Toward defect-free fabrication of extreme ultraviolet photomasks
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Abstract. Defect-free fabrication of extreme ultraviolet (EUV) masks relies on the appropriate detection of native defects and subsequent strategies for their elimination. Commercial unavailability of actinic mask-blank inspection systems motivates the identification of an optical inspection methodology most suitable for finding relevant EUV blank defects. Studies showed that 193-nm wavelength inspection found the greatest number of printable defects as compared with rival higher-wavelength systems, establishing deep ultraviolet inspections as the blank defectivity baseline for subsequent mitigation strategies. Next, defect avoidance via pattern shifting was explored using representative 7-nm node metal/contact layer designs and 193-nm mask-blank inspection results. It was found that a significant percentage of native defects could be avoided only when the design was limited to active patterns (i.e., layouts without dummy fill). Total pattern-defect overlap remained ≤5 when metal layer blanks were chosen from the top 35% least defective substrates, while the majority of blanks remained suitable for contacts layers due to a lower active pattern density. Finally, nanomachining was used to address remaining native/multilayer defects. Native catastrophic defects were shown to recover 40% to 70% of target critical dimension after nanomachining, demonstrating the enormous potential for compensating multilayer defects.
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1 Introduction

As device scaling continues to drive innovative patterning solutions, extreme ultraviolet lithography (EUVL) has received industry-wide acceptance to complement and likely replace deep ultraviolet (DUV) lithography for cutting-edge patterning, in part due to its enhanced patterning resolution and lower process complexity, among other benefits. Owing to the considerable absorption of EUV radiation by all forms of matter, the optical elements used in EUVL are based on reflective rather than refractive optics. Specifically, EUV mirrors consist of alternating layers of high and low atomic number materials (e.g., Mo and Si), forming a Bragg reflector for guiding and shaping EUV photons through the lithography system. EUV photomasks utilize the same reflective coating in addition to an absorber material (e.g., TaN) that has been selected to represent the intended circuit design. This reflective design introduces a new class of defects not seen in previous mask technologies such that particles could embed themselves in the alternating layers of the Bragg reflector during thin-film deposition, negatively impacting image formation and printing. As such, EUV mask defectivity has remained a persistent obstacle that must be addressed in order to enable EUVL high-volume manufacturing (HVM). Various defect-mitigation strategies have been demonstrated in order to reduce the prevalence of native mask-blank defects. These include improvements in polishing techniques for creating the ultra-low-expansion blanks and the development of new deposition techniques for creating the multilayered Bragg reflector, limiting defects beyond 54 nm in size. Despite key accomplishments in blank fabrication, sub-50-nm defects must also be eliminated, as they have been shown to negatively impact wafer printability.

The basis of defect elimination relies on the proper identification of native mask-blank defects and subsequent avoidance and mitigation techniques. Inspection systems are used to scan the blank and identify defect locations across the substrate, while opportunities exist by means of pattern shift (i.e., purposefully translating the design pattern in order to avoid defects) and postpatterning repair to completely suppress the presence of defects. The absence of a fully commercialized, readily available, HVM actinic inspection system requires the selection of an optical system that will locate the greatest number of relevant defects. The work here first demonstrates that 193-nm inspection finds the greatest number of printable native defects as compared with rival higher-wavelength systems, establishing DUV inspections as the blank defectivity baseline for subsequent mitigation strategies. Based on 193-nm inspection results across 20 EUV blanks, pattern-shift simulations were implemented using representative 7-nm node metal and contact layer designs. It was found that a significant percentage of defects could be avoided by means of pattern shift only when the design contained the active pattern (i.e., layouts without dummy fill patterns). In order to limit total defects to five or fewer after pattern-shift implementation, the top 35% of EUV blanks (based on current 193-nm inspection defectivity rates) are useable for metal layers, while the majority of blanks could be used for contact layers due to...
its lower active pattern density. Finally, nanomachining\textsuperscript{18,19} is used to address the remaining native/multilayer defects and attempts to compensate for defects lodged in the multilayer by judicious mechanical exfoliation of the surface material. The experimental results of native defect repair via nanomachining showed consistent improvement in printability for all repaired sites as compared with their prior untreated condition. Native catastrophic defects are shown to recover 40% to 70% of the target critical dimension (CD) depending on the combination of etch depth and absorber bias, demonstrating the enormous potential of multilayer compensation, but also illustrates the needed attention on developing a robust repair methodology to facilitate HVM construction of a complete defect-free mask set.

