

Stochastic Dynamic Programming

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde
University of Pennsylvania

Introducing Uncertainty in Dynamic Programming

- Stochastic dynamic programming presents a very flexible framework to handle multitude of problems in economics.
- We generalize the results of deterministic dynamic programming.
- Problem: taking care of measurability.

References

- Read chapter 9 of SLP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
- Problem of SLP: based on Borel sets. Raises issues of measurability. See page 253 and 254 of SLP.
- Bertsekas and Shreve (Stochastic Optimal Control, 1978) redo much of the theory with universal measurability
- Read chapter 10 of SLP: it is full of economic applications.

Environment

- (X, \mathcal{X}) : universally measurable space for the endogenous state.
- (Z, \mathcal{Z}) : universally measurable space for the exogenous state.
- (S, \mathcal{S}) : $(X, \mathcal{X}) \times (Z, \mathcal{Z})$.
- Q : stationary transition function for (Z, \mathcal{Z}) .
- $\Gamma : X \times Z \rightarrow X$: correspondence constraint.
- $A = \{(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times Z : y \in \Gamma(x, z)\}$: graph of Γ .
- $F : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: one-period return function.
- β : discount factor.

Plans

- $\pi_t : Z^t \rightarrow X$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots$: sequence of measurable functions.
- $\pi = (\pi_0 \in X, \pi_t)$: plan.
- Interpretation of a plan: contingent decision rules.
- A plan π is feasible from $s_0 \in S$ if:
 1. $\pi_0 \in \Gamma(s_0)$.
 2. $\pi_t \in \Gamma(\pi_{t-1}(z^{t-1}), z_t)$ for $z^t \in Z^t$, $t = 1, 2, \dots$
- $\Pi(s_0)$: set of all feasible plans from $s_0 \in S$.
- If π does not depend on t but only on z^t , we call the plan stationary or Markov.

Some Preliminary Results I

- Assumption 1:
 1. Γ is non-empty valued.
 2. A is $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Z})$ –measurable.
 3. \exists a measurable selection $h : S \rightarrow X$ s.t. $h(s) \in \Gamma(s)$ for $\forall s \in S$.
- Lemma 1: under previous assumption, $\Pi(s_0)$ is nonempty for $\forall s_0 \in S$.
- Lemma 2: $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Z})$ is a σ –algebra.
- Corollary 1: $F\left(\pi_{t-1}\left(z^{t-1}\right), \pi_t\left(z^t\right), z_t\right)$ is \mathcal{Z}^t –measurable.

Some Preliminary Results II

- Given Q on (Z, \mathcal{Z}) and $s_0 \in S$,

$$\mu^t(z_0, \cdot) : \mathcal{Z}^t \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad t = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Assumption 2: $F : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable and either (a) or (b) holds:

a. $F \geq 0$ or $F \leq 0$.

b. For each $(x_0, z_0) = s_0 \in S$ and each plan $\pi \in \Pi(s_0)$,

$F(\pi_{t-1}(z^{t-1}), \pi_t(z^t), z_t)$ is $\mu^t(z_0, \cdot)$ -integrable, $t = 1, 2, \dots$

and the limit:

$$F(x_0, \pi_0, z_0) + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \int_{Z^t} \beta^t F(\pi_{t-1}(z^{t-1}), \pi_t(z^t), z_t) \mu^t(z_0, \cdot)$$

exists (though it may be plus or minus infinity).

Sequential Problem

- Define $u_n(\cdot, s_0) : \Pi(s_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ by:

$$u_0(\pi, s_0) = F(x_0, \pi_0, z_0)$$

$$u_n(\pi, s_0) = F(x_0, \pi_0, z_0)$$

$$+ \sum_{t=1}^n \int_{Z^t} \beta^t F(\pi_{t-1}(z^{t-1}), \pi_t(z^t), z_t) \mu^t(z_0, dz^t)$$

- Define $u(\pi, s_0) : \Pi(s_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ by

$$u(\pi, s_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(\pi, s_0)$$

- Define $v^* : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ by

$$v^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi(s)} u(\pi, s_0)$$

Recursive Problem

- Functional equation:

$$v(s) = v(x, z) = \sup_{y \in \Gamma(x, z)} \left[F(x, y, z) + \beta \int v(y, z') Q(z, dz') \right]$$

- Associate with the functional equation, we have a policy correspondence:

$$G(x, z) = \left\{ y \in \Gamma(x, z) : v(x, z) = F(x, y, z) + \beta \int v(y, z') Q(z, dz') \right\}$$

- If G is nonempty and if there is a sequence of measurable selections g_1, \dots from G , we have the plan generated by G from s_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 &= g_0(s_0) \\ \pi_t(z^t) &= g_t[\pi_{t-1}(z^{t-1}), z^t], \quad \forall z^t \in Z^t, t = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Transversality Condition

