft refpectable orders in the feciety is, like that of menial fervants, unproductive of any value, and does not fix or realize itfelf in any permanent fubject, or vendible commodity, which endures after that labour is paft, and for which an equal quantity of labour could afterwards be procured. The fovereign, for example, with all the officers both of juftice and war who ferve under him, the whole army and navy, are unproductive labourers. They are the fervants of the publick, and are maintained by a part of the annual produce of the induftry of other people. Their fervice, how honourable, how ufeful, or how neceffary foever, produces nothing for which an equal quantity of fervice can afterwards be procured. The protection, fecurity, and defence of the commonwealth, the effect of their labour this year, will not purchafe its protection, fecurity, and defence, for the year to come. In the fame clafs muft be ranked, fome both of the graveft and moft important, and fome of the moft frivolous profeffions: churchmen, lawyers, phyficians, men of letters of all kinds; players, buffoons, muficians; operaVol. I. 3 F fingers.
${ }^{B}{ }^{11}$. $K$ fingers, opera-dancers, \&c. The labour of the meaneft of thefe has a certain value, regulated by the very fame principles which regulate that of every other fort of labour; and that of the nobleft and moft ufeful, produces nothing which could afterwards purchafe or procure an equal quantity of labour. Like the declamation of the actor, the harangue of the orator, or the tune of the mufician, the work of all of them perifhes in the very inftant of its production.

Вотн productive and unproductive labourers, and thofe who do not labour at all, are all equally maintained by the annual produce of the land and labour of the country. This produce, how great foever, can never be infinite, but muft have certain limits. According, therefore, as a fmaller or greater proportion of it is in any one year employed in maintaining unprotuctive hands, the more in the one cafe and the lefs in the other will remain for the productive, and the next year's produce will be greater or fmaller accordingly; the whole annual produce, if we except the fpontanenus productions of the earth, being the effect of productive labour.

Thovgh the whole annual produce of the land and labour of every country, is, no doubt, ultimately deftined for fupplying the confumption of its inhabitants, and for procuring a revenue to them; yet when it firt comes either from the ground, or from the hands of the productive labourers, it naturally divides itfelf into two parts. One of them, and frequently the largeft, is, in the firft place, deftined for replacing a capital, or for renewing the provifions, materials, and firifted work, which had been withdrawn from a capital; the other for conflituting a revenue either to the owrer of this capital, as the profit of his ftock; or to fome other perfon, as the rent of his land. Thus, of the produce of land,
one part replaces the capital of the farmer; the other pays his profit and the rent of the landlord; and thus conftitutes a revenue

CHAP. $\underbrace{\text { III. }}$ both to the owner of this capital, as the profits of his ftock; and to fome other perfon, as the rent of his land. Of the produce of a great manufacture, in the fame manner, one part, and that always the largeft, replaces the capital of the undertaker of the work; the other pays his profit, and thus conftitutes a revenue to the owner of this capital.

That part of the annual produce of the land and labour of any country which replaces a capital, never is immediately employed to maintain any but productive hands. It pays the wages of productive labour only. That which is immediately deftined for conftituting a revenue either as profit or as rent, may maintain indifferently either productive or unproductive hands.

Whatever part of his ftock a man employs as a capital, he always expects is to be replaced to him with a profit. He employs it, therefore, in maintaining productive hands only; and after having ferved in the function of a capital to him, it conflitutes a revenue to them. Whenever he employs any part of it in maintaining unproduetive hands of any kind, that part is, from that moment, withdrawn from his capital, and placed in his fock referved for immediate confumption.

UnPRODUCTive labourers, and thofe who do not labour at all, are all maintained by revenue; either, firt, by that part of the annual produce which.is originally deftined for conftituting a revenue to fome particular perfons, either as the rent of land or as the profits of ftock; or, fecondly, by that part which, though originally deftined for replacing a capital and for maintaining productive labourers only, yet when it comes into their hands, what-
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B OOK ever part of it is over and above their neceffary fubfiftence, may be employed in maintaining indifferently either productive or unproductive hands. Thus, not only the great landlord or the rich merchant, but even the common workman, if his wages are confiderable, may maintain a menial fervant; or he may fometimes go to a play or a puppet-fhow, and fo contribute his fhare towards maintaining one fet of unproductive labourers; or he may pay fome taxes, and thus help to maintain another fet, more honourable and ufeful, iudieed, but equally unproductive. No part of the annual produce, however, which had been originally deftined to replace a capital, is ever directed towards maintaining unpraductive hands, till after it has put into motion its full complement of productive labour, or all that it could put into motion in the way in which it was employed. The workman muft have earned his wages by work done, before he can employ any part of them in this manner. That part too is generally but a fmall one. It is his fpare revenue only, of which productive labourers. have feldom a great deal. They generally have fome, however; and in the payment of taxes the greatnefs, of their number may compenfate, in fome meafure, the fmallnefs of their contribution. The rent of land and the profits of ftock are every where, therefore, the principal fources from which unproductive. hands derive their fubfiftence. Thefe are the two forts of revenue of which the owners have generally moft to fpare. They might both maintain indifferently either productive or unproductive hands. They feem, however, to have fome predilection for the latter. The expence of a great lord feeds gererally more idle than induftrious people. The rich merchant, though with his capital he maintains indu-ffrious people only, yet by his expence, that is, by the employment of his reverue, he feeds commonly the very fame fort as the great lord.

The

The proportion, therefore, between the productive and unproductive hands, depends very much in every country upon the pro- portion between that part of the annual produce, which, as foon as it comes either from the ground or from the hands of the productive labourers, is deftined for replacing a capital, and that which is deftined for conitituting a revenue, either as rent, or as profit. This proportion is very different in rich from what it is in poor countries.

Thus, át prefent, in the opulent countries of Europe, a very large, frequently the largeft portion of the produce of theland, is deftined for replacing the capital of the rich and independant farmer; the other for paying his profits, and the rent of the landlord. But antiently, during the prevalency of the feudal government, a very imall portion of the produce was fufficient to replace the capital employed in cul$t^{\text {ivation. It }}$ confifted commonly in a few wretched cattle, maintained altogether by the fpontaneous produce of uncultivated land, and which might, therefore, be confidered as a part of that fpontaneous produce. It generally too belonged to the landlord, and was by him advanced to the occupiers of the land. All the reft of the produce properly belonged to him too, either as rent for his land, or as profit upon this paultry capital. The occupies s of land were generally bondmen, whofe perfons and effects were equally his pro-

- perty. Thofe who were not bondmen were tenants at will, and though the rent which they paid was often nominally little more than a quit-rent, it really amounter to the whole produce of the land. Their lord could at all times command their labour in peace, and their fervice in war. Though they lived at a diftance from his houfe, they were equally dependant upon him as his retainers who lived in it. But the whole produce of the land undoubtedly belongs to him, who can difpofe of the labour and fervice of all thofe whom it maintains. In the prefent fate of Europe,

B OOK the fhare of the landlord feldom exceeds a third, fometimes not a fourth part of the whole produce of the land. The rent of land, however, in all the improved parts of the country, has been tripled and quadrupled fince thofe antient times; and this third or fourth part of the annual produce is, it feems, three or four times greater than the whole had been before. In the progrefs of improvement, rent, though it increafes in proportion to the extent, diminifles in proportion to the produce of the land.

In the opulent countries of Europe, great capitals are at prefent employed in trade and manufactures. In the ancient ftate, the little trade that was ftirring, and the few homely and coarfe manufactures that were carried on, required but very fmall capitals. Thefe, however, muft have yielded very large profits. The rate of intereft was no where lefs than ten per cent. and their profits muft have been fufficient to afford this great intereft. At prefent the rate of intereft, in the improved parts of Europe, is no where higher than fix per cent. and in fome of the moft improved it is fo low as four, three, and two per cent. Though that part of the revenue of the inhabitants which is derived from the profits of ftock is always mach greater in rich than in poor countries, it is becaufe the ftock is much greater: in proportion to the ftock the profits are generally much lefs.

That part of the annual produce, therefore, which, as foon as it comes either from the ground or from the hands of the produstive labourers, is deftined for replacing a capital, is not only much greater in :ich than in poor countries, but bears a much greater proportion to that which is immediately deftined for conftituting a revenue either as rent or as profit. The funds deftined for the maintenance of productive labour, are not only much greater in the former than in the latter, but bear a much greater 7 proportion
proportion to thofe which, though they may be employed to main- CHAP. tain either productive or unproductive hands, have generally a $\underbrace{\text { III. }}$ predilection for the latter.

The proportion between thofe different funds neceffarily determines in every country the general character of the inhabitants as to induftry or idlenefs. We are more induftrious than our forefathers; becaufe in the prefent times the funds deftined for the maintenance of induftry, are much greater in proportion to thofe which are likely to be employed in the maintenance of idlenefs, than they were two or three centuries ago. Our anceftors were idle for want of a fufficient encouragement to induftry. It is better, fays the proverb, to play for nothing, than to work for nothing. In mercantile and manufacturing towns, where the inferior ranks of people are chiefly maintained by the employment of capital, they are in general induftrious, fober, and thriving; as in many Englifh, and in moft Dutch towns. In thofe towns which are principally fupported by the conftant or occafional refidence of a court, and in which the inferior ranks of people are chiefly maintained by the fpending of revenue, they are in general idle, diffolute, and poor; as at Rome, Verfailles, Compiegne, and Fontainbleau. If you except Rouen and Bourdeaux, there is little trade or induftry in any of the parliament towns of France; and the inferior ranks of people being chiefly maintained by the expence of the members of the courts of juftice, and of thofe who come to plead before them, are in general idle and poor. The great trade of Rouen and Bourdeaux feems to be altogether the effect of their fituation. Rouen is neceffarily the entrepott of almoft all the goods which are brought either from foreign countries, or from the maritime provinces of France, for the confumption of the great city of Paris. Bourdeaux is in the fame manner the entrepôt of the wines which grow upon the banks of the Garonne, and of the rivers which run into it, one of the richeft wine
countries
countries in the world, and which feems to produce the wine fitteft for exportation, or beft fuited to the tafte of foreign nations. Such advantageous fituations receffarily attract a great capital by the great employment which they afford it; and the employment of this capital is the caufe of the induftry of thofe two cities. In the other parliament towns of France, very little more capital feems to be employed than what is neceffary for fupplying their own confumption; that is, little more than the fmalleft capital which can be employed in them. The fame thing may be faid of Paris, Madrid, and Vienna. Of thofe three cities, Paris is by far the moft induftrious; but Paris itfelf is the principal market of all the marrufactures eftablifhed at Paris, and its own confumption is the principal object of all the trade which it carries on. Londoh, Lifbon, and Copenhagen, are, perhaps, the only three cities in Europe, which are both the conftant refidence of a court, and can at the fame time be confidered as trading cities, or as cities which trade not only for their own confumption, but for that of other cities and countries. The fituation of all the theee is extremely advantageous, and naturally fits them to be the entrepôts of a great part of the goods deftined for the confumption of diftant places. In a city where a great revenue is fpent, to employ with advantage a capital for any other purpofe than for fupplying the confumption of that city, is probably more difficult than in one in which the inferior ranks of people have no other maintenance but what they derive from the employment of fuch a capital. The idlenefs of the greater part of the people who are maintained by the expence of revenue, corrupts, it is probable, the induftry of thofe who ought to be maintained by the employment of capital, and renders it lefs advantageous to employ a capital there than in other places. There was little trade or induftry in Edinburgh before the union. When the Scotch parliament was no longer to be affembled in it, when it ceafed to be the neceffary refidence of the principal nobility
and gentry of Scotland, it became a city of fome trade and induftry. It fill continues, however, to be the refidence of the principal $\underbrace{\substack{\text { HII. } \\ \text { III. }}}$ courts of juftice in Scotland, of the boards of cuftoms and excife, \&sc. A confiderable revenue, thersfore, ftill continues to be fpent in it. In trade and induftry it is much inferior to Glafgow, of which the inhabitants are chiefly maintained by the employment of capital. The inhabitants of a large village, it has fometimes been obferved, after having made confiderable progrefs in manufactures, have become idle and poor, in confequence of a great lord's having taken up his refidence in their neighbourhood.

The proportion between capital and revenue, therefore, feems every where to regulate the proportion between induftry and idlenefs. Wherever capital predominates, induftry prevails: Whereever revenue, idlenefs. Every increafe or diminution of capital, therefore, naturally tends to increafe or diminifh the real quantity of induftry, the number of productive hands, and confequently the exchangeable value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, the real wealth and revenue of all its inhabitants.

Capitals a.e increafed by parfimony, and diminifhed by prodigality and mifconduct.

Whatever a perfon faves from his revenue he adds to his capital, and either employs it himfelf in maintaining an additional number of productive hands, or enables fome other perfon to do fo, by iending it to him for an intereft, that is, for a fhare of the profits. As the capital of an individual can be increafed only by what he faves from his annual revenue or his annual gains, fo the capital of a fociety, which is the fame with that of all the Vol. I.
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${ }^{\text {B OOK }}$ II. individuals who compofe it, can be increafed only in the fame $\underbrace{\text { manner. }}_{\text {11. }}$

Parsimony and not induftry is the immediate caufe of the increafe of capital. Induftry, indeed, provides the fubject which parfimony accumulates. But whatever induftry might acquire, if parfimony did not fave and fore up, the capital would never be the greater.

Parsimony, by increafing the fund which is deftined for the maintenance of productive hands, tends to increafe the number of thofe hands whofe labour adds to the value of the fubject upon which it is beftowed. It tends therefore to increafe the exchangeable value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country. It puts into motion an additional quantity of induftry, which gives an additional value to the annual produce.

What is annually faved is as regularly confumed as what is annually fpent, and nearly in the fame time too; but it is confumed by a different fett of people. That portion of his rcvenue which a rich man annually fpends, is in moft cafes confumed by idle guefts, and menial fervants, who leave nothing behind them in return for their confumption. That portion which he annually faves, as for the fake of the profit it is immediately employed as a capital, is confumed in the fame manner, and nearly in the fame time too, but by a different fett of people, by labourers, manufacturers, and artificers, who re-produce with a profit the value of their annual confumption. His revenue, we fhall fuppofe, is paid him in money. Had he fpent the whole, the food, cloathing, and lodging which the whole could have purchafed, would have been diftributed among the former fett of people. By faving a part of it, as that part is for the
fake of the profit immediately employed as a capital either by CHAP. himfelf or by fome other perfon, the food, cloathing, and lodging, which may be purchafed with" are neceffarily referved for the latter. The confumption is the fame, but the confumers are different.

By what a frugal man annually faves, he not only affords maintenance to an additional number of productive hands, for that or the enfuing year, but, like the founder of a publick workhoufe, he eftablifhes as it were a perperual fund for the maintenance of an equal number in all times to come. The perpetual allotment and deftination of this fund, indeed, is not always guarded by any pofitive law, by any truft-right or deed of mortmain. It is always guarded, however, by a very powerful principle, the plain and evident intereft of every individual to whom any fhare of it fhall ever belong. No part of it can ever afterwards be employed to maintain any but productive hands, without an evident lofs to the perfon who thus perverts it from its proper deftination.

The prodigal perverts it in this manner. By not confining his expence within his income, he encroaches upon his capital. Like him who perverts the revenues of fome pious foundation to profane pu.pofes, he pays the wages of idlenefs with thofe funds which the frugality of his forefathers had, as it were, confecrated to the maintenance of induftry. By diminifhing the funds deftined for the employment of productive labour, he neceffarily diminifhes, fo far as depends upon him, the quantity of that labour which adds a value to the fubject upon which it is beftowed, and, confequently, the value of the amaual produce of the land and labour of the whole country, the real weatth and revenue of its inhabitants. If the prodigality of fome was not compenfated by the frugality of others, the condact of every 3 G 2 prodigal,
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${ }^{B} \mathrm{OOO}_{\text {II. }}$ K prodigal, by feeding the idle with the bread of the induftrious, $\mathrm{I}^{\text {II. }}$ tends not only to beggar himfelf, but to impoverihh his country.

Though the expence of the prodigal hould be altogether in home-made and no part of it in foreign commodities, its effect upon the productive funds of the fociety would ftill be the fame. Every year there would ftill be a certain quantity of food and cloathing, which ought to have maintained productive, employed in maintaining unproductive hands. Every year, therefore, there would ftill be fome diminution in what would otherwife have been the value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country.

This expence, it may be faid indeed, not being in foreign goods, and not occafioning any exportation of gold and filver, the fame quantity of money would remain in the country as before. But if the quantity of food and cloathing, which were thus confumed by unproductive, had been diftributed among productive hands, they would have reproduced, together with a profit, the full value of their confumption. The fame quantity of money would in this cafe equally have remained in the country, and there would befides have been a reproduction of an equal value of confumable goods. There would have been two values inftead of one.

The fame quantity of money befides cannot long remain in any country, in which the value of the annual produce diminifhes. The fole ufe of money is to circulate confumable goods. By means of it, provifions, materials, and finifhed work, are bought and fold, and diftributed to their proper confumers. The quantity of money, therefore, which can be annually employed in any country muft be determined by the value of the confumable goods annually circulated within it. Thefe muft confirt either in the immediate
immediate produce of the land and labour of the country itfelf; or in fomething which had been purchafed with fome part of that produce. Their value, therefore, muft diminifh as the value of that produce diminifhes, and along with it the quantity of money which can be employed in circulating them. But the money which by this annual diminution of produce is annually thrown out of domeftick circulation will not be allowed to lie idle. The intereft of whoever poffeffes it, requires that it fhould be employed. But having no employment at home, it will, in fpite of all laws and prohibitions; be fent abroad, and employed in purchafing confumable goods which may be of fome ufe at home. Its annual exportation will in this manner continue for fome time to add fomething to the annual confumption of the country beyond the value of its own annual produce. What in the days of its profperity had been faved from that annual produce, and employed in purchafing gold and filver, will contribute for fome little time to fupport its confumption in adverfity. The exportation of gold and filver is, in this cafe, not the caufe, but the effect of its declenfion, and may even for fome little time alleviate the mifery of that declenfion.

The quantity of money, on the contrary, muft in every country naturally increafe as the value of the annual produce increafes. The value of the confumable goods annually circulated wichin the fociety being greater, will require a greater quantity of money to circulate them. A part of the increafed produce, therefore, will naturally be employed in purchafing, wherever it is to be had, the additional quantity of gold and filver neceffary for circulating the reft. The increafe of thofe metals will in this cafe be the effect, not the caufe, of the publick profperity. Gold and filver are purchafed every where in the fame manner. The food, cloathing, and lodging, the revenue and maintenance of all
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all thofe whofe labour or fock is employed in bringing them from the mine to the market, is the price paid for them in Peru as well as in England. The country which has this price to pay, will never be long without the quantity of thofe metals which it has oecafion for; and no country will ever long retain a quantity which it has no occafion for.

Whatever; therefore, we may imagine the real wealth and revenue of a country to confift in, whether in the value of the annual produce of its land and labour, as plain reafon feems to dictate; or in the quantity of the precious metals which circulate within it, as vulgar prejudices fuppose; in either view of the matter, every prodigal appears to be a publick enemy, and every frugal man a publick benefactor.

The effects of mifeonduct are often the fame as thofe of prodigality. Every injudicious and unfuccefsful project in agriculture, mines, fifheries, trade, or manufactures, tends in the fame manner to diminif the funds deftined for the maintenance of productive labour. In every fuch project, though the capital is confumed by productive hands only, yet, as by the injudicious manner in which they are employed, they do not reproduce the full value of their confumption, there muft always be fome diminution in what would otherwife have been the productive funds of the fociety.

