

# Derivations for Examples 2.2 and 2.3

Hyungsik Roger Moon and Frank Schorfheide

April, 2001

NOT INTENDED FOR PUBLICATION

## 1 Example 2: Present-Value Model

The law of motion for  $y_t = [y_{1,t}, y_{2,t}]'$  is of the form

$$y_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/r \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + u_t, \quad u_t = A'u_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

The variables  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  have to satisfy the following relationship:

$$\mathbb{E}_{t-1} \left[ y_{1,t} + y_{2,t} - (1+r)y_{1,t} \right] = 0. \quad (2)$$

Note that

$$y_{1,t} = \frac{1}{r}(y_{2,t} - u_{2,t}) + u_{1,t}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1,t} + y_{2,t} - (1+r)y_{1,t-1} &= \frac{1}{r}y_{2,t-1} + A_{11}u_{1,t-1} + A_{21}u_{2,t-1} + \epsilon_{1,t} \\ &\quad + y_{2,t-1} + A_{12}u_{1,t-1} + A_{22}u_{2,t-1} + \epsilon_{2,t} \\ &\quad - (1+r) \left[ \frac{1}{r}(y_{2,t-1} - u_{2,t-1}) + u_{1,t-1} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[ A_{11} + A_{12} - (1+r) \right] u_{1,t-1} \\ &\quad + \left[ A_{21} + A_{22} + \frac{1+r}{r} \right] u_{2,t-1} + \epsilon_{1,t} + \epsilon_{2,t} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Taking conditional expectations with respect to  $t - 1$  information yields the restrictions

$$A_{11} + A_{12} = (1 + r), \quad r(A_{21} + A_{22}) = -(1 + r).$$

## 2 Example 3: Linear-Quadratic Programming Problems

### 2.1 General Setup

Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  denote an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras defined on an underlying probability space and  $\mathbb{E}_t[\cdot]$  the conditional expectation with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Moreover, assume that  $\{\eta_t\}$  is a conditionally homoskedastic martingale difference sequence, which obeys  $\mathbb{E}_{t-1}[\eta_t] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{t-1}[\eta_t \eta_t'] = I$ . The linear quadratic discounted stochastic regulator problem is to choose a  $m \times 1$  control process  $\{x_t\}$  adapted to  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  to maximize

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [s_t' R s_t + x_t' Q x_t + 2x_t' H s_t] \right] \quad (5)$$

subject to

$$s_{t+1} = A s_t + B x_t + E \eta_t \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (|s_t|^2 + |x_t|^2) \right] < \infty \quad . \quad (7)$$

Here  $s_t$  is a  $l \times 1$  state vector with initialization  $s_0$ . The transversality condition (7) can be viewed as an infinite horizon counterpart to a terminal condition on wealth in finite horizon economies. The optimal policy is of the form  $x_t = -F s_t$ . The matrix  $F$  is implicitly given by the following system

$$\begin{aligned} P &= R + \beta A' P A - (\beta A' P B + H')(Q + \beta B' P B)^{-1}(\beta B' P A + H), \\ F &= (Q + \beta B' P B)^{-1}(\beta B' P A + H). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

## 2.2 Model Economy

Consider the following stylized model of consumption behavior. A consumer chooses consumption  $\{C_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  to maximize the expected utility

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+r} \right)^t (C_t - Z_t - \alpha)^2 \right] \quad (9)$$

subject to the constraints

$$Z_{t+1} = \psi C_t + \epsilon_{1,t} \quad (10)$$

$$W_{t+1} = (1+r)(W_t - C_t) + I_{1,t+1} + I_{2,t+1} \quad (11)$$

$$I_{1,t+1} = \mu(1-\phi) + \phi I_{1,t} + \epsilon_{2,t} \quad (12)$$

$$I_{2,t+1} = \epsilon_{3,t} \quad (13)$$

## 2.3 Transformation of Model

Define  $\tilde{C}_t = C_t - \alpha/(1-\psi)$  and  $\tilde{Z}_t = Z_t - \psi\alpha/(1-\psi)$ . Thus,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+r} \right)^t (\tilde{C}_t - \tilde{Z}_t)^2 \right] \quad (14)$$

