

Real Business Cycles

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde
University of Pennsylvania

Business Cycle

- U.S. economy fluctuates over time.
- How can we build models to think about it?
- Do we need different models than before to do so? Traditionally the answer was yes. Nowadays the answer is no.
- We will focus on equilibrium models of the cycle.

Business Cycles and Economic Growth

- How different are long-run growth and the business cycle?

<i>Changes in Output per Worker</i>	<i>Secular Growth</i>	<i>Business Cycle</i>
Due to changes in capital	1/3	0
Due to changes in labor	0	2/3
Due to changes in productivity	2/3	1/3

- We want to use the same models with a slightly different focus.

Stochastic Neoclassical Growth Model

- Cass (1965) and Koopmans (1965).
- Brock and Mirman (1972).
- Kydland and Prescott (1982).
- Hansen (1985).
- King, Plosser, and Rebelo (1988a,b).

References

- King, Plosser, and Rebelo (1988a,b).
- Chapter by Cooley and Prescott in Cooley's *Frontier of Business Cycle Research* (in fact, you want to read the whole book).
- Chapter by King and Rebelo (*Resurrection Real Business Cycle Models*) in *Handbook of Macroeconomics*.
- Chapter 12 in Ljungqvist and Sargent.

Preferences

- Preferences:

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t u(c_t(s^t), l_t(s^t))$$

for $c_t(s^t) \geq 0$, $l_t(s^t) \in (0, 1)$

where n is population growth.

- Standard technical assumptions (continuity, differentiability, Inada conditions, etc...).
- However, those still leave many degrees of freedom.
- Restrictions imposed by economic theory and empirical observation.

Restrictions on Preferences

Three observations:

1. Risk premium relatively constant \Rightarrow CRRA utility function.
2. Consumption grows at a roughly constant rate.
3. Stationary hours after the SWW \Rightarrow Marginal rate of substitution between labor and consumption must be linear in consumption.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{u_c}{u_l} &= w_t(s^t) \Rightarrow \\ c_t(s^t) f(l_t(s^t)) &= w_t(s^t) \Rightarrow \\ \mu^t c_0 f(l_t(s^t)) &= \mu^t w_0\end{aligned}$$

Explanation: income and substitution effect cancel out.

Parametric Family

- Only parametric that satisfy conditions (King, Plosser, and Rebelo, 1988a,b):

$$\frac{(cv(l))^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma} \text{ if } \gamma > 0, \gamma \neq 1$$
$$\log c + \log v(l) \text{ if } \gamma = 1$$

- Restrictions on $v(l)$:

1. $v \in C^2$

2. Depending on γ :

- (a) If $\gamma = 1$, $\log v(l)$ must be increasing and concave.

- (b) If $\gamma < 1$, $v^{1-\gamma}$ must be increasing and concave.

- (c) If $\gamma > 1$, $v^{1-\gamma}$ must be decreasing and convex.

3. $-\gamma v(l) v''(l) > (1 - 2\gamma) [v'(l)]^2$ to ensure overall concavity of u .

Three Useful Examples

1. CRRA-Cobb Douglass:

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \frac{\left(c_t (s^t)^\theta (1-l_t(s^t))^{1-\theta} \right)^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma}$$

2. Log-log (limit as $\gamma \rightarrow 1$):

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \left\{ \log c_t (s^t) + \psi \log (1-l_t(s^t)) \right\}$$

3. Log-CRRA

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \left\{ \log c_t (s^t) - \psi \frac{l_t (s^t)^{1+\gamma}}{1+\gamma} \right\}$$

Household Problem

- Let me pick log-log for simplicity:

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \left\{ \log c_t(s^t) + \psi \log (1 - l_t(s^t)) \right\}$$

- Budget constraint:

$$c_t(s^t) + x_t(s^t) = w_t(s^t) l_t(s^t) + r_t(s^t) k_t(s^{t-1}), \forall t > 0$$

- Complete markets and Arrow securities.
- We can price any security.

Problem of the Firm I

- Neoclassical production function in per capita terms:

$$y_t(s^t) = e^{z_t} k_t (s^{t-1})^\alpha \left((1 + \mu)^t l_t(s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha}$$

- Note: labor-augmenting technological change (Phelps, 1966).
- We are setting up a model where the firm rents the capital from the household.
- However, we could also have a model where firms own the capital and the households own shares of the firms.
- Both environments are equivalent with complete markets.

Problem of the Firm II

- By profit maximization:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha e^{z_t} k_t (s^{t-1})^{\alpha-1} \left((1 + \mu)^t l_t (s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha} &= r_t (s^t) \\ (1 - \alpha) e^{z_t} k_t (s^{t-1})^{\alpha} \left((1 + \mu)^t l_t (s^t) \right)^{-\alpha} &= w_t (s^t)\end{aligned}$$

- Investment x_t induces a law of motion for capital:

$$(1 + n) k_{t+1} (s^t) = (1 - \delta) k_t (s^{t-1}) + x_t (s^t)$$

Evolution of the technology

- $s_t = z_t$
- z_t changes over time.
- It follows the AR(1) process:

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t$$
$$\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- Interpretation of μ and ρ .