Ir can feldom happen, indeed, that the circumftances of a great nation can be much affected either by the prodigality or mifconduct of individuals; the profufion or imprudence of fome being always more than compenfated by the frugality and good conduct of others.

With regard to profufion, the principle, which prompts to expence, is the paffion for prefent enjoyment; which, though fometimes violent and very difficult to be reftrained, is in general only momentary and occafional. But the principle which prompts to fave, is the defire of bettering our condition, a defire which, though generally calm and difpaffionate, comes with us from the womb, and never leaves us till we go into the grave. In the whole interval which feparates thofe two moments, there is fcarce: perhaps a fingle inftant in which any man is fo perfectly and compleatly fatisfied with his fituation, as to be without any wifh of alteration or improvement of any kind. An atgmentation of fortune is the means by which the greater part of men propofe and wifh to better their condition. It is the means the moft vulgar and the moft obvious; and the moft likely way of augmenting their fortune, is to fave and accumulate fome part of what they acquire, either regularly and annually, or upon fome extraordinary occafions. Though the principle of expence, therefore, prevails in almoft all men upon fome occafions, and in fome men upon almoft all occafions, yet in the greater part of men, taking the whole courfe of their life at an average, the principle of frugality feems not only to predominate, but to predominate very greatly.

With regard to mifconduct, the number of prudent and fucceffful undertakings is every where much greater than that of injudicious and unfucceffful ones. After all our complaints of the frequency of bankruptcies, the unhappy men who fall into this misfortune make but a very fmall part of the whole number engaged in trade, and all other forts of bufinefs; not much more perhaps than one in a thoufand. Bankruptcy is perhaps the: greateft and moft humiliating calamity which can befal an innocent man. The greater part of men, therefore, are fufficiently care-

Great nations are never impoverifhed by private, though they fometimes are by publick prodigality and mifconduct. The whole, or almoft the whole publick revenue, is in moft countries employed in maintaining unproductive hands. Such are the people who compofe a numerous and fplendid court, a great ecclefiaftical eftablifhment, great fleets and armies, who in time of peace produce nothing, and in time of war acquire nothing which can compenfate the expence of maintaining them, even while the war lafts. Such people, as they themfelves produce nothing, are all maintained by the produce of other men's labour. When multiplied, therefore, to an unneceffary number, they may in a particular year confume fo great a fhare of this produce, as not to leave a fufficiency for maintaining the productive labourers, who fhould reproduce it next year. The next year's produce, therefore, will be lefs than that of the foregoing, and if the fame diforder fhould continue, that of the third year will be ftill lefs than that of the fecond. Thofe unproductive hands, who fhould be maintained by a part only of the fpare revenue of the people, may confume fo great a fhare of their whole revenue, and thereby oblige fo great a number to encroach upon their capitals, upon the funce deftined for the maintenance of productive labour, that all the frugality and good conduct of individuals may not be able to compenfate the wafte and degradation of produce occafioned by this violent and forced encroachment.

This frugality and good conduct, however, is upon moft occafions, it appears from experience, fufficient to compenfate, not only the private prodigality and mifconduct of individuals, but the publick extravagance of government. The uniform, conftant,
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and uninterrupted effort of every man to better his condition, the principle from which pablick and national, as well as private opulence is originally derived, is frequently powerful enough to maintain the natural progrefs of things towards improvernent, in fpite both of the extravagance of government, and of the greatef errors of adminiftration. Like the unknown principle of animal life, it frequently reftores health and vigour to the conftitution, in fpite, not only of the difeafe, but of the abfurd prefriptions of the doctor.
$T_{H E}$ amnuak produce of the land and labour of any nation can be increafed-in its value by no other means, but by increafing either the number of its productive labourers, or the productive powers of thofe labourers who had before been employed. The number of its productive labourers, it is evident, can never be much increafed, but in confequence of an increafe of capital, or of the funds deftined for maintaining them. The productive powers of the fame number of labourers cannot be increafed, but in confequence either of fome addition and improvement to thofe machines and inftruments whick facilitate and abridge labour; or of a more proper divifion and diftribution of employment. In either cafe an additional capital is almoft always required. It is by means of an additional capital only that the undertaker of any work can either provide his workmen with better machinery, or make a more proper diftribution of employment among them. When the work to be done confifts of a number of parts, to keep every man conftantly employed in one way, requires a much greater capital than where every marr is occafionally employed in every different part of the work. When we compare, therefore, the fate of a nation at two different periods, and find, that the annual produce of its land and labour is evidently greater at the latter than at the former, that its lands are better cultivated, its manufactures more numeVol. I.

3 H
rous

BOOK rous and more flourihhing, and its trade more extenfive, we may be affured that its capital mult have increafed during the interval between thofe two periods, and that more mult have been added to it by the good conduct of fome, than had been taken from it either by the private mifconduct of others, or by the publick extravagance of government. But we fhall find this to have been the cafe of almoft all nations, in all tolerably quiet and peaceable times, even of thufe who have not enjoyed the moft prudent and parfimonious governments. To form a right judgement of it, indeed, we muft compare the fate of the country at periods fomewhat diftant from one another. The progrefs is frequently fo gradual, that, at near periods, the improvement is not only not fenfible, but from the declenfion either of certain branches of induftry, or of certain diftricts of the country, things which fometimes happen though the country in general is in great profperity, there frequently arifes a fufpicion, that the riches and induftry of the whole are decaying.

The annual produce of the land and labour of England, for example, is certainly much greater than it was, a little more than a century ago, at the reftoration of Charles II. Though at prefent, few peopie, I believe, doubt of this, yet during this period, five years have feldom paffed away in which fome book or pamphlet has not been publifhed, written too with fuch abilities as to gain fome authority with the publick, and pretending to demonftrate that the wealth of the nation was faft declining, that the country was depopulated, agriculture neglected, manufactures decaying, and trade undone. Nor have thefe publications been all party pamphlets, the wretched offspring of falihood and venality. Many of them have been written by very candid and very intelligent people; who wrote nothing but what they believed, and for no other reafon but becaufe they believed it.

Tha

The annual produce of the land and labour of England again, was certainly much greater at the reftoration, than we can fuppofe it to have been about an hundred years before, at the acceffion ci: Elizabeth. At this period too, we have all reafon to believe, the country was much more advanced in improvement, than it had been about a century before, towards the clofe of the diffenfions between the houfes of York and Lancafter. Even then it was, probably, in a better condition than it had been at the Norman conqueft, and at the Norman conqueft, than during the confufion of the Saxon Heptarchy. Even at this early period, it was certainly a more improved country than at the invafion of Julius Cafar, when its inhabitants were nearly in the fame fate with the favages in North America.

In each of thofe periods, however, there was not only much private and nublick profufion, many expenfive and unneceffary wars, great per rich of the annual produce from maintaining productive to mainimin unproductive hands; but fometimes, in the confufion of civil diford, fuch abfolute wafte and deftruction of ftock, as might be fuppofed, not only to retard, as it certainly did, the natural accumulation of riches, but to have left the country, at the end of the period, poorer than at the beginning. Thus, in the happieft and moft fortunate period of them all, that which has paffed fince the reftoration, how many diforders and misfortunes have occurred, which, could they have been forefeen, not only the impoverifhment, but the total ruin of the country would have been expected from them? The fire and the plague of London, the two Dutch wars, the diforders of the revolution, the war in Ireland, the four expenfive French wars of 1688, 1701, 1742, and 1756 , together with the two rebellions of ${ }_{1715}$ and 1745 . In the courfe of the four French wars, the nation has contracted more than a hundred and forty five millions of debt, over and above all the $3 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ other

BOOK other extraordinary annual expence which they oocafionef, fo that
II.
$\mathrm{II}^{\text {II. the whole cannot be computed at lefis than two hundred milions. }}$ So great a fare of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, has, fince the revolution, been employed upon different occafione, in maintaining an extraordinary number of unproductive hands. But had not thofe wars given this particular direction to fo large a capital, the greater part of it would naturally have been employed in maintaining productive hands, whofe labour would have replaced, with a profit, the whole value of their confumption. The value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, would have been confiderably inereafed by it every year, and every year's increafe would have augmented ftill more that of the next year. More houfes would have been built, more lands would have been improved, and thofe which had been improved before would have been better cultivated, more roanufactures would have been eftablifhed, and thofe which had been eftablifhed before would have been more extended; and to what height the real wealth and revenue of the country might, by this time, have been raifed, it is not perhaps very eafy even to imagine.

But though the profufion of government muft, undoubtedly, have rotarded the natural progiefs of England towards wealth and improvement, it has not been abie to ftop it. The annual produce of its land and labour is, undoubtedly, much greater at prefent than it was either at the reftoration or at the revolution. The cepital, therefore, annually employed in cultivating this land, and in maintaining this labour, muft likewife be much greater. In the midft of all the exactions of government, this capital has been filently and gradually accumulated by the private frugality and good conduct of individuals, by their univerfal, continual, and uninterrupted effort to better their own condition. It is this effort,
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As frugality increafes, and prodigality diminifhes the publick capital, fo the conduct of thofe, whofe expence juft equals their revenue, without either accumulating or encroaching, neither increafes nor diminifhes it. Some modes of expence, however, feem to contribute more to the growth of puhlick opulence than others.

The revenue of an individual may be fpent, either in things which are confumed immediately, and in which one day's expence can neither alleviate nor fupport that of another; or it may be fpent in things more durable, which an therefore be accumulated, and in which every day's expence may, as he chufes, either alleviate, or fupport and heighten the effect of that of the following day. A man of fortune, for example, may either fpend his revenue in a profufe and fumptuous table, and in maintaining a great number of menial fervants, and a multitude of dogs and horfes; or contenting
protected by law and allowed by liberty to exerz itfelf in the manner that is moft advantageous, which has maintained the progrefs of England towards opulence and improvement in almoft all former times, and which, it is to be hoped, will do fo in all future times. England, however, as it has never been bleffed with a very parfimonious government, fo parfimony has at no time been the characteriftical virtue of its inhabitants. It is the higheft impertinence and prefumption, therefore, in kings and minifters, to pretend to watch over the ceconomy of private people, and to reftrain their expence either by fumptuary laws, or by prohibiting the importation of foreign luxuries. They are themfelves always, and without any exception, the greatert fpendthrifts in the fociety. Let them look well after their own expence, and they may fafely truft private people with theirs. If their own extravagance does not ruin the ftate, that of their fubjects never will.
$\qquad$

THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF
B OO K tenting himfelf with a frugal table and few attendants, he may lay
II. out the greater part of it in adorning his houfe or his country villa, in ufeful or ornamental buildings, in ufeful or ornamental furniture, in cclecting books, ftatues, pictures; or in things more frivolous, jewels, baubles, ingenious trinkets of different kinds; or, what is moft trifling of all, in amaffing a great wardrobe of fine clothes, like the favourite : I minifter of a great prince who died a few years ago. Were : 3 r.en of equal fortune to fpend their revenue, the one chiefly in the one way, the other in the other, the magnificence of the perfon whofe expence had been chiefly in durable commodities, would be continually increafing, every day's expence contributing fomething to fupport and heighten the effect of that of the following day: That of the other, on the contrary, would be no greater at the end of the period than at the beginning. The former too would, at th.; end of the period, be the richer man of the two. He would have a ftock of goods of fome kind or other, which, though it might not be worth all that it coft, would always be worth fomething. No trace or veftige of the expence of the latter would remain, and the effects of ten or twenty years profufion would be as compleatly annihilated as if they had never exifted.

As the one mode of expence is more favourable than the other to the opulence of an individual, fo is it likewife to that of a nation. The houfes, the furniture, the cloathing of the rich, in a little time, become ufeful to the inferior and middling ranks of people. They are able to purchafe them when their fuperiors grow weary of them, and the general accommodation of the whole people is thus gradually improved, when this mode of expence becomes univerfal among men of fortune. In countries which have long been rich, you will frequently find the inferior ranks of people in poffeffion both of houfes and furniture perfectly good and entire, but of which
which neither the one could have been built, nor the other have been made for their ufe. What was formerly a feat of the family

CHAP.
III. of Seymour, is now an inn upon the Bath road. The marriage bed of James the Ift of Great Britain, which his Queen brought with her from Denmark, as a prefent fit for a fovereign to make to a fovereign, was, a few years ago, the ornament of an alehoufe at Dunfermline. In fome ancient cities, which either have been long ftationary, or have gone fomewhat to decay, you will fometimes fcarce find a fingle houfe which could have been built for its prefent inhabitants. If you go into thofe houfes too, you will frequently find many excellent, though antiquated pieces of furniture, which are ftill very fit for ufe, and which could as little have been made for them. Noble palaces, magnificent villas, great collections of books, fatues, pictures, and other curiofities, are frequently both an ornament and an honour, not only to the neighbourhood, but to the whole country to which they belong. Verfailles is an ornament and an honour to France, Stowe ana ilton to England. Italy ftilt continues to command fome fort of veneration by the number of monuments of this kind which it poffeffes, though the wealth which produced them has decayed, and the genius which planned them feems to be extinguifhed, perhaps from not having the fame employment.

The expence too, which is laid out in durable commodities, is favourable, not only to accumulation, but to frugality. If a perfon fhould at any time exceed in it, he can eafily reform without expofing himfelf to the cenfure of the publick. To reduce very much the number of his fervants, to reform his table from great profufion to great frugality, to lay down his equipage after he has once fet it up, are changes which cannot efcape the obfervation of his neighbours, and which are fuppofed to imply fome acknowledgement of preceding bad conduct. Few, therefore, of thofe
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BOOK wha have oncel been fo tinfortunate to launch out too II. far into this fort of expences have afterwaids the courage to reform, till ruin and bankruptey oblige then. Bat if a perfon has, at any time; been at 200 great an expence in building; in furniture, in books or piftures, no imprudence can the in: ferred from his changing his conduct. Thefe are thinge in which further expence is frequently rendered unneceflary by former: expences and when a perfon fops fhort, he appears to ido fo, not becaufe he has exceeded his fortune, buedbeciule he hab fatisfied his fancy.


The expence, befides, that is laid out in durable commodities, gives maintenance, commonly, to a greater nubibiber of people, than that whick is employed in the moft profore hofpitality. Of two or three hundred weighen of priowigdeng whith may femetimes be ferved up at a great feftival, one-half, perhaps, is thrown to the dunghill, and there is always a great deal wafted and abufed. But if the expence of this entertainment had been employed in fetting to work, maions, carpenters, upholtterers, mechanicks, a quantity of provifions, of equal value, would have been diftributed among a still greater number of people, who would have bought them in penny-worths and pound weights, and not have loft or thrown away a fingle ounce of them. In the one way, befides, this expence maintains productive, in the other unproductive hands. In the one way, therefore, it increafes, in the other, it does not increafe, the exchangeable value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country.

I wousd not, however, by all this be underftood to mean, that the one species of expence always betokene a mere liberal or generous fyirit than the other. When a man of fortune fpends

Spends his revenue chiefly in hofpitality, he fhares the greater CHAP. part of it with his friends and companions; but , when he $\underbrace{\text { III. }}$ employs it in purchafing fuch durable commodities, he often fpends the whole upon his own perfon, and gives nothing to any body without an equivalent. The latter fpecies of expence, therefore, efpecially when directed towards frivolous objects, the little ornaments of drefs and furniture, jewels, trinkets, gewgaws, frequently indicates, not only a trifling, but a bafe and felfifh difpofition. All that I mean is, that the one fort of expence, as it always oceafions, fome accumulation of valuable commodities, as it is more favourable to private frugality, and, confequently, to the inereafe of the publick capital, and as it maintains produetive, wather than unproductive hands, conduces more than the other to the growth of publick opulence.

Vor. 1.
mean, liberal ortune pends

## C H A P. IV.

Of Stock lent at Intereff.
BOOK HE ATock which is lent at intereft is always confidered as a capital by the lenider. He expects that in due time it is to be reftored to him, and that in the mean time the borrower is to pay him a certain annual rent for the ufe of it. The borrower may ufe it either as a capital, or as a ftock referved for immediate confumption. If he ufes it as a capital, he employs it in the maintenance of productive labourers, who reproduce the value with a profit. He can, in this cafe, both reftore the capital and pay the intereft without alienating or encroaching upon any other fource of revenue. If he ufes it as a ftock referved for immediate confumption, he acts the part of a prodigal, and diffipates in the maintenance of the idle, what was deftined for the fupport of the induftrious. He can, in this cafe, neither reftore the capital nor pay the intereft, without either alienating or encroaching upon fome other fource of revenue, fuch as the property or the rent of land.

THE ftock which is lent at intereft, is, no doubt, occafionally employed in both thefe ways, but in the former much more frequently than in the latter. The man who borrows in order to fpend will foon be ruined, and he who lends to him will generally have occafion to repent of his folly. To borrow or to lend for fuch a purpofe, therefore, is in all cafes, where grofs ufury is out of the queftion, contrary to the intereft of both parties; and though it no doubt happens fometimes that people do both the one and the other; yet, from the regard that all men have for their own intereft, we may be affured, that it cannot happen fo very frequently as we are fometimes apt to imagine. Aik any rich man
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of common prudence, to which of the two forts of people he has lent the greater part of his ftoek, to thofe who, he thinks, will employ it profitably, or to thofe who will fpend it idly, and he will laugh at you for propofing the queftion. Even among borrowers, therefore, not the people in the world moft famous for frugality, the number of the frugal and induftrious. furpaffes confiderably that: of the prodigal and idle.

The only people to whom ftock is commonly lent, without their: being expected to make any very profitable ufe of it, are country. gentlemen who borrow upon mortgage. Even they fcarce everborrow merely to fpend: What they borrow, one may fay, is commonly fpent before they borrow it. They have generally confumed fo great a quantity of goods, advanced to them upon creditby hopkeepers and tradefmen, that they find it neceffary to borrow at intereft in order to pay the debt. The capital borrowed replaces thecapitals of thofe fhopkeepers and tradefmen, which the country gentlemen could not have replaced from the rents of their eftates. It: is not properly borrowed in order to be fpent, but in order to replace a capital which had been fpent before.

Almost all loans at intereft are made in money, either of: paper, or of gold and filver. But what the borrower really wants. and what the lender really fupplies him with, is, not the money, But the money's worth, or the goods which it can purchafe. If he wants it as a ftock for immediate confumption, it is thofe goods only which he can place in that ftock. If he wants it as a capital for employing induftry, it is from thofe goods only that the induftrious can be furnihed with the tools, materials, and maintenance, neceffary for carrying on their work. By means of the loan, the lender, as it were, affigns to the borrower his right to a certain portion of the annual produce of the land and labour: of the country, to be employed as the borrower pleafes.

$$
3 \text { I } 2 \quad \text { Thr }
$$ Tius quantity of fock, therifons; or, as it in commonly oxpreffed, of money which can be font at intereft in may countery, is not regulated by the value of the money, whether paper or coin, which farves as the inffument of the diffivent lomes made in that country, but by the value of that part of the annual produce which, as foon as it comes eithes from the ground, or from the hands of the productive labourere, so defined not only for replacing a capital, but fuch a capital as the owner does not care to be at the trouble of employing himfelf. As fuch capitals are commonly lent out and paid back in money, they conftitute what is called the monied intereft. It is diftinct, not only from the landed, but from the trading and manufacturing interefts, as in thefe laft the owners themfelves employ their own capitals. Even in the monied intereft, however, the money is, as it were, but the deed of afignment, which convegs frome, one hand to another thofe capitals which the owners do not cave to employ themfelves. Thofe capitals may be greater in almoft any proportion, than the amount of the money which ferves as the inftrument of their conveyance; the fame pieces of maney fuc. ceffively ferving for many different loans, as well as for many different purchafes. A, for example, lends to $W$ a thoufand pounds, with which $\mathbf{W}$ immediately purchafes of $\mathbf{B}$ a thoufand pounds worth of goods. B having no occafion for the money himfelf, lends the identical pieces to $X$, with which $\mathbf{X}$ immediately purchafes of $\mathbf{C}$ another thoufand pounds worth of goods. $\mathbf{C}$ in the fame manner, and for the fame reafon, lends them to Y , who again purchafes goods with them of D . In this manner the fame pieces, either of coin, or of paper, may, in the courfe of a few days, ferve as the inftrument of three different loans, and of three different purchafes, ench of which is, in value, equal to the whole amount of thofe pieces. What the three monied men $A, B$, and $\mathbf{C}$, affign to the three borrowers, $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$, is the pawer of making thofe purchafes. In this power confirt both the value and the
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the ufe of the loans. The ftock lent by the three moniod men, is CHAR equal to the value of the goods which can be purchafod with it ${ }_{\text {a }}$ and is three times greater than that of the money with which the purchafes are made. Thofe loans, however, may be all perfectly well fecured, the goods purchafed by the different debtors being $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}$ employeds aso in due times to pring back, with a profit, an equal value cither of coin or of paper. And as the fame pieces cir maney can thus farve as the inftrument of different loans to. three, or, for the fame reafon, to thirty times their value, fo thoy may likewife fucceffively ferve as the inftrument of repayment.