2 Nonactinic Mask Inspection

The foundation of defect reduction activities is having the appropriate inspection capabilities to identify relevant native defects that impact wafer printability. There has been great effort and progress into demonstrating a production worthy actinic blank inspection (ABI) tool.\textsuperscript{20-22} ABIs shown success in identifying defects only 1 nm in height,\textsuperscript{22} and correlations to wafer printability demonstrate the potential for ABI to define a defect printability threshold.\textsuperscript{21} Despite much promising results, the absence of a fully commercialized, readily available, HVM ABI system motivates a comprehensive study to define an optical inspection methodology most suitable for finding printable defects, in preparation for EUV insertion for sub-10-nm nodes. Figure 1 shows the defect distribution across 10 different EUV blanks, inspected using various methodologies. Figure 1(a) compares between blank inspections on the multilayer (black dots) and immediately after absorber deposition (red circles) using a 488-nm wavelength inspection system. Since all multilayer defects (black dots) overlap with absorber defects (red circles), there is high confidence that defects found in the multilayer are still identifiable after absorber deposition. Additional defects were detected on the absorber after sputtering, which can be attributed to new defect formation during the thin-film deposition process, or previous multilayer defects in which the signals have been enhanced due to the conformal absorber coating. Figure 1(b) compares between absorber blank inspections using a 488-nm wavelength (red circles) and 532-nm wavelength (black dots) system. It is observed that all defects detected at 532 nm were also detected by the 488-nm system. Furthermore, the narrower wavelength inspection system identified additional blank defects that were omitted during 532-nm wavelength inspection. Finally, Fig. 1(c) compares between absorber blank inspections using a 488-nm wavelength (black squares) and a 193-nm wavelength (red dots) system. It shows that not only does the 193-nm system identify all 488-nm wavelength defects, there is an overwhelming amount of additional defects also recorded. The higher inspection sensitivity can be attributed to the narrower inspection wavelength, in addition to the use of phase contrast microscopy techniques for identifying subtle surface perturbations.\textsuperscript{23} Figure 1(d) summarizes the results so far. A 488-nm absorber inspection accounts for roughly 15% of defects found using a 193-nm system, while 532-nm absorber inspection and 488-nm multilayer inspection only identified a subset of total 488-nm absorber inspection defects.

Despite the higher sensitivity of 193-nm blank inspection systems, verification of defect impact is necessary to determine whether the higher sensitivity is relevant from a printability perspective, or nuisances. Limitations of wafer-based processes must be taken into account when quantifying mask-defect impact on imaging performance, as extracted from wafer resist measurements. Past work has shown that programmed mask-absorber defects can be hidden by the resist stochastics and process noise.\textsuperscript{4,15} Aerial image measurements\textsuperscript{7,24} may provide an alternative to avoid convoluting wafer process variability, or alternatively, use of non-chemically amplified resist\textsuperscript{25,26} could offer a more intrinsic evaluation of imaging performance. Despite these benefits, chemically amplified resist was used to maintain consistency with realistic HVM exposure conditions on an EUV scanner. Furthermore, it was found that the resulting conclusions would not have changed had all process noises been isolated from the study, due to the limitations of the inspection system, as will be discussed below.

For experimental verification of mask-defect printability, a 0.33 numerical aperture (NA) scanner using quasar
illumination was used to expose an even distribution of contact arrays (64p) and line/space patterns (32p) placed directly over ∼1200 native defects found during 193-nm blank inspection. A blank defect was identified to be printable if the measured-to-nominal CD difference exceeded the $3\sigma$ CD uniformity at best dose and focus. Figure 2(a) shows a representative mask scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of a contact array patterned over a native defect, along with the corresponding wafer SEM, measuring a 20% reduction in contact CD as compared with reference. Figure 2(b) shows the line/space array patterned over a native defect, and the corresponding catastrophic impact on wafer imaging. As observed in both cases, the absorber etch chemistry was not selective to the defect, which ultimately allowed the defect to act as an absorber material. Figure 2(c) shows the collective printability data as a function of defect signal contrast. Here, signal contrast represents the amplitude/phase difference between light reflected from the defect and its surrounding background, as measured during 193-nm wavelength blank inspection. The red curve plots the distribution of mask-blank defects as a function of 193-nm inspection signal contrast, while the black curve shows the correlation between defect signal contrast and the probability of that defect signature having an impact on printing. It can be seen that the majority of blank defects show a low signal contrast, but a nonvanishing percentage of those defects (roughly 5% to 20%) impacts wafer printing. Conversely, there exist few blank defects with a high signal contrast; however, these defects are very likely to impact wafer printing. As seen from Fig. 2(c), there is a continual probability for mask-blank defects to impact wafer printing, regardless of its signal contrast. This indicates that the entirety of defects identified by a 193-nm inspection must be taken into account for subsequent defect mitigation strategies, since distinct signal isolation between nuisance and printable defects was not observed. Despite having to account for the high percentages of nuisances at low defect signals, this provides an inherent guard band for the possibility that printable defects are masked by current resist stochastic and could thus mature into a printable defect when improved.
processes are implemented. The blue crossmarked area in Fig. 2(c) indicates the printable defects found by the 193-nm inspection system that were otherwise invisible to the higher-wavelength inspection systems. Thus, 193-nm blank inspection must be used in order to locate the greatest number of mask-blank defects that could impact wafer imaging.