Arrow-Debreu Equilibrium

A Arrow-Debreu equilibrium are prices $\{\hat{p}_t(s^t), \hat{w}_t(s^t), \hat{r}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$ and allocations $\{\hat{c}_t(s^t), \hat{l}_t(s^t), \hat{k}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$ such that:

1. Given $\{\hat{p}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty, \{\hat{c}_t(s^t), \hat{l}_t(s^t), \hat{k}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$ solves

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max_{\{\hat{c}_t(s^t), \hat{l}_t(s^t), \hat{k}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \left\{ \log c_t(s^t) + \psi \log(1 - l_t(s^t)) \right\} \\
 & \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t \in S^t} \hat{p}_t(s^t) \left(c_t(s^t) + (1+n) k_{t+1}(s^t) \right) \\
 & \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t \in S^t} \hat{p}_t(s^t) \left(\hat{w}_t(s^t) l_t(s^t) + (\hat{r}_t(s^t) + 1 - \delta) k_{t+1}(s^t) \right) \\
 & \quad c_t(s^t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Firms pick $\{\hat{l}_t(s^t), \hat{k}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$ to minimize costs:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha e^{z_t \hat{k}_t} (s^{t-1})^{\alpha-1} \left((1 + \mu)^t \hat{l}_t(s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha} &= \hat{r}_t(s^t) \\ (1 - \alpha) e^{z_t \hat{k}_t} (s^{t-1})^\alpha \left((1 + \mu)^t \hat{l}_t(s^t) \right)^{-\alpha} &= \hat{w}_t(s^t) \end{aligned}$$

3. Markets clear:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_t(s^t) + (1 + n) \hat{k}_{t+1}(s^t) &= \\ e^{z_t \hat{k}_t} (s^{t-1})^\alpha \left((1 + \mu)^t \hat{l}_t(s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) \hat{k}_t(s^{t-1}) & \\ \text{for all } t, \text{ all } s^t \in S^t & \end{aligned}$$

Sequential Markets Equilibrium I.

- We introduce Arrow securities.

- Household problem: $\left\{ c_t(s^t), l_t(s^t), k_t(s^t), \left\{ a_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1}) \right\}_{s_{t+1} \in S} \right\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^{\infty}$
solve

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \left\{ \log c_t(s^t) + \psi \log(1 - l_t(s^t)) \right\} \\ \text{s.t. } & c_t^i(s^t) + (1+n)k_{t+1}(s^t) + \sum_{s_{t+1}|s^t} \hat{Q}_t(s^t, s_{t+1}) a_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1}) \\ & \leq \hat{w}_t(s^t) l_t(s^t) + (\hat{r}_t(s^t) + 1 - \delta) k_{t+1}(s^t) + a_t(s^t) \\ & c_t(s^t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t, s^t \in S^t \\ & a_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1}) \geq -A_{t+1}(s^{t+1}) \text{ for all } t, s^t \in S^t \end{aligned}$$

- Role of $A_{t+1}(s^{t+1})$.

Sequential Markets Equilibrium II

A SM equilibrium is prices for Arrow securities $\{\hat{Q}_t(s^t, s_{t+1})\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t, s_{t+1} \in S}^\infty$, allocations $\left\{ \hat{c}_t^i(s^t), \hat{l}_t(s^t), \hat{k}_t(s^t), \left\{ \hat{a}_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1}) \right\}_{s_{t+1} \in S} \right\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$ and input prices $\{\hat{w}_t(s^t), \hat{r}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$, such that:

1. Given $\{\hat{Q}_t(s^t, s_{t+1})\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t, s_{t+1} \in S}^\infty$ and $\{\hat{w}_t(s^t), \hat{r}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$

$\left\{ \hat{c}_t(s^t), \hat{l}_t(s^t), \hat{k}_t(s^t), \left\{ \hat{a}_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1}) \right\}_{s_{t+1} \in S} \right\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$ solve the problem of the household.

2. Firms pick $\{\hat{l}_t(s^t), \hat{k}_t(s^t)\}_{t=0, s^t \in S^t}^\infty$ to minimize costs:

$$\alpha e^{z_t} \hat{k}_t (s^{t-1})^{\alpha-1} \left((1 + \mu)^t \hat{l}_t (s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha} = \hat{r}_t (s^t)$$

$$(1 - \alpha) e^{z_t} \hat{k}_t (s^{t-1})^\alpha \left((1 + \mu)^t \hat{l}_t (s^t) \right)^{-\alpha} = \hat{w}_t (s^t)$$

3. Markets clear for all t , all $s^t \in S^t$

$$\hat{c}_t(s^t) + (1 + n) \hat{k}_{t+1}(s^t) = e^{z_t} \hat{k}_t (s^{t-1})^\alpha \left((1 + \mu)^t \hat{l}_t (s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) \hat{k}_t (s^{t-1})$$

Recursive Competitive Equilibrium

- Often, it is convenient to use a third alternative competitive equilibrium concept: Recursive Competitive Equilibrium (RCE).
- Developed by Mehra and Prescott (1980).
- RCE emphasizes the idea of defining an equilibrium as a set of functions that depend on the state of the model.
- Two interpretation for states:
 1. Pay-off relevant states: capital, productivity,
 2. Other states: promised utility, reputation,
- Recursive notation: x and x' .