A capital lent at intereft may, in this manner, be confidered as an affignment from the lender to the borrower of a certain confiderable portion of the annual produce; upon condition that the borrowerin return fhall, during the continuance of the lean, annually affign to the lendor a fmaller portion, called the intereft; and at the end of it a portion equally confiderable with that which had originall been affigned to him, called the repayment. Though money, eithor coin or paper, ferves generally as the deed of aflignment both to the fmaller, and to the more confiderable portion, it is itfelf altogether different from what is affigned by it.

In proportion as that Ghare of the anuul produce which, as foon as it comes cither from the ground, or from the hands of the productive labourers, is deftined for replacing a capital, increafes in any country, what is called the monied intereft naturally increafes with it. The increafe of thofe particular capitals from which the owners wifh to derive a revenue, without being at the trouble of employing them themfelves, naturally accompanies the general increafe of capitals; or in other words, as stack

## 7

increafes,

BOOK increafes, the quantity of ftock to be lent at interef giow gradually $\underbrace{\text { II. }}$ greater and greater.

As the quantity of ftock to be lent at intereft increafes, the intc teref, or the price which muft be paid for the wfe of that ftocks? neceffarily diminifhes, not only from thofe general caufes whichmake the market price of things commonly diminifh as their quantity increafes, but from other caufes which are peculiar to this particular cafe. As capitals increafe in any country, the profits which can be made by employing them neceffarily diminifh. It becomes gradually more and more difficult to find within the country a profitable method of employing any new capital. There arifes in confequence a competition between different capitals, the owner of one endeavouring to get poffeffion of that employment which is occupied by another. But upon moft occafions he canhope to juftle that other out of this employment, by no other: means but by dealing upon more reafonable terms. He muft not only fell what he deals in fomewhat cheaper, but in order to get it. to fell, he muft fometimes too buy it dearer. The demand for productive labour, by the increafe of the funds, which are deftined for maintaining it, grows every day greater and greater. Labourers. eafily find employment, but the owners of capitals find it difficult to get labourers to employ. Their competition raifes the wages; of labour, and finks the profits of ftock. . But when the profits. which can be made by the ufe of a capital are in this manner dim minifhed as it were at both ends, the price which can be paid for the ufe of it, that is the rate of intereft, muft neceflarily be diminifhed with them.

Mr. Locke, Mr. Laws, and Mr. Montefquiou, as well as many/ other writers, feem to have imagined that the increafe of the quantity of gold and filver, in confequence of the difcovery of
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 the rate of intereft through the greater part of Europe. Thofe $\underbrace{\mathbf{T W}}$ metals, they fay, having become of lefs value themfelves, the ufe of any particular portion of them neceffarily became of lefs value toogaind confequently the price which could be paid for it. This notion, which at firt fight feems fo plaufible, has been fo fully expofed by Mr. Hume, that it is, perhaps, unneceffary to fay any thing more about it. The following very fhort and plain argument; gowever, may ferve to explain more diftinctly the fallacy which feems to have mifled thofe gentlemen.Before the difcovery of the Spanifh Weit Indies, ten per cent. feems to have been the common rate of intereft through the greater part of Europe. It has fince that time in different countries funk to fix, five, four, and three per cent. Let us fuppofe that in every particular country the value of filver has funk precifely in the fame proportion as the rate of intereft; and that in thofe countries, for example, where intereft has been reduced from ten to five per cent. the fame quantity of filver can now purchafe juft half the quantity of goods which it could have purchafed before. This fuppofition wilt not, I believe, be found any where agreeable to the truth, but it the moft favourable to the opinion which we are going to examine; and even upon this fuppofition it is utterly impoffible that the lowering of the value of filver could have the fmalleft tendency to lower the zate of intereft. If a hundred pounds are in thole countries now of no more value than fifty pounds were then, ten pounds mult now be of no more value than five pounds were then. Whatever were the cautes which lowered the value of the capital, the fame muft neceffarily have lowered that of the intereft, and exactly in the fame proportion. The proportion between the value of the capital and that of the intereft, muft have remained the fame, though the rate had never been altered.

BOOK altered. By altering the rate, on the contrary, the propertion between thofe two values is neceffarily altared. If a hundred pounds now are worth no more than fifty wese then, five pounds now can be worth no more than two pounds ten Chillings were then. By reducing the rate of intereft, therefore, from ten to five per cent.'we.give for the ufe of a capital, which is fuppofed to be equal to one-half of its former value, an intereft which is equal to one-fourth only of the value of the former intereft.

Any increafe in the quantity of filver, whike that of the commodities circulated by means of it remained the fame, could have no other effect than to diminifh the value of that metal. The nominal value of all forts of goods would be greater, but their real value would be precifely the fame as before. They would be exchanged for a greater number of pieces of filver; but the quantity of labour which they could command, the number of people whom they could maintain and employ, would be precifely the fame. The capital of the country would be the fame, though a greater number of pieces might be requifite for conveying any equal portion of it from one hand to another. The deeds of affignment, - like the conveyances of a verbofe attorney, would be more cumberfome, but the thing affigned would be piscifly the fame as before, and could produce only the fame effects. The funds for maintaining productive labour being the fame, the demand for it would be the fame. Its price or wages, therefore, though nominally greater, would really be the fame. They would be paid in a greater number of pieces of filver; but they would purchafe only the fame quantity of goods. The profits of ftock would be the fame both nominally and really. The wages of labour are commonly computed by the quantity of filver which is paid to the labourer. When that is increafed, therefore, his wages appear to be increafed, though they may fometimes be no greater than before.
heforec But the profits of fock are not computed by the numbersof piecesi of filver with which they are paid, but by the protportion whith thofe pieces bear to the whole capital employed. Thus in a particular country five fhillings a week are faid to be the common wages of labour, and ten per cent. the common profits of ftock. But the whole capital of the country being the fame as before, the competition between the different capitals of individuals into which it was divided would likewife be the fame. They would all trade with the fame advantages and difadvantages. The common proportion between capital and profit, therefore, would be the fame, and confequently the common intereft of money; what can commonly be given for the ufe of money being neceffarily, regulated by what can commonly be made by the ufe of it.
Thitatop stly tad
ANY increafe in the quantity of commodities annually circulated within the country, while that of the money which circulated them ${ }_{g}$ remained the fame, would, on the contrary, produce many other important effects, befides that of raifing the value of the money. The capital of the cauntry, though it might nominally be the fame, would really be augmented. It might conitinue to be expreffed by the fame quantity of money, but it would command a greater quantity of labour. The quantity of productive labour which it could maintain and employ would be increafed, and confequently the demand for that labour. Its wages would naturally rife with the demand, and yet might appear to fink. They might be paid with a fmaller quantity of money, but that fmaller quantity might purchafe a greater quantity of goods than a greater had done before. The profits of ftock would be diminifhed both really and in appearance. The whole capital of the country being augmented the competivion between the different capitals of which it was compofed, would naturally be augmented along with- it. Vol. I. 3 K

The

BOOK The owners of thofe particular capitals would be obliged to content
 themfelves with a fmaller proportion of the produce of that labour which their refpective capitals employed. The intereft of money, keeping pace always with the profits of fock, might, in this manner, be greatly diminifhed, though the value of money, or the quantity of goods which any particular fum could purchafe, was. greatly augmented.

In fome countries the intereft of money has been prohibited by law. But as fomething can every where be made by the ufe of money, fomething ought every where to be paid for the ufe of it: This regulation, inftead of preventing; has been found from experience to increafe the evil of ufury; the debter being obliged to pay, not only for the ufe of the money, but for the rifk which his. creditor runs by accepting a compenfation for that ufe. He is. obliged, if one may fay $f 0$, to infure his creditor from: the penalties of ufury.

In countries where intereft is permitted; the law, in order to prevent the extortion of ufury, generally fixes the higheft rate which can be taken without:incurring a:penalty. This rate ought: always to be fomewhat above the loweft market price, on the price which is commonly paid for the ufe of money by thofe who can give the moft undoubted fecurity. If this legal rate fhould be fixed below the loweft market? rate, the effects of this fixation muft be nearly the fame as thofe of, a total prohibition of intereft. The creditor will not lend his money,for lefs than the ufe of it is worths and the debtor muft pay him for the rifk which he runs by accepting the full value of that ufe. If it is fixed precifely at the loweft market price, it ruins with honeft people, who refpect the laws of their country, the credit of all thofe who cannot give the very beft fecurity, and obliges them to have recourfe to exorbitant ufurers.
ufurers. In a country, fuch as Great Britain, where money is lent to government at three per cent. and to private people upon good fecurity at four and four and a half; the prefent legal rate, five per cent. is, perhaps, as proper as any.

The. legal rate, it is to be obferved, though it ought to be fomewhat above, ought not to be much above the loweft market rate. If the legal rate of intereft in Great Britain, for example, was fixed fo high as eight or ten per cent. the greater part of the money which was to be lent, would be lent to prodigals and projectors, who alone would be willing to give this high intereft. Sober people, who will give for the ufe of money nc more than a part of what they are likely to make by the ufe of it, would not venture into the competition. A great part of the capital of the country would thus be kept out of the hands which were moft likely to make a profitable and advantageous ufe of it, and thrown into thofe which were moft likely to wafte and deftroy it. Where the legal rate of intereft, on the contrary, is fixed but a very little above the loweft market rate, fober people are univerfally preferred, as borrowers, to prodigals and projectors. The perfon who lends money gets nearly as nuch intereft from the former as he dares to take from the latter, and his money is much fafer in the hands of the one fett of people than in thofe of the other. A great part of the capital of the country is thus thrown into the hands in which it is moft likely to be employed with advantage.

No law can reduce the common rate of intereft below the loweft ordinary market rate at the time when that law is made. Notwithftanding the edict of ${ }_{1766}$, by which the French king attempted to reduce the rate of intereft from five to four per cent. money continued to be lent in France at five per cent.; the law being evaded in feveral different ways.
$3 \mathrm{~K}_{2}$
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BOOX THE ordinary market price of !and, it is to be obferved, depends
II. every where upon the ordinary market rate of intereft. The perfon who has a capital from which he wifhes to derive a revenue, without taking the trouble to employ it himfelf, deliberates whether he fhould buy land with it, or lend it out at intereft. The fupericr fecurity of land, together with fome other advantages which almoft every where attend upon this ipecies of property, will generally difpofe him to content himfelf with a fmalle: revenue from land, than what he might have by lending out his money at interef. Thefe advantages are fufficient to compenfate a certain difference of revenue; but they will compenfate a certain difference only; and if the rent of land fhould fall chort of the intereft of money by a grenter difference, nobody would buy land, which would foon reduce its ordinary price. On the contrary, if the advantages fhould much more than compenfate the difference, every body would buy land, which again would foon raife its ordinary price. When intereft was at ten per cent. land was commonly fold for ten and twelve years purchafe. As intereft funk to fix, five, and four per cent. the price of land rofe to twenty, five and twenty, and thirty years purchafe. The market rate of intereft is higher in France than in England; and the common price of land is lower. In England it commonly fells at thirty; in France at twenty years purchafe.

C H A P. V.

## Of the different Employment of Capitals.

THOUGH all capitals are deftined for the maintenance of productive labour only, yet the quantity of that labour, which equal capitals are capable of putting into motion, varies extreamly according to the diverfity of their employment; as does likewife the value which that employment adds to the annual produce of the land and labour of the country.

A capital may be employed in four different ways: either, firft, in procuring the rude produce annually required for the ufe and confumption of the fociety; or, fecondly, in manufacturing and preparing that rude produce for immediate ufe and confumption; or, thirdly, in tranfporting either the rude or manufactured produce from the places where they abound to thofe where they are wanted; or, laftly, in dividing particular portions of either into fuch fmall parcels as fuit the occafional demands of thofe who want them. In the firt way are employed the capitals of all thofe who undertake the improvement or cultivation of lands, mines, or fifheries; in the fecond, thofe of all mafter manufacturers; in the third, thofe of all wholefale merchants; and in the fourth, thofe of all retailers. It is difficult to conceive that a capital fhould be employed in any way which may not be claffed under fome one or other of thofe four.

EАсн of thofe four methods of employing a capital is effentially neceffary either to the exiftence or extenfion of the other three, or to the general conveniency of the fociety.

Unless

> $\underbrace{\text { BOOK }}$
> Unless a capital was employed in furnifhing rude produce to a certain degree of abundance, neither mar lactures nor trade of any kind could exirt.

'UnLess a capital was employed in manufacturing that part of the rude produce which requires a good deal of preparation before it can be fit for ufe and confumption, it either would never be produced, becaufe there could be no demand for it; or if it was produced fpontaneoully, it would be of no value in exchange, and could add nothing to the wealth of the fociety.

Unless a capital was employed in tranfporting either the rude or manufactured produce from the places where it abounds to thofe where it is wanted, no more of either could be produced than was neceffary for the confumption of the neighbourhood. The rapital of the merchant exchanges the furplus produce of one ace for that of another, and thus encourages the induftry and increafes the enjoyments of both.

Unless a capital was employed in breaking and dividing certain portions either of the rude or manufactured produce, into fuch fmall parcels as fuit the occafional demands of thofe who want them, every man would be obliged to purchafe a greater quantity of the goods he wanted, than his immediate occafions required. If there was no fuch trade as a butcher, for example, every man would be obliged to purchafe a whole ox or a whole fheep at a time. This would generally be inconvenient to the rich, and much more fo to the poor: If a poor workman was obliged to purchafe a month's or fix months provifions at a time, a great part of the fock which he employs as a capital, in the inftruments of his trade, or in the furniture of his fhop, and which yields him a revenue, he would be forced to place in that part of his ftock which is referved for immediate
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immediate confumption, and which yields him no revenue. Nothing can be more convenient for fuch a perfon than to be able to purchafe his fubfiftence from day to day, or even from hour to hour as he wants it. He is thereby enabled to employ almoft his whole ftock as a capital. He is thus enabled to furnifh work to a greater value, and the profit which he makes by it in this way much more than compenfates the additional price which the profit of the retailer impofes upon the goods. The prejudices of fome political writers againft fhopkeepers and tradefmen, are altogether without foundation. So far is it from being neceflary either to tax them or to reffrict their numbers, that they can never be multiplied fo as to hurt the publick, though they may fo as to hurt one another. The quantity of grocery goods, for example, which can be fold in a particular town, is limited by the demand of that town and neighbourhood. The capital, therefore, which can be employed in the grocery trade cannot exceed what is fufficient to purchafe that quantity. If. this capital is divided between two different grocers, their competition will tend to make both of them fell cheaper, than if it were in the hands of one only; and if it were divided among twenty, their competition would be juft fo much the greater, and the chance of their combining together, in order to raife the price, juft fo much the lefs. Their competition might perhaps ruin fome of themfelves; but to take care of this is the bufinefs of the parties concerned, and it may fafely be trufted to their difcretion. It can never hurt either the confumer, or the producer; on the contrary, it muft tend to make. the retailers both fell cheaper and buy dearer, than if the whole trade was monopolized by one or two perfons. Some of them, perhaps, may fometimes decoy a weak cuftomer to buy what he has no occafion for. This evil, however, is of too little importance to deferve the publick attention, nor would it neceffarily be prevented by reftricting their numbers. It is not the multitude

B OOK, of ale-houfes, to give the moft fufpicious example, that occafions $\underbrace{}_{\text {1I. }}$ a general difpofition to drunkennefs among the common people; but that difpofition arifing from other caufes neceflarily gives employment to a multitude of ale-houfes.

The perfons whofe capitals are employed in any of thofe four ways are themfelves productive labourers. Their labour, when properly direeted, fixes and realizes itfelf in the fubject or vendible commodity upon which it is beftowed, and generally adds to its price the value at leaft of their own maintenance and confumption. The profits of the farmer, of the manufacturer, of the merchant, and retailer, are all drawn from the price of the goods which the two firft produce, and the two laft buy and fell. Equal capital3 however, employed in each of thofe four different ways, will put into motion very different quantities of productive labour, and augment too in very different proportions the value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety to which they belong.

The capital of the retailer replaces, together with its profits, that of the merchant of whom he purchafes goods, and thereby enables him to continue his bufinefs. The retailer himfelf is the only productive labourer whom it employs. In his profits, confifts the whole value which its employment adds to the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety.

The capital of the wholefale merchant replaces, together with their profits, the capitals of the farmers and manufacturers of whom he purchafes the rude and manufactured produce which he deals in, and thereby enables them to continue their refpective trades. It is by this fervice chiefly that he contributes indirectly to fupport the productive labour of the fociety, and to increafe the value of
its annual produce. His capital employs too the failors and carriers who tranfport his goods from one place to another, and it augments the price of thofe goods by the value, not only of his profits, but of their wages. This is all the productive labour which it immediately puts into motion, and all the value which it immediately adds to the annual produce. Its operation in both thefe refpects is a good deal fuperior to that of the capital of the retailer.

Part of the capital of the mafter manufacturer is employed as a fixed capital in the inftruments of his trade, and replaces, together with its profits, that of fome other artificer of whom he purchafes them. Part of his circulating capital is employed in purchafing materials, and replaces, with their profits, the capitals of the farmers and miners of whom he purchafes them. But a great part of it is always, either annually, or in a much fhorter period, diftributed among the different workmen whom he employs. It augments the value of thofe materials by their wages, and by their mafters profits upon the whole ftock of wages, materials, and inftruments of trade employed in the bufinefs. It puts into motion, therefore, a much greater quantity of productive labour, and adds a much greater value to the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety, than an equal capital in the hands of any wholefale merchant.