and  $\tilde{Z}_{t+1} = \psi\tilde{C}_t + \epsilon_{1,t+1}$ . Define  $\tilde{I}_{1,t} = I_{1,t} - \mu$ , and  $\tilde{I}_{2,t} = I_{2,t}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{I}_{1,t+1} = \phi\tilde{I}_{1,t} + \epsilon_{2,t+1}$ . The wealth accumulation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{t+1} &= (1+r) \left( W_t - \left[ C_t - \frac{\alpha}{1-\psi} \right] - \frac{\alpha}{1-\psi} \right) + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \phi\tilde{I}_{2,t} + \epsilon_{2,t+1} + \epsilon_{3,t+1} \\ &= (1+r)W_t - (1+r)\tilde{C}_t + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - \frac{\alpha(1+r)}{1-\psi} + \phi\tilde{I}_{2,t} + \epsilon_{2,t+1} + \epsilon_{3,t+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now define

$$\tilde{W}_t = W_t - \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\alpha(1+r)}{1-\psi} - \mu_1 - \mu_2 \right), \quad (16)$$

and rewrite the wealth accumulation as

$$\tilde{W}_{t+1} = (1+r)\tilde{W}_t - (1+r)\tilde{C}_t + \phi\tilde{I}_{1,t} + \epsilon_{2,t+1} + \epsilon_{3,t+1}. \quad (17)$$

## 2.4 Solution of the Model

For the remainder of this section we will assume  $\psi = 0$ . Let  $\beta = 1/(1+r)$ . Define  $x_t = \tilde{C}_t$  and  $s_t = [\tilde{W}_t, \tilde{I}_{1,t}, \tilde{Z}_t]'$ . The system matrices of the objective function are

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad H = [0 \quad 0 \quad 1/2]. \quad (18)$$

The system matrices of the transition equation are

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\beta & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -1/\beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

We will now solve the Riccati Equation. Note that

$$(Q + \beta B'PB)^{-1} = \frac{2\beta}{2p_{11} - \beta} \quad (20)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \beta A'PA &= \beta \begin{bmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \phi & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & p_{23} \\ p_{31} & p_{32} & p_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/\beta & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \beta \begin{bmatrix} p_{11}/\beta & p_{12}/\beta & 0 \\ (p_{11} + p_{21})\phi & (p_{12} + p_{22})\phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/\beta & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} p_{11}/\beta & (p_{11} + p_{12})\phi & 0 \\ (p_{11} + p_{21})\phi & (p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{21} + p_{22})\beta\phi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta A'PB + H' = \beta \begin{bmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \phi & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & p_{23} \\ p_{31} & p_{32} & p_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1/\beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + H'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta \begin{bmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \phi & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -p_{11}/\beta \\ -p_{21}/\beta \\ -p_{31}/\beta \end{bmatrix} + H' \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -p_{11}/\beta \\ -(p_{11} + p_{21})\phi \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\beta A'PB + H')(Q + \beta B'PB)^{-1}(\beta B'PA + H) \\
&= \frac{2\beta}{2p_{11} - \beta} \begin{bmatrix} -p_{11}/\beta \\ -(p_{11} + p_{21})\phi/ \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -p_{11}/\beta & -(p_{11} + p_{21})\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{2\beta}{2p_{11} - \beta} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11}^2/\beta^2 & p_{11}(p_{11} + p_{12})\phi/\beta & -p_{11}/\beta \\ p_{11}(p_{11} + p_{21})\phi/\beta & (p_{11} + p_{12})(p_{11} + p_{21})\phi^2 & -(p_{11} + p_{21})\phi \\ -p_{11}\beta & -(p_{11} + p_{21})\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $F$  does not depend on  $p_{13}$ ,  $p_{22}$ ,  $p_{23}$ , and  $p_{33}$ . Moreover  $P$  is symmetric. Hence, we only have to solve for  $p_{11}$  and  $p_{12}$ .  $p_{11}$  has to satisfy the following relationship:

$$p_{11} = p_{11}/\beta - \frac{2\beta}{2p_{11} - \beta} p_{11}^2/\beta^2 \quad (21)$$

Thus,

$$p_{11}\beta(2p_{11} - \beta) = p_{11}(2p_{11} - \beta) - 2p_{11}^2.$$

Hence,

$$2p_{11}\beta - \beta^2 = -\beta$$

and

$$p_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1) \quad (22)$$

The off-diagonal elements are given by the relationship

$$p_{12} = \phi(p_{11} + p_{12}) - \frac{2\beta}{2p_{11} - \beta} p_{11}(p_{11} + p_{12})\phi/\beta \quad (23)$$

which implies that

$$p_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi\beta(\beta-1)}{1-\phi\beta}. \quad (24)$$