Value Function for the Household

- Individual state: k .
- Aggregate states: K and z .
- Recursive problem:

$$v(k, K, z) = \max_{c, x, l} \left\{ \log c + \psi \log(1 - l) + \beta(1 + n) \mathbb{E}v(k', K', z') | z \right\}$$
$$s.t. \quad c + x = r(K, z)k + w(K, z)l$$
$$(1 + n)k' = (1 - \delta)k + x$$
$$(1 + n)K' = (1 - \delta)K + X(K, z)$$
$$z' = \rho z + \sigma \varepsilon'$$

Definition of Recursive Competitive Equilibrium

A RCE for our economy is a value function $v(k, K, z)$, households policy functions, $c(k, K, z)$, $x(k, K, z)$, and $l(k, K, z)$, aggregate policy functions $C(K, z)$, $X(K, z)$, and $L(K, z)$, and price functions $r(K, z)$ and $w(K, z)$ such that those functions satisfy:

1. Recursive problem of the household.

2. Firms maximize:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha e^z K^{\alpha-1} ((1 + \mu) L(K, z))^{1-\alpha} &= r(K, z) \\ (1 - \alpha) e^z K^{\alpha} ((1 + \mu) L(K, z))^{-\alpha} &= w(K, z)\end{aligned}$$

3. Consistency of individual and aggregate policy functions, $c(k, K, z) = C(K, z)$, $x(k, K, z) = X(K, z)$, $l(k, K, z) = L(K, z)$, $\forall (K, z)$.

4. Aggregate resource constraint:

$$C(K, z) + X(K, z) = e^z K^{\alpha} ((1 + \mu) L(K, z))^{1-\alpha}, \quad \forall (K, z)$$

Equilibrium Conditions

$$\frac{1}{c_t(s^t)} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{1}{c_{t+1}(s^{t+1})} \left(r_{t+1}(s^{t+1}) + 1 - \delta \right)$$

$$\psi \frac{c_t(s^t)}{1 - l_t(s^t)} = w_t(s^t)$$

$$r_t(s^t) = \alpha e^{z_t} k_t(s^{t-1})^{\alpha-1} \left((1 + \mu)^t l_t(s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$w_t(s^t) = (1 - \alpha) e^{z_t} k_t(s^{t-1})^\alpha (1 + \mu)^{(1-\alpha)t} l_t(s^t)^{-\alpha}$$

$$c_t(s^t) + (1 + n) k_{t+1}(s^t) =$$

$$e^{z_t} k_t(s^{t-1})^\alpha \left((1 + \mu)^t l_t(s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) k_t(s^{t-1})$$

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t$$

Scaling the Economy I

- Economy has long-run growth rate equal to $(n + \mu)$.
- Per capita terms, the economy grows at a rate μ .
- Hence, the model is non-stationary and we need to rescale it.
- General condition: transform every non-stationary variable into a stationary one by dividing it by $(1 + \mu)^t$

$$\tilde{x}_t(s^t) = \frac{x_t(s^t)}{(1 + \mu)^t}$$

Scaling the Economy II

We can rewrite the preferences (and adding a suitable constant):

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \frac{\left(c_t(s^t) v(l_t(s^t)) \right)^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma} \\ = & \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \frac{\left((1+\mu)^t \tilde{c}_t(s^t) v(l_t(s^t)) \right)^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma} \Rightarrow \\ & \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t (1+\mu)^{t(1-\gamma)} \frac{\left(\tilde{c}_t(s^t) v(l_t(s^t)) \right)^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Scaling the Economy III

We can rewrite the preferences (and adding a suitable constant):and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \left\{ \log c_t (s^t) + \log v (l_t (s^t)) \right\} \\ = & \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \left\{ \log (1+\mu)^t \tilde{c}_t (s^t) + \log v (l_t (s^t)) \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+n)^t \left\{ \log \tilde{c}_t (s^t) + \log v (l_t (s^t)) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Scaling the Economy IV

- The resource constraint, diving both sides by $(1 + \mu)^t$

$$\tilde{c}_t(s^t) + (1 + n)(1 + \mu)\tilde{k}_{t+1}(s^t) = e^{z_t}\tilde{k}_t(s^{t-1})^\alpha l_t(s^t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta)\tilde{k}_t(s^{t-1})$$

- Input prices:

$$r_t(s^t) = \alpha e^{z_t}\tilde{k}_t(s^{t-1})^{\alpha-1} l_t(s^t)^{1-\alpha}$$
$$\tilde{w}_t(s^t) = (1 - \alpha) e^{z_t}\tilde{k}_t(s^{t-1})^\alpha l_t(s^t)^{-\alpha}$$