No equal capital puts into motion a greater quantity of productive: labour than that of the farmer. Not only his labouring fervants, but his labouring cattle, are productive labourers. In agriculture too nature labours along with man; and though her labour cofts no expence, its produce has its value, as well as that of the moft expenfive workmen. The moft important operations of agriculture feem intended, not fo much to increafe, though they do that too, as to Val. I.
$3 . \mathrm{L}$.
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sodor direct the Fertility of nature towards the production of the plaits moft profitable to man. A field overgiown with brlars and brambles may frequently produce as great a quantity of vegetables, as the beft cultivated vineyard or corn field. Planting and tillige frequently regulate more than they animate the active fertility of nature; and after all their labour, a great part of the work always remains to be done by her. The labourers and labouring catte, therefore, employed in agriculture, not only occafion, like the workmen in manufactures, the reproduction of a value equal to their own confumption, or to the capital whien enhploys them, together with ite owners profits; but of a much greater value. Over and above the capital of the farmer and all its profits, they regularly occafion the reproduction of the rent of the landlort. This rent may be confidered as the produce of thofe powers of nature, the ufe of which the landlord lends to the farmer. It is gieater or finaller according to the fappofed extent of thofe powers, or, in other words, according to the fuppofed natural or inpiproved fertiility of the land. It is the work of nature which remains after dedua:ing or compenfating every thing which can be regarded ab the work of man. It is feldom lefs thain a fourth, and frequenty more than a third of the whole produce. No equal quantity of productive labour employed in manufactures can ever occafion fo great a reproduction. In them nature does nothing; man does all; and the reproduction muft always be in proportion to the ftrength of the agents that occaiion it. The capital employed in agisiculture, therefore, not ofly puts into motion a greater quantity of productive labour than any equal capital employed in manu$\mathfrak{f}_{\text {actures, but in proportion too to the quantity of productive labour }}$ which it employs, it adds a much greater value to the annuel produce of the land and labour of the countrys, to the reell wealth and revenue of its inhabitants. Of all the ways in which a
capital can be smployed, it is by far the mo\& advantageous to the fopiety.


Tuz capitals omplayed in the agriculture and in the retail trade of any fociety, muft olways refide within that fociety. Their employment is eonfined almot to a precife fpot, to the farm, and to the fhop of the retailer. They must generally too, though there we fone suceptions to this, belong to refident members of the focicesy.

Thz capital of a wholefale merchant, on the contrary, feems to have no fixed or neceffary refidence any-where, but may wander about from place to place, according as it can either buy cheap or stil dear.

The capital of the manufacturer muft no doubt refide where the manufacture is carried on; but where this thall be, is not always meceflarily determined. It may frequently be at a great diftance both from the place where the materials grow, and from thatwhere the compleat manufacture is confumed. Lyons is very diftant both from the places which afford the materials of its manufaetures, and from thofe which confume them. The people of fafhion in Sicily are cloathed in filks made in other countries, from the materials which their own produces. Part of the wool of Spain is manufactured in Great Britain, and fome part of that cloth is afterwards fent back to Spain.

Whether the merchant whofe capital exports the furplus produce of any fociety be a native or a foreigner, is of very little impoutance. If he is a foreigner, the number of their productive labourers is ngceffawily lofs than if he had been a native by one man only $;$ and the value of their annual produce, by the pro-
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BOOK fits of that one man. The failors or carriers whom he employs
II. may ftill belong indifferently either to his country, or to their country, or to fome third country, in the fame manner as if he had been a native. The capital of a foreigner gives a value to their -furplus produce equally with that of a native, by exchanging it for fomething for which there is a demand at home. It as effectually replaces the capital of the perfon who produces that furplus, and as effectually enables him to continue his bufinefs; the fervice by which the capital of a wholefale merchant chiefly contributes to fupport the productive labour, and to augment the value of the annual produce of the fociety to which he belongs.

Ir is of more confequence that the capital of the manufacurer fhould refide within the country. It neceffarily puts into motion a greater quantity of productive labour, and adds a greater value to the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety. It may, however, be very ufeful to the country, though it fhould not refide within it. The capitals of the Britifh manufacturers who work up the flax and hemp annually imported from the coafts of the Baltick, are furely very ufeful to the countries which produce them. Thofe materials are a part of the furplus produce of thofe countries which, unlefs it was annually exchanged for fomething which is in demand there, would be of no value, and would foon ceafe to be produced. 'The merchants who export it, replace the capitals of the people who produce it, and thereby encourage them to continue the production; and the Britifh manufacturers replace the capitals of thofe merchants.

A particular country, in the fame manner as a particular perfon, may frequently not have capital fufficient both to improve and cultivate all its lands, to manufacture and prepare their whole rude produce for immediate ufe and confumption, and to tranfport
the furplus part either of the rude or manufactured produce to thofe diftant markets where it can be exchanged for fomething for which there is a demand at home. The inhabitants of many different parts of Great Britain have not capital fufficient to improve and cultivate all their lands. The wool of the fouthern counties of Scotland is, a great part of it, after a long land carriage through very bad roads, manufactured in Yorkfhire, for want of a capital to manufacture it at home. There are many little manufacturing towns in Great Britain, of which the inhabitants have not capital fufficient to tranfport the produce of their own induftry to thofe diftant markets where there is demand and confumption for it. If there are any merchants among them, they are properly only the agents of wealthier merchants who refide in fome of the greater commercial cities.

When the capital of any country is not fufficient for all thofe three purpofes, in proportion as a greater fhare of it is employed in agriculture, the greater will be the quantity of productive labour which it puts into motion within the country; as will likewife be the value which its employment adds to the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety. After agriculture, the capital employed in manufactures put into motion the greateft quantity of productive labour, and adds the greateft value to the annual produce. That which is employed in the trade of exportation, has the leaft effect of any of the three.

The country, indeed, which has not capital fufficient for all thofe three purpofes, has not arrived at that degree of opulence for which it feems naturally deftined. To attempt, however, prematurely and with an infufficient capital, to do all the three, is certainly not the fhorteft way for a fociety, no more than it would be for an individual, to acquire a fufficient one. The capital of


BOOK all the individuals of a nation, has its limits in the fame manner as $\underbrace{-}$ that of a fingle individual, and is capable of executing only certain purpofes. The capital of all the individuals of a mation is increafed in the fame manner as that of a fingle individual, by their continually accumulating and adding to it whatever they fave out of their revenue. It is likely to increafe the faftef, therefore, when it is employed in the way that affords the greateft revenue to all the inhabitants of the qountry, as they will thus be enabled to make the greateft favings. But the revenue of all the inhabitants of the country is neceflarily in proportion to the value of the annual produce of their land and labour.

Ir has been the principal caufe of the rapid progrefs of our American colonies towards wealth and greatnefs, that almof their whole capitals have hitherto been employed in agriculture. They have no manufactures, thofe houfhold and coarfer manufactures excepted which noceffarily accompany the progrefs of agriculture, and which ave the work of the women and children in every private family. The greater part both of the exportation and coafting trade of America, is carried on by the capitals of merchants who refide in Great Britain. Even the ftores and warchaufes from which goods are retailed in fome provinces, particularly in Virginia and Maryland, belong many of them to merchants who refide in the mother country, and afford one of the few inftances of the retail trade of a fociety being carried on by the capitals of thofe who are not refident members of it. Were the Americans, either by combination or by any other fort of violence, to ftop the importation of European manufactures, and, by thus giving a monopoly to fuch of their own countrymen as could manufacture the like goods, divert any confiderable part of their capital into this employment, they would retard inftead of acceierating the fuyther increafe in the value of their annual produce, and would obftruct inftead
inftend of promoting the progrefs of their country towards real wealth and greatnels. This would be ftill more the cafe, were they to attempt, in the fame manner, to monopolize to themfelves their whole exportation trade.

The courfe of human profperity, indeed, feems fcarce ever to have been of fo long continuance as to enable any great country to acquire capital fufficient for all thofe three purpofes; unlefs, perhaps, we give credit to the wonderful accounts of the wealth and cultivation of China, of thofe of antient Egypt, and of the antient ftate of Indoftan. Even thofe three countries, the wealthieft, according to all accounts, that ever were in the world, are chiefly renowned for their fuperiority in agriculture and mainufactures. They do not appear to have been eminent for foreign trade. The antient Egyptians had a fuperflitious antipathy to the fea; a fuperftition nearly of the fame kind prevails among the Indians; and the Chinefe have never excelled in foreign commerce. The greater part of the furplus produce of all thofe three countries feems to have been always exported by foreigners, who gave in exchange for it fomething elfe for which they found a demand there, frequently gold and filver.

It is thus that the fame capital will in any country put into motion a greater or fmaller quantity of productive labour, and add a greater or fmaller value to the annual produce of its land and labour, according to the different proportions in which it is employed in agriculture, manufactures, and wholefale trade. The difference too is very great, according to the different forts of wholefale trade in which any part of it is employed.

Als. wholefale trade, all buying in order to fell again by whelefale, may be reduced to three different forts. The home trade, the foreign trade of confumption, and the carrying trade. The home trade
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trade is employed in purchafing in one part of the fame country, and felling in another, the produce of the induftry of that country. It comprehends both the inland and the coafting trade. The foreign trade of confumption is employed in purchafing foreign goods for home confumption. The carrying trade is empioyed in tranfacting the commerce of foreign countries, or in carrying the furplus produce of one to another.

The capital which is employed in purchafing in one part of the country in order to fell in another the produce of the induftry of that country, generally replaces by every fuch operation two diffinct capitals that had both been employed in the agriculture or manufactures of that country, and thereby enables them to continue that employment. When it fends out from the refidence of the merchant a certain value of commodities, it generally brings back in return at leaft an equal value of other commodities. When both are the produce of domeftick induftry, if neceffarily replaces by every fuch operation two diftinct capitals, which had both been employed in fupporting productive labour, and thereby enables them to continue that fupport. The capital which fends Scotch manufactures to London, and brings back Englifh corn and manufactures to Edinburgh, neceffarily replaces, by every fuch operation, two Britifh capitals which had both been employed in. the agriculture or manufactures of Great Britain.

The capital employed in purchafing foreign goods for homeconfumption, when this purchafe is made with the produce of domeftick induftry, replaces too, by every fuch operation, two diftinct capitals; but one of them only is employed in fupporting domeftick induftry. The capital which fends. Britifh goods to Portugal, and brings back Portuguefe goods to Oreat Britain, ryplaces by every fuch operation only one Britifh capital. The other
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is a Portaguefe one. Though the returns, therefore, of the CHAP. foreign trade of coniamption fhould be as quick as thofe of the
 home-trade, the capital employed in it will give but one-half the encouragement to the induftry or productive labour of the country.

Bur the returns of the foreign trade of confumption are very feldom fo quick as thofe of the home-trade. The returns of the home-bade generally come in before the end of the year, and fometimes three or four times in the year. The returns of the foreign trade of confumption feldom co, ne in before the end of the year, and fometimes not till after two or three years. A capital, there.ore, employed in the home-trade will fometimes make twelve operations, or be fent out and returned twelve times, before a capital employed in the foreign trade of confumption has made one. If the capitals are equal, therefore, the one will give four and twenty times more encouragement and fupport to the indufty of the country than the other.

The foreign goods for home-confumption may fometimes be purchafed, not with the produce of domeftick induftry, but with fome other foreign goods. Thefe laft, however, muft have been purchafed either immediately with the produce of domeftick induftry, or with fomething elfe that had been purchafed with it; for the cafe of war and conqueft excepted, foreign goods can never be acquired, but in exchange for fomething that had been produced at home, either immediately, or after two or more different exchanges. The effects, therefore, of a capital employed in fuch a round about foreign trade of confumption, are, in every refpect, the fame as thofe of one employed in the moft direct trade of the fame kind, except that the final returns are likely to be ftill more diftant, as they muft depend upon the returns of two or three diftinct foreign trades. If the flax and hemp of Riga are purchafed with the tobacco of Virginia, which had been purchafed

Vol. I. $\quad 3 \mathrm{M}$ with
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with Britifh manufactures, the merchant must wait for the vetforns of two diftinct foreign trades before he can employ the fame caid pital in re-purchafing a like quantity of Britifh manufacturese. If the tobacco of Virginia had been purchafed, not with Britify manufactures, but with the fugar and rum of Jamaica which had been purchafed with thofe manufactures, he muit wait for the returns of three. If thofe two or three diftinet foreign trades fhould happen to be carried on by two or three diftinet merchantss of whom the fecond buys the goods imported by the firtt, and the third buys thofe imported by the feeond, in order to export them again, each merchant indeed will in this cafe receive the retums of his own capital more quicklys ; but the final returns of the whole capital employed in the trade win be juft as flow as ever. ${ }^{3}$ Wher ther the whole capital employed in fach a round about trade belong to one merchant or to three, can make no difference withregard to the country, though it may with regard to the partictular merchants. Three times a greater capital muft in both eafes. be employed, in order to exchange a certain value of Britifh manufactures for a certain quantity of flax and hemp, than would have been neceflary, had the manufactures and the flax and hemp been direetly exchanged for one another. The whole capital employed, therefore, in fuch a round about foreign trade of cont fumption, will generally give lefs encouragement and fupport to the productive labour of the country, than an equal capital employed ${ }^{\circ}$ in a more direct trade of the fame kind.

WHATEVER be the foreign commodity with which the foreign : goods foi home-confumption are purchafed, it can occafion mo effential difference either in the nature of the trade, or in the encouragement and fupport which it can give to the productive labour of the country from which it is carried on . If they are purchafed with the gold of Brazil, for example, or with the filver
of Pesu, ithisigold and filvery, hike the tobaceo of virginia, mift have been purchalfed with fomething that either was the produce of the sinduftry of the country, or that had been purchafed with fomething elfe that was fo. So far, therefore, as the productive labour of the country is concerned, the foreign trade of confumption which is carried on by means of gold and filver, has all the advantages and all the inconveniencies of any other equally |round about foreign trade of confumption, and will replace juft as faft or juft as flow the capital which is immediately employed in fupporting that productive labour. It feems even to have one advantage over any other equally round adout foreign trade. The tranfportation of thofe metals from onel place to another, onf account of their fmall bulk and great value, is, lefs expenfive than that of almoft any other foreign goods of, equal, value. Their freight is much lefs, and their infurance not greater. An equal quantity of foreign goods, therefore, may frequently be purchafed with a fmaller quantity of the produce of domeftick induftry, by the intervention of gold and filver, than bytithat of any other foreign goods. The demand of the country may frequently, in this manner, be fupplied more compleatly and at a fmaller expence than in any other. Whether, by the continual exportation of thofe metals, a trade of this kind is likely to impoverifh the country from which it is carried on, in any other way, I hall have occation to examine at great length hereafter.

That part of the capital of any country which is employed in the carrying trade, is altogether withdrawn from fupporting the produetive labour of that particular country, to fupport that of forne foreign countries. Though it may replace by every operation two diftinct capitals, yet neither of them belong to that particular country. The capital of the Dutch merchant, which carries the corn of Poland to Portugal, and brings back the fruits and wines
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$$ neither of which had been employed in fupporting the productives labour of Hollands but ope-of themin fupporting that of Polando and the other that of Portugal. The profits only return regularly to Holland, and conftitute, the whole addition which this trads necefliarily makes to the annual produce of the land and labour of that country. When, indeed, the carrying trade of apy particular country is carried on with the fhips and failors of that country, that part of the capital employed in it which pass the freights is difributed among, and puts into motion a certain number of pron ductive labourers of that country. Almoft all nations that have. had any confiderable fhare of the carrying tyada haves, in facton carried it on in this mapner. The trade izelf has probably de-s rived its name from it, the people, of fuch countries being the carriers to other countries. It does not, however, feem effential, to the nature of the trade that it fhould, be fo. A Dutch merchant may, for example, employ his capital in tranfacting the commerce: of Poland and Portugal, by carrying part of the furplus produce of the one to the other, not in Dutch, but in Britifh bottpman It may be prefumed, that he actually does fo upon fome particulass occafions. It is upon this account, however, that the carrying trade has been fuppofed peculiarly advantageous to fuch a country: as Great Britain, of which the defence and fecurity depend upon: the number of its failors and fhipping. But the fame capital, may: employ as many failors and fhipping, either in the foreign trade: of confumption, or even in thie home-trade, when carried on by coafting veffels, as it could in the carrying trade. The number of failors and hipping which any particular capital can employ, does not depend upon the nature of the trade, but partly upon the bulk of the goods in proportion to their value, and partly upon the diftance of the ports between which they are to be carried; chiefly tupon the former of thofe two circumftances. The coal-trade from.Newicaftie so London, for example, employs more

fhipping are at ing great distance. To force, therefore, by extiaordinitiay $\qquad$ encouragements, a target hare of the capital of any country into the carrying trade, than what would naturally go to it, will not always neceflarily increafo the flipping of that country.

${ }^{1}$ THE capital, therefore; employed in the home-trade of any country will generally give encouragement and fupport to a greater quancity of productive labour in that country, and increase the value of its annual produce more than an equal capital employed in the foreign trade of consumption: and the capital employed in this latter trade has in both thee refpects a fill greater advantage over an equal capital employed in the carrying trade. The riches, and, fo far as power depends upon riches, the power of every country, mut always be in proportion to the value of its annual produce, the fund from which all taxes mut ultimately be paid. But the great object of the political economy of every country, is to encreafe the riches and power of that country. It ought, therefore, to give no preference nor fuperior encouragement to the foreign trade of conflumption above the home-trade, nor to the carrying trade above either of the other two. It ought neither to force nor to allure into either of thole two channels, a greater hare of the capital of the country than what would naturally flow into them of its own accord.

EACH of thole different branches of trade, however, is not only advantageous, but neceflary and unavoidable, when the court of things without any constraint or violence naturally in, traduces it.

When the produce of any particular branch of induftiy exceeds. what the demand of the country requires, the furplus must be font

## ${ }^{B} \mathrm{O}_{1 h_{j}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{N}}$ fent abroad and exchanged for fomething fort whichothere isia)

 demand at home. Without fuch exportation, a part of the proe ductive labour of the country muftceafe, and the value of its ansual produce diminilh. The land and labour of Great Britain produce generally more corn, woollens, and hard ware, than the demand of the home-market requires. The furplus part of them, therefore, muft be fent abroad, and exchanged for fomething for which there is a demand at home. It is only by means of fuch ex portation, that this furplus can acquire a value fufficient to compenfate the labour and expence of producing it, The neigh bourhood of the fea-coaft, and the banks of all navigable rivers, are advantageous fituations for induftry, only becaule they facilitate the exportation and exchange of fuch furplus produce for fomething elfe which is more in demand there.When the foreign goods which are thus purchafed with the fur-il plus produce of domeftick induftry exceed the demand of the home-i, market, the furplus part of them muft be fent abroad again, and exchanged for formething more in demand at home. About: ninety-fix thoufand hogfheads of tobacco are annually purchafed in Virginia and Maryland, with a part of the furplus produce of Britifh induftry. But the demand of Great Britain does not require, perhaps, more than fourteen thoufand. If the xemaining: eighty-two thoufand, therefore, could not be fent abroad and exchanged for fomething more in demand at home, the importation of them muft ceafe immediately, and with it the productive labour of all thofe inhabitants of Great Britain, who are at prefent $\mathrm{em}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ployed in preparing the goods with which thefe eighty-two thoufand hogheads are annually purchafed. Thofe goods, which are part of the produce of the land and labour of Great Britain, having no market at home, and being deprived of that which they had abroad, muft ceafe to be produced. The moft round about foreign
trade of confumption, therefore, may, upon fome occafions, be as neceffary for fupporting the productive labour of the country. and the value of its, annual produce, as the most direct.

When the capital fock of anty country is increafed to fuch a degree, that it cannot be all employed in fupplying the confump-: tion, and fupporting the productive labour of that particular country, the furplus part of it naturally difgorges itfelf into the carrying trade, and is employed in perfor the fame offices to other countries. The carrying trade is 1 effect and fymptom of great national wealth: but it d caufe of it. Thofe ftatefmen who have been difpofed to favour it with particular encouragements, feem to have miftaken the effect and fymptom for the caufe. Holland, in proportion to the extent of the land and the number of its inhabitants, by far the richeft country in Europe, has, accordingly, the greateft fhare of the carrying trade of Europe. England, perhaps the fecond richeft country of Europe, is likewife fuppofed to have a confiderable fhare of it; though what commonly paffes for the carrying. trade of England, will frequently, perhaps, be found to be no more than a round about foreign trade of confumption. Such are, in a great meafure, the trades which ca:ry the goods of the Eaft and Weft Indies, and of America, to different European markets. The goods are generally purchafed either immediately with the produce of Britifh induftry, or with fomething elfe which had been purchafed with that produce, and the final returns of thofe trades are generally ufed or confumed in Great Britain. The trade which is carried on in Britifh bottoms between the different ports of the Mediterrancan, and fome trade of the fame kind carried on by Britifh merchants between the different ports of India, make, perhaps, the principal branches of what is properly the carrying trade of Great Britain.