It is important to note that while this work provides insight into current optical tool capabilities, it does not address the fact that not all printable defects can be found during 193-nm inspection. To identify all potential defects buried in the multilayer stack, ABI at 13.5 nm is required. Despite the potential impact on the current conclusions, actinic inspection systems are not considered here as it is uncertain when ABI and actinic pattern mask inspection will reach HVM commercialization and availability. The current conclusions could thus remain relevant until an actinic HVM inspection tool becomes fully commercialized and readily available to be included in the blank/mask shop infrastructure.

3 Defect Avoidance

After blank inspection, opportunities exist for avoiding pattern placement directly over defect locations via shifting the design pattern relative to the blank (i.e., pattern shift). Using the blank inspection methodology defined in Sec. 2, defects identified using a 193-nm wavelength inspection across 20 EUV blanks were used as input for pattern shift simulations on representative 7-nm node full-field contact and metal mask designs, following prior methodology. Figure 3(a) shows the percentage of total blank defects that could be avoided by means of pattern shift as a function of the total blank defect count. Complete defect avoidance cannot be achieved for metal (blue triangle) and contact (green square) layers, despite the existence of blanks with less than 100 native defects. However, a high percentage
of defects could be avoided by means of pattern shift when the design contained only the active pattern (i.e., designs fractured without dummy fill patterns). Figure 3(b) shows that in order to achieve five or less exposed defects (by utilizing both pattern shift and layers fractured without fill), the top 35% of blanks remain suitable for metal layers, while a majority of blanks could be used for contact layers, attributed to the relatively lower pattern density.

4 Amplitude and Phase Repair

A robust repair solution is needed to address defects that remain after pattern shift implementation or to process defects generated during fabrication. Recent advancements in e-beam-based absorber repair and compensation methods have demonstrated selective material removal with ∼10-nm resolution. Based on gas-assisted electron beam etching, Fig. 4 shows SEMs of process defects before and after selective absorber removal. Xenon difluoride is used as a precursor to the beam-induced reactions used to selectively etch the Ta-based absorber. A 1-keV acceleration voltage is used to create a primary beam spot size of ∼1 nm to interact with the XeF₂ precursor, while backscattering electrons will attribute to a greater effective spot size of ∼20 nm. The repaired sites were intentionally biased larger than the target CD to compensate for any potential reflectivity loss due to absorber remnants from the process. The postrepair sites were exposed on a 0.33 NA scanner using quasar illumination at best dose/focus, and the corresponding repair shown in Fig. 4(a) indicates appropriate absorber biasing, as the measured line CD falls within the process noise. However, wafer CD measurements on the repairs shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c) showed overcompensation of the absorber, leading to a 25% [Fig. 4(b)] and 15% [Fig. 4(c)] CD reduction in the printed resist. The results here validate the methodology of e-beam-based selective absorber compensation, however, also motivate the need for simulation-guided absorber biasing to account for possible over- or under-compensation.