A New Competitive Equilibrium

- We can define a competitive equilibrium in the rescaled economy.
- Equilibrium conditions (log case):

$$\frac{(1 + \mu)}{\tilde{c}_t(s^t)} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{1}{\tilde{c}_{t+1}(s^{t+1})} \left(r_{t+1}(s^{t+1}) + 1 - \delta \right)$$

$$\psi \frac{\tilde{c}_t(s^t)}{1 - l_t(s^t)} = \tilde{w}_t(s^t)$$

$$r_t(s^t) = \alpha e^{z_t} \tilde{k}_t(s^{t-1})^{\alpha-1} l_t(s^t)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$\tilde{w}_t(s^t) = (1 - \alpha) e^{z_t} \tilde{k}_t(s^{t-1})^\alpha l_t(s^t)^{-\alpha}$$

$$\tilde{c}_t(s^t) + (1 + n)(1 + \mu) \tilde{k}_{t+1}(s^t) = e^{z_t} \tilde{k}_t(s^{t-1})^\alpha l_t(s^t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) \tilde{k}_t(s^t)$$

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t$$

Existence and Welfare Theorems

- There is a unique equilibrium in this economy once we impose the right transversality condition.
- Both welfare theorems hold.
- We can move back and forth between the market equilibrium and the social planner's problem.

Behavior of the Model

- We want to characterize behavior of the model.
- Three type of dynamics:
 1. Balanced growth path.
 2. Transitional dynamics (Cass, 1965, and Koopmans, 1965).
 3. Ergodic behavior.

Stochastic Behavior

- We have an initial shock: productivity changes.
- We have a transmission mechanism: intertemporal substitution and capital accumulation.
- We can look at a simulation from this economy.
- Why only a simulation?
- To simulate the model we need:
 1. To select parameter values.
 2. To compute the solution of the model.

Selecting Parameter Values

- How do we determine the parameter values?
- Two main approaches:
 1. Calibration.
 2. Statistical methods: Methods of Moments, ML, Bayesian.
- Advantages and disadvantages.

Calibration as an Empirical Methodology

- Emphasized by Lucas (1980) and Kydland and Prescott (1982).
- Two sources of information:
 1. Well accepted microeconomic estimates.
 2. Matching long-run properties of the economy.
- Problems of 1. and 2.
- References:
 1. Browning, Hansen and Heckman (1999) chapter in *Handbook of Macroeconomics*.
 2. Debate in *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Winter 1996: Kydland and Prescott, Hansen and Heckman, Sims.

Calibration of the Standard Model

- Parameters: $\beta, \psi, \alpha, \delta, \mu, n, \rho, \sigma$.
- n : population growth in the data.
- μ : per capita long run growth.
- α : capital income. Proprietor's income?

Balanced Growth Path

- Equilibrium conditions in the BGP:

$$\frac{1 + \mu}{\tilde{c}} = \beta \frac{1}{\tilde{c}} (r + 1 - \delta)$$

$$\psi \frac{\tilde{c}}{1 - l} = \tilde{w}$$

$$r = \alpha \tilde{k}^{\alpha-1} l^{1-\alpha}$$

$$\tilde{w} = (1 - \alpha) \tilde{k}^{\alpha} l^{-\alpha}$$

$$\tilde{c} + (1 + n)(1 + \mu)\tilde{k} = \tilde{k}^{\alpha} l^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta)\tilde{k}$$

- A system of 5 equations on 5 unknowns.

Three Conditions of the Balanced Growth Path

- First:

$$r = \alpha \frac{\tilde{y}}{\tilde{k}} = \frac{1 + \mu}{\beta} - 1 + \delta$$

- Also:

$$(1 + n)(1 + \mu)\tilde{k} = (1 - \delta)\tilde{k} + \tilde{x} \Rightarrow$$
$$\delta = \frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{k}} + 1 - (1 + n)(1 + \mu)$$

- Finally,

$$\psi \frac{\tilde{c}}{1 - l} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\tilde{y}}{l} \Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{y}} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\psi} \frac{1 - l}{l}$$

Using the Three Conditions to Calibrate the Model

- First, we use data on hours of work to find

$$\psi = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\tilde{y}^{1-l}}{\tilde{c}^l}$$

- Second, give data and

$$\delta = \frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{k}} + 1 - (1 + n)(1 + \mu)$$

we determine δ .

- Finally, we get β :

$$\beta = (1 + \mu) \left(\alpha \frac{\tilde{y}}{\tilde{k}} + 1 - \delta \right)^{-1}$$

Frisch Elasticity I

- Define the Frisch Elasticity as:

$$\frac{d \log l}{d \log w} \Big|_{c \text{ constant}}$$

- For our parametric family:

1. $\frac{\left(c^\theta(1-l)^{1-\theta}\right)^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma} : \frac{1-l}{l}.$

2. $\log c + \psi \log(1-l) : \frac{1-l}{l}.$

3. $\log c - \psi \frac{l^{1+\gamma}}{1+\gamma} : 1/\gamma.$

Frisch Elasticity II

- Empirical evidence is that $l \approx 1/3$ (Ghez and Becker, 1975).
- Then, our Frisch Elasticity is 2.
- Empirical evidence:
 1. Traditional view: MaCurdy (1981), Altonji (1986), Browning, Deaton and Irish (1985) between 0 and 0.5.
 2. Revisionist view: between 0.5 and 1.6 (Browning, Hansen, and Heckman, 1999). Some estimates (Imai and Keane, 2004) even higher (3.8).