The.
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Thz extent of the home-trade and of the capital which can be employed in it, is neceffarily limited by the value of the farpluty produce of all thofe diftunt places within the coiunery which hive occafion to exchange their refpective productions with one another. That of the foreign trade of confumption, by the value of the fiurplus produce of the whole country and of what can be purchafed with it. That of the carrying trade; by the value of the furplus preduce of all the different countries in the world. Its poffible extent, therefore, is in a manner infinite in comparifon of that of the other two, and is capable of abforbing the greateft cmo pitals.

The confideration of his own private profit, is the fole motive which determines the owner of any capital to employ it either in agriculture, in manufactures, or in fome particalar branch of the wholefale or retail trade. The different quantities of prodictive labour which it may put into motion, and the different values which it may add to the annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety, according as it is employed in one or other of thofe different ways, never enter into his thoughts. In countries, therefore, where agriculture is the moft profitable of all employments, and farming and improving the moft direct roads to a fplendid fortune, the capitals of individuals will naturally be employed in the manner moft advantageous to the whole fociety. The profits of agriculture, however, feem to have no fuperiority over thofe of other employments in any part of Europe. Projectors, indeed, in every corner of it, have within thefe few years amufed the publick with moft magnificent accounts of the profits to be made by the cultivation and improvement of land. Without entering into any particular difcuffion of their calculations, a very fimple obfervation may fatisfy us that the refult of them muft be falfe. We fee every day the moft fplendid fortunes that have been acquired
in the courfe of a fingle life by tride and manúfactures, frequèntly iffqm) a very fmall capital, fometimes from no capital. A fingle inftarce of fuch a fortune acquired by agrieulture in the fame tirbeynand from fuch a capital, has not, perhaps, occurred in Burope during the courfe of the prefent century. In all the great countries of Europe, however, much good land ftill remains unicultivated, and the greater part of what is cultivated is far from sbeing improved to the degree of which it is capable. Agriculture, therefore, is almof every where capable of abforbing a much greater capital than has ever yet been employed in it. What circumftances in the policy of Europe have given the trades which are carried on in towns fo great an advantage over that which is scarried on in the country that private perfons frequently find if mere for their advantage to employ their capitals in the moft diftant carrying trades of Afia and America, than in the improvementsand cultivation of the moft fertile fields in their own neigh,bourhood, I fhall endeavour to explain at full length in the two following books.
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# Of the different Progrefs of Opulence in different Nations. 

C H $\mathbf{A}$.<br>I.

Of the natural Progress of Opulence. turn the inhabitans of the town and thofe of the
$\underset{\text { I. }}{\text { C. }}$ country. It confifts in the exchange of rude for manafactured produce, either immediately, or by the intervention of money, or of fome fort of paper which reprefents money. The country fupplies the town with the means of fubfiftence, and the materials of manufacture. The town repays this fupply by fending back a part of the manufactured produce to the inhabitants of the country. The town, in which there neither is nor can be any reproduction of fubftances, may very properly be faid to gain its whole wealth and fubfiftence from the country. We muft not, however, upon this account, imagine that the gain of the town is the lofs of the country. The gains of both are mutual and reciprocal, and the divifion of labour is in this, as in all other cafes, advantageous to all the different perfons employed in the various occupations into which it is fubdivided. The inhabitants of the country purchafe of fhe town a greater quantity of manufactured goods, with the produce of a much fmaller quantity of their own labour, than they mult have employed had they attempted to prepare them themfelves. The town affords a market for the furplus produce of the country, ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
${ }^{\text {B OOK }}$ III. or what is over and above the maintenance of the cultivators, and: it is there that the inhabitants of the country exchange it for fomething elfe which is in demand among them. The greater the number and revenue of the inhabitants of the town, the more extenfive is the market which it affords to thofe of the country; and the more extenfive that market, it is always the more advantageous to a greak number. The corn which grows within a mile of the town, fells there for the fame price with that which comes from twenty miles diftance. But the price of the latter muft generally, not only pay the expence of raifing and bringing it to market, but afford too the ordinary profits of agriculture to the farmer. The proprietors and cultivators of the country, therefore, which lies in the neighbourhood of the town, over and above the ordinary profits. of agriculture, gain, in the price of what they fell, the whole value of the carriage of the like produce that is brought from more diftant parts, and they fave, befides, the whole value of this carriage in the price of what they buy. Compare the cultivation of the lands in the neighbourhood of any confiderable town, with that of thofe which lie at fome diftance from it, and you will eafily fatisfy yourfelf how much the country is benefited by the commerce of the town. Among all the abfurd fpeculations that have been propagated concerning the balance of trade, it has never been.pretended that either the country lofes by its commerce with the town, or the town by that with the country which maintains it.

As fubfiftence is, in the nature of things, prior to conveniency: and luxury, fo the induftry which procures the former, muft neceffarily be prior to that which minifters to the latter. The cultivation and improvement of the country, therefore, which affords fubfiftence, muft, neceffarily, be prior to the increafe of the town, which furniihes only the means of conveniency and luxury. It is the furplus produce of the country only, or what is over and. above
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above the maintenance of the cultivators, that conftitutes the fubfift- CHAP. ence of the town, which can therefore increafe only with the increafe of this furplus produce. The town, indeed, may not always derive its whole fubfiftence from the country in its neighbourhood, or even from the territory to which it belongs, but from very diftant countries; and this, though it forms no exception from the general rule, has occafioned confiderable variations in the progrefs of opulence in different ages and nations.

That order of things which neceffity impofes in general, though not in every particular country, is, in every particular country, promoted by the natural inclinations of man. If human inftitutions had never thwarted thofe natural inclinations, the towns could no where have increafed beyond what the improvement and cultivation of the territory in which they were fituated could fupport; till fuch time, at leaft, as the whole of that territory was completely cultivated and improved. Upon equal, or nearly equal profits, moft men will chufe to employ their capitals rather in the improvement and cultivation of land, than either in manufactures or in foreign trade. The man who employs his capital in land, has it more under his view and command, and his fortune is much lefs liable to accidents than that of the trader, who is obliged frequently to commit it, not only to the winds and the waves, but to the more uncertain elements of human folly and injuftice, by: giving great credits in diftant countries to men, with whofe character and fituation he can feldom be. thoroughly acquainted. The capital of the landlord, on the contrary, which is fixed in the improvement of his land, feems to be as well fecured as the natureof human affairs can admit of. The beauty of the country befides, the pleafures of a country life, the tranquillity of mind which it promifes, and wherever the injuftice of human laws does not difturb it, the independency which it really affords, have charms: that

BOOK that more or lefs attract every body; and as to cultivate the ground
$\underbrace{\text { mat }}_{\text {III. was the original deftination of man, fo in every ftage of his }}$ exiftence he feems to retain a predilection for this primitive employment.

Without the affiftance of fome artificers, indeed, the cultivation of land cannot be carried on, but with great inconveniency and continual interruption. Smiths, carpenters, wheelwrights, and plough-wrights, mafons, and bricklayers, tanners, fhoemakers, and taylors, are people, whoie fervice the farmer has frequent occafion for. Such artificers too ftand, occafionally, in need of the affiftance of one another; and as their refidence is not, like that of the farmer, neceffarily tied down to a precife fpot, they naturally fettle in the neighbourhood of one another, and thus form a fmall town or village. The butcher, the brewer, and the baker, foon join them, together with many other artificers and retailers, neceffary or ufeful for fupplying their occafional wants, and who contribute ftill further to augment the town. The inhabitants of the town and thofe of the country are, mutually, the fervants of one another. The town is a continual fair or market, to which the inhabitants of the country refort in order to exchange their rude for manufactured produce. It is this commerce which fupplies the inhabitants of the town both with the materials of their work, and the means of their fubfiftence. The quantity of the finifhed work which they fell to the inhabitants of the country, neceffarily regulates the quantity of the materials and provifions which they buy. Neither their employment nor fubfiftence, therefore, can augment, but in proportion to the augmentation of the demand from the country for finifhed work; and this demand can augment only in proportion to the extenfion of improvement and cultivation. Had human inftitutions, therefore, never difturbed the natural courfe of things, the
progreffive wealth and increafe of the towns would, in every political fociety, be confequential, and in proportion to the improvement and cultivation of the territory or country.

In our North American colonies, where uncultivated land is fill to be had upon eafy terms, no manufactures for diftant fale have ever yet been eftablifhed in any of their towns. When an artificer has acquired a little more ftock than is neceffary for carrying on his own bufinefs in fupplying the neighbouring country; he does not, in North America, attempt to eftablifh with it a manufacture for more diftant fale, but employs it in the purchafe and improvement of uncultivated land. From artificer he becomes planter, and neither the large wages nor the eafy fubfiftence which that country affords to artificers, can bribe him rather to work for other people than for himfelf. He feels that an artificer is the fervant of his cuftomers, from whom he derives his fubfiftence; but that a planter who cultivates his own land, and derives his neceffary, fubfiftence from the labour of his own family, is really a mafter, and independent of all the world.

In countries, on the contrary, where there is either no unm curtivated land, or none that can be had upon eafy terms, every artifieer who has acquired more ftock than he can employ in the occafional jobs of the neighbutrhood, endeavours to prepare work for more diftant fale. The fmith erects fome fort of iron, the weaver fome fort of linen or woollen manufactory. Thofe different manufactures come, in procefs of time, to be gradually fubdivided, and thereby improved and refined in a: great variety of ways, which may eafily be conceived, and which it is therefore unneceffary to explain any further.
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In feeking for employment to a capital, manufactures are, upon equal or nearly equal profits, naturally preferred to foreign commerce, for the fame reafon that agriculture is naturally preferred to manufactures. As the capital of the landlord or farmer is more fecure than that of the manufacturer, fo the capital of the manufacturer, being at all times more within his view and command, is more fecure than that of the foreign merchant. In every period, indeed, of every fociety, the furplus part both of the rude and manufactured produce, or that for which there is no demand at home, muft be fent abroad in order to be exchanged for fomething for which there is fome demand at home. But whether the capital, which carries this furplus produce abroad, be a foreign or a domeftick one, is of very little importance. If the fociety has not acquired fufficient capital both to cultivate all its lands, and to manufacture in the compleateft manner the whole of their rude produce, there is even a confiderable advantage that it fhould be exported by a foreign capital, in order that the whole ftock of the fociety may be employed in more ufeful purpofes. The wealth of ancient Egypt, that of China and Indoftan, fufficiently demonftrate that a nation may attain a very high degree of opulence, though the greater part of its exportation trade be carried on by foreigners. The progrefs of our North American and Weft Indian colonies would have been much lefs rapid, had no capital but what belonged to themfelves been employed in exporting their furplus produce.

According to the natural courfe of things, therefore, the greater part of the capital of every growing fociety is, firf, directed to agriculture, afterwards to manufactures, and laft of all to foreign commerce. This order of things is fo very: natural, that in every fociety that had any territory, it has
always,
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always, I believe, been in fome degree obferved, Some of their CHAP. lands muft have been cultivated before any confiderable towns could be eftablifhed, and fome fort of coarfe induftry of the manufacturing kind muft have been carried on in thofe towns, before they could well think of employing themfelves in foreign commerce.

But though this natural order of things muft have taken place in fome degree in every fuch fociety, it has, in all the modern ftates of Europe, been, in many refpects, intirely inverted. The foreign commerce of fome of their cities has introduced all their finer manufactures, or fuch as were fit for diftant fale ${ }_{3}$ and manufactures and foreign commerce together, have given birth to the principal improvements of agriculture. The manners and cuftoms which the nature of their original government introduced, and which remained after that government was greatly altered, neceffarily forced them into this unnatural and retrograde order.
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## CHAP. II.

## Of the Difcouragement of Agriculture in the antient State of Europe after the Fall of the Roman Embire.

BOOK TTTHEN the German and Scythian nations over-ran the III. Weftern provinces of the Roman empire, the confufions which followed fo great a revolution lafted for feveral centuries. The rapine and violence which the barbarians exercifed againft the antient inhabitants, interrupted the commerce between the towns and the country. The towns were deferted, and the country was left uncultivated, and the weftern provinces of Europe, which had enjoyed a confiderable degree of opulence under the Roman empire, funk into the loweft ftate of poverty and barbarifm. During the continuance of thofe confufions, the chiefs and principal leaders of thofe nations, acquired or ufurped to themfelves the greater part of the lands of thofe countries. A great part of them was uncultivated; but no part of them, whether cultivated or uncultivated, was left without a proprietor. All of them were engroffed, and the greater part by a few great proprietors.

This original engroffing of uncultivated lands, though a great, might have been but a tranfitory evil. They might foon have been divided again, and broke into fimall parcels either by fucceffion or by alienation. The law of primogeniture hindered them from being divided by fucceffion : the introduction of entails prevented their being broke into fmall parcels by alienation.

When land, like moveables, is confidered as the means only of fubfiftence and enjoyment, the natural law of fucceffion divides
it, like them, among all the children of the family; of all of whom the fubfiftence and enjoyment may be fuppofed equally dear to the father. This natural law of fueceffion accordingly took place among the Romans, who made no more diftinction between elder and younger, between male and female, in the inheritance of lands, than we do in the diftribution of moveables. But when land was confidered as the means, not, of fubfiftence merely, but of power and protection, it was thought better that it fhould defcend undivided to one. In thofe diforderly times, every great landlord was a fort of petty prince. His tenants were his fubjects. He was their judge, and in fome refpects their legiflator in peace, and their leader in war. He made war according to his own diferetion, frequently againft his neighbours, and fometimes againft his fovereign. The fecurity of a landed eftate, therefore, the protection which its owner could afford to thofe who dwelt on it, depended upon its greatnefs. To divide it was to ruin it, and to expofe every part of it to be oppreffed and fwallowed up by the incurfions of its neighbours. The law of primogeniture, therefore, came to take place, not immediately, indeed, but in procefs of time, in the fucceffion of landed eftates, for the fame reafon that it has generally taken place in that of monarchies, though not always at their firft inftitution. That the power, and confequently the fecurity of the monarchy, may not be weakened by divifion, it muft defeend entire to one of the children. To which of them fo important a preference fhall be given, muft be determined by fome general rule, founded not upon the doubtful diftinctions of perfonal merit, but upon fome plain and evident difference which can admit of no difpute. Among the children of the fame family, there can be no indifputable difference but that of fex, and that of age. The male fex is univerfally preferred to the female; and when all other things are equal, the elder every where takes place
${ }^{B}$ OOKK of the younger. Hence the origin of the right of primogeniture, III. and of what is called lineal fucceffion.

Laws frequently continue in force long after the circumftances, which firft gave occafion to them, and which could alone render them reafonable, are no more. In the prefent ftate of Europe, the proprietor of a fingle acre of land is as perfectly fecure of his poffeffion as the proprietor of a hundred thoufand. The right of primogeniture, however, ftill continues to be refpected, and as of all inftitutions it is the fitteft to fupport the pride of family diftinctions, it is ftill likely to endure for many centuries. In every other refpect, nothing can be more contrary to the real intereft of a numerous family, than a right which, in order to enrich one, beggars all the reft of the children.

Entails are the natural confequences of the law of primogeniture. They were introduced to preferve a certain lineal fucceffion, of which the law of primogeniture firft gave the idea, and to hinder any part of the original eftate from being carried out of the propofed line either by gift, or devife, or alienation; either by the folly, or by the misfortune of any of its fucceffive owners. They were altogether unknown to the Romans. Neither their fubftitutions nor fideicommiffes bear any refemblance to entails, though fome French lawyers have thought proper to drefs the modern inftitution in the language and form of thofe antient ones.

When great landed eftates were a fort of principalities; entails might not be unreafonable. Like what are called the fundamental laws of fome monarchies, they might frequently hinder the fecurity of thoufands from being endangered by the caprice or extravagance of one man. But in the prefent ftate of Europe, when fmall as
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well as great eftates derive their fecurity from the laws of their CHAP. country, nothing can be more compleatly abfurd. They are founded upon the moft abfurd of all fuppofitions, the fuppofition that every fucceffive generation of men have not an equal right to the earth, and to all that it poffeffes; but that the property of the prefent generation fhould be reftrained and regulated according to the fancy of thofe who died perhaps five hundred years ago. Entails, however, are ftill refpected through the greater part of Europe, in thofe countries particularly in which noble birth is a neceffary qualification for the enjoyment either of civil or military honours. Entails are thought neceffary for maintaining this exclufive privilege of the nobility to the great offices and honours of their country; and that order having ufurped one unjuft advantage over the reft of their fellow citizens, left their poverty fhould render it ridiculous, it is thought reafonable that they fhould have another. The common law of England, indeed, is faid to abhor perpetuities, and they are accordingly more reftricted there than in any other European monarchy; though even England is not altogether without them. In Scotland more than one-fifth, perhaps more than one-third part of the whole lands of the country. are at prefent under frrict entail.

Great tracts of uncultivated land were, in this manner, not only engroffed by particular families, but the poffibility of their being divided again was as much as poffible precluded forever. It feldom happens, however; that a great proprietor is a great improver. In the diforderly times which gave birth to thofe barbarous inftitutions, the great proprietor was fufficiently employed in defending his own territories, or in extending his jurifdiction and authority over thofe of his neighbours. He had no leifure to attend to the cultivation and improvement of land. When the: eftablifhment of law and order afforded him this leifure, he often wanted the inclination, and almoft always the requifite abilities. If.
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BOOK the expence of his houfe and perfon either equalled or exceeded his revenue, as it did very frequently, he had no ftock to employ in this manner. If he was an ceconomift, he generally found it more profitable to employ his annual favings in new purchafes, than in the improvement of his old eftate. To improve land with profit, like all other commercial projects, requires an exact attention to fmall favings and fmall gains, of which a man born to a great fortune, even though naturally frugal, is very feldom capable. The fituation of fuch a perfon naturally difpofes him to attend rather to ornament which pleafes his fancy, than to profit for which he has fo little occafion. The elegance of his drefs, of his equipage, of his houfe, and houfhold furniture, are objects which from his infancy he has been accuftomed to have fome anxiety about. The turn of mind which this habit naturally forms, follows him when he comes to think of the improvement of land. He embellifhes perhaps four or five hundred acres in the neighbourhood of his houfe, at ten times the expence which the land is worth after all his improvements; and finds that if he was to improve his whole eftate in the fame manner, and he has little tafte for any other, he would be a bankrupt before he had finifhed the tenth part of it. There ftill remain in both parts of the united kingdom fome great eftates which have continued without interruption in the hands of the fame family fince the times of feudal anarchy. Compare the prefent condition of thofe eftates with the poffeffions of the fmall proprietors in their neighbourhood, and you will require no other argument to convince you how unfavourable fuch extenfive property is to improvement.