We can now proceed with the calculation of the policy function:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{11} &= \beta(-2\beta)(-1/\beta^2)\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1) \\ &= (\beta-1) \\ F_{12} &= \beta(-2\beta)(-\phi/\beta)\frac{1}{2}\left[(\beta-1) + \frac{\phi\beta(\beta-1)}{1-\phi\beta}\right] \\ &= \frac{\phi\beta(\beta-1)}{1-\phi\beta} \\ F_{13} &= (-2\beta)\frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\tilde{C}_t = (1-\beta)\tilde{W}_t + \frac{\phi\beta(1-\beta)}{1-\phi\beta}\tilde{I}_{1,t} + \beta\tilde{Z}_t \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{r}{1+r}\tilde{W}_t + \frac{\phi}{(1+r-\phi)(1+r)}\tilde{I}_{1,t} + \frac{r}{1+r}\tilde{Z}_t \quad (26)$$

## 2.5 Inverse Transformation

The optimal decision rule for  $\psi = 0$  in terms of the original variables is

$$C_t = \frac{1}{1+r}\mu + \frac{r}{1+r}W_t + \frac{\phi r}{(1+r-\phi)(1+r)}(I_{1,t} - \mu_1) + \frac{r}{1+r}\epsilon_{1,t} \quad (27)$$

The law of motion for wealth is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{t+1} &= \mu + (1+r)W_t - (1+r)C_t + \phi(I_{1,t} - \mu_1) + \epsilon_{2,t+1} + \epsilon_{3,t+1} \\ &= W_t + \frac{\phi(1-\phi)}{1+r-\phi}(I_{1,t} - \mu) - r\epsilon_{1,t} + \epsilon_{2,t+1} + \epsilon_{3,t+1} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In terms of lagged state-variables consumption evolves according to

$$\begin{aligned} C_t &= \frac{1}{1+r}\mu + \frac{r}{1+r}W_{t-1} + \frac{\phi r(2-\phi)}{(1+r-\phi)(1+r)}(I_{1,t-1} - \mu_1) \\ &\quad + \frac{r}{1+r}(\epsilon_{1,t} + \epsilon_{2,t} + \epsilon_{3,t}) - \frac{r^2}{1+r}\epsilon_{1,t-1} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We obtain the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} C_t \\ \Delta W_t \\ I_{1,t} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{1+r} & \frac{\mu}{1+r} & \frac{\phi r(2-\phi)}{(1+r-\phi)(1+r)} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\phi(1-\phi)}{1+r-\phi} \\ 0 & \mu_1 & \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_{t-1} \\ 1 \\ (I_{1,t-1} - \mu_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{1+r} & \frac{r}{1+r} & \frac{r}{1+r} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{1,t} \\ \epsilon_{2,t} \\ \epsilon_{3,t} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{r^2}{1+r} & 0 & 0 \\ -r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{1,t-1} \\ \epsilon_{2,t-1} \\ \epsilon_{3,t-1} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

## 2.6 The Unrestricted Model

Define  $y_{1,t} = C_t$ ,  $y_{2,t} = [\Delta W_t, I_{1,t}]'$ ,  $x_{1,t} = W_{t-1}$ ,  $x_{2,t} = [1, I_{1,t-1}]'$ ,  $y_t = [y'_{1,t}, y'_{2,t}]'$ , and  $x_t = [x'_{1,t}, x'_{2,t}]$ . The regressor  $x_{1,t}$  is  $I(1)$ , the regressor  $x_{2,t}$  is  $I(0)$ . We consider the an unrestricted model of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A'_{11} & A'_{21} \\ 0 & A'_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,t} \\ u_{2,t} \end{bmatrix} \quad (31)$$

or in matrix form

$$Y = XA + U \quad (32)$$

Define the rotation matrix (and its inverse)

$$R = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & \mu \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \quad R^{-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & -\mu \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (33)$$

The model can be expressed in terms of rotated regressors  $\tilde{X}$  and parameters  $\tilde{A}$  as follows:

$$Y = XR^{-1}RA + U = \tilde{X}\tilde{A} + U \quad (34)$$

Notice that the rotation preserves the zero restriction  $\tilde{A}_{12} = 0$ . Define  $\nu_t = (X_{t-1} - \mu_1) + \epsilon_{2,t} + \epsilon_{3,t} - \epsilon_{1,t-1}$ . Assume that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \nu_t \implies B(r) \equiv BM(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is the long-run variance of  $\nu_t$ .