Of a greater challenge remains the multilayer and phase defects that necessitate repair. Recent work has shown that a large subset (40%) of native blank defects identified by a 193-nm blank inspection system was found to be defects logged in the multilayer surface, also seen in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), indicating repair techniques beyond absorber-only compensation may be necessitated. Furthermore, phase offsets caused by coherent disruptions in the multilayer originating from buried defects present additional repair challenges for absorber-only compensation, as absorber biasing alone cannot address the through focus asymmetry caused by phase defects. Nanomachining was introduced to address buried defects and attempts to correct for the phase effect by judicious removal of multilayer material, in which simulations have shown to compensate for the through focus asymmetry and restore flat Bossung curves. By means of mechanical excavation using a diamond-coated atomic force microscopy (AFM) tip, the indiscriminate removal of materials allows for multilayer modification and the possibility to compensate any phase effects. Furthermore, defects lodged in the multilayer surface and unaffected by absorber etch [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] could be removed using the mechanical nanomachining process. To assess the viability of nanomachining, 140-nm contact arrays were intentionally patterned over native defects identified to be buried in the multilayer surface. Figure 5 shows the native defects before and after micromachining repair. From the SEM and AFM profiles, the defects are visible in both the exposed multilayer contact area [Figs. 5(a)–5(c)] and its surrounding absorber [Fig. 5(a)]. Nanomachining was used for the removal the buried defect by targeting an excavation depth of 30 to 60 nm, in addition to a 10- to 20-nm lateral edge absorber bias in attempts to compensate for the loss of reflectivity from the removed multilayers [Fig. 6(d)]. Since an AFM tip is used to continuously exfoliate the target surface, this can lead to the unwanted

![Fig. 6](a)-(c) Wafer SEMs of native defects shown in Fig. 5 before repair and corresponding aerial image measurements postrepair; (d) measured aerial CD shown in the red-highlighted bar, with the clear dotted red bar indicating the reference CD, Blue-highlighted bar indicates the amount of multilayer material removed (Z depth), while the yellow-highlighted bar indicates the amount of positive CD bias used during repair.
buildup of material at the site’s perimeter even after a clean process, as seen in the postrepair SEMs and AFMs [Figs. 5(a)–5(c)]. To obtain a more uniform profile, permutations of multilayer nanomachining and electron beam absorber etching could be utilized.

In order to quantify the effects of nanomachining, EUV exposures before and after repair were performed. Defect sites prerrepair [Figs. 5(a)–5(c), left] was exposed on a 0.33 NA EUV scanner with quasar illumination at best dose and focus. Figures 6(a)–6(c), left, show the catastrophic impact on wafer imaging, as the affected contacts were completely absent from the printed array. After nanomachining repair [Figs. 5(a)–5(c), right], the mask was imaged using an EUV microscope with quasar illumination at 0.33 NA. Figures 6(a)–6(c), right, show the aerial image of the defect sites postnanomachining, revealing a recovery of imaging contrast. The measured aerial CD from the postrepaired sites postnanomachining, revealing a recovery of imaging dose and focus. Figures 6(a)–6(c), right, show the catastrophic impact on wafer imaging, as the affected contacts were completely absent from the printed array. After nanomachining repair [Figs. 5(a)–5(c)], the mask was imaged using an EUV microscope with quasar illumination at 0.33 NA. Figures 6(a)–6(c), right, show the aerial image of the defect sites postnanomachining, revealing a recovery of imaging contrast.

5 Conclusions
Defect-free fabrication of EUV masks relies on the appropriate detection of native defects and subsequent strategies for their elimination. The absence of a fully commercialized, readily available, HVM actinic mask-blank inspection system motivates the identification of an optical-based inspection methodology most suitable for finding relevant EUV blank defects. It was shown that 193-nm wavelength inspection found the greatest number of printable defects as compared with higher wavelength systems, establishing DUV inspection as the blank defectivity baseline for subsequent mitigation strategies. Next, defect avoidance via pattern shifting was explored using representative 7-nm node metal/contact layer designs and 193-nm mask-blank inspection results. It was found that a significant percentage of native defects could be avoided only when the design was limited to active patterns (i.e., layouts without dummy fill). Total pattern–defect overlap remained ≤5 when metal-layer blanks were chosen from the top 35% least defective substrates, while the majority of blanks remained suitable for contacts layers, attributed to the lower active pattern density. Finally, nanomachining was used to address any remaining native/multilayer defects. The experimental results of native defect repair via nanomachining showed consistent improvements in printability for all repaired sites as compared with the prior untreated condition. Native catastrophic defects were shown to recover 40% to 70% of target CD after nanomachining, demonstrating the enormous potential for compensating multilayer defects, but also highlights the needed attention on developing a robust repair methodology to allow HVM construction of a complete defect-free mask set.
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