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Financial and Macroeconomic Connectedness

- Market Risk, Portfolio Concentration Risk (return connectedness)
- Credit Risk (default connectedness)
- Counterparty Risk, Gridlock Risk (bilateral and multilateral contractual connectedness)
- Systemic Risk (total directional connectedness, total system-wide connectedness)
- Business Cycle Risk (local or global real output connectedness)
Covariance

- So pairwise...
- So linear...
- So Gaussian...
A Very General Environment

\[ x_t = B(L) \varepsilon_t \]

\[ \varepsilon_t \sim (0, \Sigma) \]

\[ C(x, B(L), \Sigma) \]
A Natural Financial/Economic Connectedness Question:

What fraction of the $H$-step-ahead prediction-error variance of variable $i$ is due to shocks in variable $j$, $j \neq i$?

Non-own elements of the variance decomposition: $d_{ij}^H$, $j \neq i$

\[ C(x, H, B(L), \Sigma) \]
### Variance Decompositions for Connectedness

#### $N$-Variable Connectedness Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>$x_N$</th>
<th>From Others to $i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>$d_{11}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{12}^H$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$d_{1N}^H$</td>
<td>$\Sigma_{j=1}^{N} d_{1j}^H, j \neq 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>$d_{21}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{22}^H$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$d_{2N}^H$</td>
<td>$\Sigma_{j=1}^{N} d_{2j}^H, j \neq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_N$</td>
<td>$d_{N1}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{N2}^H$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$d_{NN}^H$</td>
<td>$\Sigma_{j=1}^{N} d_{Nj}^H, j \neq N$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To Others: $\Sigma_{i=1}^{N} d_{i1}^H, i \neq 1$  
From $j$: $\Sigma_{i=1}^{N} d_{ij}^H, i \neq j$

Upper-left block is variance decomposition matrix, $D$

Connectedness involves the **non-diagonal** elements of $D$
Connectedness Measures

- **Pairwise Directional:** \( C_{i \leftarrow j}^H = d_{ij}^H \) ("i’s imports from j")
- **Net:** \( C_{ij}^H = C_{j \leftarrow i}^H - C_{i \leftarrow j}^H \) ("ij bilateral trade balance")

- **Total Directional:**
  - From others to \( i \): \( C_{i \leftarrow \bullet}^H = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \atop j \neq i}}^{N} d_{ij}^H \) ("i’s total imports")
  - To others from \( j \): \( C_{\bullet \leftarrow j}^H = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \atop i \neq j}}^{N} d_{ij}^H \) ("j’s total exports")
  - **Net:** \( C_i^H = C_{\bullet \leftarrow i}^H - C_{i \leftarrow \bullet}^H \) ("i’s multilateral trade balance")

- **Total System-Wide:** \( C^H = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \atop i \neq j}}^{N} d_{ij}^H \) ("total world exports")
Background

Recent paper:


Recent book:

Network Representation: Graph and Matrix

Symmetric adjacency matrix $A$

$A_{ij} = 1$ if nodes $i, j$ linked
$A_{ij} = 0$ otherwise

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$
Network Connectedness: The Degree Distribution

Degree of node $i$, $d_i$:

$$d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij}$$

Discrete degree distribution on $0, \ldots, N - 1$

Mean degree, $E(d)$, is the key connectedness measure
Network Representation II (Weighted, Directed)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & .5 & .7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & .3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & .7 & 0 & .3 \\
.3 & .5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & .3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

“to $i$, from $j$”
Network Connectedness II: The Degree Distribution(s)

\[ A_{ij} \in [0, 1] \] depending on connection strength

Two degrees:

\[ d_{i}^{\text{from}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} \]

\[ d_{j}^{\text{to}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{ij} \]

“from-degree” and “to-degree” distributions on \([0, N - 1]\)

Mean degree remains the key connectedness measure
### Variance Decompositions as Weighted, Directed Networks

#### Variance Decomposition / Connectedness Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>$x_N$</th>
<th>From Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>$d_{11}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{12}^H$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$d_{1N}^H$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j \neq 1} d_{1j}^H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>$d_{21}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{22}^H$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$d_{2N}^H$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j \neq 2} d_{2j}^H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_N$</td>
<td>$d_{N1}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{N2}^H$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$d_{NN}^H$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j \neq N} d_{Nj}^H$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**To Others**

$\sum_{i \neq 1} d_{i1}^H$  $\sum_{i \neq 2} d_{i2}^H$  ...  $\sum_{i \neq N} d_{iN}^H$  $\sum_{i \neq j} d_{ij}^H$

**Total directional “from”,** $C^H_{i \leftarrow \bullet} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j \neq i} d_{ij}^H$: “from-degrees”

**Total directional “to”,** $C^H_{\bullet \leftarrow j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i \neq j} d_{ij}^H$: “to-degrees”

**Total system-wide,** $C^H = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d_{ij}^H$: mean degree
Relationship to $MES$

\[ MES^{j|mkt} = E(r_j | \mathbb{C}(r_{mkt})) \]

- Sensitivity of firm $j$’s return to extreme market event $\mathbb{C}$
- Market-based “stress test” of firm $j$’s fragility

“Total directional connectedness from” (from-degrees)

“From others to $j$”
Relationship to \textit{CoVaR}

\[ \text{VaR}^p : \ p = P(r < -\text{VaR}^p) \]

\[ \text{CoVaR}^{p,j|i} : \ p = P\left(r_j < -\text{CoVaR}^{p,j|i} \mid \mathbb{C}(r_i)\right) \]

\[ \text{CoVaR}^{p,mkt|i} : \ p = P\left(r_{mkt} < -\text{CoVaR}^{p,mkt|i} \mid \mathbb{C}(r_i)\right) \]