If little improvement was to be expected from fuch great proprietors, ftill lefs was to be hoped for from thofe who occupied the land under them. In the antient ftate of Europe, the occupiers of land were all tenants at will. They were all or almoft all flaves;
but their flavery was of a milder kind than that known among the antient Greeks and Romans, or even in our Weft Indian colonies. They were fuppofed to belong more directly to the land than to their mafter. They could, therefore, be fold with it, but not feparately. They could marry, provided it was with the confent of their mafter; and he could not afterwards diffolve the marriage by felling the man and wife to different perfons. If he maimed or murdered any of them, he was liable to fome penalty, though generally but to a fmall one. They were not, however, capable of acquiring property. Whatever they acquired was acquired to their mafter, and he could take it from them at pleafure. Whatever cultivation and improvement could be carried on by means of fuch flaves, was properly carried on by their mafter. It was at his expence. The feed, the cattle, and the inftruments of hufbandry were all his. It was for his benefit. Such flaves could acquire nothing but their daily maintenance. It was properly the proprietor himfelf, therefore, that, in this cafe, occupied his own lands, and cultivated them by his own bondmen. This fpecies of flavery ftill fubfifts in Ruffia, Poland, Hungary, Bohemia, Moravia, and other parts of Germany. It is only in the weftern and fouth-weftern provinces of Europe, that it has gradually been abolifhed altogether.

But if great improvements are feldom to be expected from great proprietors, they are leaft of all to be expected when they employ flaves for their woikmen. The experience of all ages and nations, I believe, demonftrates that the work done by flaves, though it appears to coft only their maintenance, is in the end the deareft of any. A perfon who can acquire no property, can have no other intereft but to eat as much, and to labour as little as poffible. Whatever work he does beyond what is fufficient to purchafe his own maintenance, can be fqueezed out of him by violence only, and not by any intereft of his own. In antient Italy, Vol. I. 306 how

BOOR bow much the cultivation of corn degenerated, how unprofitable it became to the mafter when it fell under the management of flaves, is remarked by both Pliny and Columella. In the time of Ariftotle it had not been much better in antient Greece. Speaking of the ideal republic defcribed in the laws of Plato, to maintain five thoufand idie men (the number of warriors fuppofed neceffary for its defence) together with their women and fervants, would require, he fays, a territory of boundlefs extent and fertility, like the plaing of Babylon.

The pride of man makes him love to domineer, and nothing mortifies him fo much as to be obliged to condefcend to perfuade his inferiors. Wherever the law allows it, and the nature of the work can afford it, therefare, he will generally prefer the fervice of flaves to that of freemen. The planting of fugar and tobacco can afford the expence of flave-culcivation. The raifing of corn, it feems. in the prefent times, cannot. In the Englioh colonies, of which the principal produce is corn, the far greater part of the work is done by fremmen. The late refolution of the guakers in Penfylvania to fet at liberty all their negroe flaves, may fatisfy us that their number cannot be very great. Had they made any confiderable part of their property, fuch a refolution could newer have been agreed to. In our fugar colonies, on the contrary, the whole work is done by flaves, and in onr tobacco colonies a very great part of it. The profits of a figgar-plantation in any of our Wert Indian colonies are generally much greater than thafe of any other cultivation that is knowa either in Europe or America: And the profits of a tohasco plankation, though inferior to thofe of fugar, are fuperior to thofe of eorm, es has adready been obferved. Both cas afford the expence of Rave-cultivation, but Gugar can afford it ftith better than tabacca. The number of negroes accordingly is nuch greater, in proportion to that of whites, in our fugar than in our tobacce colanies.
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To the flave cultivators of antient times, gradually fucceeded a fpecies of farmers known at prefent in France by the name of Metayers. They are called in Latin Coloni Partiarii. They have been fo long in difufe in England that at prefent I know no Englifh name for them. The proprietor furnifhed them with the feed, cattle, and infruments of hurbandry, the whole ftock, in fhort, neceffary for cultivating the farm. The produce was divided equally between the proprietor and the farmer, after fetting afide what was judged neceffary for keeping up the ftock, which was reftored to the proprietor when the farmer either quitted or was turned out of the farm.

Land occupied by fuch tenants is properly cultivated at the expence of the proprietor, as much as that occupied by flaves. There is, however, one very effential difference between them. Such tenants, being freemen, are capable of acquiring property, and having a certain proportion of the produce of the land, they have a plain intereft that the whole produce fhould be as great as poffible, in order that their own proportion may be fo. A flave, on the contrary, who can acquire nothing but his maintenance, confults his own eafe by making the land produce as little as poffible, over and above that maintenance. It is probable that it was partly upon account of this advantage, and partly upon account of the encroachments which the fovereign, always jealous of the great lords, gradually encouraged their villains to make upon their authority, and which feem at laft to have been fuch as rendered this fpecies of fervitude altogether inconvenient, that tenure in villanage gradually wore out through the greater part of Europe. The time and mannier, however, in which fo important a revoJution was brought about, is one of the moft obfcure points in modern hiftory. The church of Rome claims great merit in it ; and it is certain that fo early as the twelfth century, Alexander III.

Vol. I.

B OOK publifhed a bull for the general emancipation of flaves. It feems, $\xrightarrow{\text { III. }}$ however, to have been rather a pious exhortation, than a law to which exact obedience was required from the faithful. Slavery continued to take place almoft univerfally for feveral centuries afterwards, till it was gradually abolifhed by the joint operation of the two interefts above mentioned, that of the proprietor on the one hand, and that of the fovereign on the other. A villain enfrans chifed, and at the fame time allowed to continue in poffeffion of the land, having no ftock of his own, could cultivate it only by means of what the landlord advanced to him, and muft, therefore, have been what the French call a Metayer.

It could never, however, be the intereft even of this laft feecies of cultivators to lay out in the further improvement of the land, any part of the little ftock which they might fave from their own fhare of the produce, becaufe the lord, who laid out nothing, was to get one-half of whatever it produced. The tithe, which is but a tenth of the produce, is found to be a very great hinderance to improvemerit. A tax, therefore, which amounted to one half, muft have been an effectual bar to it. It might be the intereft of a metayer to make the land produce as much as could be brought out of it by meains of the ftock furnifhed by the proprietor: but it could never be his intereft to mix any part of his own with it. In France, where five parts out of fix of the whole kingdom are faid to be ftill occupied by this feecies of cultivators, the proprietors complain that their metayers take every opportunity of employing the mafters cattle rather in carriage than in cultivation; becaufe in the one cafe they get the whole profits to themfelves, in the other they fhare them with their landlord. This fpecies of tenants ftill fubfifts in fome parts of Scotland. They are called fteel-bow tenants. Thofe antient Englifh tenants, who are faid by chief Baron Gilbert and Doctor Blackftone to have been rather bailiffs "
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bailiffs of the landlord than farmers properly fo called, were probably of the fame kind.

To this fpecies of tenancy fucceeded, though by very flow degrees, farmers properly fo called, who cultivated the land with their own ftock, paying a rent certain to the landlord. When fuch farmers have a leafe for a term of years, they may fometimes find it for their intereft to lay out part of their capital in the further improvement of the farm; becaufe they may fometimes expect to recover it, with a large profit, before the expiration of the leafe. The poffeffion even of fuch farmers, however, was long extreamly precarious, and Atill is fo in many parts of Europe. They could before the expiration of their term be legally outed of their leafe, by a new purchafer; in England, even by the fictitious action of a common recovery. If they were turned out illegally by the violence of their mafter, the action by which they obtained redrefs was extreamly imperfeet. It did not always re-inftate them in the poffeffion of the land, but gave them damages which never amounted to the real lofs. Even in England, the country perhaps of Europe where the yeomanry has always been moft refpected, it was not till about the $14^{\text {th }}$ of Fenry the VIIth that the action of ejectment was invented, by which the tenant recovers, not damages only but poffeffion, and in which his claim is not neceffarily concluded by the uncertain decifion of a fingle affize. This action has been found fo effectual a remedy that, in the modern practice, when the landlord has occafion to fue for the poffeffion of the land, he feldom makes ufe of the actions which properly belong to him as-landlord, the writ of tight or the writ of entry, but fues in the name of his tenant, by the writ of ejectment. In England, therefore, the fecurity of the tenant is equal to that of the proprietor. In England befides, a leafe for life of forty fhillings a year value is a frechold, and enititles, the leffee to vote for a member of parliament; $3 \mathrm{P}_{2}$ and
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BOOK and as a great part of the yeomanry have freebolds of this kinds, III. the whole order becomes refpectable to their landlords on account of the political confideration which this gives them. There is, I believe, nowhere in Europe, except in England, any inftance of the tenant building upon the land of which he had no leafe, and trufting that the honour of his landlord would take no advantage of fa important an improvement. Thofe laws and cuftoms fo favourable to the yeomanry, have perhaps contributed more to the prefent grandeur of England than all their boafted regulations of commerce taken together.

The law which fecures the longeft leafes againft fucceffors of every kind is, fo far as I know, peculiar to Great Britain. It was: introduced into Scotland.fo early as 1449, by a. law of James the IId. Its beneficial influence, however, has been much obftructed by entails; the heirs of entail being generally reftrained from letting leafes for any long term of years, frequently for more than one year. A late act of parliament has, in this refpect, fomewhat flackened their fetters, though they are ftill by much too frait. In Scotland, befides, as no. leafehold gives a vote for a member of: parliament, the yeomanry are upon this account lefs refpectable to. their landlords than in England.

In other parts of Europe, after it was found convenient to fecure tenants both againft heirs and purchafers, the term of their fecurity was ftill limited to a very fhort period; in France, for example, to nine years from the commencement of the leafe. It has in that country, indeed, been lately extended to twenty feven, a period. ftill too fhort to encourage the tenant to make the moft importanit. improvements. The proprietors of land were antiently the legiflators of every part of Europe. The laws relating to land, therefore, were all calculated for what they fuppofed the intereft of the proprietor. It was for his intereft, they had imagined, that no
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leafe granted by any of his predeceffors hhould hinder him from enjoying, during a long term of years, the full value of his land. Avarice and injuftice are always fhort-fighted, and they did not forefee how much this regulation muft obftruct improvement, and thereby hurt in the long run the real intereft of the landlord.

The farmers too, befides paying the rent, were antiently, it was fuppofed, bound to perform a great number of fervices to the landlord, which were feldom either fpecified in the leafe, or regulated by any precife rule, but by the ufe and wont of the manor or barony. Thefe fervices, therefore, being almoft entirely arbitrary, fubjected the tenant to many vexations. In Scotland the abolition of all fervices, not precifely ftipulated in the leafe, has in the courfe of a few years very much altered for the better the condition of the yeomanry of that country.

The publick fervices to which the yeomanry were bound; were not lefs arbitrary than the private ones. To make and maintain the high roads, a fervitude which ftill fubfifts, I believe, every where, though with different degrees of oppreffion in different oountries, was not the only one. When the king's troops; when his houfhold or his officers of any kind paffed through any part of the country, the yeomanry were bound to provide them with horfes, carriages, and provifions, at a price regulated by the purveyor. Great Britain is, I believe, the only monarchy in Europe where the oppreffion of purveyance has been entirely. abolifhed. It fill. fubfifts in France and Germany.

The publick taxes to which they were fubject were as irregular and oppreffive as the fervices. The antient lords, though extreamly unwilling to grant themfelves any pecuniary aid to their fovereign, cafily allowed him to tallage, as they called it, their tenants, and had:

B OOK had not knowledge enough to forefee how much this mutt in the
end affect their own revenue. The taille, as it ftill fubfifts in France, may ferve as an example of thofe antient tallages. It is a tax upon the fuppofed profits of the farmer, which they eftimate by the fock that he has upon the farm. It is his intereft, therefore, to appear to have as little as poffible, and confequently to employ as little as poffible in its cultivation, and none in its improvement. Should any ftock happen to accumulate in the hands of a French farmer, the taille is almoft equal to a prohibition of its ever being employed upon the land. This tax befides is fuppofed to difhonour whoever is fubject to it, and to degrade him below, not only the rank of a gentleman, but that of a burgher, and whoever rents the lands of another becomes fubject to it. No gentleman nor even any burgher that has ftock will fubmit to this degradation. This tax, therefore, not only hinders the ftock which accumulates upon the land from being employed in its improvement, but drives away all other ftock from it. The antient tentirs and fifteenths, fo ufual in England in former times, feem, fo far as they affected the land, to have been taxes of the fame nature with the taille.

1 Under all thefe difcouragements, little improvement could be expected from the occupiers of land. That order of people, with all the liberty and fecurity which law can give, muft always improve under great difidvantages. The farmer compared with the proprietor, is'as a merchant who trades with botrowed money compared with one who trades with his own. The fock of both may improve, but that of the one, with only equalgood conduct, muift always improve more flowly than that of the other, on account of the targe fhare of the profits which is confumed by the intereft of the loan. The lands cultivated by the farmer muft, in the fame manner, with only equal good conduct, be improved more illowly than thofe cultivated by the proprietor; on account of the lange
fhare
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fhare of the produce which is confumed in the rent, and which, had the farmer been proprietor, he might have employed in the further improvement of the land. The ftation of a farmer befides is, from the nature of things, inferior to that of a proprietor. Through the greater part of Europe the yeomanry are regarded as an inferior rank of people, even to the better fort of tradefmen and mechanics, and in all parts of Europe to the great merchants and mafter manufacturers. It can feldom happen, therefore, that a man of any confiderable ftock fhould quit the fuperior in order to place himfelf in an inferior ftation. Even in the prefent fate of Europe, therefore, little fock is likely to go from any other profeffion to the improvement of land in the way of farming. More does perhaps in Great Britain than in any other country, though even there the great focks which are, in fome places, employed in farming, have generally been acquired by farming, the trade, perhaps, in which of all others fock is commonly acquired moft flowly. After fmall proprietors, however, rich and great farmers are, in every country, the principal improvers. There are more fueh perhaps in England than in any other European monarchy. In the republican governments of Holland and of Berne in Switzerland, the farmers are faid to be not inferior to thofe: of England.

Tee antient policy of Europe was, over and above all this, unfavourable to the improvement and cultivation of land, whether carried on by the proprietor or by the farmer; firft, by the general. prohibition of the exportation of corn without a fpecial licence, which feems to have been a very univerfal regulation ; and fecondly, by the reftraints which were laid upon the inland commerce, not only of corn but of almoft every other part of the produce of the farm, by the abfurd laws againft engroffers, regrators, and foreftallers, and by the privileges of fairs and markets. It has already been.

B OO K
III. corn, together with fome encouragement given to the importation of foreign corn, obftructed the cultivation of antient Italy, naturally the moft fertile country in Europe, and at that time the feat of the greateft empire in the world. To what degree fuch reftraints upon the inland commerce of this commodity, joined to the general prohibition of exportation, muft have difcouraged the cultivation of countries lefs fertile, and lefs favourably circumftanced, it is not perhaps very eafy to imagine.

CHAP. III.<br>Of ithe Rife and Progress of Cities and Towns, after the Fall of the Roman Empire.

THE inhabitants of cities and towns were, after the fall of the Roman empire, not more favoured than thofe of the country. They confifted, indeed, of a very different order of people from the firft inhabitants of the antient republicks of Greece and Italy. Thefe laft were compofed chiefly of the proprietors of lands, among whom the publick territory was originally divided, and who found it convenient to build their houfes in the neighbourhood of one another, and to furround them with a wall, for the fake of common defence. After the fall of the Roman empire, on the contrary, the proprietors of lands feem generally to have lived in fortified caftles on their own citates, and in the midft of their own tenants and dependants. The towns were chiefly inhabited by tradefmen and mechanicks, who feem in thofe days to thave been of fervile, or very nearly of fervile condition. The privileges
vileges which we find granted by antient charters to the inhabitants of fome of the principal towns in Europe, fufficiently fhow what they were before thofe grants. The people to whom it is granted as a privilege, that they might give away their own daughters in marriage without the confent of their lord, that upon their death their own children, and not their lord, fhould fucceed to their goods, and that they might difpofe of their own effects by will, muft, before thofe grants, have been either altogether, or very nearly in the fame fate of villanage with the occupiers of land in the country.

They feem, indeed, to have been a very poors meai fett of people, who ufed to travel about with their goods from ${ }_{\mathrm{P}}$ lace to place, and from fair to fair, like the hawkers and pedlars of the reefent times. In all the different countries of Europe then, in theilicie manner as in feveral of the Tartar governments of Afia at $p$ ent, taxes ufed to be levied upon the perfons and goods of travelifits, when they paffed through certain manors, when they went atter certain bridges, when they carried about their goods from place to place in a fair, when they erected in it a booth or ftall to fell them in. Thefe different taxes were known in England by the names of paffage, pontage, laftage, and ftallage. Sometimes the king, fometimes a great lord, who had, it feems, upon fome occafions, authority to do this; would grant to particular traders, to fuch particularly as lived in their own demefnes, a general exemption from fuch taxes. Such traders, though in other refpects of fervile, or very nearly of fervile condition, were upon this account called Free-traders. They in return ufually paid to their protector a fort of annual poll-tax. In thofe days protection was feldom granted without a valuable confideration, and this tax might, perhaps, be confidered as compenfation for what their patrons might lofe by their exemption from other taxes. At firf, Vox. I. 3 Q both
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B OOK both thofe poll-taxes and thofe exemptions feem to have beeri altogether perfonal, and to have affected only particular individuals, during either their lives, or the pleafure of their protectors. In the very imperfect accounts which have been publifhed from Domefday-book, of feveral of the towns of England, mention is frequently made, fometimes of the tax which particular burghers paid, each of them, either to the king, or to fome other great lord, for this fort of protection, and fometimes of the general amount only of all thofe taxes.

But how fervile foever may have been originally the condition of the inhabitants of towns, it appears evidently, that they arrived at liberty and independency much earlier than the occupiers of land in the country. That part of the king's revenue which arofe from fuch poll-taxes in any particular town, ufed commonly to be lett in farm, during a term of years for a rent certain, fometimes to the fheriff of the county, and fometimes to other perfons. The burghers themfelves frequently got credit enough to be admitted to farm the revenues of this fort which arofe out of their own town, they becoming jointly and feverally anfwerable for the whole tent. To lett a farm in this manner was quite agreeable to the ufual ceconomy of, I believe, the fovereigns of all the different countries of Europe; who ufed frequently to lett whole manors to all the tenants of thofe manors, they becoming jointly and feverally anfwerable for the whole rent; but in return being allowed to collect it in their own way, and to pay it into the king's exchequer by the hands of their own bailiff, and being thus altogether freed from the infolence of the king's officers; a circumftance in thofe days regarded as of the greateft importance.
${ }^{17}$ AT firft, the farm of the town was probably lett to the burghers, in the fame manner as it had been to other farmers, for a term of years only. In procefs of time, however, it feems to have become the general practice to grant it to them in fee, that is forever, referving a rent certain never afterwards to be augmented. The payment having thus become perpetual, the exemptions, in return for which it was' made, naturally became perpetual too. Thofe exemptions, therefore, ceafed to be perfonal, and could not afterwards be confidered as belonging to individuals as individuals, but as burghers of a particular burgh, which, upon this account, was called a Free-burgh, for the fame reafon that they had been called Free-burghers or Free-traders.

Along with this grant, the important privileges above mentioned, that they might give away their own daughters in marriage, that their children fhould fucceed to them, and that they might difpofe of their own effects by will, were generally beftowed upon the burghers of the town to whom it was given. Whether fuch privileges had before been ufually granted along with the freedom of trade, to particular burghers, as individuals, I know not. I rectron it not improbable that they were, though I cannot produce any direct evidence of it. But however this may have been, the principal attributes of villanage and flavery being thus taken away from them, they now, at leaft, became really free in our prefent fenfe of the word Freedom.