Equivalence between Utility Functions

- With $\log c_t + \psi \log (1 - l_t)$, the static FOC is:

$$\psi \frac{c_t}{1 - l_t} = w_t$$

while with $\log c_t - \psi \frac{l_t^{1+\gamma}}{1+\gamma}$, the static FOC is

$$\psi c_t l_t^\gamma = w_t$$

- Loglinearize both expressions:

$$\psi \frac{c}{1 - l} \hat{c}_t + \psi \frac{cl}{(1 - l)^2} \hat{l}_t = w \hat{w}_t \Rightarrow$$
$$\hat{c}_t + \frac{l}{1 - l} \hat{l}_t = \hat{w}_t$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi c l^\gamma (\hat{c}_t + \gamma \hat{l}_t) &= w \hat{w}_t \Rightarrow \\ \hat{c}_t + \gamma \hat{l}_t &= \hat{w}_t \end{aligned}$$

- If we calibrate the model to $l \approx 1/3$:

$$\hat{c}_t + \frac{1}{2} \hat{l}_t = \hat{w}_t$$

and hence, both utility functions are equivalent if we make $\gamma = \frac{l}{1-l}$.
 In the case $l \approx 1/3$, $\gamma = 1/2$.

Solow Residual

- Last step is to calibrate

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t$$

- Obtain the Solow residual after a time trend has been removed.
- Estimate ρ and σ by OLS.
- Problems of estimate.

Solution Methods

- Value function iteration.
- Projection.
- Perturbation:
 1. Generalization of linearization.
 2. Dynare.

General Structure of Linearized System

- There are many linear solvers. Fundamental equivalence.
- “A Toolkit for Analyzing Nonlinear Dynamic Stochastic Models Easily” by Harald Uhlig.
- Given m states x_t , n controls y_t , and k exogenous stochastic processes z_{t+1} , we have:

$$Ax_t + Bx_{t-1} + Cy_t + Dz_t = 0$$

$$E_t(Fx_{t+1} + Gx_t + Hx_{t-1} + Jy_{t+1} + Ky_t + Lz_{t+1} + Mz_t) = 0$$

$$E_t z_{t+1} = Nz_t$$

where C is of size $l \times n$, $l \geq n$ and of rank n , that F is of size $(m + n - l) \times n$, and that N has only stable eigenvalues.

Policy Functions I

We guess policy functions of the form:

$$x_t = Px_{t-1} + Qz_t$$

$$y_t = Rx_{t-1} + Sz_t$$

where P , Q , R , and S are matrices such that the computed equilibrium is stable.

Policy Functions I

For simplicity, suppose $l = n$. See Uhlig for general case (I have never been in the situation where $l = n$ did not hold).

Then:

1. P satisfies the matrix quadratic equation:

$$\left(F - JC^{-1}A\right) P^2 - \left(JC^{-1}B - G + KC^{-1}A\right) P - KC^{-1}B + H = 0$$

The equilibrium is stable iff $\max(\text{abs}(\text{eig}(P))) < 1$.

2. R is given by:

$$R = -C^{-1}(AP + B)$$

3. Q satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} N' \otimes (F - JC^{-1}A) + I_k \otimes (JR + FP + G - KC^{-1}A) \text{vec}(Q) \\ = \text{vec} \left((JC^{-1}D - L)N + KC^{-1}D - M \right) \end{aligned}$$

4. S satisfies:

$$S = -C^{-1}(AQ + D)$$

How to Solve Quadratic Equations

To solve

$$\Psi P^2 - \Gamma P - \Theta = 0$$

for the $m \times m$ matrix P :

1. Define the $2m \times 2m$ matrices:

$$\Xi = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma & \Theta \\ I_m & 0_m \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi & 0_m \\ 0_m & I_m \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Let s be the generalized eigenvector and λ be the corresponding generalized eigenvalue of Ξ with respect to Δ . Then we can write $s' = [\lambda x', x']$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{R}^m$.

3. If there are m generalized eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ together with generalized eigenvectors s_1, \dots, s_m of Ξ with respect to Δ , written as $s' = [\lambda x'_i, x'_i]$ for some $x_i \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ and if (x_1, \dots, x_m) is linearly independent, then:

$$P = \Omega \Lambda \Omega^{-1}$$

is a solution to the matrix quadratic equation where $\Omega = [x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $\Lambda = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]$. The solution of P is stable if $\max |\lambda_i| < 1$. Conversely, any diagonalizable solution P can be written in this way.