## 2.7 Likelihood Estimation

For notational simplicity we drop the  $\tilde{\cdot}$ . Suppose that  $u_t \sim iid\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$  and that  $\Sigma$  is known. Define  $a = vec(A)$ ,

$$a_1 = vec \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 = vec \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_{ij} = vec(A_{ij})$$

and  $P_* = M \otimes P$ , where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{y_2 \times y_1} & I_{y_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = [1_{x_1}, 0_{x_2}]$$

$M$  and  $P_*$  are partitioned according to  $y_t$  and  $x_t$ , respectively. The zero-restriction of the  $A$ -matrix can be expressed as  $P_*a = 0$ . The likelihood function is of the form

$$p(Y|X, A) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(a - \tilde{a})'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X'X)(a - \tilde{a}) \right\}, \quad (35)$$

where

$$\tilde{a} = (I \otimes (X'X)^{-1}X')vec(Y)$$

subject to the constraint  $P_*a = 0$ . The maximum likelihood estimator of  $a$  is

$$\hat{a} = \tilde{a} - [\Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}]P_*' \left( P_*[\Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}]P_*' \right)^{-1} P_*\tilde{a} \quad (36)$$

We will subsequently manipulate the maximum likelihood estimator by exploiting the Kronecker structure of the estimator:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a} &= \tilde{a} - [\Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}][M' \otimes P'] \left( [M \otimes P][\Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}][M' \otimes P'] \right)^{-1} [M \otimes P]\tilde{a} \\ &= \tilde{a} - [\Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}][M' \otimes P'] \left( M\Sigma M' \otimes P(X'X)^{-1}P' \right)^{-1} [M \otimes P]\tilde{a} \\ &= \tilde{a} - [\Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}][M' \otimes P'] \left( \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes [P(X'X)^{-1}P']^{-1} \right) [M \otimes P]\tilde{a} \\ &= \tilde{a} - [\Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}] \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \otimes P'[P(X'X)^{-1}P']^{-1}P \right) \tilde{a} \\ &= \tilde{a} - \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes (X'X)^{-1}P'[P(X'X)^{-1}P']^{-1}P \right) \tilde{a} \end{aligned}$$



Thus,

$$\hat{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_1 - \left( \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1} P' [P(X'X)^{-1} P'] P \right) \tilde{a}_2 \quad (37)$$

$$\hat{a}_2 = \tilde{a}_2 - \left( I_2 \otimes (X'X)^{-1} P' [P(X'X)^{-1} P'] P \right) \tilde{a}_2 \quad (38)$$

Now we analyze the partitions of  $\hat{a}$  separately. Notice that

$$\tilde{a}_i = [I_i \otimes (X'X)^{-1} X'] \text{vec}(Y_i) \quad (39)$$

Consider the estimator  $\hat{a}_2$ . It can be easily verified that the elements of  $\hat{a}_2$  that correspond to  $A_{12}$  are zero. The elements that correspond to  $A_{22}$  are obtained by OLS estimation of  $Y_2 = X_2 A_{22} + U_2$ , that is

$$\hat{a}_{22} = [I_2 \otimes (X_2' X_2)^{-1} X_2'] \text{vec}(Y_2) \quad (40)$$

Let  $\hat{U}_2$  be the OLS residuals of  $Y_2$ . We will show that the likelihood estimator of  $a_1$  corresponds to the OLS estimator of the following model

$$Y_1 = X \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{21} \end{bmatrix} + \hat{U}_2 \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} + V \quad (41)$$