Nor was this all. They were generally at the fame time erected into a commonality or corporation, with the privilege of having magiftrates and a town council of their own, of making bye laws for their own government, of building walls for their own defence, and of reducing all their inhabitants under a fort of military difcipline, by obliging them to watch and ward, that is,
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BOOK as antiently underftood, to guard and defend thofe walls againft all $\underbrace{\text { III. }}$ attacks and furprifes by night as well as by day. In England they were generally exempted from fuit to the hundred and county courts; and all fuch pleas as fhould arife among them, the pleas of the crown excepted, were left to the decifion of their own magiftrates. In other countries much greater and more extenfive jurifdictions were frequently granted to them.

Ir might, probably, be neceffary to grant to fuch towns as were admitted to farm their own revenues, fome fort of compulfive jurifdiction to oblige their own citizens to make payment. In thofe diforderly times it might have been extremely inconvenient to have left them to feek this fort of juftice from any other tribunal. Butit muft feem extraordinary that the fovereigns of all the different countries of Europe, fhould have exchanged in this manner for a rent certain, never more to be augmented, that branch of their revenue, which was, perhaps, of all others the moft likely to be improved, by the natural courfe of things, without either expence or attention of their own : and that they fhould, befides, have in this manner voluntarily erected a fort of independent republicks in the heart of their own dominions.

In order to underftand this it muft be remembered, that in thofe days the fovereign of perhaps no country in Europe, was able to protect, through the whole extent of his dominions, the weaker part of his fubjects from the oppreffion of the great lords. Thofe whom the law could not protect, and who were not ftrong enough to defend themfelves, were obliged either to have recourfe to the protection of fome great lord, and in order to obtain it to become either his flaves or vaffals; or to enter into a league of mutual defence for the common protection of one another. The inhabitants of cities and burghs, confidered as fingle individuals,
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viduals, had no power to defend themfelves: but by entering into a league of murual defence with their neighbours, they were capable of making no contemptible refiftance. The lords defpifed the burghers, whom they confidered not only as of a different order, but as a parcel of enancipated flaves, almoft of a different fpecies from themfelves. The wealth of the burghers never failed to provoke their envy and indignation, and they plundered them upon every occafion without mercy or remorfe. The burghersnaturally hated and feared the lords. The king hated and feared them too; but though perhaps he might defpife, he had no reafon either to hate or fear the burghers. Mutual intereft, therefore, difpofed them to fupport the king, and the king to fupport them againft the lords. They were the enemies of his enemies, and it was his intereft to render them as fecure and independent of thofe enemies as he could. By granting them magiftrates of their own, the privilege of making bye-laws for their own government, that of building walls for their own defence, and that of reducing all their inhabitants under a fort of military difcipline, he gave them all the means of fecurity and independency of the barons which it was in his power to beftow. Without the eftablifhment of fome regular government of this kind, without fome authority to compel their inhabitants to act according to fome certain plan or fyftem, no voluntary league of mutual defence could either have afforded them. any permanent fecurity, or have enabled them to give the king. any confiderable fupport. By granting them the farm of their town in fee, he took away from thofe whom he wifhed to have for his friends, and, if one may fay fo, for his allies, all ground of jealoufy and fufpicion that he was ever afterwards to opprefs them, either by raifing the farm rent of their town, or by granting itto fome other farmer.

The princes who lived upon the worft terms with their barons, feem accordingly to have been the moft liberal in grants of this kind

| $B \mathrm{OOK}$ kind to their burghs. King John of England, for example, appears |
| :---: |
| III. | III. to have been a moft munificent benefactor to his towns. Philip the firt of France loft all authority over his barons. Towards the end of his reign, his fon Lewis, known afterwards by the name of Lewis the Fat, confulted, according to father Daniel, with the bifhops of the royal demefnes, concerning the moft proper means of reftraining the violence of the great lords. Their advice confifted of two different propofals. One was to erect a new order of jurifdiction, by eftablifhing magiftrates and a town council in every confiderable town of his demefnes. The other was to form a new militia, by making the inhabitants of thofe towns, under the command of their own magiftrates, march out upon proper occafions to the affiftance of the king. It is from this period, according to the French autiquarians, that we are to date the inftitution of the magittrates and councils of cities in France. It was during the unprofperous reigns of the princes of the houfe of Suabia that the greater part of the free towns of Germany received the firft grants of their privileges, and that the famous Hanfeatic league firt became formidable.

The militia of the cities feems, in thofe times, not to have been inferior to that of the country, and as they could be more readily affembled upon any fudden occafion, they frequently had the advantage in their difputes with the neighbouring lords. In countries, fuch as Italy and Switzerland, in which, on account either of their diftance from the principal feat of government, of the natural ftrength of the country itfelf, or of fome other reafon, the fovereign came to lofe the whole of his authority, the cities generally became independent republicks, and conquered all the nobility in their neighbourhood; obliging them to pull down their caftes in the country, and to live, like other peaceable inhabitants, in the city. This is the fhort hiftory of the republick of Berne, as well as
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of feveral other cities in Switzerland. If you except Venice, for of that city the hiftory is fomewhat different, it is the hiftory of all the confiderable Italian republicks, of which fo great a number arofe and perifhed, between the end of the twelfth and the beginning of the - fixteenth century.

In countries fuch as France or England, where the authority of the fovereign, though frequently very low, never was deftroyed altogether, the cities had no opportunity of becoming entirely independent. They became, however, fo confiderable that the fovereign could impofe no tax upon them, befides the fated farm rent of the town, without their own confent. They were, therefore, called upon to fend deputies to the general affembly of the ftates of the kingdom, where they might join with the clergy and the barons in granting, upon urgent occafions, fome extraordinary aid to the king. Being generally too more favourable to his power, their deputies feem, fometimes, to have been employed by him as a counter-balance to the authority of the great lords in thofe affemblies. Hence the origin of the reprefentation of burghs in the ftates general of all the great monarchies in Europe.

Order and good government, and along with them the liberty and fecurity of individuals, were, in this manner, eftablifhed in cities at a time when the occupiers of land in the country were expofed to every fort of violence. But men in this defencelefs ftate naturally content themfelves with their neceffary fubfiftence; becaufe to acquire more might only tempt the injuftice of their oppreffors. On the contrary, when they are fecure of enjoying the fruits of their induftry, they naturally exert it to better their condition, and to acquire not only the neceffaries, but the conveniencies and elegancies of life. That induftry, therefore, which aims at fomething more than neceffary fubfiftence, was eftablifhed in cities long before it was commonly practifed by the occupiers of land

BOOK in the country. If in the hands of a poor cultivator, oppreffed $\underbrace{14 .}$ with the fervitude of villanage, fome little fock fhould accumulate; he would naturally conceal it with great care from his mafter, to whom it would otherwife have belonged, and take the firft opportunity of running away to a town. The law was at that time fo indulgent to the inhabitants of towns, and fo defirous of diminifhing the authority of the lords over thofe of the country, that if he could conceal himfelf there from the purfuit of his lord for a year, he was free for ever. Whatever ftock, therefore, accumulated in the hands of the induftrious part of the inhabitants of the country, naturally took refuge in cities, as the only fanctuaries in which it could be fecure to the perfon that acquired it.

The inhabitants of a city, it is true, muft always ultimately derive their fubfiftence, and the whole materials and means of their induftry from the country. But thofe of a city, fituated near either the fea-coaft or the banks of a navigable river, are not neceffarily confined to derive them from the country in their neighbourhood. They have a much wider range, and may draw them from the moft remote corners of the world, either in exchange for the manufactured produce of their own induftry, or by performing the office of carriers between diftant countries, and exchanging the produce of one for that of another. A city might in this manner grow up to great wealth and fplendor, while not only the country in its neighbourhood, but all thofe to which it traded, were in poverty and wretchednefs. Each of thofe countries, perhaps, taken fingly, could afford it but a fmall part, either of its fubfiftence, or of its employment; but all of them taken together could afford it both a great fubfiftence and a great employment. There were, however, within the narrow circle of the commerce of thofe times, fome countries that were opulent and induftrious.. Such was the
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Greek empire as long as it fubfifted, and that of the Saracens during the reigns of the Abaffides. Such too was Egypt till it was conquered by the Turks, fome part of the coaft of Barbary, and all thofe provinces of Spain which were under the government of the Moors.

The cities of Italy feem to have been the firt in Europe which were raifed by commerce to any confiderable degree of opulence. Italy lay in the center of what was at that time the improved and civilized part of the world. The Cruzades too, though by the great wafte of ftock and deftruction of inhabitants which they occafioned, they muft neceffarily have retarded the progrefs of the greater part of Europe, were extreamly favourable to that of fome Italian cities. The great armies which marched from all parts to the conqueft of the holy land, gave extraordinary encouragement to the fhipping of Venice, Genoa, and Pifa, fometimes in tranfporting them thither, and always in fupplying them with provifions. They were the commiffaries, if one may fay fo, of thofe armies; and the moft deftructive frenzy that ever befel the European nations, was a fource of opulence to thofe republics.

The inhabitants of trading cities, by importing the improved manufactures and expenfive luxuries of richer countries, afforded fome food to the vanity of the great proprietors, who eagerly purchafed them with great quantities of the rude produce of their own lands. The commerce of a great part of Europe in thofe times accordingly, confifted chiefly in the exchange of their own rude, for the manufactured produce of more civilized nations. Thus the wool of England ufed to be exchanged for the wines of France, and the fine cloths of Flanders, in the fame manner as the corn of Poland is at this day exchanged for the wines and brandies of France, and for the filks and velvets of France and Italy.
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A taspes for the finer and more improved manufactures, was in this manner introduced by foreign commerce into countries where no fach works were carried on. Bua when this tafte hocame fo general as to occafion a confiderable demand, the merchantg, in order to fave the expence of carriage, naturally endeavoured to eftablifh fome manufactures of the fame kind in their own country. Hence the origin of the firf manufactures for diftant fale that feem to have been eftablifhed in the weftern provinces of Europe; after the fall of the Roman empire.

No large countray, it muft be obferved; ever did or could fubfift without fome fort of: manufactures being carried on in it; and when it is faid of any fuch country that it has no manufactures, it muft always be underftood of the fines and more improved, on of fuch as are fit for diftant fale. In every large country, both the cloathing and houfhold furniture of the far greater part of the people, are the produce of their own induftry. This is even mose univerfally the cafe in thofe poon countries which are commonly faid to have no manufactures, than in thofe rich ones that are faid to abound in them. In the latter, you will generally find; both in the cloaths and houfhold furniture of the loweft rank of people, a much greater proportion of foreign productions than in the former.

Those manufactures which are fit for diftant fale, feem to have been introduced into different countries in two different ways.

Sometimes they have been introduced, in the manner above mentioned, by the-violent operation, if one may fay fo; of the ftocks of particular merchants and undertakers, who eftablifhed them in imitation of fome foreign manufactures of the fame kind. Such manufactures, therefore, are the offspring of foreign
commerce,
commerce; and fuch feem to hav seen the antient manufactures of filks, velvets, and brocades that were introduced into Venice in the beginning of the thirteenth century. Such too feem to have been the manufactures of fine cloths that antiently flourifhed in Flanders, and which were introduced into England in the beginning of the reign of Elizabeth; and fuch are the prefent filk manufactures of Lyons and Spital-fields. Manufactures introduced in this manner are generally employed upon foreign materials, being in imitations of foreign manufactures. When the Venetian manufacture flourifhed, there was not a mulberry tree, nor confequently a filkworm in all Lombardy. They brought the materials from Sicily and from the Levant, the manufacture itfelf being in imitation of thofe carried on in the Greek empire. Mulberry trees were firft planted in Lombardy in the beginning of the fixteenth century, by the encouragement of Ludovico Sforza duke of Milan. The manufactures of Flanders were carried on chiefly with Spanifh and Englifh wool. Spanifh wool was the material, not of the firft woollen manufacture of England, but of the firft that was fit for diftant fale. More than one half the materials of the Lyons manụfacture is at this day foreign filk; when it was firft eftablifhed, the whole or very nearly the whole was fo. No part of the materials of the Spital-fields manufaetare is ever likely to be the produce of England. The feat of fuch marrufattures; as they are generally introduced by the fcheme and project of a few individuals, is fometimes eftablifhed in a maritime city, and fometimes in an inland town, according as their intereft, judgement or caprice happen to determine.

Ar other times manufactures for diftant fale grow up naturally, and as it were of their own accord; by the gradual refinement of thofe houfhold and coarfer manufactures which muft at all times be carried on even in the pooreff and ruteft countries. Such
mànufactures
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BOOK manufactures are generally employed upon the materials which III. the country produces, and they feem frequently to have been firft refined and improved in fuch inland countries as were, not indeed at a very great, but at a confiderable diftance from the fea coaft, and fometimes even from all water carriage. An inland country naturally fertile and eafily cultivated, produces a great furplus of provifions beyond what is neceffary for maintaining the cultivators, and on account of the expence of land carriage, and inconveniency of river navigation, it may frequently be difficult to fend this furplus abroad. Abundance, therefore, renders provifions cheap, and encourages a great number of workmen to fettle in the neighbourhood, who find that their induftry can there procure them more of the neceffaries and conveniencies of life than in other places. They work up the materials of manufacture which the land produces, and exchange their finifhed work, or what is the fame thing the price of it, for more materials and provifions. They give a new value to the furplus part of the rude produce by faving the expence of carrying it to the water fide or to fome diftant market; and they furnifh the cultivators witi fomething in exchange for it that is either ufeful or agreeable to them, upon eafier terms than they could have obtained it before. The cultivators get a better price for their furplus produce, and can purchafe cheaper other conveniencies which they have occafion for. They are thus both encouraged and enabled to increafe this furplus produce by a further improvement and better cultivation of the land; and as the fertility of the land had given birth to the manufacture, fo the progrefs of the manufacture re-acts upon the land, and increafes ftill further its fertility. The manufacturers firft fupply the neigh bourhood, and afterwards, as their work improves and refines, more diftant markets. For though neither the rude produce, nor even the coarfe manufacture could, without the greateft difficulty, fupport the expence of a confiderable land carriage, the refined and

[^7]improved manufacture eafily may. In a fmall bulk it frequently contains the price of a great quantity of rude produce. A piece of fine cloth, for example, which weighs only eighty pounds, contains in it, the price, not only of eighty pounds weight of wool, but fometimes of feveral thoufand weight of corn, the maintenance of the different working people, and of their immediate employers. The corn which could with difficulty have been carried abroad in its own thape, is in this manner virtually exported in that of the complete manufacture, and may cafily be fent to the remoteft corners of the world. In this manner have grown up naturally, and as it were of their own accord, the manufactures of Leeds, Halifax, Sheffield, Birmingham, and Wolverhampton. Such manufactures are the offspring of agriculture. In the modern hiftory of Europe, their extenfion and improvement have generally been pofterior to thofe which were the offspring of foreign commerce. England was noted for the manufacture of fine cloths made of Spanifh wool, more than a century before any of thofe which now flourih in the places above mentioned were fit for foreign fale. The extenfion and improvement of thefe laft could not take place but in confequence of the extenfion and improvement of agriculture, the laft and greateft effect of foreign commerce, and of the manufactures immediately introduced by it, and which I fhall now proceed to explain.

C H A P. IV,<br>How the Commerce of the Towns contributed to the Improvement of tbe Country.

B OOK MHE inereafe and riches of commercial and manufacturing the countries to which they belonged, in three different ways.

First, by affording a great and ready market for the rude produce of the country, they gave encouragernent to its cultivation and further improvement. This beneft was not even confined to the countries in which they were fituated, but extended more or lefs to all thofe with which they had any dealings. To all of them they afforded a market for fome part either of their rude or manufactured prodace, and confequently gave fome encouragement to the induftry and improvement of all, Their own country, however, on account of its neighbourhood, neceffarily derived the greateft benefit from this market. Its rude produce being charged with lefs carriage, the traders could pay the growers a better price for it, and yet afford it as cheap to the confumers as that of more diftant countries.

Secondly, the wealth acquired by the inhabitants of cities was frequently employed in purchafing fuch lands as were to be fold, of which a great part would frequently be uncultivated. Merchants are commonly ambitious of becoming country gentlemen, and when they do, they are generally the beft of all improvers. A merchant is accuftomed to employ his money chiefly in profitable projects; whereas a mere country gentleman is accuftomed to employ
employ it ehiefly in expence. The one often fees his money go CHAP. from him and resurn to him again with a profit : The other when onee he parts with it, very feldom expects to fee any more of it. Thofe different habits naturally affect their temper and difpofition in every fort of bufineff. A merchant is commonly a bold; a country gentleman, a timid undertaker. The one is not afraid to lay out at onee a large capital upon the improvement of his: land, whien he has a probable profpect of raifing the value of it in proportion to the expence. The other, if he has any capital, which is not always the cafe, feldom ventures to employ it in this manner. If he improves at all, it is commonly not with a c but with what he can fave out of his annual revenue. W). has had the fortune to live in a mercantile town fituated in an unimproved country, muft have frequently obferved how much more fpirited the operations of merchants were in this way, than'thofe of mere country gentlemen. The habits, befides, of order, ceconomy and attention, to which mercantile bufinefs naturally forms a merchant, render him much, fitter to execute, with profit and fuccefs, any project of improvement.

Thirdey, and laftly, commerce and manufactures gradually introduced order and good government, and with them, the liberty and fecurity of individuals, among the inhabitants of the country, who had before lived almoft in a continual ftate of war with their: neighbours, and of fervile dependency upon their fuperiors. This, though it has been the leaft obferved, is by far the moft important of all their effects. Mr. Hume is the only writer who, fo far as I know, has hitherto taken notice of it.

In a country which has neither foreign commerce, nor any of the finer manufactures, a great proprictor, having nothing for which he can exchange the greater part of the produce of his lands which is: over and above the maintenance of the cultivators, confumes the
B. OOK whole in ruftick hofpitality at home. If this furplus produce is fufIII. ficient to maintain a hundred or a thoufand men, he can make ufe of it in no other way than by maintaining a hundred or a thoufand men. He is at all times, therefore, furrounded with a multitude of retainers and dependants, who having no equivalent to give in return for their maintenance, but being fed entirely by his bounty, muft obey him, for the fame reafon that foldiers muft obey the prince who pays them. Before the extenfion of commerce and manufactures in Europe, the hofpitality of the rich and the great, from the fovereign down to the fmalleft baron, exceeded every thing which in the prefent times we can eafily form a notion of. Weftminfter hall was the dining room of William Rufus, and might frequently, perhaps, not be too large for his company. It was reckoned a piece of magnificence in Thomas Becket, that he ftrowed the floor of his hall with clean hay or rufhes in the feafon, in order that the knights and fquires, who could not get feats, might not fpoil their fine cloaths when they fat down on the floor to eat their dinner. The great earl of Warwick is faid to have entertained every day at his different manors, thirty thoufand people; and though the number here may have been exaggerated, it muft, however, have been very great to admit of fuch exaggeration. A hofpitality nearly of the fame kind was exercifed not many years ago in many different parts of the highlands of Scotland. It feems to be common in all nations to whom commerce and manufactures are little known. I have feen, fays Doctor Pocock, an Arabian chief dine in the ftreets of a town where he had come to fell his. cattle, and invite all paffengers, even common beggars, to fit down with him and partake of his banquet.

The occupiers of land were in every refpect as dependent upon the great proprietor as his retainers. Even fuch of them as were not in a ftate of villanage, were tenants at will, who paid a rent
in ng refpect equivalent to the fubfiftence which the land afforded them. A crown, half a crown, a heep, a lamb, was fome years ago in the highlands of Scotland a common rent for lands which maintained a family. In fome places it is fo at this day ; nor will money at prefent purchafe a greater quantity of commodities there than in other places. In a cauntry where the furplus produce of a large eftate muft be confiumed upan the eftate itfelf, it will frequently be more convenient for the proprietor, that part of it be confumed at a diftance from his own houfe, provided they who confume it are as dependant upon him as either his retainers or his menial fervants. He is thereby faved from the embarraffiment of either too large a company or too large a family. A tenant at will, who poffeffes land fufficient to maintain his family for little more than a quit-rent, is as dependant upon the proprietor as any fervant or retainer whatever, and muft obey him with as little referve. Such a proprietor, as he feeds his fervants and retainers at his own houfe, fo he feeds his tenants at their houfes. The fubfiftence of both is derived from his bounty, and its continuance depends upon his good pleafure.