Comparison with US economy

- Simulated Economy output fluctuations are around 70% as big as observed fluctuations.
- Consumption is less volatile than output.
- Investment is much more volatile.
- Behavior of hours.

Assessment of the Basic Real Business Model

- It accounts for a substantial amount of the observed fluctuations.
- It accounts for the covariances among a number of variables.
- It has some problems accounting for the behavior of the hours worked.
- More important question: where do productivity shocks come from?

Negative Productivity Shocks

- The model implies that half of the quarters we have negative technology shocks.
- Is this plausible? What is a negative productivity shocks?
- Role of trend: negative shocks also include growth of technology below the trend.
- s.d. of shocks is 0.007. Mean quarter productivity growth is 0.0047 (to give us a 1.9% growth per year).
- As a consequence, we would only observe negative technological shocks when $\varepsilon_t < -0.0047$.
- This happens in the model around 25% of times. Comparison with the data.

Some Policy Implications

- The basic model is Pareto-efficient.
- Fluctuations are the optimal response to a changing environment.
- Fluctuations are not a sufficient condition for inefficiencies or for government intervention.
- In fact in this model the government can only worsen the allocation.
- Recessions have a “cleansing” effect.

Asset Market Implications I

- We will have the fundamental asset pricing equation:

$$Q_t(s^t, s_{t+1}) = \beta \pi(s_{t+1} | s^t) \frac{u'(c_{t+1}(s^{t+1}), l_{t+1}(s^{t+1}))}{u'(c_t(s^t), l_t(s^t))}$$

- If utility is separable and log in consumption:

$$Q_t(s^t, s_{t+1}) = \beta \pi(s_{t+1} | s^t) \frac{c_t(s^t)}{c_{t+1}(s^{t+1})}$$

- Now, $c_t(s^t)$ is the equilibrium consumption.
- Since $c_t(s^t)$ is smooth in the model, $Q_t(s^t, s_{t+1})$ will also be smooth. Hence, we will have the standard equity premium puzzle.

Asset Market Implications II

- Return to invest in an uncontingent bond sold at face value 1:

$$\mathbb{E}_t \beta \frac{c_t(s^t)}{c_{t+1}(s^{t+1})} R_t^b(s^t)$$

- Return to invest in capital:

$$\mathbb{E}_t \beta \frac{c_t(s^t)}{c_{t+1}(s^{t+1})} (r_{t+1}(s^{t+1}) + 1 - \delta)$$

- By non-arbitrage:

$$\mathbb{E}_t \beta \frac{c(s^t)}{c_{t+1}(s^{t+1})} R_t^b(s^t) = \mathbb{E}_t \beta \frac{c_t(s^t)}{c_{t+1}(s^{t+1})} (r_{t+1}(s^{t+1}) + 1 - \delta)$$

- Presence of capital ties down returns.

Further Extensions

- We can extend our model in several directions.
- Two objectives:
 1. Fix empirical problems.
 2. Address additional questions.
- Examples:
 1. Indivisible labor supply.
 2. Capacity utilization.
 3. Investment Specific technological change.
 4. Monopolistic Competition.

Lotteries

- Our first extension is to introduce lotteries: Rogerson (1988) and Hansen (1985).
- General procedure to deal with non-convexities.
- For example, an agent can either work 0 hours or l^* hours. Why?
- Extensive versus intensive margin.
- Then, expected utility:

$$pu(c_1, l^*) + (1 - p)u(c_2, 0)$$

- Resource constrain in the economy (law of large numbers):

$$pc_1 + (1 - p)c_2 = c$$

Aggregation

- First order condition: $u_c(c_1, l^*) = u_c(c_2, 0)$.
- For our log-log utility function $\log c + \psi \log(1 - l)$, we have

$$c = c_1 = c_2$$

- Also, In the aggregate, we have that $l = pl^*$.
- Then, expected utility is

$$\log c + p\psi \log(1 - l^*) + (1 - p) \log 1 \Rightarrow \log c + Al$$

where $A = \psi \frac{\log(1 - l^*)}{l^*}$.

- Note that this utility function belongs to the class $\log c - \psi \frac{l^{1+\gamma}}{1+\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 0$, i.e., with infinite Frisch elasticity.

Capacity Utilization

- In benchmark model, the short run elasticity of capital is zero while in the long run is infinite.
- Empirical evidence of use of machinery, number of shifts, or electricity consumption.
- Modified production function:

$$y_t(s^t) = e^{z_t} \left(u_t(s^t) k_t(s^{t-1}) \right)^\alpha \left((1 + \mu)^t l_t(s^t) \right)^{1-\alpha}$$

where u_t is the utilization rate.

- Depreciation:

$$(1 + n) k_{t+1}(s^t) = \left(1 - \delta(u_t(s^t)) \right) k_t(s^{t-1}) + x_t(s^t)$$

Combining Both Extensions

- We can generate 70 percent of aggregate fluctuations with a s.d. of 0.003.
- How do we look at the Solow residual in this model?
- This implies negative technological growth in around 5 percent of quarters, roughly observation in the data.