- Measures tail-event linkages
- Leading choice of \(\mathbb{C}(r_i)\) is a VaR breach

“Total directional connectedness to” (to-degrees)

“From \(i\) to others”
Thus far we’ve worked under correct specification, in population:

\[ C(x, H, B(L), \Sigma) \]

Now we want:

\[ \hat{C} \left( x, H, B(L), \Sigma, M(L; \hat{\theta}) \right), \]

and similarly for other variants of connectedness
Many Interesting Issues / Choices

- × objects: Returns? **Return volatilities**? Real activities?
- × universe: How many and which ones? (**Major banks**)
- × frequency: **Daily**? Monthly? Quarterly?

- Specification: Approximating model $M$: **VAR**? DSGE?
- Estimation: Classical? Bayesian? **Hybrid**?
  - Selection: Information criteria? Stepwise? **Lasso**?
  - Shrinkage: BVAR? Ridge? **Lasso**?

- Identification (of variance decompositions):
  - Assumptions: Cholesky? **Generalized**? SVAR? DSGE?
  - Horizon $H$: **Match VaR horizon**? Holding period?

- Understanding: **Network visualization**
Selection and Shrinkage via Penalized Estimation of High-Dimensional Approximating Models

\[ \hat{\beta} = \text{argmin}_\beta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( y_t - \sum_i \beta_i x_{it} \right)^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{K} |\beta_i|^q \leq c \]

\[ \hat{\beta} = \text{argmin}_\beta \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( y_t - \sum_i \beta_i x_{it} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K} |\beta_i|^q \right) \]

Concave penalty functions non-differentiable at the origin produce selection. Smooth convex penalties produce shrinkage. \( q \to 0 \) produces selection, \( q = 2 \) produces ridge, \( q = 1 \) produces lasso.
\[
\hat{\beta}_{\text{Lasso}} = \underset{\beta}{\text{argmin}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( y_t - \sum_{i} \beta_i x_{it} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K} |\beta_i| \right)
\]

\[
\hat{\beta}_{\text{ALasso}} = \underset{\beta}{\text{argmin}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( y_t - \sum_{i} \beta_i x_{it} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i |\beta_i| \right)
\]

\[
\hat{\beta}_{\text{Enet}} = \underset{\beta}{\text{argmin}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( y_t - \sum_{i} \beta_i x_{it} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K} \left( \alpha |\beta_i| + (1 - \alpha) \beta_i^2 \right) \right)
\]

\[
\hat{\beta}_{\text{AEnet}} = \underset{\beta}{\text{argmin}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( y_t - \sum_{i} \beta_i x_{it} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i \left( \alpha |\beta_i| + (1 - \alpha) \beta_i^2 \right) \right)
\]

where \( w_i = 1/|\hat{\beta}_i|^{\nu} \), \( \hat{\beta}_i \) is OLS or ridge, and \( \nu > 0 \).
Still More Choices (Within Lasso)

- Adaptive elastic net
- $\alpha = 0.5$ (equal weight to $L_1$ and $L_2$)
- OLS regression to obtain the weights $w_i$
- $\nu = 1$
- 10-fold cross validation to determine $\lambda$
- Separate cross validation for each VAR equation.
A Final Choice: Graphical Display via “Spring Graphs”

- Node size: Asset size
- Node color: Total directional connectedness “to others”
  - Node location: Average pairwise directional connectedness (Equilibrium of repelling and attracting forces, where (1) nodes repel each other, but (2) edges attract the nodes they connect according to average pairwise directional connectedness “to” and “from.”)
- Edge thickness: Average pairwise directional connectedness
- Edge arrow sizes: Pairwise directional connectedness “to” and “from”
Estimating Global Bank Network Connectedness

- **Market-based approach:**
  - Balance sheet data are hard to get and rarely timely
  - Balance sheet connections are just one part of the story
  - Hard to know more than the market

- **Daily range-based equity return volatilities**

- **Top 150 banks globally, by assets, 9/12/2003 - 2/7/2014**
  - 96 banks publicly traded throughout the sample
  - 80 from 23 developed economies
  - 14 from 6 emerging economies
Individual Bank Network, 2003-2014
Estimating Time-Varying Connectedness

Earlier:
\[ C(x, B, \Sigma) \]
\[ \hat{C}(x, M(\hat{\theta})) \]

Now:
\[ \hat{C}_t(x, M(\hat{\theta}_t)) \]

Yet another interesting issue/choice:

Dynamic System-Wide Connectedness
150-Day Rolling Estimation Window

![Graph showing System-Wide Connectedness over time with a 150-day rolling estimation window. The graph compares Total, Cross-Country, and Within-Country connectedness across years from 2004 to 2013.]
Conclusions: Connectedness Framework and Results

- Use network theory to summarize and visualize large VAR’s, static or dynamic
- Directional, from highly granular to highly aggregated (Pairwise “to” or “from”; total directional “to or “from”; total system-wide)
- For one asset class (stocks), network clustering is by country, not bank size
- For two asset classes (stocks and government bonds), clustering is first by asset type, and then by country
- Dynamically, there are interesting low-frequency and high-frequency connectedness fluctuations
- Most total connectedness changes are due to changes in pairwise connectedness for banks in different countries