- In general, dynamic programming problems require two boundary conditions: an initial condition and a final condition.
- Transversality condition plays the role of the second condition.
- To ensure the equivalence of the sequential and recursive problem, we also need then a transversality condition:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t \int v(\pi_{t-1}(z^{t-1}), z^t) \mu^t(z_0, dz^t) = 0, \quad \forall \pi \in \Pi(s_0), s_0 \in S$$

Equivalence of Sequential and Recursive Problem

- Under our previous assumptions:
 1. $v = v^*$
 2. Any plan π^* generated by G obtains the supremum in $v^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi(s)} u(\pi, s_0)$
- Under our previous assumptions and an additional boundness condition, a plan is optimal only if it is generated a.e. by G .
- Our results are equivalent to theorems 4.2-4.5 in SLP for the deterministic case.

Bounded Returns

- As in the deterministic case, we want to show further results.
- Assumptions:
 1. F is bounded and continuous.
 2. $\beta < 1$.
 3. X is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^l and \mathcal{X} is a universally measurable σ -algebra.
 4. Z is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^k and \mathcal{Z} is a universally measurable σ -algebra.
 5. Q has the Feller property.
- Intuition: integration will preserve properties of the return function.

Results I

Under these assumptions, we can prove that:

1. The Bellman operator:

$$(Tf)(x, z) = \sup_{y \in \Gamma(x, z)} \left[F(x, y, z) + \beta \int v(y, z') Q(z, dz') \right]$$

has a unique fixed point.

2. Contractivity: $\|T^n v_0 - v\| \leq \beta^n \|v_0 - v\|$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

3. The policy correspondence

$$G(x, z) = \left\{ y \in \Gamma(x, z) : v(x, z) = F(x, y, z) + \beta \int v(y, z') Q(z, dz') \right\}$$

is non-empty, compact-valued, and u.h.c.

4. The value function will inherit increasing properties from F and Q .

Concavity

- Assumption concavity 1: For each $z \in Z$, $F(\cdot, \cdot, z) : A_z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

$$F\left(\theta(x, y) + (1 - \theta)(x', y'), z\right) \geq \theta F(x, y, z) + (1 - \theta) F(x', y', z)$$
$$\forall \theta \in (0, 1), \forall (x, y), (x', y') \in A_z$$

and the inequality is strict if $x \neq x'$.

- Assumption concavity 2: For $\forall z \in Z$ and $\forall x, x' \in X$, $y \in \Gamma(x, z)$ and $y' \in \Gamma(x', z)$

$$\theta y + (1 - \theta) y' \in \Gamma\left(\theta x + (1 - \theta) x', z\right), \forall \theta \in (0, 1)$$

Results II

1. Under previous assumptions, $v(\cdot, z) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly concave and $G(\cdot, z) : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous, single-valued function.

2. Let $v_n = Tv_{n-1}$ and

$$g_n(x, z) = \arg \max_{y \in \Gamma(x, z)} \left\{ F(x, y, z) + \beta \int v(y, z') Q(z, dz') \right\} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then, $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly.

3. If $x_0 \in \text{int}(X)$ and $g(x_0, z_0) \in \text{int}(\Gamma(x_0, z_0))$, $v(\cdot, z_0)$ is continuously differentiable in x at x_0 with derivatives given by:

$$v_i(x_0, z_0) = F_i[x_0, g(x_0, z_0), z_0], \quad i = 1, \dots, l$$

Unbounded Returns

- What if returns, like in most applications of interest in economics, are unbounded?
- This was already an issue in the deterministic set-up.
- We can get most of the substance of previous results if F is constant returns to scale.
- In the case of CRRA utility functions, we would need to do some ad-hoc work.

Policy Functions and Transition Functions I

- Let us imagine that the decision maker follows $g(x, z)$ given an initial condition s_0 .
- The policy function generates a sequence $\{s_t\}$.
- What do we know about $\{s_t\}$?
- Read chapters 11-14 of SLP.

Policy Functions and Transition Functions II

- Let (X, \mathcal{X}) , (Z, \mathcal{Z}) , and (S, \mathcal{S}) : $(X, \mathcal{X}) \times (Z, \mathcal{Z})$ be universally measurable spaces; let Q be a transition function on (Z, \mathcal{Z}) ; and let $g : S \rightarrow X$ be a measurable function. Then:

$$P[(x, z), A \times B] = \begin{cases} Q(z, B) & \text{if } g(x, z) \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\forall x \in X, z \in Z, A \in \mathcal{X}$, and $B \in \mathcal{Z}$, defines a transition function on (S, \mathcal{S}) .

- If g is continuous, then P has the Feller property.
- Characterizing long run behavior of the model.