Investment-Specific Technological Change

- Greenwood, Herkowitz, and Krusell (1997 and 2000): importance of technological change specific to new investment goods for understanding postwar U.S. growth and aggregate fluctuations.
- Observation: fall in the relative price of capital.
- Implications for NIPA.
- A simple way to model it:

$$(1 + n) k_{t+1} (s^t) = (1 - \delta) k_t (s^{t-1}) + v_t x_t (s^t)$$

where v_t is the inverse of the relative price of capital.

- Two different technological shocks with different implications.

Monopolistic Competition

- Final good producer with competitive behavior.
- Continuum of intermediate good producers with market power.
- Alternative formulations: continuum of goods in the utility function.
- Otherwise, the model is the same as the standard RBC model.

The Final Good Producer

- Production function:

$$y_t(s_t) = \left(\int_0^1 (y_{it}(s_t))^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

where ε controls the elasticity of substitution.

- Final good producer is perfectly competitive and maximize profits, taking as given all intermediate goods prices $p_{ti}(s_t)$ and the final good price $p_t(s_t)$.

Maximization Problem

- Thus, its maximization problem is:

$$\max_{y_{it}(s_t)} p_t(s_t) y_t(s_t) - \int_0^1 p_{it}(s_t) y_{it}(s_t) di$$

- First order conditions are for $\forall i$:

$$p_t \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1} \left(\int_0^1 (y_{it}(s_t))^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1} - 1} \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} (y_{it}(s_t))^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon} - 1} - p_{it}(s_t) = 0$$

Working with the First Order Conditions

- Dividing the first order conditions for two intermediate goods i and j , we get:

$$\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_{jt}(s_t)} = \left(\frac{y_{it}(s_t)}{y_{jt}(s_t)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$$

or:

$$p_{jt}(s_t) = \left(\frac{y_{it}(s_t)}{y_{jt}(s_t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} p_{it}(s_t)$$

- Hence:

$$p_{jt}(s_t) y_{jt}(s_t) = p_{it}(s_t) y_{it}(s_t)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \left(y_{jt}(s_t) \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}$$

- Integrating out:

$$\int_0^1 p_{jt}(s_t) y_{jt}(s_t) dj = p_{it}(s_t) y_{it}(s_t)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^1 y_{jt}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dj = p_{it}(s_t) y_{it}(s_t)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \left(y_{jt}(s_t) \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}$$

Input Demand Function

- By zero profits ($p_t(s_t) y_t(s_t) = \int_0^1 p_{jt}(s_t) y_{jt}(s_t) dj$), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(s_t) y_t(s_t) &= p_{it}(s_t) y_{it}(s_t)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \left(y_{jt}(s_t) \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} \\ \Rightarrow p_t(s_t) &= p_{it}(s_t) y_{it}(s_t)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} y_t(s_t)^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

- Consequently, the input demand functions associated with this problem are:

$$y_{it}(s_t) = \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} y_t(s_t) \quad \forall i$$

- Interpretation.

Price Level

- By the zero profit condition $p_t(s_t) y_t(s_t) = \int_0^1 p_{it}(s_t) y_{it}(s_t) di$ and plug-in the input demand functions:

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(s_t) y_t(s_t) &= \int_0^1 p_{it}(s_t) \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} y_t(s_t) di \\ \Rightarrow p_t(s_t)^{1-\varepsilon} &= \int_0^1 p_{it}(s_t)^{1-\varepsilon} di \end{aligned}$$

- Thus:

$$p_t(s_t) = \left(\int_0^1 p_{it}(s_t)^{1-\varepsilon} di \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}$$

Intermediate Good Producers

- Continuum of intermediate goods producers.
- No entry/exit.
- Each intermediate good producer i has a production function

$$y_{it}(s_t) = A_t k_{it}(s_t)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

- A_t follows the AR(1) process:

$$\begin{aligned}\log A_t &= \rho \log A_{t-1} + z_t \\ z_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_z)\end{aligned}$$

Maximization Problem I

- Intermediate goods producers solve a two-stages problem.
- First, given w_t and r_t , they rent l_{it} and k_{it} in perfectly competitive factor markets in order to minimize real cost:

$$\min_{l_{it}(s_t), k_{it}(s_t)} \{w_t(s_t) l_{it}(s_t) + r_t(s_t) k_{it}(s_t)\}$$

subject to their supply curve:

$$y_{it} = A_t k_{it}(s_t)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

First Order Conditions

- The first order conditions for this problem are:

$$\begin{aligned}w_t(s_t) &= \varrho(1 - \alpha) A_t k_{it}(s_t)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t)^{-\alpha} \\r_t(s_t) &= \varrho \alpha A_t k_{it}(s_t)^{\alpha-1} l_{it}(s_t)^{1-\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

where ϱ is the Lagrangian multiplier or:

$$k_{it}(s_t) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \frac{w_t(s_t)}{r_t(s_t)} l_{it}(s_t)$$

- Note that ratio capital-labor only is the same for all firms i .