Upon the authotity which the great proprietors neceffarily had in fuch a ftate of things over their tenants and setainers, was founded the power of the antient barons. They neceffarily became the judges in peace, and the leaders in war, of all who dwelt upon their eftates. They could maintain order and execute the law within their refpective demefnes, becaufe each of them could there turn the whole force of all the inhabitants againft the injuftice of any one. No other perfon had fufficient authority to do this. The king in particular had not. In thofe antient times he was little more than the greateft proprietor in his dominions, to whom for the fake of common defence againft their conmon enemies, the other great proprietors paid certain refpects. To have enforced payment of a fmall debt within the lands of a great pro-
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${ }^{B}$ OOK prietor, where all the inhabitants were armed and accuftomed to ftand by one another, would have coft the king, had he attempted it by his own authority, almoft the fame effort as to extinguif a civil war. He was, therefore, obliged to abandon the adminiftration of juftice through the greater part of the country, to thofe who were capable of adminiftering it; and for the fame reafon to leave the command of the country militia to thofe whom that militia would obey.

IT is a miftake to imagine that thofe territorial jurifdictions took their origin from the feudal law. Not only the higheft jurifdietions both civil and criminal, but the power of levying troops, of coining money, and even that of making bye-laws for the government of their own people, were all rights poffefled allodially by the great proprietors of land feveral centuries before even the name of the feudal law was known in Europe. The authority and juriddiction of the Saxon lords in England, appears to have been as great before the conqueft, as that of any of the Norman lords after it. But the feudal law is not fuppofed to have become the common law of England till after the conqueft. That the moft extenfive authority and júfifdictions were poffeffed by the great lords in France allodially long before the feudal law was introduced into that country, is a matter of fact that admits of no doubt. That authority and thofe jurifdictione all neceffarily flowed from the fate of property and manners juft now defcribed. Without remounting to the remote antiquities of either the French or Englifh monarchies, we may find in much later times many proofs that fuch effects muft always flow from fuch caufes. It is not thirty years ago fince Mr. Cameron of Lochiel, a gentleman of Lochabar in Scotland, without any legal warrant whatever, not being what was then called a lord of regality, nor even a tenant in chief, but a vaffal of the duke of Argylle, and without being fo much as a jultice of
peace, ufed, notwithfanding, to exercife the higheft criminal jurif- CHAP. diction over his own people. He is faid to have done fo with great equity, though without any of the formalities of juftice; and it is not improbable that the ftate of that part of the country at that time made it neceflary for him to affume this authority in order to maintain the publick peace. That gentleman, whofe rent never exceeded five hundred pounds a year, carried, in 1745, eight hundred of his own people into the rebellion with him.

The introduction of the feudal law, fo far from extending, may be regarded as an attempt to moderate the authority of the great allodial lords. It eftablifhed a regular fubordination, accompanied with a long train of fervices and duties, from the king down to the fmalleft proprietor. During the, minority of the proprietor, the rent, together with the management of his lands, fell into the hands of his immediate fuperior, and, confequently, thofe of all great proprietors into the hands of the king. who was charged with the maintenance and education of the pupil, and who, from his authority as guardian, was fuppofed to have a right of difpofing of him in raarriage, provided it was in a manner not unfuitable to his rank. But though this inftitution neceffarily tended to ftrengthen the authority of the king, and to weaken that of the great proprietors, it could not do either fufficiently for eftablifhing order and good government among the injiabitants of the country; becaufe it could, not alter fufficiently that ftate of property ard manners from which the diforders arofe. The authority of goyernment ftill continued to be, as before, too weak in the head and too flrong in the inferior members, and the exceffive ftrength of the inferior members was the caufe of the weaknefs of the head, After the inftitution of feudal fubordination, the king was as incapable of reftraining the violence of the great lords as before. They fill continued to make war ac-

cording to their own difcretion, alinoft continually upon one ahothers and very frequently upon the king; and the open country fill continued to be a fcene of violence, rapine, and diforder.

But what all the violence of the feudal inftitutions could never have effected, the filent and infenfible operation of foreign commerce and manufactures gradually brought about. Thefe gradually furnifhed the great proprietors with fomething for which they could exchange the whole furplus produce of their lands, and which they could confume themfelves without fharing it either with tenants or retainers. All for ourfelves, and nothing for other people, feems, in every age of the world, to have been the vile maxim of the mafters of mankind. As foon, therefore, as they could find a method of confuming the whole value of their rents themfelves, they had no difpofition to fhare them with any other perfons. For a pair of diamond buckles perhaps, or for fomething as frivolous and ufelefs, they exchanged the maintonance, or what is the fame thing, the price of the maintenance of a thoufand men for a year, and with it the whole weight and authority which it could give them. The buckles, however, were to be all their owin, and no other human creature was to have any fhare of them; whereas in the more antient method of expence they mufthave fhared with at leaft a thoufand people. With the judges that were to determine the preference, this difference was perfectly decifive; and thus, for the gratification of the mof childifh, the meanelt and the moft fordid of all vanities, they gradually bartered their whole power and authority.

In a country where there is no foreign cotminerce, nor any of the finer manufactures, a man of ten thoufand a year cannot well employ his revenue in any other wily than in tmaintaining, perhaps, a thoufand families, who are all of them neceffarily at his comb mand. In the prefent ftate of Europe, a man of ten thoufand a year can fpend his whole revenue, and he genctally does $f 0$, with
out direetly maintaining twenty people, or being able to command more than ten footmon not worth the commanding. Indirectly, perhaps, tre maintains as great or even a greater number of people than he could have done by the antient method of expence. For though the quantity of precious productions for which he exchanges his whole revenue be vary fmall, the number of workmen employed in collecting and preparing it, muft neceffarily have been very great. Its great price generally arifes from the wages of their labour, and the profits of all their immediate employers. By paying that price he indirectly pays all thofe wages and profits, and thus indireetly contributes to the maintenance of all the workmen and their employers. He generally contributes, however, but a very fmall propurtion to that of each, to very few perhaps a tenth, to many not a hundredth, and to fome not a thoufandth nor even a ten thoufandth part of their whole annual maintenance. Though he contributes, therefore, to the maintenance of them all, they are all more or lefs independant of him, becaufe generally they can all be maintained without him.

When the great proprietors of land fpend their rents in maintaining their tenants and retainers, each of them maintains entirely all his own tenants and all his own retainers. But when they fpend them in maintaining tradefmen and artificers, they may, all of them taken together, perhaps, maintain as great, or, on account of the wafte which attends ruftick hofpitality, a greater number of people than before Each of them, however, taken fingly, contributes often but a very flmall hare te the maintenance of any individual of this greater number. Each tradefman or artificer derives his fubfiftencefrom the employment, not of one, but of a hundred or a thoufand different cuftomers. Though in fome meafure obliged to them all, therefore, he is not abfolutely dependant upon any one of them.

The

The perfonal expence of the great proprietors having in this manner gradually increafed, it was impoffible that the number of their retainers fhould not as gradually diminifh, till they were at laft difmiffed altogether. The fame caufe gradually led them to difinifs the unneceflary part of their tenants. Farms were enlarged, and the occupiers of land, notwithftanding the complaints of depopulation, reduced to the number neceffary for cultivating it according to the imperfect ftate of cultivation and improvement in thofe times. By the removal of the unneceffary mouths, and by exacting from the farmer the full value of the farm, a greater furpluss or what is the fame thing, the price of a greater furplus, was obtained for the proprietor, which the merchants and manufacturers foon furnifhed him with a method of fpending upon his own perfon in the fame manner as he had done the reft. The fame caufe continuing to operate, he was defirous to raife his renis above what his lands, in the actual ftate of their improvement, could afford. His tenants could agree to this upon one condition only, that they fhould be fecured in their poffeffiems for-fuch a term of years as might give them time to recover with profit whatever they fhould lay out in the further improvement of the land. The expenfive vanity of the landlord made him willing to accept of this condition; and hence the origin of long leafes.

Even a tenant at will, who pays the full value of the land, is not altogether dependent upon the landlord. The pecuniary advantages which they receive from one anothers are musual and equal, and fuch a tenant will expofe neither his life now his fortune in the fervice of the proprietor. But if he has a leafe for a long term of years, he is altogether independent; and his landlord muft not expect from him even the moft trifling fervice beyond what is either exprefly ftipulated in the leafest or impofed upon him by the common and known law of the country.
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The tenants having in this manner become independent, and the retainers being difmiffed, the great proprietors were no longer
 capable of interrupting the regular e ecution of juftice, or of difturbing the peace of the country. Having fold their birth-right, not like Efau for a mefs of pottage in time of hunger and neceffity, but in the wantonnefs of plenty, for trinkets and baubles fitter to be the play-things of children, than the ferious purfuits of men, they became as infignificant as any fubftantial burgher or tradefman in a city. A regular government was eftablifhed in the country as well as in the city, nobody having fufficient power to difturb its operations in the one, any more than in the other.

IT does not, perhaps, relate to the prefent fubject, but I cannot help remarking it, that very old families, fuch as have poffeffed fome confiderable eftate from father to fon for many fucceffive generations, are very rare in commercial countrier, In countries which have little commerce, on the contrary, fuch as Wales or the highlands of Scotland, they are very common. The Arabian hiftories feem to be all full of genealogies, and there is a hiftory written by a Tartar Khan which has been tranflated into feveral European languages, and which contains fcarce any thing elfe; a proof that antient families are very common among thofe nations. In countries where a rich man can fpend his revenue in no other way than by maintaining as many people as it can maintain, he is not apt to run out, and his benevolence it feems is feldom an violent asito attempt to maintain more than he can $^{2}$ afford. But, where he can fpend the greateft revenue upon his own perfon, he frequently has no bounds to his expence, becaufe he frequently has no bounds to his vanity, or to his affection for his own perfor. In commercial countries, therefore, riches, in fpite of the moft violent regulations of law to prevent their diffipation, very feldom remain long in the fame family. Among fimple

BOOK fimple nations, on the contrary, they frequently do without any regulations of law; for among nations of Ihepherde, fuch as the Tartars and Arabs, the confumable nature of their property ineceffarily renders all fuch regulations impofible.

A revolution of the greateft importance to the publick happinefs, was in this manner brought about by two different orders of people, who had not the leaft intention to ferve, the public. To gratify the moft childifh vanity was the fole motive of the great proprietors. The merchants and artificers, mtich leff ridiculous, acted merely from a view to their own interef,, and in purfuit of their own pedlar principle of turning a penny wherever a penny was to be got. Neither of them had either knowledge or forefight of that great revblution which the folly of the one, and the induftry of the other was gradually bringing about.

Ir is thus that through the greater part of Europe the commerce and manufactures of cities, inftead of being the effeet, have been the caufe and occafion of the improvement and cultivation of the country.

This order, however, being contrary to the natural courfe of things, is neceffarily both flow and uncertain. Compare the nlow progrefs of thofe European countries of which the wealth depends very much upon their commerce and manufactures, with the rapid advances of our North American colonies, of which the wealth is founded altogether in agriculture. Through the greater part of Europe, the number of inhabitants is not fappofed to double in lés than five hundred years. Th feveral of our North American colonies, it is found to double in twenty or five and twenty years. In Europe, the law of primogeniture;' and perpetuities of different kinds, prevent the divifion of great eftates,
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and thereby hinder the multiplication of fmall proprietors. A CHAP. finall proprietor, however, who knows every part of his little $\underbrace{\text { IV. }}$ v-itory, who views it all with the affection which property, efpecially fmall property, naturally infpires, and who upon that account takes pleafure not only in cultivating but in adorning it, is generally of all improvers the moft induftrious, the moft inielligent, and the moft fucceffful. The fame regulations, befides, keep fo much land out of the market, that there are always more capitals to buy than there is land to fell, fo that what is fold always fells at a monopoly price. The rent never pays the intereft of the purchafe moneys and is befides burdened with repairs and ather occafional charges, to which the intereft of money is not liable. To purchafe land is every where in Europe a moft unprofitable employment of a fmall capital. For the fake of the fuperior fecurity, indeed, a man of moderate circumftances, when he retires from bufinefs, will fometimes chufe to lay out his little capital in land. A man of profeffion too, whofe revenue is derived from another fource, often loves to fecure his favings in the fame way. But a young man, who, inftead of applying to trade or to fome profeffion; fhould employ a capital of two or three thoufand pounds in the purchafe and qultivation of a fmall piece of land, might indeed expect to live very happily, and very independently, but muft bid adieu, forever, to all hope of either great fortune or great illuftration, which by a different employment of his ftock he might have had the fame chance of acquiring with other people. Such a perfon too; though he cannot afpire at being a proprietor, will often difdain to be a farmer. The fmall quantity of land, therefore, which is brought to market, and the high price of what is brought, prevents a great number of capitals from being employed in its cultivation and improvement which would otherwife have taken that direction. In North America, on the contrary, fifty or fixty pounds is often found a fufficient flock

Vos. I.
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BOOK to begin a plantation with. The pumehnem and imppevament: of III. uncultivated land, is there the moft: pecitable: emiplogeqetiter of the fanallefil as well as of: the grentoft capivals abd thibrmpflacirest road tor alk the fortuse and illufurtion whicheean ber acquisiedqh that couniry. Such land indsed; is in: Morth fanentick torte had almoft for nothing, ar: at a price muck Balow the wades of the natural produce; a thing impoffible in Duropej bery indved in any country where all landa have long been privae properits. If landed effates, howewer, were divided equally anong titictie children, upon the death of any proprieter wholife wh mamerous family, the eftate would generally be foldi So ntwat thani would come to market, that it could no longev fell at anonoperfy priet. The free rent of the land would go neasen to pay itio interseft of the purchafe money, and a fmall capital mightibe employedriminuschafing land as profitably as in any other way. IT . Pculce cisibinud

ENGLAND, on account of the naturalfantility of the foll, of the great extent of fea coaft in proportion to that of the whole devintys, ard of the many navigable rivers which run through its and (affoint the conveniency of water carriage to fome of the moft inland parts. of it, is perhaps as well fitted by aatase as any large countury Europe, to be the feat of foreign commerces of manufhoiunet for diftant fale, and of all the improvements which tirefeicanioccafiom From the beginning of the reign of Elizaboth top, the: Engligh legiflature has been peculiarly attentive to the intereft of compmese: and manufactures, and in reality there is no country in Eynopes. Holland itfelf not excepted, of which the law is upon the whole more favourable to this fort of induftry. Commense and mannfactures have accordingly been continually advancing during all this period. The cultivation and improvement of the cound has, no doubt, been gradually advancing too: But it liemsito have followed flowly, and at a diftance, the more rapid progrefs of
commerse me mantafatures. The greater part of the country muft probably have toen cultivated before the reign of Elizabeth ${ }_{3}$ and a very great part of it ftill remains uncultivated, and the cultivation of the far greater part much inferior to what it might be. The taw of Eagland, however, favours agricultare not only indirealy by the protection of commerce, but by feveral direot encouragenserts. Except in times of fcarcity, the exportation of corn is not only free, but encouraged by a bounty. In times of moderate plenty, the importation of foreign corn is loaded with ducies that amount to a prohibition. The importation of live cattle, except from Ireland, is prohibited at all times; and it is but ot late that it was permitted from thence. Thofe who cultivate the land, therefore, have a monopoly againft their countrymen for the two greateft and moft important articles of land-produce, bread and butcher's meat. Thefe encouragements, though at bottom, perhaps, as I frall endeavour to fhow hereafter, altogether illufory, fufficiently demonftrate at leaft the good intention of the legiflature to favour agriculture. But what is of much more importance than all of them, the yeomanry of England are rendered as fecure, as independent, and as refpectable as law can make them. No country, therefore, in which the right of primogeniture takes place, which pays tithes, and where perpetuities, though contrary to the firit of the law, are admitted in fome cafes, can give more encouragement to agriculture than England. Such, however, notwithftanding, is the ftate of its cultivation. What would it have been, had the law given no direct encouragement to agriculture befides what arifes indirectly from the progrefs of commerce, and had left the yeomanry in the fame condition as in moft other countries of Europe? It is now more than two hundred years fince the beginning of the reign of Elizabeth, a period as long as the courfe of human profperity ufiually endures.
$3 \mathrm{~T}_{2} \quad$ France

BOOK France feems to have had a confiderable fhare of foreign commerce near a century before England was diftinguilhed as a commercial country. The marine of France was confiderable, according to the notions of the times, before the expedition of Charles the VIIIth to Naples. The cultivation and improvement. of France, however, is, upon the whole, inferior to that of England. The law of the country has never given the fame direct encouragement to agriculture.

The foreign commerce of Spain and Portugal to the other parts of Europe, though chiefly carried on in foreign thips, is very confiderable. That to their colonies is carried on in their own, and is much greater, on account of the great riches and extent of thofe colonies. But it has never introduced any confiderable. manufactures for diftant fale into either of thofe countries, and the greater part of both ftill remains uncultivated. The foreign commerce of Portugal is of older ftanding than that of any great country in Europe, except Italy.

Italy is the only great country of Europe which feems to have been cultivated and improved in every part, by means of foreign commerce and manufactures for diftant fale. Before the invafion of Charles the VIIIth, Italy, according to Guicciardin, was cultivated not lefs in the moft mountainous and barren parts. of the country, than in the plaineft and moft fertile. The advantageous fituation of the country, and the great number of independent fates which at that time fubfifted in it, probably contributed not a little to this general cultivation. It is not impoffible too, notwithftanding this general expreffion of one of the moft judicious and referved of modern hiftorians, that

Italy

Italy was not at that time better cultivated than England is at prefent.

THE 'capital, however, that is acquired to any country by commetree and manufactures, is all a very precarious and uncertain porfeffion, till fome part of it has been fecured and realized in the cifitivation and improvement of its lands. A merchant, it has been faid very properly, is not neceffarily the citizen of any particular country. It is in a great meafure indifferent to him from what place he carries on his trade; and a very trifling difguft will make him remove his capital, and together with it all the induftry which it fupports, from one country to another. No part of it can be faid to belong to any particular country, till it has been foread as it were over the face of that country, either in buildings, or in the lafting improvement of lands. No veftige now remains of the great wealth, faid to have been poffeffed by the greater part of the Hans towns, except in the obfcure hiftories of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. It is even uncertain where fome of them were fituated, or to what towns in Europe the Latin names given to fome of them belong. But though the misfortunes of Italy in the end of the fifteenth and beginning of the fixteenth centuries greatly diminifhed the commerce and manufactures of the cities of Lombardy and Tufcany, thofe countries ftill continue to be among the moft populous and beft cultivated in Europe. The eivil wars of Flanders, and the Spanif government which fucceeded them, chafed away the great commerce of Antwerp, Ghent, and Bruges. But Flanders ftill continues to be one of the richeft, beft cultivated, and moft populous provinces of Europe. The ordinary revolutions of war and government eafily dry up the fources of that wealth which arites from commerce only $y_{i}$ That which arifes from the more folid improvements of

B OOK agriculture, is mach more durabte, and cannot be deftroyed but III. by thofe more violent convulfions occafioned by the depredations of hoftile and barbarous nations continued for a century or two together; fuch as thofe that happened for fome tinse before and after the fall of the Roman empire in the weftern provinces of Europe.
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