The term  $\hat{U}_2 \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$  reflects the conditional expectation of  $U_1$  given  $\hat{U}_2$ . Vectorizing the equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}(Y_1) &= (I_1 \otimes X) a_1 + (\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes I_T) \text{vec}(\hat{U}_2) + \text{vec}(V) \\ &= (I_1 \otimes X) a_1 + (\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes I_T) \text{vec}(Y_2) - (\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes I_T) (I_2 \otimes X) \hat{a}_2 + \text{vec}(V) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_1 &= [I_1 \otimes (X'X)^{-1} X'] \text{vec}(Y_1) - [I_1 \otimes (X'X)^{-1} X'] \left( [\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes I_T] \text{vec}(Y_2) \right) \\ &\quad + [I_1 \otimes (X'X)^{-1} X'] \left( [\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes I_T] (I_2 \otimes X) \hat{a}_2 \right) \\ &= [I_1 \otimes (X'X)^{-1} X'] \text{vec}(Y_1) - [\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1} X'] \text{vec}(Y_2) \\ &\quad + [\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1} X'] (I_2 \otimes X) \hat{a}_2 \\ &= \tilde{a}_1 - [\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1}] \text{vec}(X'Y_2) + [\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1} X'] (I_2 \otimes X) \tilde{a}_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -[\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1}X'](I_2 \otimes X) \left( I_2 \otimes (X'X)^{-1}P'[P(X'X)^{-1}P']P \right) \tilde{a}_2 \\
= & \tilde{a}_1 - [\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1}]vec(X'Y_2) \\
& + [\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1}X'](I_2 \otimes X)[I_2 \otimes (X'X)^{-1}]vec(X'Y_2) \\
& - \left( \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1}P'[P(X'X)^{-1}P']P \right) \tilde{a}_2 \\
= & \tilde{a}_1 - \left( \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \otimes (X'X)^{-1}P'[P(X'X)^{-1}P']P \right) \tilde{a}_2
\end{aligned}$$

which is identical to the expression in Equation (37).

## 2.8 Limit Distribution

The limit distribution of  $\hat{a}_{22}$  is determined by

$$\hat{A}_{22} = A_{22} + (X'_2X_2)^{-1}X'_2U_2 \quad (43)$$

Notice that

$$\hat{U}_2 = X_2(A_{22} - \hat{A}_{22}) + U_2 \quad (44)$$

Define the matrix  $M_i = I - X_i(X'_iX_i)^{-1}X'_i$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}_{11} &= (X'_1M_2X_1)^{-1}X'_1M_2[Y_1 - X_2(A_{22} - \hat{A}_{22})\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21} - U_2\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}] \\
&= A_{11} + (X'_1M_2X_1)^{-1}X'_1M_2(U_1 - U_2\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21})
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}_{21} &= (X'_2M_1X_2)^{-1}X'_2M_1[[Y_1 - X_2(A_{22} - \hat{A}_{22})\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21} - U_2\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}] \\
&= A_{21} + (X'_2M_1X_2)^{-1}X'_2M_1(U_1 - U_2\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}) + (\hat{A}_{22} - A_{22})\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}
\end{aligned}$$

We now examine the behavior of the sample moments. We are again omitting the  $\tilde{\cdot}$  to denote rotated regressor.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T}X'_2X_2 &\implies \mathbb{E}[x_2x'_2] \equiv Q_{22} \\
\frac{1}{T^2}X'_1X_1 &\implies \int B_1B'_1 \equiv Q_{11} \\
\frac{1}{T^{3/2}}X'_2X_1 &= \frac{1}{T^{3/2}} \sum_{t=1}^T \begin{bmatrix} W_t \\ \tilde{X}_{2,t}W_t \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} \int B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv Q_{21}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T}X_2' M_1 X_2 &= \frac{1}{T}X_2' X_2 - \left( \frac{1}{T^{3/2}}X_2' X_1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{T^2}X_1' X_1 \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{T^{3/2}}X_1' X_2 \right) \\
&\implies Q_{11} - Q_{21}Q_{11}^{-1}Q_{12} \equiv Q_{11.2} \\
\frac{1}{T^2}X_1' M_2 X_1 &= \frac{1}{T^2}X_1' X_1 - \left( \frac{1}{T^{3/2}}X_1' X_2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{T}X_2' X_2 \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{T^{3/2}}X_2' X_1 \right) \\
&\implies Q_{22} - Q_{12}Q_{22}^{-1}Q_{21} \equiv Q_{22.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Define  $U_{1.2} = U_1 - U_2 \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$  with covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{11.2} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$ .