Real Cost

- The real cost of optimally using l_{it} is:

$$\left(w_t(s_t) l_{it}(s_t) + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} w_t(s_t) l_{it}(s_t) \right)$$

- Simplifying:

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \right) w_t(s_t) l_{it}(s_t)$$

Marginal Cost I

- The firm has constant returns to scale.
- Then, we can find the real marginal cost $mc_t(s_t)$ by setting the level of labor and capital equal to the requirements of producing one unit of good $A_t k_{it}(s_t)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t)^{1-\alpha} = 1$
- Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} A_t k_{it}(s_t)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t)^{1-\alpha} &= A_t \left(\frac{\alpha w_t(s_t)}{1 - \alpha r_t(s_t)} l_{it}(s_t) \right)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t) \\ &= A_t \left(\frac{\alpha w_t(s_t)}{1 - \alpha r_t(s_t)} \right)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Marginal Cost II

- Then:

$$\begin{aligned} mc_t(s_t) &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) w_t(s_t) \frac{1}{A_t} \left(\frac{\alpha w_t(s_t)}{1-\alpha r_t(s_t)}\right)^{-\alpha} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha \frac{1}{A_t} w_t(s_t)^{1-\alpha} r_t(s_t)^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

- Note that the marginal cost does not depend on i .
- Also, from the optimality conditions of input demand, input prices must satisfy:

$$k_t(s_t) = \frac{\alpha w_t(s_t)}{1-\alpha r_t(s_t)} l_t(s_t)$$

Maximization Problem II

- The second part of the problem is to choose price that maximizes discounted real profits, i.e.,

$$\max_{p_{it}(s_t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} - mc_t(s_t) \right) y_{it}(s_t) \right\}$$

subject to

$$y_{it}(s_t) = \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} y_t(s_t),$$

- First order condition:

$$\left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} \frac{y_t(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} - mc_t(s_t) \right) \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon-1} \frac{y_t(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} = 0$$

Mark-Up Condition

- From the first order condition:

$$1 - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} - mc_t(s_t) \right) \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-1} = 0 \Rightarrow$$
$$p_{it}(s_t) = \varepsilon (p_{it}(s_t) - mc_t(s_t) p_t(s_t)) \Rightarrow$$
$$p_{it}(s_t) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1} mc_t(s_t) p_t(s_t)$$

- Mark-up condition.
- Reasonable values for ε .

Aggregation I

- To derive an expression for aggregate output, remember that:

$$\frac{k_{it}(s_t)}{l_{it}(s_t)} = \frac{\alpha w_t(s_t)}{1 - \alpha r_t(s_t)}$$

- Since this ratio is equivalent for all intermediate firms, it must also be the case that:

$$\frac{k_{it}(s_t)}{l_{it}(s_t)} = \frac{k_t(s_t)}{l_t(s_t)} = \frac{\alpha w_t(s_t)}{1 - \alpha r_t(s_t)}$$

- If we substitute this condition in the production function of the intermediate good firm $A_t k_{it}(s_t)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t)^{1-\alpha}$ we derive:

$$y_{it} = A_t \left(\frac{k_{it}(s_t)}{l_{it}(s_t)} \right)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t) = A_t \left(\frac{k_t(s_t)}{l_t(s_t)} \right)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t)$$

Aggregation II

- The demand function for the firm is:

$$y_{it}(s_t) = \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} y_t(s_t) \quad \forall i,$$

- Thus, we find the equality:

$$\left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} y_t(s_t) = A_t \left(\frac{k_t(s_t)}{l_t(s_t)} \right)^\alpha l_{it}(s_t)$$

- If we integrate in both sides of this equation:

$$y_t(s_t) \int_0^1 \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} di = A_t \left(\frac{k_t(s_t)}{l_t(s_t)} \right)^\alpha \int_0^1 l_{it}(s_t) di = A_t k_t(s_t)^\alpha l_t(s_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

Aggregation III

- Then:

$$y_t(s_t) = \frac{A_t}{v_t(s_t)} k_t(s_t)^\alpha l_t(s_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

where

$$v_t(s_t) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} di = \frac{j_t(s_t)^{-\varepsilon}}{p_t(s_t)^{-\varepsilon}}$$

- But note that:

$$p_t(s_t) = \left(\int_0^1 p_{it}(s_t)^{1-\varepsilon} di \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} = p_{it}(s_t)$$

since all intermediate good producers charge the same price.

- Then: $v_t(s_t) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{p_{it}(s_t)}{p_t(s_t)} \right)^{-\varepsilon} di = 1$ and:

$$y_t = A_t k_t(s_t)^\alpha l_t(s_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

Behavior of the Model

- Presence of monopolistic competition is, by itself, pretty irrelevant.
- Why? Constant mark-up.
- Similar to a tax.
- Solutions:
 1. Shocks to mark-up (maybe endogenous changes).
 2. Price rigidities.

Further Extensions

- We can extend our model in many other directions.
- Examples we are not going to cover:
 1. Fiscal Policy shocks (McGrattan, 1994).
 2. Agents with Finite Lives (Ríos-Rull, 1996).
 3. Home Production (Benhabib, Rogerson, and Wright, 1991).