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T^{1/2}} \text{vec}(X_2' U_2) &= \frac{1}{T^{1/2}} (I_2 \otimes X_2') \text{vec}(U_2) \implies \mathcal{MN}\left(0, \Sigma_{22} \otimes Q_{22}^{-1}\right) \\
\frac{1}{T} \text{vec}(X_1' M_2 U_{1.2}) &= \frac{1}{T} \text{vec}(X_1' U_{1.2}) - \frac{1}{T} \text{vec}(X_1' X_2 (X_2' X_2)^{-1} X_2' U_{1.2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{T} (I_1 \otimes X_1') \text{vec}(U_{1.2}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{T^{1/2}} \left[ I_1 \otimes \left( \frac{1}{T^{3/2}} X_1' X_2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{T} X_2' X_2 \right)^{-1} X_2' \right] \text{vec}(U_{1.2}) \\
&\implies \mathcal{MN}\left(0, \Sigma_{11.2} \otimes Q_{11.2}\right) \\
\frac{1}{T^{1/2}} \text{vec}(X_2' M_1 U_{1.2}) &= \frac{1}{T^{1/2}} \text{vec}(X_2' U_{1.2}) - \frac{1}{T^{1/2}} \text{vec}(X_2' X_1 (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' U_{1.2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{T^{1/2}} (I_1 \otimes X_2') \text{vec}(U_{1.2}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{T} \left[ I_1 \otimes \left( \frac{1}{T^{3/2}} X_2' X_1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{T^2} X_1' X_1 \right)^{-1} X_1' \right] \text{vec}(U_{1.2}) \\
&\implies \mathcal{MN}\left(0, \Sigma_{11.2} \otimes Q_{22.1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\frac{1}{T^{1/2}} \text{vec}(X_2' U_2)$  is asymptotically independent of  $\frac{1}{T} \text{vec}(X_1' M_2 U_{1.2})$  and  $\frac{1}{T^{1/2}} \text{vec}(X_2' M_1 U_{1.2})$  because the covariance of  $U_{1.2}$  and  $U_2$  is zero by construction. Moreover,  $U_{1.2}$  is independent of the random matrices  $Q_{11.2}$  and  $Q_{22.1}$ .

Overall we obtain the following limit distribution for the (rotated) parameters

$$\begin{bmatrix} T(\hat{a}_{11} - a_{11}) \\ \sqrt{T}(\hat{a}_{21} - a_{21}) \\ \sqrt{T}(\hat{a}_{22} - a_{22}) \end{bmatrix} \implies \eta^{1/2} \mathcal{N}(0, I), \quad (45)$$

where

$$\eta = \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma_{11.2} \otimes Q_{11.2}^{-1}) & -(\Sigma_{11.2} \otimes Q_{11.2}^{-1} Q_{12} Q_{22}^{-1}) & 0 \\ -(\Sigma_{11.2} \otimes Q_{22}^{-1} Q_{21} Q_{11.2}^{-1}) & (\Sigma_{11.2} \otimes Q_{22.1}^{-1}) + (\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \otimes Q_{22}^{-1}) & (\Sigma_{12} \otimes Q_{22}^{-1}) \\ 0 & (\Sigma_{21} \otimes Q_{22}^{-1}) & (\Sigma_{22} \otimes Q_{22}^{-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

## 2.9 Time Series Extension

In our application the  $u_t$  process is not *iid*. It can be expressed as a moving-average of past  $\epsilon_t$ 's

$$u_t = C(L)\epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim iid(0, \Sigma_\epsilon) \quad (46)$$

Using the approach of Phillips and Solo (1992), we decompose the MA-process as follows

$$u_t = C(1)\epsilon_t + \tilde{C}(L)(L-1)\epsilon_t \quad (47)$$

In our model  $u_t$  is an MA(1) process and the expansion is of the form

$$u_t = (C_0 + C_1)\epsilon_t + C_1(\epsilon_{t-1} - \epsilon_t) \quad (48)$$

The OLS-estimator of  $a$  can be interpreted as a pseudo-maximum likelihood estimator if the  $u_t$ 's follow a linear process. For the estimator to be consistent we have to assume that  $\mathbf{E}_{t-1}[x_t u_t'] = 0$ , which is the case for our structural model. The limit distribution of the estimator is obtained by replacing  $\Sigma$  with  $C(1)\Sigma_\epsilon C(1)'$ . Since the stationary component of the model has serially correlated errors, the quasi-maximum likelihood estimator is not fully efficient.